

Assembly Proceedings

Official Report

West Bengal Legislative Assembly

First Session

(November-January, 1947-48)

The 21st, 25th, 26th, 27th November, 1947, and the 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th December, 1947, and the 5th, 6th, 8th, 9th, 12th, 13th, 14th and 15th January, 1948

Superinten lent, Government Printing
West Bengal Government Press, Alipore, West Bengal
1948

COVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL.

GOVERNOR.

His Excellency Charraborty Sri Rajagopalachari.

MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS.

- The Hon'ble Dr. Pryfilly Chandra Guosh, Minister in charge of the Home Department, and of the Education Department.
- The Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra Banerje, Minister in charge of the Department of Commerce, Labour and Industries.
- The Hon'ble Sri Hem Chandra Naskar, Minister in charge of the Department of Agriculture, Forests and Fisheries.
- 4) The Hon'ble Sri Kamai Krishaa Roy, Minister in charge of the Department of Co-operation, Credit and Relief
- (a) The Hon'ble Sri Kalipada Mukhikku, Minister in charge of the Department of Land and Land Revenue and of the Jarls Branch of the Department of Health and Local Self-Government.
- (6) The Hon'ble Str Months Monax Burman, Minister in charge of Judicial and Legislative Departments.
- (7) The Hon'ble Sri Annana Prosan Chownith, Minister in charge of the Finance Department, and of the Department of Health and Local Self-Government excluding the Jails Branch.
- (8) The Houble Sti Chart Charbert Bhardart, Minister in charge of the Department of Civil Supplies.
- (9) The Howble Sri Britishi Maitanak, Minister in charge of the Department of Irrigation and Waterways, and of the Department of Works and Buildings.

WEST BENGAL LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PRINCIPAL OFFICERS

SPEAKER.

The Hon'ble Sri Iswar Das Jalan.

DEPUTY SPEAKER.

SRI ASHUTOSH MALLICK.

SECRETARY.

Sri Ajita Ranjan Mukherjea, m.sc., B.L.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY.

Sri Charu Chandra Charrabarty, B.L.

REGISTRAR.

Janab Rafique Rahman, MA, B.L.

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF MEMBERS.

A

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. [Hooghly.] Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. [24-Parganas North-East.] Abdur Rahman Siddiqi, Mr. [Muslim Chamber of Commerce.] Abul Hashem, Mr. [Burdwan.]

B

Bandopadhaya, Sri Pramatha Nath. [Midnapore South-West.]
Banerjee, Sri Sibnath. [Howrah (Registered Factories).]
Banerjee, Sri Susil Kumar. [Howrah.]
Banerji, The Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra. [Calcutta and Suburbs (Registered Factories).]
Barman, The Hon'ble Sri Mohini Mohan. [Jalpaiguri-cum-Siliguri.]
Basu, Sri Hemanta Kumar. [Calcutta North.]
Basu, Sri Jyoti. [Railway Trade Union.]
Bhandari, The Hon'ble Sri Charu Chandra. [24-Parganas North-West.]
Bhattacharyya, Sri Shyamapada. [Murshidabad.]
Bose Sri Satish Chandra. [Calcutta South.]
Brahmin, Sri Ratanlal. [Darjeeling Sadar.]

^{*}Parliamentary Secretary.

C

Chakravarty, Sri Satish Chandra. [Northern Districts Municipal.] Choudhury, The Hon'ble Sri Annada Prosad. [Jhargram-cum-Ghatal.] Clarke, Mr. C. E. [Calcutta Trades Association, Indian Jute Mills Association, Indian Tea Association and Indian Mining Association.]

D

Das, Srijukta Bina. [Calcutta.] Das, Sri Radha Nath. [Hooghly North-East.] Das Gupta, Sri Khagendra Nath. [Jalpaiguri-cum-Siliguri.] Dass, Sri Kanai Lal. [Burdwan Central.]

*De, Sri Kanai Lal. [Bankura West.]

Dolui, Sri Harendra Nath. [Jhargram-cum-Ghatal.]

Dutta, Sri Sukumar. [Hooghly South-West.]

Dutt-Mazumdar, Sri Niharendu. [Barrackpore (Registered Factories).]

G

Ganguli, Sri Bepin Behari. [24-Parganas Mumicipal] Gayen, Sri Arabinda. [Howrah]

Ghose, Sri A. K. [Bengal National Chamber of Commerce.]

Ghose, Sri Bimal Comar. [Bengal National Chamber of Commerce.]

Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. [Birbhum.]

Gomes, Mr. D. [Calcutta-cum-Presidency Division]

Gupta, Sri J. C. [Calcutta South Central.]

Gurung, Sri Damber Singh [Darjeeling.]

Н

Haldar, Sri Kuber Chand [Murshidabad.] Hassan Ali, Mr. [West Dinajpur] Husan Ara Begum. [Calcutta.]

ŧ

Hias Ali Molla, Mr. [24-Parganas Central.]

J

Jalan, The Hon'ble Sri Iswai Das [Calcutta West.] Jasimuddin Ahmed, Mr. [24-Paiganas South.]

K

Kazem Ali Mirza, Shahibzada Kawan Jah Saiyid, Mr [Murshidabad South-West.]

Khaitan, Sri Debiprosad. [Indian Chamber of Commerce.]

Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. | Berhampore. |

M

Mahammad Sayeed Ma, Mr. [Malda.]

Mahanty, Sri Charu Chandra. [Midnapore Central.]

Mahtab, Sri Uday Chand, Maharajadhiraj Bahadur of Burdwan. [Burdwan Land holders.]

```
Maiti, Sri Nikunja Behari. [Burdwan Division North Municipal.]
Majhi, Sri Nishapati. [Birbhum.]
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Sri Bhupati. [Hooghly-cum-Howrah Municipal.]
Mal, Sri Iswar Chandra. [Midnapore South-East.]
Mallick, Sri Ashutosh. | Bankura West. |
Mandal, Sri Annadaprasad. [Burdwan North-West.]
Mandal, Sri Bankubehari. | Burdwan North-West. |
'Mandal, Sri Krishna Prasad. [Midnapore Central.]
Martuza Reza Chowdhury Mr [Jangipur.]
Mohamad Rafique, Shaik, J. P. [Calcutta North.]
Mohammad Sharif Khan, Mr. [Hooghly-cum-Howrah Municipal.]
Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. [Nabadwip.]
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Sri Kalipada. [Calcutta East.]
Mudassir Hossam, Mr. | Bubhum. |
Muhammad Idris, Mr | Howrah. |
Muhammad Qumruddin, Mr. [Barrackpore Municipal.]
Muhammad Siddique, Dr. Syed | Bankura |
Mukherm, Sri Dhirendra Narayan [Hooghly North-East.]
Murarka, Sri Basantlal. [Calcutta Central.]
Musharruft Hossam, Mr. [Jalpaiguri-cum-Darjeeling.]
Naskar, Sri Ardhendu Sekhar [24-Parganas North-West.]
Naskar, The Hon'ble Sri Hem Chandra. [24-Parganas South-East.]
Panja, Sii Jadabendra Nath
                           📑 Bardwan Central. 🕽
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
                    [Anglo-Indian]
Platel, Mr. R. E. [Anglo-Indian.]
Poddar, Sri Anandilal. [Marwaii Association.]
Pramanik, Sri Rajani Kanta [Midnapore East.]
Ray, The Hon'ble Sri Kamal Krishna. | Bankura East.]
Ricketts, Mrs. E. M.
                     [Anglo-Indian.]
Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra. | University. |
Roy, Sri Jajneswar
                   [Jalpaiguri-cum-Siliguri.]
 en, Sri Debendra Nath.
                        [Colliery (Coal Mines).]
 erajuddin Ahammad, Mr
                          [Midnapore.]
 inha, Sri Bimal Chandra
                         [24-Parganas South-East.]
Valker, Mr. J. R. [Bengal Chamber of Commerce.]
Vilks, Mr. G. C. D. [Auglo-Indian.]
  nan, Mr. A. M. A. [Hooghly-cum-Serampore (Registered Factories).]
                        *Parliamentary Secretary.
```

THE WEST BENGAL LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY PROCEEDINGS

Official Report of the First Session.

(November-January, 1947-48.)

Volume I.

Volume LXXVIII-No. 1.

roceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Friday, the 21st November, 1947, at 2 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Speaker (Sir Uday Chand Mahtab, Maharajadhiraj Bahadur of Burdwan and subsequently the Hon'ble Shri Iswar Das Jalan) in the Chair, 8 Hon'ble Ministers and 59 members.

Oath or Affirmation of Allegiance.

Mr. SPEAKER (Maharajadhiraj Sir Uday Chand Mahtab Bahadur of Burdwan): Ladies and Gentlemen, if there is any member present who has not yet taken the prescribed oath he may now do so.

The following members then took their oath or affirmation of allegiance.

- (1) Mr. George Charles Duncan Wilks, and
- (2) Mr. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi.

Mr. SPEAKER: Is there any other member who has not yet taken his oath?

(No response.)

Now, we will proceed with the business of the House.

Point of Order.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Mr. Speaker, may I rise to a point of order on the question of privilege of the House? I do not know whether the report published in the newspaper is correct, but an honourable member elected to this House has to take the oath before the House. I wonder if we should allow the Executive to encroach upon the right of the Legislature on this point, and until such an oath is taken before us I doubt if the correct status of the honourable member who did it in the Government House and not in this hall, is properly established.

Mr. SPEAKER: Under section 67 it is permissible for a member to take his oath from His Excellency the Governor and I think it was under that section that the gentleman you mentioned took his oath.

Resolution.

The Hen'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH : সভাপতি মহাশর, আমি ।পেনার অনুমতি চাইছি এই প্রস্তাব উপস্থিত করবার জন্য।

পশ্চিম বাংলার ব্যবস্থা পরিষদ এই প্রথম অধিবেশনে প্রদেশের সকল অধিবাসীকৈ সাদের সম্ভাষণ ন্যাপন করিতেছে এবং স্বাধীনতা লাভের জন্য ধীছারা অশেষ দুঃখ বরণ ও প্রাণত্যাণ করিরাছেন ত্রীছাদের তি প্রভান্ধলি অপণি করিতেছে। এই ব্যবস্থা পরিষদ স্বাধীনতা আন্দোলনের প্রধান ৹সেন্ধুলীত দহাত্মা পান্ধীর প্রতি প্রদায়েলি নিবেদন করিতেছে এবং হিন্দু-গুসলমান সম্প্রীতি স্থাপনে ত'াহার মৌলিক অবদান কৃতক্ততা সহকারে স্মরণ করিতেছে।

এই ব্যবস্থা পরিষদ নেতাজী স্কাষ চন্দ্র বস্ত্র প্রতিও সন্ধা জ্ঞাপন কর্নিতেছে এবং ভারতীয় স্বাধীনতা আন্দোলনে তাছার দান ক্তঞ্জার সহিত স্মরণ করিতেছে।

[The following is the English translation of the resolution:]

This West Bengal Legislative Assembly at its first sitting sends its hearty greetings to the people of West Bengal and pays its respectful homage to those who have laid down their lives and undergone great suffering for the attainment of freedom.

This West Bengal Assembly pays its respectful homage to Mahatma Gandhi—the General of our Freedom Momement. This Assembly remembers with gratitude his fundamental contribution for achieving Hindu-Muslim amity.

This West Bengal Assembly also pays its respectful tribute to Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose and gratefully remembers the services rendered by him to the cause of Indian Freedom.

এই প্রস্তাৰ সম্বন্ধে আমি বিশেষ বক্তৃতা করতে চাই না। এই প্রস্তাবের মধ্যে যে কথা রয়েছে তারই থেকে সকলে বৃষ্ঠে পারবেন আমাদের অন্তরের ইচ্ছা কি এবং আমি চাই যে এই প্রস্তাব সকলে সব্বিস্মাতিক্রমে গ্রহণ কর্ন। এর মধ্যে কোন মতদৈবধ আছে বলে আমার বিশ্বাস হয় না। মতদৈবধ থাকলে পরে এইভাবে এই সময় এই প্রস্তাব আমি এখানে উপস্থিত করতাম না। কোন মছদ্বৈ নেই। আমি আশা করি এবিষয়ে সকলেই একমত। আপনারা প্রস্তাব গ্রহণ কর্ন। এর উপর আমি কোন বক্তৃতা দিতে চাই না। এই আমার নিবেদন।

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, we meet today for the first time in a Provincial Parliament of Independent India. The struggle for independence has been hard and the sacrifies have been many. It is therefore in the fitness of things, Sir, that on this auspicious and historic occasion we should remember the heroes who have fought valiantly and through suffering and travail guided the nation to the cherished goal. must pay our homage of gratitude to Mahatma Gandhi, the apostle of nonviolence and truth, who has been a perennial source of inspiration and strength to all the fighters in the national cause. We must also pay our homage to Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, the great leader of men, whose unflinching loyalty to justice has won him international admiration. We must also remember today the great departed Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose, the greatest Bengalee who succeeded in bringing such a community and identity of interests and ideals among different sections and communities and whose Indian National Army is a living example of united action through inter-communal co-operation and harmony. We must pay our tribute of love and respect to the martyrs who are legion, who have made the supreme sacrifice in the cause of treedom of our motherland. We offer our congratulations to those who have braved all kinds of repression under the British regime and cheerfully courted imprisonment to keep the flag flying and hold their lofty ideals high. Sir, many of those brave and gallant sons and daughters of our dear motherland are not in the world of living to see the fruition of their noble efforts and for their memory, Sir, I humbly propose that we stand in silent prayer that in the midst of our rejoicing we do not forget them.

Mr. SPEAKER: Ladies and Gentlemen, I propose that we carry the resolution standing.

(The members rose in their sents.)

Now, the next item before the House is election of Speaker.

Demonstration, before the Assembly.

Mr. JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, Sir, আমরা এই next item নেবার আমে
আমি আপনাকে এই কথা জানাতে চাই যে অসংখা কৃষক নানা জারণা থেকে এসে এখানে আস্ছিলেন এই
সভাকে, স্বাধীন বাংলাদেশের সভাকে সম্বর্ধনা জানাবার জন্য। দুর্ভাগোর বিষয় পুলিশ্ হাওড়া এবং
সিয়াল্দার কাছে তাদের আটকিয়ে সিরেছে। আমি জানি না এটা কেন হলো। আমাদের প্রধান মন্ত্রী
ক্রুখা জানেন্। তিনি আমাদের বলেছেন যে তারা আসতে পারেন, কিন্দু disciplined ভাবে
আতি গণ্ডগোল না হয়। একথা তাদের জানিয়ে দেওয়া হয়েছিল কারণ তারা অভিনন্দন জানাতে আসছে।
আমি জানি না পুলিশ কেন এই কাজ করলে। আমি জানতে গাই প্রধান মন্ত্রীর কাছে এ বিষয় এবং তিনি
আদি বাইরে গিয়ে একটা দেখেন তাছলে স্বিধা হয়।

Mr. SPEAKER: I shall ask the Chief Minister to kindly explain the situation.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH : কালকে ভোরবেলা আমার কাছে হৈ কৃষ্ণ বিনোদ রায় যান, এ সম্বশ্ধে কথা বলতে। তিনি আমাকে বলেন যে আপনাদের অভাথনা ब्रितात क्रमा भविष्ठामत मासा भगन्त कृषकता ऐभन्दिल श्रात। আমি বলি যে পরিষদের সভাগ্রের ্যামনে এইরূপ combined ভাবে ভাবের না আসাই বাছনীয়। আমার অতীত অভিজ্ঞতা থেকে জেনেছি **দটা না করাই ঠিক হবে।** তবে আমি ত'াকে বলি যে আপনারা ঘাদ Wellington Squared কম্বা ময়দানে কোন সভা করেন সেই সভায় আমরা যেতেও প্রস্তুত আছি, গিয়ে বকুতা দিতেও প্রস্তুত আছি বং কিষাণদের সম্বশ্ধে আমাদের নীতি কি তা বলতেও প্রস্তৃত আছি। এছাড়া অন্য কোন প্রকারের ইকান তিত্রতি দেওয়া হয় নাই। এবং তখন কৃষ্ণ বিনোদ বাবু বলেন যে আমি আপনার কথা শ্নলাম কিন্তু । বিষয়ে আমাদের মন্তামত কি তা আপনাকে পরে জানাবো। তারপরে রাত্রে telephone চনি জানান, যে পরিষদ, সম্বন্ধে আপনাদের যে মত তার সঞ্জে আমাদের মতবাদ ঠিক মেলে না। থা বলেন। শেয়ালদা স্টেশনে কি ছাওড়া স্টেশনে আটকেছে কিনা তা আমি ঠিক বলতে পারি না। এখানে प्पणिला भ्रोडिंग्रें स्मार्ड व्यक्तिरहरू । এই कथा धामारक वलालन এই भविष्य प्रकार राजकवाद प्रामिन्द्र जीता এই कथा आमारक बन्नत्वन श्रीनम (यम ना आहेकायू। যদি আপনারা আগে বলতেন ছেলে হ'তো। আমি আপেও বলেছিলাম, এখনও আপনাদের অনুরোধ করছি যে এখানে আস্বার आ़हन तरहे। वाशनादा यांप भग्नपात प्रका करदन किम्दा Wellington Source orga ামি থেতে রাজি আছি, কিম্বা অন্য যাদের যাওয়া সম্ভবপর তারো যেতে রাজি আছেন. Minister. ারে বক্ততা দিতে রাজি আছেন। তখন তাবা বলেন, তাহলে আমরা কি ওখানে বসে থাকবো না যারো। ामि वील लाए जिन्हें। ध्याक हाउहीज मार्था आमाज अल्लिम्बाद काक राम करत खामि लिशास महसास াবে বক্তা দিতে রাজি আছি, এবং আমি আপনাদের অন্রোধ করি যে আপনারা সেখানে যান্। এই था, শুনে তারা চলে গিরেছেন। এর বেশী আর আমি কোন কিছু জানি না।

Election of Speaker,

Mr. SPEAKER: We will carry on the business before the House.

Nomination papers have been received and the name of Shri Iswai Das again has been duly proposed and seconded—one by Mr. J. C. Gupta and Ir. Debendra Nath Sen and the other by Mr. Satish Chandra Bose and Ir. Harendra Nath Doliu, respectively. Both the papers are in order. As all one member has been nominated I declare Shri Iswar Das Jalan duly exted as Speaker of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly. (Applause.)

A do now request Mr. J. C. Gupta, the proposer and Mr. Debendra Nath

(Shri Iswar Das Jalan was then conducted to the Speaker's Chair by J. C. Gupta and Mr. Debendra Nath Sen.)

The Hon'ble Dr. P AFILLA CMANDRA GHOOM: ট্রম্বর সাবর দাস আলান আমানের বিবাদের Speaker নিবাদিট ইওয়ায়, স্বাবাদি সম্পাতিকাম নিবাদিট ইওয়ায়, তাকে আমি

নামর প্রভিন্দেন প্রারাছি। তিনি বাদিও আমাদের কংপ্রেমি বুর একজন সভ্য. Speaker হওয়ার পরেও আমি জানি তিনি কংগ্রেমী দল কেন, কংগ্রেমী দলের বাদের স্বাইকে সমভাবে দেখবেন: এ বিবরে আমার বিন্দুমান্তও সন্দেহ নেই। এবং ত্রার বে যোগাতার বলেই তিনি আরু এই স্থান অধিকার করতে প্রেছেন এবং কোন প্রতিদ্ধিনতা হরনি তারজন্য আমরা স্বাই সুখী, এবং আশা করি তিনি আমাদের এই বে পরিবদ্ধে এই পরিবদের সন্মান অভুশা বরাবরই রাখবেন, এবং রাখবার জন্য প্রাণ্ণণ চেন্টা করিবেন। আমাদের ভারতবর্ষের পরিবদে শ্রীবৃক্ত বিঠল ভাই প্যাটেল বে উক্ত আদর্শ করেছেন, আমি আলা করি, আমাদের এই বে Speaker, যাকে আম্রা নির্নাচিত করলাম তিনিও সেই উক্ত আদর্শকে রহ্মা করেতে পারবেন এবং আমি আবার তাকে আমার সাদ্র অভিনন্ধন জানিরে আসন প্রভণ কর্মছ।

Mr. A. F. M. ABDUR RAHMAN: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I join with the Hon'ble Leader of the House in offering my felicitation and also the sincere congratulation of the Muslim League Parliamentary Party to which I have the honour to belong. Sir, this is the first time in the history of the Provincial Legislature that a member of your community has adorned this high office of Speaker. You have shown your capacity in different spheres of public activities. As Councillor of the Calcutta Corporation you have contributed towards the improvement of city administration. You are a Solicitor of repute and we can leave with confidence the interpretation of the sat your hand. You are the custodian of the rights and privileges of every member of the House and the minority look with confidence to your help in claiming a just, fair and equitable treatment in the House. On behalf of my party I assure you full and sincere co-operation in the discharge of the onerous duty to your high office.

While felicitating, Sir, I should like to give an explanation to the House why I am speaking from this side of the House and the policy which the Muslim League Party proposes to adopt in the legislature. We were elected at a time when the interest of the Muslim India needed special safeguard. We worked through a communal party and we were returned on communal electorate. The agreement between the Congress and the League parties, the division of India into Pakistan and Indian Dominion based on agreement has now created a new situation. The Muslim community in the Indian Union now feel that with the advent of independence, when the nation of Indian Union is in the making, the Muslim community must contribute wholeheartedly in the making of that nation of which it feels proud to call itself a part. I therefore think that we are interpreting adequately the outlook of the Muslim community when I say that henceforth the Muslim League Party will support national programme based on progressive outlook. Our aim therefore will be to render what service we can in building up Indian Nation, in making it strong and powerful and enhancing its glory and prestige. It should be our endeavour to secure the maximum co-opera-tion between the majority and the minority communities, and while we may have to press from time to time for a just, fair and equitable deal for the minorities, we trust that in course of time there will be a much clearer understanding between them and such identity of interest that the distinc-tion between the majority and the minorities will fade and obliterate. Therefore, Sir, while we are sitting as members of the Opposition because we feel that it would be bad for any Government if it had no opposition to meet with which may help to keep it along the straight and narrow path, we shall help in the passage of all progressive measures for the benefit of the masses and we shall oppose only when we must. We desire, Sir, that the Government should help us in the creation of happy relation between all communities so that it may rest on the solid foundation of goodwill and mutual co-operation between all sections and communities in this province.

Mr. JYOTI BASU: স্পীকার মহোদর, আমি Communist Partyর তারক থেকে আপনাকে অভিনন্দন জানামি:। আমি এই বলে সময় নত করব না বে আপনি কিভাবে এই স্বায়র কাল্ল ফালাবেব এবং তার উপর ক্রতথানি নিভার করে বে আমরা কত তাড়াতাড়ি অন্পাণের সুধ-সুধি।কার ह्ना वाहेन-कान्न क्वरण शावव। व्यस् न्यू अरेटेक् बान्नारक मान कविरद्ध मिरा ठावे स बान्नि बीम निर्दारणक अधारन ना वन-अर खोग अधान मन्त्री अधनहे वन्दानन-यमित वार्गान कराशामन, जुब **म्मीका**त श्वात पत्र मनगठ मठामठ व्यत्नको वार्थान स्टान स्वरण ना शासन—स्वर प्रसाद कास श्वातिस्वर-ভাবে পরিচালিত হতে পারবে না। আমি জানি সেটা কটটা কঠিন আপনার পছে হবে, তব আমি অন্তটঃ व्यामा करत, এই मिक थ्याक व्यापनार प्रकाण माचि प्रंव प्रमञ्ज द्वाचारतन, कि करत व्यावता श्राह्माक अहे प्रकाद वामाम्बर न्याधीन महामह अहार कराह शाहि। कार्य बाह्यकर मिरन बाह्यकु बामाम्बर এह काह्य ৰুরবার আছে—-শ্রমিক, কুষক, মধ্যবিত্ত, তাদের গ্রাম্ছা, তাদের ভাত কাপডের জন্য, তাদের শিক্ষা ব্যবস্থা ইত্যাদির জন্য, আমেদের যে সমস্ত কাজ করতে হবে, আপনি যদি সেখানে সাহায্য আমাদের না করেন, তাছলে আমি জানি যে প্ৰাধীন বাংলার এই সভার কান্ত আমরা ভালভাবে করতে পারব না। <u> शठान् शठिक कार्र या प्रमन्त विकासन प्रथमा श्रम् शास्त्र वामि प्रदे मिक थ्याक कान कथा बन्द ना।</u> আমি এই কথা বল্ব এখানে অনেক সময় যারা অংশ সংখ্যায় থাকে, সংখ্যালঘ যায়া তাদের মতামত थकारगत अर्जावशा आमारमत सरगत आहेन जहात आहर। ठा आमारमत गताशीन अवस्थात, **मेलीहीन स्मर**ण क्निए बाहरकर पित बामरा निकार बामा करत, न्छन शिल्हा बामरा वाश्नारमण्ड হতে পেরেছে। পতে তলব। रमहेलना এই कथाई आमि वन्द वारनाम्मरमद्र आहेन महाद्र विधि वादम्हा आहि. नृद् लिहेश्कि स्मान ठल्लाहे यथके दाव ना। आमि आमा कत्व, आशीन न्छन शितिष्टिणिए न्छन आमन দেখাবেন যাতে ভবিষ্যং আদর্শ হিসাবে এই সভার কাজ ষ্টেডাবে চল্বে, দেশের সকলের সামনে সেই আদর্শ সহাপন করবেন।

Mr. G. C. D. WILKS: Mr. Speaker, Sir, on behalf of the Anglo-Indian community and as an elected representative of a small minority in West Bengal I rise to offer my felicitations along with the felicitations that you have had from other members of this House. I feel that in your able hands we will receive fair and just treatment. We are a very small voice in this House, but you may rest assured that you will have our honest and wholehearted support in anything which may come forward before this House for the benefit of the nationals of West Bengal.

Mr. SPEAKER (the Honble Sri Iswar Das Jalán): Ladies and gentlemen, I thank you most heartily for the praise and signal honour that you have done me by electing me to the most dignified and honourable post of Speaker of the first Assembly of this Province of West Bengal which has met after India has attained her independence. This is not the Assembly with which we have been conversant so far. A few months before we were under the British sovereignity and when we were meeting in this House we were not meeting as an independent Parliament of this great Province of Bengal. Today happily we have won that independence for which thousands of our young men sacrificed their lives, thousands gladly and haughingly mounted the gallows and paid the highest penalty of losing their lives. Unfortunately for us we have not got the independence in the form in which we would have liked to get, and it is more unfortunate that our Province of Bengal has sufferred most in order to give independence to the whole of India. I know, ladies and gentlemen, that Bengal is a land from which nationalism has grown and has spread throughout this country. Even recently we have had Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose who led the independent army in order to attain the freedom of this country. Fortunately for us we have now got the freedom, and along with the freedom we have got to see that the portion of Bengal, however small it may be, which has fallen to our lot, grows in stature and strength and attains its rightful position in the Indian Dominion.

Ladies and gentlemen, I know my limitations. I know that it is with your co-operation that I shall be able to discharge my functions properly. I can give you this assurance that in the discharge of my duty I will do what is just and proper without fear or frown. I will do it without any

regard for my party affiliations which are there and I can assure you that I shall be very happy if at the end of my term of office I can say that I have discharged my duties properly.

With these words I thank you, ladies and gentlemen, for the honour you have done me. (Applause.)

Election of Deputy Speaker.

Mr. SPEAKER: Gentlemen, we shall now proceed with the election of Deputy Speaker of this House. Two nomination papers have been received and duly proposed and seconded—one by Mr. J. C. Gupta and Mr. Debendra Nath Sen, and the other by Mr. Harendra Nath Dolui and Mr. Satish Chandra Bose, respectively. Both the papers are in order. As only one member has been nominated 1 declare Sri Ashutosh Mallick elected as Deputy Speaker of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly. (Applause)

 $(Mr,\ J,\ C,\ Gupta$ and $Mr,\ Debendra\ Nath\ Sen$ conducted $Sti\ Ashutosh\ Mallick\ to\ his\ seat.)$

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, এই পরিষদের Deputy Speaker পদে সবর্গসম্পতিকমে নিবর্গাচিত হবার জন্য আমি শ্রীযুক্ত আম্বুতোষ মালিক মহাশারকে আমার সাদেব অভিনন্দন জানাছি। দীঘাকাল সেবাব ফলে এবং আমে সম্পূর্ণ ভরুষা রাখি তিনি সদস্য আছেন বলে আজ তিনি ত'ার উপবৃক্ত আসন পেয়েছেন এবং আমি সম্পূর্ণ ভরুষা রাখি তিনি ত'ার যোগ্য স্হান, শুখু নিজের পদাধিকারবলে নয়, নিজেব গুণের দ্বাবা অলজ্কৃত করে সকলকে সুখা করতে পারবেন এবং এই Houseএ এই পরিষদে য'ারা সদস্য আছেন, সকলকে সমন্তাবে দেখে তিনি সকলের কাছে উচ্চ আদেশ স্থাপন করতে পারবেন। শুখু এই কয়িত কথা বলে আমি আসন গ্রহণ করিছি।

Mr. A. F. M. ABDUR RAHMAN: Mr. Speaker, Sir, through you we offer our sincere congratulations to the newly elected Deputy Speaker and we assure him, through you, our full support and hearty co-operation

Mr. JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, Sir, Deputy Speakerক Communist Partyৰ তর্জ থেকে আমি অভিনন্ধন ভানাজি।

Mr. G. C. D. WILKS: Mr. Speaker, I would like, through you, Sir, to offer our congratulations to the Deputy Speaker on his election and assure him of our support.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI:

جذاب اسپیکر صاحب مجهه سے پہلے بہت سے حضرات اپ کو اور جناب قربیوٹی اسپیکر صاحب کو اج کے انتخابات پر مبارکبان رہے چکے میں صین اپ کی اور اسبلی کی سمع خراجی دہ کرتا لیکن اتنی سب تقریرین بنگلہ زبان میں سننے کے بعد میری طبیعت دے گوارا دہ کیا کہ ملت کی قومی زبان یعنی ارزو جو کشمیر سے بعد میری طبیعت دے گوارا دہ کیا کہ ملت کی قومی زبان یعنی ارزو جو کشمیر سے قہدیت دہ پیش کرون اور خاص کر ایسی حالت میں جب کہ بنگلہ دہ آپ کی اور دہ میری مارری زبان ہے۔یہ موقع کسی لمبنی تقریر کا نہیں ہے۔ آپ دے محسوس کیا ہوگا کہ اسبلی کا کمرہ خالی خالی سا نظر آتا ہے۔پرانے ساتھی بچھڑ گئے اور باقی مادرہ ممبر اس کمرے کو اربا بھی پر نہیں کرسکتے۔تاہم ہمین کام تو کرنا ہی ہے۔کم ہوں یا اس کمرے کو اربا بھی پر نہیں کرسکتے۔تاہم ہمین کام تو کرنا ہی ہے۔کم ہوں یا علم زیارہ مغربی بنگال میں ہمین خلق فرا کی خرمت کردی ہےخواہ وہ ہدرو ہوں یا مسلم یا عیمائی۔ جذاب والا ۔ ایک طرف مکومت اور اسکی زبررست اکثریت ہوگی اور روسری طرف مثمی بہر اربی جن کی دہ کوئی گئتی دہ شہار۔پارلمنٹوں کا پرادہ رستور ہے کہ طرف مثمی بہر اربی جن کی دہ کوئی گئتی دہ شہار۔پارلمنٹوں کا پرادہ رستور ہے کہ اسپیکر ان کے حقوق کا نگمیاں ہوتا ہے اور ان کی پاسبادی کرتا ہے۔یہ اس وجہ سے اسپیکر ان کے حقوق کا نگمیاں ہوتا ہے اور ان کی پاسبادی کرتا ہے۔یہ اس وجہ سے اسپیکر ان کے حقوق کا نگمیاں ہوتا ہے اور ان کی پاسبادی کرتا ہے۔یہ اس وجہ سے میری ہے کہ مکومت اور اس کے ساتھیوں کی تحوال ہمیشہ زیارہ ہوتی ہے اور خوش

رمائدروں کی زبادوں کو بدن دہ کرنے اور انہیں خاموش کرتے والے رفایا کے دمائدروں کی زبادوں کو بدن دہ کرنے اور انہیں خاموش کرکے بولدے سے روگ دہ ورے اس کے ملاوہ ایک اور اصول بھی پارلمدے کو سین ہے رستے پر چلانے کے لگے ضروری سمجھا گیا ہے اور اسی پر برابر قمل کیا گیا ہے ۔ وہ یہ ہے کہ ہر وہ شخص جو جلسے کی صرارت کرے اس کا فرض ہے کہ اپوزیشن (Opposition) کی سرپرستی کرے ۔ اس کی حفاظت کرے ۔ اس کی وکالت کرے اور اسے حکومت کے فقے اور فضب سے بچائے ۔ اپوزیشن میں اس دئی اسمبلی میں مشکل سے پدررہ ممبر ہودگے ۔ یہ لوگ اپ سے اس روستی اور اس مجربانی کی پوری امین کرتے ہیں ۔ اور انہیں یقیں ہے کہ اس معاملے میں اپ برابر اور ہمیشہ ان کا خیال کرینگے ۔ گو ضروری نمیں ہے تاہم میں اپ کو یقین رلاتا ہوں کہ یہ بیچارے کبھی ریرہ و رانستہ عرول حکمی نہ کرینگے میں اپ کو یقنی کرینگے اس کی کوشش کرینگے کہ اپ کے فیصلے اور اپ کے احکام کو ہر وقت قبول کریں اور اپ کو کسی طرح شکایت کا موقع نہ ریں *

میں پھر سے آپ کو اور آپ کے دائب صاحب کو مبارکباں ریتا ہوں اور امیں کرتا ہوں کہ یہ اسمبلی آپ کی نگرانی میں رس رونی اور رات چوگنی ترقی کریگی اور بنگال کی خرمت کرنے میں پوری کامیابی حاصل کریگی*

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: (Sri Ashitosh Mallick) প্লীকার মহোদয়! আমি স্বর্গপ্রথমে প্রিয়ালে সভাগাণাক আমার আন্তরিক ধনারাদ জাপন করছি। আমি ভেণ্টী প্লীকার নির্বাচিত হওয়ায় আন্তরিক প্রাত্তি করে কৃতার্থ মনে করছি। যদিও ভেণ্টী প্লীকারে এই পরিষদে সভাপতিত্ব করের স্যোগ ও সৌভাগা অতাতে বিরল, সে অফটন দৈবাং ঘটে থাকে, তথাপি আমি উক্ত পদের সায়িত্বের গ্রেট্ট উপলিছি কোরে তার অনাগত আত্তেক অভিড্ত হয়ে পড়্ছি। একথা কর্ল কারতে আমার লজ্জা নই। সভাপতি পদের যে কি প্রত্ত্ব আমি বিশেষভাবে জানি। আমি এ সভাব একজন প্রাত্তন সভা; এবং এই পরিষদেব কর্ম্মাপরিচালনার পছতিও আমি বিশেষর্গ্রেপ অবগত আছি। আমি আমার অযোগাতার বিষয়ে সম্পূর্ণ সভাগ। এসভার সভাপতিত্ব করবার যোগাতা আমার নাই; কিক্তু আমি জানি আমার সে অযোগাতায় আপনারা যোগাতা সম্পাদন করবেন। যে উদার বৃদ্ধিতে আপনারা আমাকে এখানে নিব্যাচিত কারেছন সেই উদারবৃদ্ধি প্রণাদিত হয়েই আপনারা আমাকে সাহায্যও কারবেন। আপনাদের সাহায্য, সহান্ত্তি এবং সমর্থন পেলে আমি এ কর্ত্ত্রাগলনে যোগাতা লাভ কারব। এ কাযোগির যে দায়িত্ব, তাতে বিচন্ত্রণ, বিবেকনী, বিস্ক এবং বিচারক ব্যক্তিরও বিশ্রম উপস্থিত হয়।

আমি সবশেকিমান্ ভগবানের নিকট প্রাথনা করি যে তিনি আমাকে এমন বুদ্ধি ও শক্তি দেন যাতে আমি কর্ত্বা পালনে সমর্থ হই। আর একটি কথা—এই পরিষদ আমাকে শ্রদ্ধার আসন দিয়েছেন। আমি আপনাদের জানাছি আমার উদাসীনো কিংবা এটি বিচ্ছতির বোরা পরিষদের ম্যাদিন বা আদেশ কোনভাপে হণ্ণ হবে না।

পরিশেষে আর একবার সকলকে ধন্যবাদ জানাছি।

Panel of Chairmen.

Mr. SPEAKER: In accordance with the provisions of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly Procedure Rules I nominate the following members of the Assembly to form a panel of four Chairmen for the ensuing session:—

- (1) Mr. J. C. Gupta,
- (2) Mr. Muhammad Rafique,
- (3) Mrs. E. M. Ricketts, and
- (4) Mr. Radha Nath Das.

Unless otherwise arranged, the senior member among them present in the above order will preside over the deliberations of the Assembly in my absence and in the absence of the Deputy Speaker.

ORDINANCES.

The Bengal Finance (Extending) Ordinance, 1947.

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to lay the Bengal Finance (Extending) Ordinance. 1947 (Bengal Ordinance No. IV of 1947), under section 88(2)(a) of the Government of India Act, 1935.

The Bengal Special Powers (Second Amendment) Ordinance, 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PROFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: সভাপতি ৰহাণৰ; আৰি পৰিবদেৱ সাৰ্দে the Bengal Special Powers (Second Amendment) Ordinance, 1947, উপস্থাপিত কম ছি।

Mr. JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, Sir, এই Ordinance রাধার আবার আপত্তি আছে।

Mr. J. C. GUPTA: The proper procedure is to formulate a proper motion to this Ordinance.

Mr. SPEAKER: The Hon'ble Prime Minister has laid it before the House. Is there any point of order?

Mr. JYOTI BASU: ভাতে আপত্তি আছে ; point of order নয় ৷ I do not raise a point of order.

Mr. SPEAKER: If there is no point of order, there is no point in your objection now.

The Bengal Disturbed Areas Ordinance, 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PROFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: আৰি এর পরে আর এফ Ordinance আপ্লাব্যে কাছে নাখছি—সেটা the Bengal Disturbed Areas Ordinance, 1947.

Mr. JYOTI BASU: On a point of order, Sir. I object to the laying of this Ordinance before the House.

আৰি আপনাকে এব আগেবৰাৰ ৰোখাবাৰ চেটা কৰেছিলাৰ এবাৰ যেটা lay কৰা হচেছ হাউদেৰ সান্ত্ৰ ভাষেও আৰাৰ আপত্তি আছে। যথন একটা অভিযানন lay কৰা হন্ত হাউদেৰ কাছে – lay কৰাৰ আগে আনি বন্তে পানি যে I object to the laying of this Ordinance before the House.

Mr. NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITI: पारेरनव (कान बाता बर्फ पार्शिक क्या रहित ?

Mr. SPEAKER: I may say there is a statutory order in the rules.

Mr. JYOTI BASU: Why can't I object to the very laying of the Ordinance before the House?

Mr. J. G. GUPTA: We are bound by rules laid down in the Government of India Act and these things have been promulgated by an Adaptation Order also. No member can raise any objection which is ultra vires to the provisions of the Act and therefore the objection which my friend wants to formulate cannot be done.

Mr. SPEAKER: I will hear Mr. Jyoti Basu as to what point of order he raises and then I will decide as to whether it is in order.

Mr. JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, my point was that if any member wishes to bring a motion before the House, then it is the right of any other member to object to the very laying of that motion before the House.

Mr. NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITI: সভাপতি মহানর: আপনার কাছে আমার এই নিবেশন বে অভিন্যাপে বখন করা বর তথ্য প্রণাদেও নিরেশ্ব ক্ষরভাবতেই তা করেব। বলি সে হাউলে ন্তৃপান্দিত করতে না দেওরা হয় তাহলে গণতাতা বিরোধী কাজ করা হয়। তাহলে গন্তগানিটকে করা হয়। তাহলে গন্তগানিটকের দিক দিয়ে যদি বিবেচনা করা বার ভাহলে গন্তগানিটকে এই অভিনালের প্রথম সাবোগেই উপস্হাপিত করতে দেওরা আবশ্যক, এবং তা যদি না হর ভাহলে সম্পূর্ণ অন্যায় হয়।

Mr. SPEAKER: Section 88(2) lays down that an Ordinance promulgated under this section shall be laid before the Provincial Legislature and according to this provision this is being laid. I, therefore, rule out the point of order.

The Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Ordinance, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to lay the Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Ordinance, 1947 (West Bengal Ordinance No. VIII of 1947), under section 88(2)(a) of the Government of India Act, 1935.

The West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment (No. 11) Ordinance, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: নাননীয় স্পীকাৰ নহোদ্য ; ভাপনার অনুষ্ঠি ক্রমে আনি the West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment (No. 11) Ordinance, 1947, এই হাউব্যেব সন্মুখে উপাহাপিত করছি।

The West Bengal Premises (Requisition and Eviction) Ordinance, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: বাননীয় স্পীকাৰ মহেদৰ; আপনাৰ অনুষতি ক্ৰয়ে আদি the West Bengal Premises (Requisition and Eviction) Ordinance, 1947, এই চাউসেৰ সৃদ্ধুৰে উপভাপিত কৰছি।

The West Bengal District Boards Ordinance, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to lay the West Bengal District Boards Ordinance, 1947 (West Bengal Ordinance No. XI of 1947), under section 88(2)(a) of the Government of India Act, 1935.

The West Bengal District School Boards Ordinance, 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PROFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: সভাপতি ৰহাশৰ, আৰি এই প্ৰিষ্টেশ কাৰ লৈ the West Bengal District Boards Ordinance, 1947, বাৰ্ছি।

COVERNMENT RESOLUTION.

Damodar Valley Corporation.

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: মাননীয় প্রশীকার মহোদর, আমি এই পরিষদের সামনে একটি প্রস্তাব পেশ করছি। এই পরিষদের মতে নিম্নলিখিত উদ্দেশ্যগ্রিল সম্পাদনের জনা ''দামোদর ভালা করপোরেশন'' নামে একটি প্রতিষ্ঠান গঠনের সহায়তাকক্ষে যাহাতে ঐ করপোরেশন এই সমস্ত উদ্দেশ্য সাধনের জনা আইন প্রণয়ন দ্বারা সকল বাবস্থা মায়......

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Majumdar, I would ask you to read the motion in the form in which it has been tabled.

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: I beg to move that this Assembly is of opinion that in order to enable the constitution of a Corporation, to be called the Damodar Valley Corporation, for the following purposes, namely—

(1) the provision and operation of schemes for irrigation for agricultural purposes in the basin of the Damodar River;

- (2) the provision and operation of schemes for water-supply from the Damodar River and its tributaries for industrial and domestic purposes;
- (3) the provision and operation of schemes for the generation by hydroelectric and thermal means and the transmission and distribution of electric power;
- (4) the provision of flood control for the Damodar River and its tributaries;
- (5) the facilitation of navigation on the Damodar River, its tributaries, reservoirs and connected canals, and the improvement of flow conditions in the Hooghly and the navigation channels leading to the port of Calcutta;
- (6) the promotion of afforestation, the control of soil erosion and the more profitable agricultural utilisation of lands in the Damodar basin;
- (7) the promotion of industrial development, and the improvement of social conditions and public health in the basin the Damodar River;

it is desirable that all matters which it is necessary to regulate by legislation for the purpose of enabling the said Corporation to attain the said objects, including the giving to the Corporation of powers to levy such rates, tolls and fees as may be requisite, shall in so far as such matters are matters enumerated in the Provincial Legislative List of the Seventh Schedule to the Government of India Act, 1935, be regulated by an Act of the Dominion Legislature.

Mr. 8PEAKER: Before the Hon'ble Minister delivers his speech, may I know from Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha whether he wants to move his amendment?

Mr. BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: No. Sir.

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: মাননীয় প্শীকার মহোদয়, এই পরিবদের মতে নিম্মানিখিত উদ্দেশ্যগ্রি সম্পাদনের জন্য "দামোদর ভ্যালী করপোরেশন" নামে একটি প্রতিষ্ঠান গঠনের সহায়তা কল্পে যাহাতে ঐ করপোরেশন এই সমসত উদ্দেশ্য সাধনের জন্য আইন প্রথমন দ্বারা সকল ব্যবহা মায় প্রয়োজনমত রেট, টোল অথবা ফি ধায়া করিবার ম্মতাসহ গভ্রপমৈণ্ট অফ ইন্ডিয়া এয়াকের সম্পাম সিভিউলে বার্ণত প্রাদেশিক লেভিস্বেটিভ তালিকায় সায়বেশিত বিষয়গ্রি ডোমিনিয়ন আইন সভার সিন্ধান্ত অনুযায়ী পরিচালিত হইবে।

উष्प्रमा---

- ১। দামোদর নদের উপভূমিসম্তের কৃষির উন্নতির জন্য সেচ্-ব্যবস্থার পরিকল্পনা প্রণয়নে ও তাহা কাযোঁ পরিণত করা।
- ২। শিক্ষ ও সাধারণের পারিবারিক ব্যবহারের জন্য গ্রামেদর নদ ও ভাছার উপন্দীপুলি ছইতে জন সরবরাহের জন্য পরিকশ্না প্রণায়ন ও ভাছা কার্যো পরিণ্ড করা।
- 0। बारेट्या-रेलक् ग्रेडिक ७ थाम्पान अथाय देवगा जिंक गिक उर्यामन ७ मत्रद्रारहत स्रना नित्रकानना अगरन ७ ठावा कार्या नित्रक करा।
 - 8। मारमामत्र नम् ७ जाहात्र उभनमिक्तिल् वन्ता निःद्वार्थत्र वावन्हा कत्रा।
- ৫। দামোদর নম, তাছার উপনদাণুদ্ধি, সংক্লিউ জ্লালর ও খালগুলিকে নৌ-চলাচলের উপবোগী করা এবং কলিকাতা বন্দর অভিমুখী নৌ-বাহী জ্লপ্থগুলির এবং ছ্পলী নদীর জল প্রবাহের উন্নতি সাবন করা।
- ৬। বন সম্পদের উমতি, কৃষি জমির বন্যাজনিত ছয় নির্মাণ এবং দামোদর নদের উপভূষিসমূহে ছাবিকতর ছাভজনক কৃষি ব্যবস্থা করা।



 ৭। বাবেন্দর নদের উপভূষিসম্বে শিক্ষের প্রদার এবং সামাজিক পরিবেশের ও জনন্বাক্ষার উল্লিক্তি বিশ্বান করা।

য়ামোদর বনার মাংগলীলা বছুকাল ধরিয়াই বঞারৈ সরকারের দুটি আকর্ষণ করিয়াছে। পুরেন্নর বহু বনায়ায়ারনের পর পত ১৯৪০ সালের প্রবল বনা যাহাতে শসাহানি, শসাংছতের ধ্বংস সাধন, রাস্টাঘাট ও বেলরেতের বোগারোগ সম্মার নউ হওয়ার পামোদর বনা নির্দ্রণ করা অবশা কর্ত্তার ধ্বংস সাধন, রাস্টাঘাট তক্ষর থামোদর বন্যা অনুসন্ধান কমিটি গঠিত হয়। ভারত গ্রুগণিনেও ও বিশেষভাদের লইয়া একটি ক্রিটি বিরোধ করেন। বারবার বন্যার সর্বাশা তাশ্ডর বন্ধ করিবার জন্য দীর্ঘাকালছায়ী কোন একটি পরিকল্পনা প্রহণ করা বিধের ভাবিয়া উক্ত কমিটি dam বা জলসংরক্ষণী বাধ নিম্মাণ করা প্রয়োজন বিবেচনা করেন। কিন্তু কেবলমাত্র বন্যা নির্দ্রণ করিলে ভাষা বহুয়ায়াধা ও সম্পূর্ণ আর বিহুলি হইবে বলিয়া ভাগাব। এই নির্দেশ দেন যে বহু উদ্দেশামূলক উয়য়ন-বাবস্থা—ব্যথম সারা বর্ষব্যাপী জলসেচ, hydro-electric শক্তি উইক।

প্রাথমিক মাণজোক ও অন্সম্থানের কাষা আরম্ভ করা হয় এবং তাহাতে যে সমস্ত তথ্য সংগ্ছীত হয় তাহার উপর ভিত্তি করিয়া Mr. Voorduin একটি একপ্রীকৃত দামোদর উলয়ন পরিকশ্পনা পেশ করেন। এই পরিকশ্পনা ভারত সরকাব ও বঞ্চা ও বিহার প্রাদেশিক সরকাব কর্তৃক কয়েকটি অধিবিশনে আলোচিত হয়। এই শেষ আলোচনা ২৬।৪।৪৭ তারিখে হইয়াছিল। এই পরিকশ্পনাতে ৮টি dam ৫৫ কোটী টাকা আনুমানিক বায়ে তৈয়ারী করিবার প্রস্তাব করা হইয়াছে এবং এই সম্পর্কে সমস্ত কান্তগুলি সম্পন্ন করিতে আন্দান্ত ১৫।২০ বংসর লাগিতে পারে। বর্তুমানে যাহাতে সময় যতদুর সম্ভব কম এমন কি ৫।৬ বংসর হয় তঞ্জনা বিশেষ চেন্টা চলিতেছে। এটি dam বরাকর নদীর উপর হইবে এবং এটি দামোদর ও তাহার শাখা উপদাখা বা উপন্দার উপর হইবে। এই পরিকশ্পনা শ্বারা বাংলাদেশে দামোদর বন্যা সম্পূর্ণার্ক্তা হইবে। প্রায় ৯ লছ্ষ ৬৭ হাজার একর জনিতে জলদেচের ব্যবস্থা হইবে এবং ৩ লছ্ষ কিলোওয়াট বৈদ্যুতিক শক্তি উৎপন্ন হইবে। প্রায় ০ লছ্ষ উন্ প্রথম যাহবে। ভারতীয় তিংপার হইবে। এই বৈদ্যুতিক শক্তির উৎপারের বর্ণা কয়লা থাইরে ভারতীয় বিদ্যুতিক গক্তির স্থিতির স্থিতির।

দামোদর উপত্যকা অধিবাসীদের পক্ষে এই পরিকল্পনা প্রভূত কল্যাপকর হইবে। বছামান, হুণলী, হাওড়া, মেদিনীশুর, বাক্ডা প্রভূতি জেলার চাবের কমির পরিমাণ কমিয়া আসিতেছিল। এককালে এই অগুলের অধিকাংশ কমি উবর্গে ছিল। কিন্তু দামোদেরের তট ভূমিতে বৃদ্ধ ইত্যাদি নন্ট করার জন্য এবং অন্যান্য কারণে এই সকল কমির উবর্গেশিক প্রস্থাকে। বহাকালে বন্যার দর্ণ বহুল পরিমাণে ছতি হইরাছে। এই স্মুক্ত অমকাল দ্বীকরণের জন্য এবং প্রের্টি বলা হইয়াছে যে সেচ, বৈদ্যুতিক শক্তি, শিক্ষ বাণিক্যের প্রসার সাধ্য ইত্যাদি উদ্দেশ্যে একটি নানাম্থী পরিকশ্পনা গ্রহণ করা অত্যাবশ্যকীয় হইয়া পড়িয়াছে।

এই পরিকল্পনার কান্তক্মা নির্মাণ করিবার জন্য একটি করপোরেশন গঠিত হইবে। এই করপোরেশনের ৩ জন সভ্য থাকিবেন এবং স্তাহাদের মধ্যে একজন সভাপতিত্ব করিবেন। ভারত সরকার পশ্চিম-বল্য ও বিহার সরকারের সহিত পরামশ করিয়া এই ৩ জন সভ্য নিব্যাচিত করিবেন। এই কর্পোরেশনের কোন সভাই কোন বাবাহাপক সভার সভ্য থাকিতে পারিবেন না। সেক্টোরী ও কোষাধাছ ভারত সরকারই নিব্ত করিবেন।

গত ৬।১।৪৭ তারিখে ভারত, বাংলা ও বিহার সরকারের যুক্ত বৈঠকে বারনিবলাহ সম্বন্ধে একটি বারন্থা পৃহতি হয়। বৈদ্যুতিক বাকি উৎপাদনের মোট বায় ২৮ কোটী টাকার মধ্যে এই তিন সরকার সমভাবে অংশ গ্রহণ করিবেন। সেতা, সম্পর্কীয় ১০ কোটী টাকা মোট বায়ের মধ্যে বিহার সরকার ১০ কোটী টাকা বহন করিবেন এবং বাকী পশ্চিমবক্তা সরকারকে বহন করিতে হইবে। কারণ সেতের স্বিবা এই প্রদেশেই হইবে। বন্যা নির্ম্নণ সম্পর্কে মোট বায় ১৪ কোটী টাকার মধ্যে ভারত সরকার ৭ কোটী টাকা বহন করিবেন এবং বাকী টাকা এই প্রদেশকেই বহন করিতে হইবে। এই সম্পর্কে ইহা বিশ্বের উল্লেখবোগ্য এই বে বায়ের পরিবাণ বাস ১৪ কোটী টাকার অধিক হয় চাহা হইলে অতিরিক্ত বায়ের

সমস্তই এই প্রদেশকে বহন করিতে হইবে। বন্যা নির্লুগ হইতে কোন আরু হইবে না, তবে পরেছিভাবে এই প্রদেশের বিশেষ স্বিধা হইবে। কারণ বন্যার ধ্বংসজনিত বাংসারক যে বহুক্ষতি হইরা থাকে তাহা নিরারিত হইবে। কারণাবারণের যে সর্মানাগ প্রতি বংসর ঘটিয়া থাকে তাহার অবসান হইবে। পরিক্ষানাটি সম্পন্ন হইকে মোটের উপর ইহা বিশেষ লাভজনক হইবে এবং লাভের অংশ ভারত সরকার, বিহার সরকার এবং পশ্চিমবঞ্চা সরকারের মধ্যে যে যে পরিমাণে ব্যরভার গ্রহণ করিবেন লাভও সেই সেই পরিমাণে বিভক্ত হইবে। প্রাথমিক অবসহায় যদি লোকসান ঘটে তাহা হইবে তাহার অংশও ঐভাবে বিভক্ত হইবে। কিন্তু প্রেটি বলা হইরাছে যে বন্যা নির্লুগ সম্পর্কে এই ব্যবস্থা চলিবে না। বিশেষ এবং মাতে এই পরিক্ষাপনা সম্পূর্ণ হইকে তাহা হইকে তাহা হইবে। আরু হইবে।

অনেকে বলেন—এটা শূধ্ বাংলার জন্য হইতেছে। অনেক জায়গা থেকে আমরা শ্নিতে পাই যে এর আবা অন্য কোন প্রদেশের বিশেষ কোন উপকার হইবে না। কিন্তু দামোদর উপত্যকা পরিকল্পনা হইতে যে উপকার আশা করা যায়, তাহাতে বিহারের—

- ১। एव दिनौ Hydro-Electric এव: Thermal निक्न नाइब्राइ न्दिया ।
- ২। দল্ডায় পাওয়া শক্তির সাহায্যে খনি ও শিল্পের উন্নয়ন।
- ৩। শিল্পের উন্নয়নজনিত সাধারণ আর্থিক অবস্হার উন্নতি ও বেকার-সমস্যার সমাধান।
- ৪। বিহারের দৈনন্দিন জীবন্যাত্রার উল্লাভ হইবে।
- ৫। মজুর ও যক্ত-শিক্পের উন্নতি ও শিক্ষার স্থোগ।
- ७। हाक्द्रीद्र दिनी मुर्याग।
- ৭। স্থানীর ও সাধারণ ব্যবসা-বাণিজ্যের প্রসার।
- ৮। উচ্চেপ্রেনীর কয়লা মজ্ত করা। কারণ সমপ্রিমাণ বৈদ্ধিতক শক্তি উংপাদন করিতে খুব বেশী কয়লার প্রয়োজন।
 - ৯। জমির ভাঞান নিবাবিত হইবে। এই অওলটিতে খুব বেশী জমি ভাঞ্চিয়ো পড়ে।
 - ১০। জমির প্নঃসংস্থাপন ও সংরক্ষণ।
 - ১১। तृष्टामि রোপণ-- कान्छे तृष्टामि এবং कृषित तृम्धि शहरत।
 - ५६। माता वर्षवााभी प्राक्तत वावञ्चात व्यानकथानि म्विधा इटेरि।
 - ১৩। भानीम এवर गिरम्भत उभरयागी कन भत्रतार रहेरत।
 - ১৪। বায় ্ এবং জমির বাষ্প সংরক্ষণ।
 - ১৫। মংস্যের সরবরাহের স্থোগ হইবে।
 - ১৬। आस्माप श्रामाप्तत म्रामा रहेर्द।
 - ১৭। এই পরিকল্পনা হইতে নানারক্ম শিক্ষার স্থোগ হইবে।
- ৯৮। উংখাতীত লোকের প্নবস্পতির ফলে আদশ প্রামের উংপত্তি। এই প্রামণ্ট্রিই আদেশ প্রাম হটবে।
 - ১৯। বিহারের রাজ্স্ব বৃদ্ধি পাইবে।

দ্বিতীয়তঃ বাংলার---

- ১। বন্যানিরোধ এবং তম্জনিত ছতির প্রতিরোধ হইবে।
- ২। ব্যত্তর শিল্পের বাবহায়া বৈদ্যুতিক শক্তি যথেও পাওয়া যাইবে এবং সেজনা শিল্পের উল্লিভি খ্রই আশা করা যায়।
 - ৩। সারাবর্ষবাপী সেচের বাবস্থা হইলে অধিকতর শস্য উৎপাদন ও উর্মতি হইবে।
- ৪। চাকরীর সম্ভাবনা বাড়িয়া যাইবে এবং তম্জন্য আর্থিক উর্মাত দেখা যাইবে ও দৈর্মান্সন জ্বীবন্ যাত্রার উর্মাতও তংসালেশ হইবে।
 - ৫। রাস্তা বা রেলে যাতায়াতের বিরাপতা বাড়িবে।
 - ७। এই পরিকল্পনা হইতে নানারকম শিকার সুযোগ পাওয়া বাইবে।
 - १। द्रास्टम्य दुन्धि नादेरि।
 - ৮। त्यक ७ नद्रः अभावीत উव्यक्ति माध्य माध्य खीवनवातात উव्यक्ति वहेरत।

ভারতবর্থ বা হিন্দুস্থান ইউনিরনের---

- ১। ব্যবসা বাণিজ্যের প্রসার হইবে।
- হ। অধিবাসীদের সাধারণ উমতি হইবে।
- ৩। বাভারাভের স্বিধা অনেক বাড়িবে।
- 8। व्यक्तिकत् मना उत्पन्न व्हेरव।
- ে। সমস্ত দেশের আর্থিক অবস্থার উন্নতি হইবে।
- ७। ब्राह्म्य दृन्दि शाहेरत।
- १। लाक्त्र वाहर्याम्य এवर एक्क्रान्ड रेम्निन्न क्रीवनशाक्षाद्र উप्तरित प्रथा शहरत।

আমি আশা করি—এই দামোদর পরিকল্পনা যেটা আজ অনেক দিন ধরিয়া আমাদের দেশে চলিয়া আসিতেছে সেটা আপনারা সকলে এই পরিষদ গৃছ হইতে অশুরের সহিত গ্রহণ করবেন। এর জনা আমার আর বেশী কথা বলার দরকার নাই।

The motion of the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati Majumdar that this Assembly is of opinion that in order to enable the constitution of a Corporation, to be called the Damodar Valley Corporation, for the following purposes, namely—

- (1) the provision and operation of schemes for irrigation for agricultural purposes in the basin of the Damodar River:
- (2) the provision and operation of schemes for water supply from the Damodar River and its tributaries for industrial and domestic purposes;
- (3) the provision and operation of schemes for the generation by hydroelectric and thermal means and the transmission and distribution of electric power;
- (4) the provision of flood control for the Damodar river and its tributaries;
- (5) the facilitation of navigation on the Damodar River, its tributaries, reservoirs and connected canals, and the improvement of flow conditions in the Hooghly and the navigation channels leading to the port of Calcutta;
- (6) the promotion of afforestation, the control of soil erosion and the more profitable agricultural utilisation of lands in the Damodar basin:
- (7) the promotion of industrial development, and the improvement of social conditions and public health in the basin of the Damodar River.

it is desirable that all matters which it is necessary to regulate by legislation for the purpose of enabling the said. Corporation to attain the said objects, including the giving to the Corporation of powers to levy such rates, tolls and fees as may be requisite, shall in so far as such matters are matters enumerated in the Provincial Legislative List of the Seventh Schedule to the Government of India Act, 1935, be regulated by an Act of the Dominion Legislature, was then put and agreed to.

Mr. SPEAKER: As there is no other business before the House today, the House stands adjourned till 3 p.m. on Tuesday, the 25th November, 1947.

Adjournment.

The House was accordingly adjourned at 3-2 p.m. till 3 p.m. on Tuesday, the 25th November, 1947, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

[25TH NOV.,

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Tuesday, the 25th November, 1947, at 3 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Speaker (the Hon'ble Sri Iswar Das Jalan) in the Chair, 8 Hon'ble Ministers and 60 Members.

Arrear Questions of the old Assembly.

Mr. SUKUMAR DUTTA: মাননীর স্পীকার মহোদর! আজ কাজ আরম্ভ করবার আগে আপীন
questions সম্বন্ধে কি নীতি অনুসরণ করবেন সে সম্বন্ধে যদি আমরা জান্তে পারি ত ভাল হর।
Mr. SPEAKER: ভাল হবে যদি কালকে আপনি আমার Chamber এ বোলে দেন যে কি কি

Mr. SPEAKER: ভাজ হবে যদি কালকৈ আপনি আমার Chamber এ বোলে দেন যে কি কি questions আছে।

I think you should speak to me in the Chamber.

Mr. SUKUMAR DUTTA: আপুনি Houseএই বলবেন৷ If I speak to you in the House, have you any objection?

Mr. SPEAKER: Then I will not be able to answer your question in the House and I think I would request you to ask the question tomorrow / that I may be in a better position to give my decision.

Adjournment Motion.

Mr. JYOTI BASU: মাননীর স্পীকার মহোবর। আমি এক ম্লত্বী প্রস্তাবের notice দিরেছিলাম এবং আপনি consent দিরাছেন। এখন তাছলৈ আময়া সে সম্বশ্বে আলোচনা করব।

Mr. SPEAKER: I have received the adjournment motion and I have accepted it. May I know if there is any objection to it?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I object to the adjournment motion being moved and I have already intimated to you in this connection that I propose to make a statement on the subject.

Mr. SPEAKER: I think there is no objection to the statement being made.

Mr. BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: May I point out to you, Sir, that it is laid down in the rules that the statement of facts contained in the adjournment motion should be read out? Before you admit the adjournment motion, the statement will have to be read out.

Mr. 8PEAKER: This is the short statement. On the 21st of November. 1947, several thousand kisans drawn from different districts of West Bengal proceeded towards the West Bengal Legislative Assembly to greet the new Legislature and to petition it for the redress of some of their long-standing grievances. The procession was absolutely peaceful and there was no ban on such a procession being taken out on that day. The Armed Police, however, drew a cordon in Esplanade and prevented the procession to go further. In the meanwhile another procession of students organised in connection with the celebration of the "Rameswar Day" came alongside the Kisan procession. The police resorted to the use of lathis and tear gas against the processionists and the members of the public causing a good number of casualties.

BASU: माननीह म्योकाह मरवानहः अधान-मन्त्री मदागह रव वरताहन रव जिनि ITOYL रमस्य रमहे क्ष ठहरूमा statement रमान्याह हाना बामहा क्यारन बामि ABBIT वामारमद्र नगलान्त्रिक विवकाद वारह औ मलाद्र काल मृत्तुवी द्वरथ वामारमद्र वा वस्त्रा त्रिण क्यारन रुपण क्रवाद, क्रवर प्रयुक्त गुरन जात शरत के प्रम्वरम्य विकाद क्रवाद। व्याम ब्यारा भारतिः ना स्य क्यन कारत श्यान-मन्त्री महागत श्रथरमरे এरे वामाम्बर कार्ड मिल शास्त्रन। वामद्रर statement school-boys এর रमान्यात सना चार्त्रान। lecture ववात ग्र क्षात हात र ति वह उक्स रव मूचिना यहि राज क्षे किकालात क्रक गत ५५८म लाहिएथ रव मिन প্রথম স্বাধীন বাংলার প্রসেম্বির বসেছিল সে সম্বন্ধে বদি আমরা কিছু আলোচনা কোরে নিতে পার,ভাম। ভারপরে ভিনি সদসাদের কাছে একটা statement मिर्छ भारत्रन। जा ना र'ता এक ज अथारन opposition বোৰে কিছু নেই-

Sri A. K. CHOSE: On a point of order, Sir Mr. Basu cannot be permitted to make a statement at this stage when the Leader of the House wants to make a statement and when the Speaker has given him that permission.

Mr. SPEAKER: On this point what I wish to say is this. So far as the adjournment motion is concerned, after the objection by the Leader of the House I shall have to take the leave of the House as to whether the House gives its consent to the motion being moved. But while objecting, if the Leader of the House wants to make a statement I think I should permit him to do so. And then the necessary course will be taken to obtain the leave of the House and every opportunity will be given to the mover of the adjournment motion to make a statement.

Mr. NIHARENBU DUTT-MAZUMBAR: May I point out to you, Sir, that on the question of taking the leave of the House there can be no discussion whatsoever? It has simply got to be put to the House, and after the question of leave has been decided upon by the House, then the Leader may proceed to make a statement, either in course of a discussion or even without a discussion.

Mr. JYOTI BASU: I do not see how without taking the consent of the House you can allow the Prime Minister to make a statement. That is not in accordance with the rules and I am afraid, Mr. Speaker, that cannot be done.

Mr. SPEAKER: I know there have been precedents on the subject and that statements have been allowed to be made in the past.

Mr. JYOTI BASU: Not whilst an adjournment motion was standing in the name of somebody and had not received the leave of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER: While objecting, statements have been allowed to be made and that discretion lies with the Speaker.

Mr. JYOTI BASU: There is hardly any such precedent as far as I am aware.

Mr. SPEAKER: You can refer to Vol. LXII, No. 1, pages 377-78.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I like to acquaint the House with the facts relating to the unhappy incidents that took place on Friday, the 21st November, the day the West Bengal Legislative Assembly met for the first time.

My communist friends wanted to bring a Kisan rally in the Assembly compound, it was said, for greeting the Ministry and making their demands before us. I could not accede to this request and requested Sri Krishna Benode Roy, President, Kisan Sabha, not to bring the Kisans near the Assembly, but if they assembled in the Wellington Square or Maidan, we were prepared to address them. If we allowed those who wanted to greet

the Ministry to come into the Assembly compound, we could not very well refuse others who might want to express their disapproval of our policy. So, we did not think it proper to allow any group of demonstrators to come. But Sri Krishna Benode Roy did not accept my advice and wanted to bring the Kisan rally to the Assembly compound. Therefore, I had to issue orders to the Police to stop the Kisans near the Curzon Gardens. They were accordingly stopped by the Police whereupon the Kisans squatted on the road, causing inconvenience to traffic, which, I am sure, all will agree, was wrong of them. Sometime after, at about 3-20 p.m., a students' procession came that way and forcibly broke the Police cordon in some place. The Police had to use tear gas to disperse the students. It is said that the students' intention was to go to Dalbonsia Source and held a meeting in students' intention was to go to Dalhousie Square and hold a meeting in memory of late lamented Sri Rameswar Banerjee and Abdus Salam. There was no prohibition about leading any procession to or holding a meeting at Dalhousie Square and the students could have easily followed a different route without going near the place where the Kisans squatted. When again they found the Police cordon there, they ought not to have taken the law into their own hands even if they felt that they were wrongly stopped. Their representatives should have seen me instead which some of them did later. I am really sorry that some of the students preferred to resort to force first which necessitated the use of tear gas by Police. It is always distasteful for any popular Government to use force and we all regret that the hands of the Police were forced on this occasion. The policy of our Government is to use force only when we must. Every popular Government must follow that policy. The people also have got their duty by their own Government, and must help it in maintaining peace and discipline even under provocation. Government must prevent disorder at all costs. I do not say that the Police may not act improperly sometimes, but the remedy for that is to approach the Government which will certainly right any wrong by the Police. But if any one comes to me after taking the law into his own hands, he only makes me ineffective and places me in a very difficult position. In this particular case I have made enquiries from amongst others two honourable members of this House who were present nearby and one of whom himself suffered from the effects of tear gas, and I am satisfied that the Police had no other alternative but to use tear gas if they were to carry out the orders that had been given to them to prevent any procession from coming to the Assembly.

In spite of my advice regarding the Kisan rally having been disregarded, at the request of Sri Snehangsu Acharyya and Sri Jyoti Basu I agreed to address the Kisans near the Monument at 3-30 p.m. and requested Sri Jyoti Basu to ask them to assemble there. From the Assembly Chamber I came straight to the Secretariat at 3-10 p.m. and left for the Maidan at 3-20 p.m. When I went there along with Sri Kalipada Mookherjee, Revenue Minister, the Kisans were not there. I was told by one youngman that firing had taken place, but another youngman corrected him and said tear gas had been used. I returned to the Secretariat immediately. I found about 30 students assembled on the groundfloor of the Secretariat near the entrance. They wanted me to hear them. I took four of them into my room to hear what they had to say. They told me that tear gas had been used for no fault of theirs. I asked them to record their complaints in writing and promised to make enquiries and do the needful. I immediately 'phoned the Commissioner of Police in their presence and asked him to allow the student processionists to go to Dalhousie Square by any route they liked, as the Assembly session was already over. I further enquired of him whether tear gas had been used, and, if so, why. He told me in reply that tear gas had to be used and that he was sending a full report immediately. Shortly after that Sri Jyoti Basu came to me again and pressed me to come and address the Kisan rally. As I had a previous engagement at Sodepur, I could not go there but gave a short message in writing for the Kisans and requested Sri Kalipada Mookherjee, Revenue Minister, to go and address

them on behalf of the Ministry. While at Sodepur, a telephone message purporting to come from the Assembly Chamber was received from one of the demonstrators to the effect that firing had taken place. This report was untrue and mischievous. On return from Sodepur I found Sri Kalipada Mookherjee waiting for me. I was deeply pained to learn from him that when he went to address the rally he was not listened to. Most filthy and grossly abusive language was used even by some of the educated young men. In fact, everything short of an assault upon him took place. I was further told by him that an honourable member of this Assembly was even slapped on the head. I was also told that students wanted to forcibly enter the Assembly compound and had broken open the gate and assaulted the durwan for which tear gas had to be used. Perhaps we can view lightly the boyish exuberence of the young students, but when it is found that behind the whole affair, there were some political workers who should have known better, I am sure the House cannot but take a serious view of the thing. This House will not expect the Government to tolerate indiscipline and disorder in any shape or form from whatever quarter it might come. I have received reports that some groups of political workers are even ambitious of seizing power by taking resort to violence. We shall use all our strength to meet such efforts, if any.

Some newspapers have reported that lathi charge was made on the demonstrators including ladies. This allegation is wholly untrue, and was not made before me by any one on that day

May I, Sir, through you, appeal to this House and the country to draw the obvious moral from last Friday's unfortunate incidents? Under the altered conditions of today, old mental habits and thoughts must be abandoned. The State is ours and it is for us to build it afresh on new foundations. We have won our independence through a long disciplined struggle; we have to preserve, cherish and develop our hard-won liberty through even stricter discipline. Let our youngmen and political workers of all shades remember that every act of indiscipline, every flouting of the authority of the nation's own Government, every violent act, however small and insignificant it may appear at first sight, is a blow on our new-born treedom and the enemies of our country and of our freedom and prosperity relish and welcome the same and will not hesitate to take the fullest advantage of the slightest faltering in our ranks. Development and nationbuilding projects can be undertaken and flourish only under peaceful conditions with perfect discipline, co-operation, public spirit and patriotism from all citizens. May not this Government appeal with all the emphasis it can command to our countrymen specially the students who made worthy contribution in the freedom movement, to bring about and maintain such conditions so that the sacred and onerous duty before us to make this Province once again one of the premier provinces of India may be taken up in right earnest and in one long and mighty effort we may achieve the lasting glory of our motherland. (Applause.)

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Basu, do you want to move your adjournment motion?

Mr. JYOTI BASU: Oh yes, I certainly do want specially after that statement which has been made.

Mr. SPEAKER: Then I shall have to take the leave of the House.

(Leave was then taken and as the requisite number of members did not rise up, the motion fell through.)

COVERNMENT BILLS.

The Bengal Finance (West Bengal Extending) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURI: Sir, I beg to introduce the Bengal Finance (West Bengal Extending) Bill, 1947. (Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURI: I beg to move that the Bengal Finance (West Bengal Extending) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

The object of this Bill is to continue in force up to the 31st March, 1948, the current rates of the Totalisator Tax and the Betting Tax levied under the Bengal Amusement Tax Act, 1922. On the 21st November I laid before the House a copy of the Bengal Finance (West Bengal Extending) Ordinance, 1947, which had the effect of continuing in force 15 per cent. rate of tax up to 31st March, 1948. This Ordinance will cease to operate at the end of six weeks from the date of the first meeting of the Assembly. It is, therefore, necessary to enact the present Bill before the expiry of the Ordinance in order that the current rate of tax may continue to be levied.

Sir, with these words I commend my motion for acceptance of the House. The motion was then put and agreed to.

Clause 1.

The question that clause 1 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

The question that clause 2 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble.

The question that the preamble stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURI: Sir, I beg to move that the Bengal Finance (West Bengal Extending) Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

The motion was then put and agreed to.

West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Sri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I beg to introduce the West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment Bill, 1947.

ৰাননীয় Speaker ৰহোগৰ, আৰি West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment Bill, 1947, পৰিষণ সৰবে উপৰিত-কৰ্মছ ।

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Sri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, the object of this, Bill is to make special provisions for speedy trial by a Special Tribunal and more effective and adequate punishment of certain offences mainly involving concealment of money and other properties procured by illegal and deceitful means. The ordinary procedure of the law if followed would involve delay and it is necessary to provide an accelerated procedure. The West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment (No. II) Ordinance, 1947, was promulgated by the Governor under sub-section (1) of section 88 of the Government of India Act, 1935, with this object in view. The Ordinance will by virtue of sub-section (2) of that section cease to operate after the expiration of six weeks from the commencement of the next session of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly.

The Provincial Government consider that it is necessary that the provisions of the said Ordinance should be enacted and hence this Bill has been drawn up with this end in view embodying the provisions of the Ordinance with slight modifications. A list of offences in respect of which such special procedure is to be followed has been specified in the Schedule to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Mookerjee, you move the motion first mentioning the names of members of the Select Committee.

The Hon'ble Sri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Yes, Sir. I beg to move that the West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment Bill, 1947, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of—

- (1) Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha,
- (2) Mr. Nishapati Majhi,
- (3) Mr. Banku Behari Mandal,
- (4) Mr Charu Chandra Mahanti,
- (5) Mr. Annada Prasad Mandal,
- (6) Mr. Shvamapada Bhattacharji,
- (7) Khan Bahadur Mudassir Hossain,
- (8) Mr. Abdul Wahid Sarkar, and
- (9) the mover.

with instructions to submit their report by the 2nd December, 1947, and that the quorum of the Select Committee be fixed at five.

আমি এই বিল্টে Select Committee র কাছে উপস্থিত কর্ছে।

মাননীয় Speaker শহোদয়, আপনায়া বোধ হয় অবণত আছেন যে বিচার বিভাগের মাননীয় মন্দ্রী ব্রীযুক্ত মোহিনী মোহন বন্দাণ মহানয় অস্ক্র, নবীয় বাসক্ষানে শ্যাগেত থাকায় তিনি আজ এই আইন সভায় অন্পদ্হিত। সেইজনা তীহায় কাজ আমায় উপর নাসত থাকায় আমি আজ এই আইন সভায় পশ্চিমবক্স ফৌজমায়ী সংশোবিত বিল আপনামেয় সামনে উপন্হিত কর্ছি। আপনায়া বোধ হয় অবণত আছেন যে আইন সভায় ব্যাবন অবিবেশন ছিল না সেই সময় মহামানা লাটসাহেব বাহাদয়ে পশ্চিমবক্ষ ফৌজমায়ী সংশোবন আইন জায়ী করটে বাবা হয়েছিলেন। উক্ত Ordinanceএয় উদ্দেশা ছিল উর্জয়বিধ; বথা, কডকপ্রিল বে-আইনী ও প্রবশ্বনাম্বাক উপায়ে অভিয়তি অর্থা ও সম্পত্তি আছুসাং ও অপসায়প সম্পর্কিত অপরাহের বিচার যাহাতে ত্রাণ্বত হয় এবং যাহাতে অপরাধীদিণের সম্বিচিত দণ্ডবিধান হয় তার বাবন্যা করা।

এই বিলটি Select Committees কাছে পাঠানো হছে। তাদের নাম আমি পড়ছি---

ট্রায়্ক বিমল চন্দ্র সিংহ,

ট্রাক্ত নিশাপতি মাবি,

औवस्क् विद्याती मन्छन,

जिलाब हुन्द्र महान्त्रि,

जैव्यवमा अनाम मन्छन,

जिनामानम क्यांगया .

बीन वादायव स्पाष्ट्रित रहारमन,

स्थोलीं ब्यावम् ल अहारहम नहकात । এवः

্ৰীকানীপদ হখ্যাতী (প্ৰস্থাবক)

এই Select Committeeর কাছে যে বিলটি উপস্থাপিত করা হছে দোস্রা ডিসেম্বারের মধো তারা বিলটিকে বিচার করে পাতিয়ে দেবেন। পাঁচজনে quorum. মাননীর Speake। বিহামের, আপনারা সকলেই অবপত আছেন —

Mr. JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, Sir, on a point of order.

The Hon'ble Minister is addressing us instead of you.

The Hon'ble Sri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: পৌরবে বহুকান আছে— জানেন চিপ্লীকার আমাদের পকলের মাননীর। কাজেই তাকে বদি পৌরবে বহুকান করি তাছ'লে সেটা বাহুল্য উক্তি হবে না (হাস্য)। আপনারা বোধ হর অবগত আছেন যে বিচার বিভাগের মাননীর মন্ত্রী বিশ্বতি দোহিনী মোহন বন্দর্শন মহাশর অস্কুত থাকার চার গহলে আমি আপনাদের সামনে এই বিলটি উপক্ষাণিত করছি।

আইন সভার যখন অধিবেশন চ'লছিল না সেই সময় মহামান্য লাটসাহেব বাহাদ্র পশ্চিমবঞ্গ रमोलपाती नरामाधिक व्यक्तिगान्त्रही कात्री कतरक वाधा परतिप्रतिन। उँक व्यक्तिगास्त्रत उप्पना हिन উলব্রবিধ, যথা, কতকণ্লি বে-আইনীও প্রবঞ্চনাম্লক উপায়ে অভিজ্ঞত অর্থ ও সম্পত্তি আত্মসাং ও অপসারণ সম্পর্কিত অপরাধের বিচার যাহাতে তুরান্বিত হয় এবং যাহাতে অপরাধীদিণের সম্চিত দণ্ড-বিধান হয় তার বাবক্ষা করা। Government of India Act এর ৮৮ (২) বারা অনুসারে এই बाहैन महात भूनतात्र व्यथरवमन दरेरत इत्र म्रशाहित माथा डेड व्यक्तिमान्निही बात कार्याकती शाकरव ना। **एक्स्ना এই অ**र्जिन्साम्म**ेी बार्ट**ान পরিণত করা একান্ত প্রয়োজন। আশা করি এই সভার প্রত্যেক সভা এই বিলের প্স্তিকাটী পেয়েছেন। তল্জন্য আরু আদ্যোপাশ্ত পডিয়া আপ্নাদের সময় নন্ট করবো না। भ्रावादि वर्षाच्य और आवेरनत उपमाना म्हारी। रकोकमात्री कारा विर्धि आहेन अन्याह्री विठात वावन्हारक কর্মাণ্ডং ব্লাস করা, যাহাতে বিচার তুরান্বিত হয় অথচ ন্যায় বিচারের কোন অবমাননা না হয়। বিলটি আইনে পরিণত হ'লে Special Tribunal সরাসরি এই বিলের উদ্দেশ্য অন্যায়ী অপরাধের जारा हरेल नाग्रताग्र स्त्राभर्ष्म कत्रवाद भृत्वः वारेत स वर् समग्रमारभर বিচারাধিকার লইবেন। क्ठिरद्भ व्यवनाक व्याह जाहा व्याद कहा व्यवनाक हरत ना। ইহাতে অনেক সময় ও অর্থ রকা হবে। শ্বিতীয়তঃ এই বিশেষ বিচার পণ্ধতিতে জুরী প্রথা রহিবে না। তৃতীয়তঃ শাস্তি সম্বন্ধে কতকণুলি এই বিধানে ভারতীয় দণ্ডবিধি আইনের ১৬১, ১৬৫, ৪০৬, ৪০৮. বিশেষ বিধান উল্লিখিত হয়েছে। ৪০৯. ৪১১ ও ৪১৭ धाताम अभवारधत विकादन विद्यास वावन्या कता यरम्या

একংশ আমি নিবেদন করছি এই বিলটী নিম্নলিখিত সভাদের কাছে বিকেনাথে পাঠান ছোক্।
প্রেটি তাদের নাম দিয়েছি এবং তারা ২রা ডিসেম্বরের মধ্যে তাদের রিপোট পেশ করবেন। কোরাম
পাঁচজন।

Mr. 8PEAKER: I wish to point out to the members of the House that if a motion has been sent in English it must be moved in English and if it is sent in Bengali it has to be moved in Bengali. I take it that so far as today's proceedings are concerned, Sri Kalipada Mookerjee has moved the motion in the language in which it is worded in the Order Paper and he has given a translation of it in Bengali.

The motion of the Hon'ble Sri Kalipada Mookerjee that the West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment Bill, 1947, be referred to a Select Committee, consisting of—

- (1) Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha,
- (2) Mr. Nishapati Majhi,
- (3) Mr. Banku Behari Mandal,
- (4) Mr. Charu Chandra Mahanti,
- (5) Mr. Annada Prasad Mandal,
- (6) Mr. Shyamapada Bhattacharji,
- (7) Khan Bahadur Mudassir Hossain,
- (8) Mr. Abdul Wahid Sarkar, and
- (9) The Hon'ble Sri Kalipada Mookerjee (the mover),

with instructions to submit their report by the 2nd December, 1947, and that the quorum of the Select Committee be fixed at five, was then put and agreed to.

Mr. SPEAKER: The House stands adjourned

- Mr. JYOTI BASU: Sir, before you adjourn the House, I wish to point out to you that notices have been served on us today that by 11 a.m. tomorrow we have to submit our amendments to the Bills. It is not possible within these few hours to submit amendments unless you extend the time.
- Mr. SPEAKER: I quite realise that the time allowed for submitting amendments is very short and Government ought to have seen that the Bills were sent in time and members should have been allowed to submit their amendments in time. But so far as this session is concerned 1 find that the time to be given must be very short.

The House stands adjourned till 3 p.m. tomorrow.

Adjournment.

The House was then adjourned at 3-35 p.m. till 3 p.m. on Wednesday, the 26th November, 1947, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Wednesday, the 26th November, 1947, at 3 p.m.

Dracant :

Mr. Speaker (the Hon'ble Sri Iswar Das Jalan) in the Chair, 8 Hon'ble Ministers and 60 members.

Oath.

The following gentleman took the oath of allegiance:

Nawab Musharuff Hosain.

Adjournment motion,

- Shri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, I gave notice of an adjournment motion on the wrongful dismissal of Mr. Jagadishwar Pal, Sub-Inspector, Enforcement Branch, Calcutta Police, from service for seeing and reporting to the Minister for Civil Supplies on a serious case of profiteering and official corruption. But unfortunately consent has been refused. In such an important public matter, I do not see why and how consent can be refused.
 - Mr. 8PEAKER: So far as the question of consent by the Speaker is concerned, the Speaker is not to assign any reason, but in order that there may not be any misunderstanding about the position, I wish to inform the honourable member that so far as this question is concerned, it is a question which affects the day-to-day administration of the province and it is not a matter of urgent public importance. The second ground is that there is no mention in the Statement of Objects and Reasons of the date when the dismissal occurred, but it appears that on the 5th November a representation was made by the member to the Hon'ble Minister of Civil Supplies.
 - Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: On a point of order, Sir. Unless the matter is allowed to be discussed, how can you make a statement of facts?
 - Mr. SPEAKER: I am just stating the reasons for my not giving consent. I say that this matter which has been brought before me is not a matter of recent occurrence.
 - Shri JYOTI BASU: It only came out today in the papers and it is a matter of public importance where corruption is involved in Bengal and the Minister himself wants to fight corruption. He calls a man for evidence and that man is dimissed. I do not know what can be a more important matter of public importance.

Mr. SPEAKER: I cannot allow any further discussion.

Notice of Questions.

Shri SUKUMAR DUTTA: বাননীয় শীকার বছোলয়! কালকে যে questions সহছে আনতে চেযেছিলাৰ তাৰ সহকে আককে কি জানতে পাবি

• Questions যে গুলো করা হবে কতক্লিবের
ব্যোতাকে উত্তর আলা করা বাবে

•

Mr. SPEAKER: It all depends upon the Government as to when they we a reply. As soon as we receive a reply, we shall see that it comes to e House as soon as possible.

Shri SUKUMAR DUTTA: আপনি কি বলতে পারেন কত্দিনের বব্যে question ওকোর দিতে পার্বেন ?

Mr. SPEAKER: I think it is for the administrative departments of the overnment to give us assurance that they will give us replies as early as possible.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: On a point of privilege, Sir. The Rules of Procedure of the House lay down that a member is entitled to receive replies to questions after 10 days' notice. There may be administrative difficulties. I appreciate the point, but nevertheless I hope, Sir, you will be pleased to take the matter up with the administrative departments so that in this respect members' privilege may not suffer and members may be enabled to receive answers to questions after having given 10 days' notice and in extraordinary circumstances there might be taken a reasonable margin of time in addition. But there should not be inordinate delay on any account because that would be a reflection on the efficiency of the administrative department itself and we should root out the administrative inefficiency which existed earlier. I, therefore, submit it for your very cateful consideration.

Mr. SPEAKER: So far as the reply is concerned, the rule points out that it is for the Government to give the reply as quickly as possible and I do desire that Government should expedite answers to questions so that they may not be stale by the time they are answered.

Shri SUKUMAR DUTTA: আগে যে সমস্ত questions ছিল সেগুলো দিশ্চর lapsed হয়ে গেছে ?

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes, all the questions, notices of which were sent before the present Assembly, have lapsed because the province is not the province which was before.

Shri SUKUMAR DUTTA: এই session এ বে questions चनव যদি এই sessionএর মধ্যে তাৰ উত্তৰ বেত্তৰা নাহৰ বে ওলোপৰেৰ session প্ৰশৃতি carried over হবে ?

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes, questions which cannot be answered in this session will be carried over to the next session.

Shri SUKUMAR DUTTA: Short-notice question न्यानरम कि व्यवस्था ।

Mr. SPEAKER: It all depends upon the merits of the question as to what the question is and whether I shall permit it or not.

Shri SUKUMAR DUTTA: কিমাদ demonstration স্বৰ্ণে এবং students' demonstration স্বৰ্ণে পুলিপের যে জুলুৰ হয়েছে সে বিষয়ে জাৰি short-notice question জিলেছ।

Mr. SPEAKER: I would request the honourable member to see me in my chamber. I will look into the file.

COVERNMENT BILLS.

The West Bengal Laws (Amendment and Repeal) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir. I beg to introduce the West Bengal Laws (Amendment and Repeal) Bill, 1947.

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Laws (Amendment and Repeal) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

Mr. SPEAKER: There is no amendment. Therefore, I am putting the motion to vote.

The motion of the Hon'ble Dr. Prafulla Chandra Ghosh that the West Bengal Laws (Amendment and Repeal) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration was then put and agreed to.

Clause 1.

The question that clause 1 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

The question that clause 2 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 3.

The question that clause 3 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 4.

The question that clause 4 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 5.

The question that clause 5 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Schedule 1.

The question that the first Schedule stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Schedule 11.

The question that the second Schedule stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble.

The question that the Preamble stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Laws (Amendment and Repeal) Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

The motion was then put and agreed to.

The West Bengal Premises Requisition and Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill. 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I beg to introduce the West Bengal Premises Requisition and Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill, 1947.

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, with your permission I beg to move that the West Bengal Premises Requisition and Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have gone through the Bill and I find that there is no provision made for paying any compensation to such owner of premises on whom a notice is served by the Collector intimating that certain premises will be requisitioned. At least when a notice is served on the owner he is entitled to compensation equivalent to rent from the day of the notice, because he will have to keep the premises vacant till Government decides as to whom allotment will be made. I have not moved any amendment and I leave it to the good office of the Government to make such provision by moving short amendment as would compensate the owner from the day his property is reserved to be utilised by the Government under the provision of the Bill.

Mr. C. C. D. WILKS: Mr. Speaker, Sir, before the close of the House last evening my honourable friend Mr. Jyoti Basu pointed out that it was anfair to members that legislative Bills of such importance were being placed before the House at such short notice—.

Mr. SPEAKER: Order, order. The position is that we are going through a Bill. You should have mentioned this a little earlier.

Mr. C. C. D. WILKS: I am speaking on the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER: On this Bill?

Mr. G. C. D. WILKS: Yes, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER: All right, you can speak.

Mr. C. C. D. WILKS: I repeat, Sir, that before the close of the House last evening my honourable friend Mr. Jyoti Basu pointed out that it was very unfair to members that legislative Bills of such importance were being placed before the House at such short notice that it was not practicable for amendments and motions to be filed within the required time. I now rise on a point of privilege to reterate my honourable friend's protest.

While appreciating the explanation that was placed before the House last evening I must state that this autocratic method savours too much of the old order. In fairness and in justice to the representatives of the minorities in this House I must request you, Sir, to see that ample time is given us in which to study this new legislation. In particular, I draw reference to the West Bengal Premises Requisition and Eviction Ordinance, 1947, and the West Bengal Premises Requisition and Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill, 1947. Last time when this type of legislation was brought before the House it was stated that it was for the expeditious prosecution of the war against His Majesty's enemies—I repeat "expeditious prosecution". I was a victim of this expedition and so I am in a position to speak on this subject. My residence was requisitioned for this presumed purpose and incidentally lay vacant and unoccupied for a period of about six months, after which the powers that be to save their faces augmented the number of chaukidars in order to give the place a semblance of occupation. Government funds were uselessly frittered away, not to say the least about the inconvenience and loss caused to the evicted tenant. Whilst appreciating, on occasions, the need for legislation of this type, I honestly feel that there is no need for this legislation at this time. We are not at war. The Government are in the happy position of commandeering supplies of materials as well as open spaces in the city, and these open and idle spaces could easily be used for housing their servants, without the eviction of poorer members of our community.

Several of the terms used, for instance the phrase "public purpose", are so evasive that they can be abused to suit any circumstance. I feel, Sir, that time should be allowed to members to study these Bills and I must request that a postponement of at least a week be allowed for filing amendments. In my humble opinion, the more expeditious plan would be to

empanel a Select Committee to study and discuss each and all of these Bills before they come before this House, but I must insist that this panel should be representative of all communities. The Anglo-Indian members of this House will be very happy to serve on any panel, and I trust that honourable members of the Government benches will appreciate this offer which is extended in sincerity and not in frustration.

Shri ISWAR CHANDRA MAL: সভাপতি মহাশ্ম আমি একটা amendment দিমেছিলাম। কিন্তু সেটা missing. আমার সেটা ধূব দশকাবী amendment, ধূব vital amendment এটা সম্বদ্ধ গভিপ্যেণ্ট কি বিবেচনা করবেন জানতে চাই ?

(There was a pause for a few seconds.)

Shri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I do not know what is actually happening in the House. I see a conference is going on.

Mr. SPEAKER: The Hon'ble Minister is now going to speak. I think he will now begin.

The Honb'le Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: माननीय Speaker मरहानग्र, যে বিলটি আজ পরিষদ্-কক্ষে উপস্হাপিত করা হয়েছে সে বিল বাংলাদেশের জনসাধারণেব সকলেই অবগতে আছেন যে বঞাভ্যঞ্রে অব্যব্হিত कल्यानकरम्भदे आना राग्रहः। আপনারা যুদ্ধজনিত পরিসিহতির সময় পরিষদ,-কক্ষে ইপদ্যাপিত করা रख:इ। भरद्रहे विलिधि ordinance এর প্রভাবে যে বিল আনা হয়েছিল আড় বর্ডমান পরিস্থিতিতে যুদ্ধের সেই সংকটময় আজ এই বজান্তজোর পরে ভারতবর্ষের যে পরিবর্তন সংসাধিত হয়েছে অবস্থা না থাকলেও তা আবশ্যক। **एक्फ़ना, ७ बारलाम्मारक २१**ठें। प्रतन्त्र श्रामारम विष्कृ क्वबाव श्र श्राच वारला थ्याक वर्षा स्नाक वनकालाव অভিমুখে আসছেন; এবং এখানকার অনেক রাজ কম্মাচারী তারাও বাস ভবনের অভাবে আজ রাস্তায় রাস্তায় ছারে বেডাতে বাধ্য হয়েছেন: এবং নানা সহান থেকে এই **অভিযো**গ আমবা পেয়েছি যে অর্থাপ্রা, বাড়ী-ওয়ালার দল তারা অত্যধিক সেলামী এবং অন্যান্যভাবে অসম্পায়ে অংথাপাফ্রনিব প্রা উদ্ভাবন কণ্ডেন। নানা কৌশলে ভাড়াটিয়াদের তাড়াবার তীরা ব্যবস্থা করছেন। কাভেই এই অবস্থায় যদি জনসাধারণের <u>জাতীয় সরকার প্রতিষ্ঠিত হয়ে থাকে, যদি এই জাতীয় সরকারের কর্তব্য হয় যে আপামর জনসাধারণের</u> সাবিধা ও স্বাক্ষ্যা বিধান করা, তাহলে আজকে সকলের স্বর্বাদীসম্মতিক্রমে বিনা দ্বিধার, বিনা সংক্রাচে এই বিলটিকে আইনে পরিণত করা উচিত।

Mr. Md. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, is the Hon'ble Minister addressing the Speaker or the House?

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: I am addressing the Speaker.

এই বিলের উদ্দেশ্য ধনীর স্বার্থাসিদিব নর নিম্ন বধাবিত শ্রেণীর যাতে কিছু বাসস্থানের ব্যবস্থা হর, চারই বিধান করা। আজকের দিনে, আজকে দ্নিরার এই পরিবর্ডিত পরিস্থিতিতে সকলেই সেই নিম্ন ধর্মাবিত শ্রেণী এবং বারা আজও বাসস্থানের অভাবে ঘুরে বেড়াক্ষেন, চাদের সুযোগ স্বিধা বিধান করা একান্ত প্রয়োজন বলে বনে করেন। আজকে বে Ordinance এর বলে আমরা এই বাসস্থান requisition করবার বা eviction এর বন্দোবস্ত করেছি চাকে আইনে পরিপ্ত করার আর কালবিলম্ব করা সম্ভবপর নয়। সেইজন্য আমি এই বিলটা আপনাদের কাছে উপস্থাপিত করেছি, এবং আমি আশা করি আপনারা সকলেই স্বর্থাদীসম্মতিক্রমে এই বিলটাকে গ্রহণ করবেন।

Shri SUKUMAR DUTFA: শীকাৰ মহাপ্ৰের নথ্য দিবে মাননীর মহী মহাপ্রকে জিছাস। করিতে চাই—তার বজুতার নদে হল এই Requisition এব বাডীগুলো গত্র্প মেনেটর কর্মর চারী ও যার। পূর্ববিদ্ধ থেকে আগত্ত্বল তাঁদের করা Requisition করা হচেছ। কিন্তু মেদিনীপুর, বীরভর, বাকুড়া— এই ব জারগার বারা মধ্যবিদ্ধ শ্রেমী এবং কলিকাতার এপে বাড়ী পান না, এই বাড়ী গুলো কি তাঁদের ব্যবহারের জন্য পাগুরা বেতে পাবে গ

Shri ANANDI LAL PODDAR: On a point of order, Sire Can a member put a question after the Hon'ble Minister has replied?

Mr. SPEAKER: I don't think he can.

(At this stage Mr. Speaker rose and was putting the motion to vote.)

Mr. G. C. D. WILKS: On a point of order, Sir. The amendments have not been taken up.

Mr. SPEAKER: The amendments will be taken up after the consideration motion has been put.

The motion of the Hon'ble Sri Kalipada Mookerjee that the West Bengal Premises Requisition and Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 1.

Shri ISWAR CHANDRA MAL: I beg to move that in clause 163) after the word "Bengal" the words "excluding the areas affected by the tidal bores and cyclone of 1942" be inserted.

সভাপতি মহাশয়, আমি যে কথা বলছি অবশা ইংরাজেরা চলে যাবার পবে ইংরেজী বাংলা মিশে একটা থাাচাড়া হয়ে গেছে (Laughter)। আমি বলছি যে আমাদের মেনিনীপার জেলার কাঁথি ও তমলাক সামাদিক জলোক্ষরাসে যেবকম ভয়ানকভাবে ছতিগ্রস্ত হয়েছে, বড়েব জনা বাড়ীঘবের যে অবস্থা হয়েছে এবং ১৯৪২ সালের আন্দোলনে তদানীতিন গভগমৈণ্টের অত্যাচারের ফলে যেভাবে বাড়ীঘর পাড়িয়ে নাট কবা হয়েছে আজু প্যান্ত তা সংস্কার সাধন সন্ভবপর হয়নি। আজও প্যান্ত গভগমেণ্ট কোন material দিতে চান না। অথচ এত অতিরিক্ত অফিসার এসেছেন যে তানের জন্য আমাদের বস্তবাড়ী প্যান্ত আজ্ব ছেছে বেবাব মত অবস্থা হয়েছে।

Mr. SPEAKER: The amendment is very vague. I cannot see as to which area is to be excluded. Therefore, I am sorry, this amendment is not in order.

Shri ISWAR CHANDRA MAL: It is definitely known to the Government.

Mr. Md. KHUDA BUKHSH: On a point of order, Sir. You have ruled that a motion moved in English should be debated in English. I now find that the honourable member having moved the motion in English is speaking in Bengali.

Mr. SPEAKER: What I have stated is that the motions which have been sent in English should be moved in English but speeches on them can be made in English or Bengali or Hindustham

Shri ISWAR CHANDRA MAL: Coastal area কাকে বলে গভর্ণমেণ্ট সেটা জানেব ও describe করেছেন। Coastal area তার নাম যে area সাম্ভিক জলোকরাসে ভেসে গিরেছিল। অংশিৎ ষেটাকে বলে বন্যাবিধনত অঞ্চল গ্রুপ্নিপেটর সমস্ত কাপজপতে বন্যাবিধনত অঞ্চল বলে সেটা লিখা আছে। সেখানে তারা relief পেয়েছে, loan পেরেছে। কারণ সাম্দ্রিক রুলোক্রাসে তারা উদ্বাস্ত্ হরে গেছে। তারা আন্ত গভর্ণমেন্ট থেকে protection পাকে। কাছেই অন্য কার্গার ঘরবাড়ী নিন্ আপত্তি नाहै। किन्तु राधारन घत्रवाज़ी स्कार श्राह्म এवर এখন পर्यान्त जाता এको। क्रेड़ जित्री कत्ररूठ भारतीन, আর গভর্ণমেণ্ট থেকে কোন materials शाउदा बाद्र ना लिचारन ठाएनड वीम দেন, চাছলে সেখানকার সমস্ত লোককে উন্থাস্ত হয়ে গ্রুপ্মেণ্ট অফিসারদের জারুণা করে দিতে হবে। আমি আশা করি সেটাকে বলি coastal area ৰলতে না পারেন ভাকে coastal वनाउ भारतन। कादन प्रहेमव झाह्रभाद्र भहर्गासण्डे relief मिरहाहन क्षे बरन व प्रभारता affected **ठाष्ट्रा**ड़ा घत-मृद्रात रव कठ प्रिंद्रहरू ठाइड शीमा शरथा। area by cyclone and flood. नाहै। आमि विलाद करत्र निरवमन कर्ज़िद्धालनारमंत्र कार्ट रव थर्दै विवद्धों विरवधना करत्र सम्बन्।

Mr. SPEAKER: I am sorry, Mr. Mal, I cannot allow this amendment because it is absolutely vague. "Coastal area" is a vague term.

Mr. RAJANI KANTA PRAMANIK: মাননীয় সভাপতি মহালয়, মাননীয় ঈশবরচন্দ্র মাল মহালয় যে কথা বলেছেন সেটা খ্ব সতা কথা। এটার spiritটা আপনারা যদি দয়া করে গ্রহণ করেন এবং এই জিনিসটা অত্যাবশ্যকীয় বলে যদি মনে করে থাকেন তাহলে যদি amendmentটার ভাষাতে কোন কিছু দোষ থাকে তাহলে আমি মনে করি যে সেটা ঠিক করে নিন্। এ জিনিসটা দরকার। আমাদের জেলা যেভাবে দুর্দ্দশাগ্রস্ত হয়েছে দ্বির্বাকে, এবং রাজনৈতিক কারণে যেভাবে ঘর-দ্য়ার প্রিড়া হয়েছে, এখনও লোকেরা সমস্ত বাড়ী তৈরী করে উঠতে পারেনি, মেয়ামত করতে পারেনি, কারণ দাম যেভাবে ছয়েছে এবং জিনিসপত্র পাওয়া যায় না, তাতে সম্ভব নয়।

Mr. SPEAKER: I am sorry that so far as this motion is concerned it is for the Hon'ble Minister to say if he wants to say anything on this matter.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: मानतीत्र Speaker महागत्र, य मर्रामायनी अञ्चाद উপन्दानिक कत्रा राम्नाविक व्यर यात्र अनुमांच भारति आमि छन्द्रम्मा वलाउ हारे आमात्र वस्युत्तित या समिनीभूरतत्र य ममञ्च अञ्चलत्र कथा छोत्रा वलाइन रमधानकात्र मृःथ, मृष्मा व्यर मृणिक कथा वाश्तामायन काछौत्र मत्रकात्र व्यर वाश्तामायन अञ्चलत्र कथा काणीत्र कथा वाश्तामायन काछौत्र मत्रकात्र व्यर वाश्तामायन अञ्चलते काम्याविक कर्राविक कर्याविक कर्राविक कर्याविक कर्याविक कर्याविक कर्याविक कर्याविक क्रिक क्राविक क्रिक क्राविक क्रिक क्रा

Shri SUKUMAR DATTA: Amendmentত অনেকবাব পৰিবৰ্তন হয়েছে; এটা কি কৰা যাবে না ?

Mr. SPEAKER: I find that there is power given in clause 24 that the Provincial Government may make rules for carrying out the purposes of this Act. If the Government be so inclined it may do so, but until and unless you actually define as to what are the areas affected by the tidal bore and cyclone, it is so vague that I cannot accept it

Shri NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITY: I beg to move that in clause 1(3) after the word "Bengal" the words "excluding Contai and Tamluk subdivisions of Midnapore district" be added.

মাননীয় সভাপতি মহাশয়, এই বিলটি অত্যত short notice এ দেওয়া হয়েছে। আমাদের ১১টার মধ্যে amendment দিতে বলা হয়েছিল এবং ১১টার মধ্যে দেওয়া সকলের পছে সম্ভবপর নয়। বদি এই amendment vague হয়ে থাকে তাহলে অনুগ্রহ কয়ে আমার short-notice amendmentটা গ্রহণ কয়্ন; তাহলে Contai ও তমলুক মহকুমাকে এ থেকে exclude কয়া হবে। এটা more definite এবং এতে কোন vagueness নেই।

Mr. SPEAKER: Will you please put it into writing? (The amendment was handed over to the Chair.)

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Is this discussion taking place on the amendment which you have ruled out?

Mr. SPEAKER: That amendment has been disallowed.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTŢ-MAZUMDAR: Then how are you allowing a discussion on an amendment which you have disallowed. What is the issue before the House?

8ri HEMANTA KUMAR BASU: You cannot accept a new amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER: So far as clause 1 is concerned, it is before the House. It is for an honourable member to move an amendment which may be allowed on short notice even on the floor of the House.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: I think the Hon'ble Minister might be pleased to explain as to why this Bill was brought in at such short notice. The point has been raised on the other side. The point appears to have been raised on this side of the House also and the Hon'ble Minister might help the House by explaining as to why it was brought in at such a short notice and what can be done in order to facilitate any suggestions that might be given to Government for effecting improvement that might be necessary hereafter.

Mr. SPEAKER: It is true that the time which has been given has been very short and 1 hope that the Hon'ble Minister will explain as to what he proposes to do.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: এই আইনের একটা বিধান আছে যে Government ইছা করলে, এই আইন প্রণয়নে তাঁলের হাতে যে ছমতা আছে তাতে, কোন রক্ম প্রয়াজন যদি মনে করেন, সেই rule-making এর ছমতার ভিতর দিয়ে ত'ারা করতে পারেন। আমি সকলকে অন্রোধ করবো যে আপনারা বিলটা যেরকমভাবে আছে সেইভাবেই গ্রহণ কর্ন; তারপরে যে rule-making power রয়েছে তার ল্বারা তার ব্যবস্থা যেভাবে দরকার করা হবে। আরুকে বিলটি যেভাবে করা হয়েছে আপনাদৈর কাছে আমার সনিবর্ষ্য অন্রোধ যে আপনারা সেইভাবেই বিলটি গ্রহণ কর্ন।

Mr. SPEAKER: Is Mr. Maiti going to withdraw his motion which he has moved at short notice?

Shri NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITI: বি: স্থীকার মহোদর। আবার short-notice amendment আবি withdraw করিডেড়ি।

8hri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Do I understand that you are allowing short notice amendments from private members just now?

Mr. SPEAKER: Short notice amendments are allowed even on the floor of the House if it is necessary.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Are you now allowing short-notice amendments?

Mr. 8PEAKER: Yes, I am allowing, in view of the fact that very short time has been given by the Government to members to consider the Bill.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: What is the amendment?

Mr. SPEAKER: "Excluding Contai and Tamluk subdivisions of Midnapore district". It stands like this: "It extends to the whole of Bengal excluding Contai and Tamluk subdivisions of Midnapore district".

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr. Speaker, you ruled just now that this amendment in this form is vague and as such inadmissible. Are we to understand that you are allowing that amendment in exactly that form?

Mr. SPEAKER: So far as the amendment moved by Mr. Mal is concerned, I have disallowed it. This is a new amendment moved by Mr. Maiti. It is for me to allow it or not. It is a short notice amendment which has been moved by Mr. Maiti.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Are we to take it that you hold this to be in order?

Shri A. K. GHOSE: The member has already withdrawn his amendment. There can be no discussion on the withdrawn amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Maiti wants to withdraw his motion. Has he the leave of the House?

(As there was no objection, the motion of Mr. Nikunja Behari Maiti was then by leave of the House withdrawn.)

The question that clause I stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

Mr. G. C. D. WILKS: I beg to move that the amendment tabled rending "'premises' means any purca building or part of a purca building which is, or is intended to be, let separately for residential or non-residential purposes and includes" be substituted for clause 2, item (e), "'premises' means any building or part of a building or any but or part of a but which is, or is intended to be, let separately for residential or non-residential purposes and includes".

Sir, I beg to move that in clause 2(e) for paragraph (i) the following be substituted, namely: --

"the waste garden grounds and out-houses (if any) appertaining to such pucca building or part of such pucca building."

Sir, I beg also to move that in clause 2 after item (y) the following be added, namely:—

"(gg) 'public purpose' means any purpose connected with the shortage of accommodation for public servants in West Bengal."

Mr. SPEAKER: Is there anybody to speak?

Shri J. C. CUPTA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, may I draw your attention to the amendment—" 'Public purpose' means any purpose connected with the shortage of accommodation for public servants in West Bengal'? I put it to the mover of the amendment through you, Sir, that he is not considering the cases where hospital staff and students are concerned. If he limits like that, they would not be benefited by this. Having regard to that view will the mover of the resolution kindly withdraw the amendment?

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Mr. Speaker, Sir, is the honourable member speaking on a point of order, or is he speaking on the amendment? The amendment is not yet open to the House for discussion. The rules of the debate should not be interfered with.

Shri J. C. GUPTA: Sir, Mr. Siddiqui did not hear Mr. Speaker when he said whether anybody wanted to speak. Mr. Speaker said that first and then I rose.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: I did not hear you, Sir.

Shri J. C. GUPTA: It you had heard him, you would not have said this. The motion of Mr. G. C. D. Wilks that in clause 2, for the item (e) the following be substituted, namely:—

"'premises' means any purca building or part of a purca building which is or is intended to be let separately for residential or non-residential purposes and includes,"

was then put (A voice from the Government benches: "Noes have it") and after a pause Mr. Speaker announced "Noes have it".

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Sir, I claim a division.

Mr. SPEAKER: You cannot claim a division. I have already said "Noes have it".

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: That is why I demand a division-

Mr. SPEAKER: All right; I will allow a division.

The division bell was then rung.)

The motion of Mr. G. C. D. Wilks that in clause 2, for the item (c), the following be substituted, namely:—

"'premises' means any pucca building or part of a pucca building which is, or is intended to be, let separately for residential or non-residential purposes and includes."

was then put and lost.

(Mr. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi rose and called for a division just after the motion was declared lost by Mr. Speaker.)

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I can stand on my right and I can demand a division unless you rule it out.

Mr. SPEAKER: After the decision has been declared by me, no division can now be allowed,

Shri JYOTI BASU: But, Mr. Speaker, you said "Noes have it" only once.

Mr. SPEAKER: First I said "Noes have it", and then paused and waited for some time but nobody rose up. Then I said again, "Noes have it". So the decision has already been made.

The motion of Mi, G. (1, D. Wilks that in clause 2(r), for paragraph (r), the following be substituted, namely:—

"the waste garden grounds and out-houses (if any) appertaining to such pucca building or part of such pucca building," was then put and a division called.

(When the division bell was ringing, the following discussion took place.)

Shri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: May I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, one thing? Will you modify the rule regarding the ringing of the bell from five minutes?

Mr. SPEAKER: That is a matter for future consideration.

The result of the division was as follows:-

AYES-19.

Abdui Wahid Sarkar, Mr.
Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M.
Abdur Rahman Siddiqi, Mr.
Abul Hashem, Mr.
Husan Ara Begum.
Jasimuddin Ahmed, Mr.
Kazem Ali Mirza, Shahibzada Kawan
Jah Saiyid.
Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md.
Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr.
Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr.

Mudassir Hossain, Mr.
Muhammad Qumruddin, Mr.
Muhammad Rafique, Mr. J. P.
Muhammad Siddique, Dr. Syed.
Musharruff Hossain, Nawab, Khan
Bahadur.
Platel, Mr. R. E.
Ricketts, Mrs. E. M.
Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.
Wilks, Mr. G. C. D.

NOE8-46.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Mr. Sibnath.
Banerjee, Sri Susil Kumar.
Banerji, The Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Basu, Mr. Jyeti.
Bhandari, The Hon'ble Sri Charu Chandra.

Shattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Choudhury, The Hon'ble Sri Annada Prosad. Das, Miss Bina. Das, Mr. Radha Nath. Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath. Dass, Mr. Kanalial. De, Sri Kanai Lai.
Doiul, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguil, Mr. Bepin Behari,
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. Prafulia
Chandra.
Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haidar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Sri Bhupati.

Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mailiok, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandai, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandai, Sri Bankubehari.
Mandai, Sri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Sri Kalipada.
Murarka, Mr. Basantial.
Naskar, Sri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Sri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Poddar, Mr. Anandilai.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Sri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra,

The Ayes being 19 and the Noes 46, the motion was lost.

The motion of Mr. G. C. D. Wilks that in clause 2 after item (g), the following be added, namely:—

"(yy) 'public purpose' means any purpose connected with the shortage of accommodation for public servants in West Bengal",

was then put and lost.

The question that clause 2 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 3.

The question that clause 3 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 4.

The question that clause 4 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 5.

The question that clause 5 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 6.

The question that clause 6 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 7.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, I ask your permission to move a short notice amendment. I think Government will have no objection to accept my amendment. It is a very useful amendment.

beg to move that in line 9 of sub-clause (I) of clause 7 for the word "tea" the word "thirty" be substituted. The reason is that if a tenant is asked to vacate the premises within 10 days, the time is very short.) He will have to find another place to shift. Also, Sir, if he is asked to put in an appeal, he will have to take advice of a lawyer and for that purpose also the time is very short. I think if 30 days time is given to him, he may find out a place for himself and give possession of his premises to the Government. It will not be possible for any tenant to vacate the premises even within 30 days much less in 10 days and Government will have to take recourse to other measures to evict him. So I hope Government will accept this amendment. Of course, I quite appreciate the motive behind this Bill. As Hon'ble Minister has said, that this Bill is being passed into law just to provide for evacuees from East Bengal. (Cries of "no", "no" from Government benches). I welcome it because all the vacant premises should

be requisitioned and filled up by people who cannot find accommodation. There are people who are occupying more than one house and such house should be requisitioned. But it would be a great hardship to those who are occupying houses if notice is served on them to vacate these house within 10 days. I find with a very meagre number of members we canno put in an effective opposition, but I would appeal to the good sense of the Government that they should provide sufficient time to the tenants who are willing to vacate their premises in time for the incoming tenants. Government has not given any assurance on the point which has been raised by Mr. Wilks that these premises will be used for Government servants. As a matter of fact, as it appears, Sir, from the provision of this Bill, Government can make allotments of these premises to anybody they like—it may be, if the point is extended, to the friends, to the relatives of the people of position in the Government. However, Sir, I would request that sufficient time be given to the tenants, so that they can vacate the premises and fine out other houses.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: যে সংশোধিত প্রকারতি এখন উপান্দত হরেছে সেই সংশোধিত প্রন্থায়ী ৩০ দিন সময় দেওয়া আমাদের পছে সম্ভবপর নর যে উদ্দোগা নিরে আমরা তাড়াতাড়ি বাড়ী সংগ্রহ করবার কাজে আত্ম-নিয়োগ করেছি তাতে ব্যাঘাতের স্পার করবে। সেজনা আমার মনে হয় যে দশ দিনের পরিবর্তে যদি আমার বন্ধ্ রাজি থাকেন দ্ই স্পতাহে, তাহলে দ্ই স্পতাহের সংশোধনে রাজি আছি।

Mr. SPEAKER: I think an honourable member should formally move this motion.

Shri J. C. GUPTA: Sir, with your permission I beg to move a short notice amendment that in sub-clause (I) of clause 7 in line 9 instead of the word "ten" the word "fourteen" be substituted.

The Hon'ble Sri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I accept this amendment.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, I want to withdraw my motion

Mr. SPEAKER: Has the House given its permission? (Cries of "yes" yes").

The motion of Mr. Muhammad Rafique that in sub-clause (1) of clause 7 in line 9 for the word "ten" the word "thirty" be substituted was ther by leave of the House withdrawn.

The motion of Shri J. C. Gupta that in sub-clause (I) of clause 7 in line 9 instead of the word "ten" the word "fourteen" be substituted was ther put and agreed to.

The question that clause 7 as amended stand part of the Bill was ther put and agreed to.

Clause 8.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, there is a consequential amend ment in clause 8. Here the words "seven days" should be substituted by the words "fourteen days".

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: It is not consequential.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: But, Sir, you must give the aggriever some time for appeal. After he has received a notice, he will have to consult a lawyer and prepare appeal and file it.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Please do not press that point.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, it also appears from this section that if a man files an appeal, he will not be given a hearing. His appeal will be taken on the statement which he makes. The clause reads thus: "Any person aggrieved by an order under section 7 may, within seven days of the receipt thereof, appeal in writing to the Commissioner of the Division who may, after calling for a report from the Collector and after making such further inquiry, if any, as he thinks fit, pass an order determining the appeal". As a matter of fact, the appellant will not be given an opportunity to place his case before the Collector. If on receipt of an appeal from a party the Collector gives a hearing to the party, then the party can place his case before the Collector, and meet the statement of the Government side otherwise it would be an ex-parte decision in that whatever he puts in black and white, he will not be in a position to hear what the other side has to say and to know if the facts which are stated by the other side are correct or not. I request, therefore, in the cause of justice and fairness to all parties, that the appellant should be given the right to place his case before the Collector. Of course the Collector's decision will be final. He will not be challenged in any other court. Since the appellate court's decision will be final, it is quite tair and just and proper that the appellant should be given the right of placing his case before the final deciding authority.

Mr. SPEAKER: With regard to short notice amendments, I would like to say this that the members should put them in writing, because otherwise exact words are not placed before the House. All such amendments should be moved in exact words. I request the honourable members that to regularise the procedure they must first move the motions and then make their speeches.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 8, line 2, for the word "seven" the word "fourteen" be substituted.

I also beg to move that in clause 8, line 4, after the word "Collector" the words "giving a hearing to the party" be inserted.

Shri J. G. GUPTA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I think the Hon'ble Minister in charge accommodated the Opposition by accepting two weeks in place of ten days, but when it is a question of appeal, seven days' time is quite enough for preferring an appeal. The spirit in the matter is the essence of the thing and it any dilatory proceedings are allowed, then the purpose of the Bill will be defeated. Therefore, I would request the mover of the amendment not to press that question.

The motion of Shaik Muhammad Rafique that in clause 8, line 2, for the *word "seven" the word "fourteen" be substituted was then put and lost.

The motion of Shaik Muhammad Rafique that in clause 8, line 4, after the word "Collector" the words "giving a hearing to the party" be inserted was then put and lost.

The question that clause 8 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 9.

The question that clause 9 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 10.

The question that clause 10 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 11.

The question that clause 11 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 12.

The question that clause 12 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 13.

The question that clause 13 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 11.

The question that clause 14 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 15.

The question that clause 15 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 16.

The question that clause 16 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 17.

The question that clause 17 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 18.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, I beg to move, with your permission, a short notice amendment to clause 18.

That in clause 18(I), line 2, after the words, "the Collector shall" the following be added, namely: +

'within fifteen days after the receipt of notice under section 17".

Sir, my intention in moving this motion is that this clause does not specify as to what period the Collector shall take in making allocation of the vacant premises. Under section 17 the landlord has to intimate to the Collector that a certain premises had fallen vacant and after the receipt of that notice from the landlord no provision has been made as to what time the Collector shall take in allocating such premises to any in-coming tenant. It is not provided here also whether the landlord shall get the rent from the date on which he intimates the Collector that a certain premises has fallen vacant, or from the date the Collector receives intimation, or the date it is occupied by the Collector. My intention in moving this motion is that it would be mandatory on the Collector to allot the premises to a particular tenant within a specified time, and the rent will run after the option period is over or from the date on which a particular premises is allotted to the tenant because it would not be fair that after the receipt of a notice from the landlord the Collector shall sit tight and keep the premises vacant for an

unlimited period depriving him of the rent to which he is entitled. In order that the landlord may not lose the rent of the premises which it is obligatory on him to keep under this Ordinance, the Collector shall have to find a tenant for the house or intimate to the landlord that the premises is derequisitioned. With that intention, Sir, I propose to add the following few words, namely, "the Collector shall within fifteen days after the receipt of notice under section 17". Section 17 provides that within seven days after such premises falls vacant the landlord shall intimate to the Collector that such a premises has fallen vacant. But there is no provision made as to what time the Collector shall take in coming to a decision on the matter. This addition therefore that I am proposing will compel him to allot the premises to a tenant within fourteen days of the receipt of notice from the landlord or intimate to the landlord that the premises has been derequisitioned. I do not think that any just and fair-minded member will object to this amendment. It is fair to the Government; it is fair to the tenant and it is fair to the landlord also.

With these words, Sir, I commend my amendment to the acceptance of the House.

Shri J. C. CUPTA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would suggest to the mover of this amendment to consider whether it would not be appropriate to say, as in clause 18(2), namely, "Where the Collector does not find a tenant suitable for the premises he shall issue a permit in the prescribed form to the landlord allowing him to use or deal with such premises as he may think it". I certainly appreciate that the notice being given, a premises ought not to be left vacant for an indefinite period. Therefore his object will be gained if it is provided that where the Collector does not find a suitable tenant within fifteen days, he shall issue a permit—

Mr. MUAHAMMAD RAFIQUE: The drafting is "where the Collector loes not find a tenant suitable" and I object to the word "suitable".

8hri J. G. GUPTA: I think the word "suitable" goes in his tayour and should not be objected to. However, I am prepared to move an amendment to meet his point, if he withdraws.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: May I rise to a point of order. Can be move an amendment to an amendment?

Shri J. C. GUPTA: No, it is not an amendment to an amendment. Mr. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi being a vetern parliamentarian ought to be a little bit awake both in mind as well as in ears.

I am moving that in clause 18, sub-clause (2), after the word "premises" in line 2, the following be inserted, namely:—

"Within two weeks after the receipt of notice under section 17."

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Has the second amendment been submitted to you in writing?

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, I want to withdraw my amendment in favour of Mr. Gupta.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Muhammad Rafique wants to withdraw his amendment to clause 18. Is there any objection?

As there being no objection, the motion of Shaik Muhammad Rafique that in clause 18(I), line 2, after the words "the Collector shall" the following be added namely:

"within fifteen days after the receipt of notice under section 17" was then by leave of the House withdrawn. The next amendment is that of Mr. Gupta.

. Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: I should like to hear the amendment of Mr. Gupta from the Chair.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Gupta's amendment is to the effect that after the word "premises" in line 2 of sub-clause (2) of clause 18, the following words be added, namely:—

"Within two weeks after the receipt of notice under section 17".

The short notice amendment of Mr. Gupta was then put and agreed to.

Shri A. K. CHOSE: There is a clerical mistake in sub-section (3) of section 18. Instead of sub-section (2) it should be sub-section (1).

The question that clause 18, as amended, stand part of the Bill was then out and agreed to.

Clause 19.

The question that clause 19 stand part of the Bill was then put and igreed to.

Clause 20.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I move that in clause 20, line 4, after the words "or with fine" the words "which may extend to two thousand rupees" be inserted.

Sir, this is the penal clause of the Bill. In this penal clause the imprisonment that can be awarded by the proper authorities trying cases arising out of this Bill can extend only up to one year, but, Sir, no limit has been set to the fine that they can impose on persons offending against the provisions of this Bill.

Sir, it is customary—and I think it is right—to put limits to all penal provisions and not leave it entirely to the discretion of the trying authorities. I have fixed the limit at Rs.2,000 which should, I feel, allow a wide range to the trying authorities to fix the fine in relation to the degree of offence committed and which would meet the ends of justice.

With these words I commend the amendment for the acceptance of the House.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: I accept the amendment without any speech.

The motion of Mr. Muhammad Khuda Bukhsh that in clause 20, line 4, after the words "or with fine" the words "which may extend to two thousand rupees" be inserted was then put and agreed to.

The question that clause 20, as amended, stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 21.

The question that clause 21 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 22.

The question that clause 22 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 23.

The question that clause 23 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 24.

The question that clause 24 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble.

The question that the preamble stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Premises Requisition and Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have rather a bad throat, so you will forgive me if my voice does not reach you fully. Sir, I have been watching like an orphan the proceedings of the House today. Let alone the members of the Ministry I find a delectable arrangement when ordinary members try and dictate not only to Ministrys but even to the Chair. ("Question" from Government benches.) Sir, I have played fairly long at this game of parliamentarism but things as are being conducted in this small House of ours do not—

Shri HEMANTA KUMAR BASU: Is it in order and relevant?

Mr. SPEAKER: Let Mr. Siddiqi have his say.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Sir, my younger brothers do not seem to realise that I am an elected member of this House. They do not know the rules of debate and that is why I was disturbed in my speech. Sir, I know my cry is a cry in the wilderness. I know that even sensible suggestions are being crushed under the dead weight and terror of an absolute majority of a gigantic form, and yet, Sir, I have the hardshood to appeal and beg of the Ministry to show consideration and kindness even if we are in the wrong, because they are so many and we are so few.

Another thing I should like to point out to the Hon'ble the Chief Minister or Prime Minister-1 do not know what is the new nomenclature as the new Parliament has not yet found its phraseology. Mr. Speaker, Sn., may 1 in all earnestness appeal to the Hon'ble the Chief Minister to consider for a moment whether this permicious system of perpetuating Ordinances is really a good legislative arrangement. I understand that on occasions the Government has got the extraordinary power of passing Ordinances, but gentlemen who are now sitting there were once on this side and Ordinance, Sir, was never welcomed in any shape or form. Now we are going to have a Government which will be run on Ordinances presented to us in the shape of Bills which in a few minutes will be called Acts. This has gone on but may I, in all sincerity. Sir, and in all earnestness, appeal to the gentlemen who are now in power to consider that even if the Opposition is negligible the rules of Parliaments and the rights of the citizens who may not agree with them should not be trampled under foot. They may, if they like, consult their legal department and the Advocate-General and whosoever they choose, but the perpetuation of an Ordinance, Sir, stinks in the nostril of people who have believed in the rights of citizens, who have believed in the correct form before some of the members were born and therefore I would draw attention to this aspect of the conduct of our parliamentary business in this House. I am speaking not as a member of the Opposition. I am speaking as a citizen, Sir. This may or may not be accepted by everybody, but it is my duty to express the views I have held for years and which, I hope, I shall hold till I die. This particular Bill, Sir, is intended to help those who come from outside. It is a correct and right procedure, but I hold that the Government has not paid sufficient attention to the rights of the citizens that are here. I know they are in a hurry. I know that in spite of this Ordinance which has to cease because now we are in session they could have protected the interests of the existing people in the city. I remember, Sir, the house in which I was living was requisitioned for the Americans in those days, and the notice given to me was seven days. I rushed all over Calcutta but could not find a house. I went to the officer

in charge and asked him to give me another house. He could not, and the result was that I had to rush into a house which before my occupation had been a brothel (laughter). Sir, such conditions may be repeated not in the days of war but in the days of peace; and it is about that I am once more appealing to the Government.

Sir, I know this Bill will become an Act, but will they be gracious, will they be kind, will they be generous and instruct the officers who will carry out this Ordinance-cum-Law into effect to show generosity and kindness to the owners and to the tenants, particularly of shops and business premises if it does not interfere with the schemes and plans of the Government?

I am sorry, Sir, that I have taken up the time of the House. 'I hope that I have not given cause for irritation to Mr. J. C. Gupta who seems to be the schoolmaster of the Cabinet today and who now is losing even his good manners by calling me a deaf man. I am not deaf. Only his atterances were perhaps directed towards you, and the acoustics of this House have always been considered as not very good. We may be negligible; we may be the worms that may be trampled upon, but let them show generosity and kindness. Let them listen to us. They will decide what they want. In fact, Sii, in the Central Assembly when we had the Interim Government, I requested Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, who was the Prime Minister as well as Leader of the House, to manufacture an Opposition, because in the absence of any intelligent and critical Opposition Government is likely to get demoralised.

May I repeat my request to the Hon'ble the Chief Minister that it will be to his interest to adlow some of his followers to come and sit with us (laughter). Honourable members do not yet seem to realise that this is a Parliament of Western Bengal and for a Parliament a negligible Opposition is a serious danger. It has been done in other Parliaments, and therefore my request to the Chief Minister will be——(Sir, even Ministers are interfering 1 seek your protection.) I have a right to speak as long as I like I timik. Therefore my appeal to the Hon'ble the Chief Minister is to manufacture an Opposition if he can with this one exception that if there is a motion of no-confidence his followers will vote with him. In his own interest, in the interest of the measures that he will bring before us, and in the interest of the people of West Bengal, the wisest thing that he can do is, as I have said, to manufacture an Opposition.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: On a point of order, Sir

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: On a point of privilege, Sir. The House used to adjourn during prayer time. This House has not adjourned for Assar prayer; it is now going to be time for Magrih prayer. Magrih prayer now generally begins at quarter to five. I would request the Hon ble Speaker to adjourn the House for prayer as soon as it is convenient.

(At this stage the House was adjourned for 15 minutes.)

(After Adjournment.)

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am sorry the Opposition benches seem nearly empty and while intervening in this debate at this hour I regret all the more that the honourable member who spoke before me has already left parhaps like a butterfly but nonetheless leaving behind as chrysalis his speech——

Mr. Md. KHUDA BUKH8H: He has gone to prayer.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: I notice, Sir, that it was interesting to find that my honourable friend spoke as if like a morose little lamb, innocent, and regretting the present position of the Opposition and he made a particular point to give us a homily about Ordinances. Sir,

it is not out of place in this connection to point out that the present Government—the free Government—after its inauguration for the first time is meeting the Legislature and this is only the third day of the Legislature, but. Sir, we are left with the legacy of the old regime which has made the already acute housing problem more acute as a result of the streams of refugees who are pouring into this province of West Bengal. If in this connection Government find it necessary to promulgate Ordinances or to come out with a Bill for the purpose of requisitioning houses, I do not see that it would call for a homily from the honourable member on the other side. Perhaps it is convenient for him to forget that while this Government in order to relieve the distress on account of housing shortage is seeking to have powers for the purpose of requisitioning houses and Ordinances are being applied to proprietors of buildings, Ordinances had been applied during the regime of the party to which my honourable triend belonged in order

Shark MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: He did not belong to the Muslim League Assembly Party in the last House also.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Men had been taken under Ordinances in prison during the old regime and the honourable member made it a point time and again to scoff at those to whom my honourable friends on the other side on the opening day of this House paid a brilliant tribute as martyrs and who had suffered and died in prison. But my honourable friend who came out with a big homily on the Ordinance for requisitioning houses forgets, perhaps conveniently, that Ordinances took away for years and years the lives of the youths of this country who had paid with life the price for the freedom of the country and by rotting in jails. He also often scoffed at the idea of giving a more humane treatment to our prisoners who were behind the prison bars, scoffing at them as demands of Government. It is delectable today to find that such friends should come with such wonderfully pathetic innocence displaying their dislike for Ordinances. It is not my purpose to rake up the past but it is certainly necessary that we should in our attitude and utterances with regard to the actions of this Government be careful so as not to make its position more difficult by unfair and unwarranted criticisms.

Sir, although I found that the honourable member's speech was rid of the poison fangs he tried to sting with the same old tongue. Sir, this is not to be taken very seriously. I should also think that it is perhaps a tribute to the members on this side that the Hon'ble Speaker realised that the Opposition lost much of its strength unless they wanted a loan from the Leader of the House of members of this side. I suppose, Sir, if the Opposition pleads its inability to rise up to the task which faces it today and which needs a little bit of thinking and alertness, it is meet and proper for the Opposition members of this House, as we have already shown, to prove that they are alert as much as possible——

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: May I tell you, Sir, that Mr. Siddiqi was speaking on his own behalf and not on our behalf? Perhaps my friend forgets that he represents the Muslim Chamber of Commerce and not the Muslim League.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Nonetheless my honourable friend rendered a signal service in making that delivery of his speech, although disowned by his tellow members.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Again, Sir, I ask my friend not to say that he is a fellow member of our party. He has come from the Muslim Chamber of Commerce and does not represent us. He sits alone and he has made his position clear.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Sir, in that case I stand corrected. I, therefore, like to say in conclusion that the present Government had to strain itself in order to establish peace and tranquillity in this province and have only settled down to elaborate legislations and to draw up its development schemes so as to make Ordinances unnecessary for the future. But, Sir, that opportunity can only come to it with some time devoted to this task and in the meanwhile it is the duty of the Government to see to the crying needs of the people, and in between the sessions of the legislature and before the Government has had time to be ready with development schemes and elaborate legislations covering all points. I think it is the public demand that Government should exercise extraordinary powers. even with Ordinances, in order to mitigate the immediate sufferings of the people; and in view of that, I think this is a measure in which, I believe, Government is arming itself with powers which will be utilised for alleviating the distress of the people and, Sir, the other side will have no cause of complaint unless any specific case arises with regard to any unjust application of the powers which Government are taking today. We are hearing piteous stories which suggest that we need houses for the people whose houses were being requisitioned in the neighbouring State in a most arbitrary manner and such people today have drifted to the hospitable city of Calcutta and are finding shelter in all manner of places. All over Bengal such refugees need shelter and care of the Government, and it is therefore meet and proper that Government should be armed with this power; and I am sure that Government enjoying the confidence of the citizens of this province will use this power in such a manner that there will be no occasion for any complaint Let not any member on the other side or any critic of the Government either inside this House or outside convict or condemn them before any action has been taken on account of the legislative power taken by them. There is no doubt that housing needs development under a regular scheme, and one member has said that the Government has the advantage of all building materials and of supplying them for building houses on vacant lands. Sir, even for that purpose Government needs time and a comprehensive scheme for the purpose of housing. It may become necessary to build up a whole network of satellite towns right around Calcutta from Asansol right up to Diamond Harbour in order to accommodate people in proper housing and also to help them in a proper manner so that along with their housing they might be equipped with all proper industrial establishments and ventures whereby they might also get employment. Sir, with all this herculian task confronting the Government I am sure that no one either inside this House or outside will grudge arming this Government with all the powers it needs, for before the next Budget Session it will not be proper for us to expect of the Government comprehensive schemes, but the needs are urgent and crying and Government has got to take all the measures in order to satisfy the crying needs of the people.

I, therefore, welcome this Bill which has been moved by the Hon'ble Minister and I commend it to the acceptance of the House.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, I did not want to speak, but after my friend has spoken I think I should say a few words. This side of the House appreciate the difficulty of the Government in providing accommodation to those who have come to this province from East Bengal, though I must say we do not appreciate the language and the way my friend who spoke last has spoken, attributing everything to the Opposition.

Sir, whereas we want Government to help those who are not finding accommodation, we also feel that the Government is duty bound to see that those who reside in houses for many, many years should not be deprived of their houses because this very Government will have again to find places for their accommodation unless this measure is exclusively for persons from East Bengal. It was for this reason that small amendments—short notice amendments which could not be moved in time—were moved.

Sir, it is no use saying that the treatment would be so just and fair that there will be no cause for anyone to grumble. We all know how Acts are administered and how people would be shifted from one place to another. My friend has said that he has learnt the miserable story of those who have been coming from the neighbouring province. That is not the point which should be stressed because here also you have got people who are good crizens, good voters, good inhabitants of West Bengal and who have helped in dividing Bengal. These are your voters and supporters and their interest will have to be looked to. The proper course was to see that you provide these evacuees with accommodation on the vacant lands on which buildings can be constructed. At the time of shortage of accommodation in the city a good suggestion was made that pre-fabricated houses, should be purchased and put on vacant lands in Calcutta and near about. These houses could be fixed on vacant lands for the accommodation of evacuees who have not come to Calcutta but intend to leave East Bengal. The way this Bill has been rushed in such a short time and the way in which the right of hearing has been denied to the appellants rouse suspicion in the mind of the members on this side of the House that there are some other motives beside the one mentioned in the statement of aims and objects of this Bill. You do not intend to requisition vacant land or building but tenanted houses and residential houses, depriving lawful citizen of their generation old houses. With the microscopic minority at our disposal we do not intend to oppose this Bill and put any hindrance to the passage of this Bill. What we want is that this Bill should be judiciously used and people should not be deprived of their houses and huts where they have been living for many, many years.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Speaker একটা বিরোধী দলের পক্ষ থেকেও তার মধ্যে কোন উত্থা বা তিক্ততা প্রকাশ পায়নি। বিলের প্রয়োজনীয়তা नम्बरम्थ प्रकरलपे এक बारका উপलाह करतरहन এवर जात झनारे आछ प्रकरलत प्रश्रयाणिला भावमा णिरहरह এই বিলটিকে আইনে পরিণত করবার দিকে। কথা উঠেছে যে কেবলমাত্র যারা আত্রয়প্রার্থী চাদের সুবোগ সুবিধার জন্যই এই বিলের প্রণয়ন হরেছে। কিন্তু সেটা আদৌ স্ত্যু নর। আজ্যুকের দিনে क्वकाला এবং क्वकालाब উপकर्ष्ट এवर स्नामा म्हार्म साझ वात्र-प्रक्टि उर्पाम्हल हरब्रहा। क्वकालाब ब्रिक माना म्क्ल करलाज এवर बीजनातारलाज हारलाजा म्हामालारव, वाज-ल्वरमञ् व्यलारव कन्छे भारक। बाह्य সরকারী কম্ম্চারীরা তীরাও তীদের বাসন্থান পাছেন না। নানা লোকের অভাব-অভিযোগ রয়েছে। এবং সেই বাসক্ষান সম্বন্ধে অভাব-অভিযোগ দ্রে করার উপায় কেবলমান্ত এই Requisition नद्र: व्याख्यक रव व्याहेन रहारता এहे व्याहेरनद्र नाहारवाहे स्व व्यामारमद्र वानन्दारनद्र व्यक्ताव मृद्र कद्राठ भादावा हा नद्र: हाद्र प्रकार नाना भद्रिकल्पना अनुवासी काछ कद्राह हाद--यथा वाम्कु-छिहा हिन्नी এवर satellite या चामात्र बलाइन कान बन्ध, এই भव मद्रकाद। छाव प्र satellite town planning 446 সময় লাগবে এবং আজকের দিনে লোহা বা জিনিসের থাকার জন্য, সরকারের পচ্ছে সেইসব পরিকল্পনাকে কাষ্যাকরী রূপ मिं वाकरक्त्र मित्न मम्बद्धत्र नहा। त्मलना अरहास्तन्त्र चाहित्व और बाहेन्त्व बानतः वादा स्टह्स्ह। वबर व कथा िक रब बाहरनंद्र बारवाद्र वाद प्रमास्त्र कन्नार्गंद्र मिक थाकः बाहरक बादा प्रसिक्ताद्वद প্ৰহারা, তাদের স্বিধা স্যোগ দেওয়া হবে; যেখানে তাদের বাড়ী নাই, ঘর নাই, সেই সমস্ত জারুগার ठारमद रावन्या वरव। कलकर्मान आद्रभाव वामता स्पर्धाव वाम्बिया मृचि शस्त्र; बाड़ीश्वालाता वाहारिक लिकामी ठाइरहन, वा डेकर कन शारत काज़ा ठाइरहन। लिहेलव मृनीिक मृत करवात छनाई अहे खाईरनव अगद्गन राष्ट्र, लार्क्ट प्रविधाद क्रना, चप्र्यिया प्राणिद क्रना नद्ग। कारक्ष्टे व्यापि व्याक वन्रदाय कराया द नकरन और विनिष्ठित नान करत प्रतिन।

The motion of the Hon'ble Sri Kalıpada Mookerjee that the West Bengal Premises Requisition and Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill, 1947. as settled in the Assembly, be passed was then put and agreed to.

The West Bengal District Boards Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PRASAD CHOUDHURI: Sir, I beg to introduce the West Bengal District Boards Bill, 1947.

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PRASAD CHOUDHURI: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal District Boards Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

The motion was then put and agreed to.

Clause 1.

The question that clause 1 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

The question that clause 2 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 3.

The question that clause 3 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 4.

The question that clause 4 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 5

The question that clause 5 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

Clause 6.

The question that clause 6 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

Clause 7.

The question that clause 7 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble

The question that the Preamble stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOUDHURI: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal District Boards Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

The motion was then put and agreed to

The West Bengal District School Boards Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to introduce the West Bengal District School Boards Bill, 1947.

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I beg to move that the West Bengal District School Boards Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

The motion was then put and agreed to.

44

Clause 1.

The question that clause 1 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

The question that clause 2 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 3.

The question that clause 3 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 4.

The question that clause 4 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 5.

. The question that clause 5 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 6.

The question that clause 6 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 7

The question that clause 7 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble

The question that the Preamble stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal District School Boards Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

The motion was then put and agreed to

The Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PRASAD CHOUDHURI: Sir I beg to introduce the Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947.

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PRASAD CHOUDHURI: Sir I beg to move that the Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

- Shri A. K. GHOSE: Sir, with your permission I beg to move by way of amendment that the Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of—
 - (1) Mr. Bimal Comar Ghose,
 - (2) Mr. Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar,
 - (3) Mr. Anandilall Poddar,
 - (4) Mr. J. C. Gupta,
 - (5) Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha,
 - (6) Mr. Radha Nath Das.

- (7) Mr. Hemanta Kumar Basu,
- (8) Mr. Debendra Nath Sen,
- (9) Mr. L. R. Pentony,
- (10) Shaik Muhammad Rafique,
- (11) Mr. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi,
- (12) Mr. Jasimuddin Ahmed,
- (13) Mr. Ardhendu Sekhar Naskar,
- (14) Sir Uday Chand Mahtab, Maharajadhiraj Bahadur of Burdwan, and
- (15) The Minister in charge of the Bill,

with instructions to submit their report by the 4th December, 1947, and the number of members whose presence shall be necessary to form the quorum be fixed at seven.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PRASAD CHOUDHURI: I accept the amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER: Has the consent of the members been taken?

Shri A. K. CHOSE: Yes, Sir.

The motion of Sri A. K. Ghose that the Calculta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of-

- (1) Mr Bimal Comar Ghose,
- (2) Mr Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar,
- (3) Mr. Anandilall Poddar,
- (4) Mr. J. C. Gupta,
- (5) Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha,
- (6) Mr. Radha Nath Das,
- (7) Mr. Hemanta Kumar Basu,
- (8) Mr. Debendra Nath Sen,
- (9) Mr. L. R. Pentony,
- (10) Shaik Muhammad Rafique,
- (11) Mr Abdur Rahman Siddigi,
- (12) Mr. Jasimuddin Ahmed,
- (13) M1 Ardhendu Sekhar Naskar,
- (14) Sir Uday Chand Mahtab, Maharajadhiraj Bahadur of Burdwan, and
- (15) The Minister in charge of the Bill,

with instructions to submit their report by the 4th December, 1947, and the number of members whose presence shall be necessary to form the quorum be fixed at seven, was then put and agreed to.

Point of Privilege.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Sir, before you adjourn I rise on a point of privilege. Yesterday, I rose on the same point, but unfortunately I find that more time was not given us to submit our amendments by reading through the Bills properly. Today again I find on the order paper that in tomorrow's agenda we have at least three Bills which deal with the curtailment of people'e liberties and we are asked to give amendments by tomorrow morning 11 a.m., if we have any.

I do not know why Government is in this frightful hurry, but I hold that Government should today accept our request and give us some more time, at least a day more, so that we can read through these Bills and bring

in our amendments, because they deal with very important matters, and unless the legislature here is given sufficient opportunity for discussion I do not see how this House can function democratically and properly as it should under the new conditions. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I think you should prevail upon the Government so that our rights are not interfered with in this manner, and Government will lose nothing by giving us a chance to read through these Bills properly. Even yesterday these could have been given to us and we could have been asked to submit our amendments by tomorrow morning. But it was not done. Formerly, in the previous House, as far as I am aware, I do not remember if any such things happened. But I do not know why this new method is being introduced. I am afraid, if such things are done even now when there is not much of opposition, the proceedings will be turned into a farce, and it would be meaningless coming here and wasting everybody's time. In that case, I think, the better course would be to get things done at party meetings.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKH8H: Sir, I associate myself with the remarks made by the honourable member who has just spoken and with whom I would like to request the Hon'ble Minister in charge through you, Sir, to give us a little more time to study the Bills properly in order that we may be able to suggest amendments, if there are any.

Mr. SPEAKER: I do appreciate the feelings of the honourable members and I do feel that for proper consideration of the Bill before the House sufficient time should be given to members to examine it and submit their amendments. In the extraordinary position in which we are the Ordinances have been made and the Ordinances have to be passed in this House into law, for otherwise they will lapse. So far as the present occasion is concerned, I regret to observe that these Bills will be considered tomorrow but whatever Bills they want to bring before the House they should give notices immediately so that the members may have sufficient time for making amendments. I hope Government will realise that after all it is the democratic right of the Opposition that they should have sufficient time to submit their amendments.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Sir, it is not merely a question of the Opposition but it is the right and privilege of the House as a whole. Yesterday we drew your attention to it, and I hope the Hon'ble the Prime Minister will kindly tell us as to what steps he wishes to take to ensure that honourable members may get notices in due time so that they may have sufficient time to submit their amendments

Shri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I do not know what are the extraordinary circumstances for tomorrow's business. We have not got even 24 hours' time to give notices of amendments.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Speaker, I have every sympathy with my friends who have been complaining for want of time but I do say that these Ordinances were before them for a pretty long time, and this Ordinance is being simply brought in the shape of a Bill, and that is all. Of course, technically you may say that you have want of time but really speaking there is no want of time. I do not think my friends who want to economise the time of the whole nation would stand in the way on technical grounds but I hope they would rather be willing to help us. But if they stand on technicalities simply, I hope they will pardon me if I cannot accommodate them. These Ordinances were before the public for a pretty long time and nothing more than that has been done in the Bill. Therefore I hope Mr. Jyoti Basu, who is a Bar.-at-Law, can draft amendments at one hour's notice and there are some friends here who are also eminent lawyers, more capable than myself, who can draft amendments in an hour's time as we do in the All-India Congress Committee or in

he All-India Muslim League Council. I must tell them that I do not want to stand on British technicalities and so-called democracy. I hope they will pardon me if I am unable to accede to their request.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Sir, may I tell, through you, the Hon'ble the Prime Minister and my leader, who is an infant parliamentarian, that in introducing Bills there are certain prescribed rules and under those rules the requisite time has got to be given to members. It is not a question of merely nation's time. In order to economise time Government must take care that timely notices are given so that business may be gone through expeditiously without our having had any occasion to raise this question of our privilege. I, therefore, hope that special arrangements may be made so that if Government has got any difficulty in giving timely notice, that difficulty might be removed. This is, Sir, an inalienable right of this House that the members who take the responsibility of deliberating upon the measures which this House is going to enact must have the requisite time in order to apply their minds to them. I therefore hope that my leader and the Hon'ble Prime Minister will kindly see to this that in all his anxiety to expedite the business of the House the rules regarding notices and time may not have to be waived. I appreciate that on an extraordinary occasion like this Government is justified in seeking a little latitude, but as a general rule the rules relating to notices must be scrupulously and meticulously observed. I hope we shall co-operate with theorement in removing the difficulties, but members' privileges in this respect have got to be honoured and respected.

Mr. SPEAKER: On this point I want to say one thing. In spite of the fact that the Ordinances are there, the moment they are before the House in the shape of a Bill, members are certainly entitled to have sufficient time in order to consider them. And I would request the Prime Minister to see that utmost expedition is made to give necessary notices so that sufficient time is given to members to table amendments. If these are in the shape of Ordinances, it was not difficult for the Government departments to give timely notice of the Bills. I hope the rights of the members of the House should not be curtailed and they should be given sufficient time to send notices of amendments. I would request the Government and especially the Prime Minister to see that the departments expedite in the matter of giving notices of Bills and there be not a single moment's delay.

Adjournment.

The House was then adjourned at 5-45 p.m. till 3 p.m. on Thursday, the 27th November, 1947, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutts, on Thursday, the 27th November, 1947, at 3 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Speaker (the Hon'ble Shri Iswan Das Jalan) in the Chair, 8 Hon'ble Ministers and 57 members.

Use of the lobby by the police.

Mr. A. F. M. ABDUR RAHMAN: Mr. Speaker, Sir on a point of privilege. I would like to mention that the outside lobby which is exclusively reserved for the members is now being used by the police. Would you permit that?

Mr. SPEAKER: It cannot be used by the police.

Mr. A. F. M. ABDUR RAHMAN: The police are now sitting there.

Mr. SPEAKER: I would ask them to clear out

The West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to introduce the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947.

Shri JYOTI BASU: I oppose the introduction of this Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER: That cannot be done.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Why not?

Mr. SPEAKER: There is no motion before the House.

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have moved an amendment to the effect that this Bill be referred to a Select Committee and I have also given the names of the honourable members that should comprise that Select Committee—It was done in extreme hurry and I am afraid I have not got a copy of the names that I submitted.

Mr. SPEAKER: Order, order. I will call the honourable members in the order in which they appear in the order paper. I ask Mr. Jyoti Basu to move his amendment.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, I move by way of amendment that the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting public opinion by the 16th of March, 1948.

In moving this amendment I wish to register that this is a permicious sill which only a few months back the Congress had also agreed should be hrown out when Mr. Suhrawardy brought in a similar Bill. I think that he situation has not changed within the last six months to such an extent that it is necessary at this stage to bring in a similar Bill. I do not move

ais amendment with the idea that I shall succeed in stopping its passage, busidering the alignment of forces in this House, but because I wish to agister on behalf of the people of West Bengal my moral indignation igainst this Bill. It seeks to perpetuate, Sir, the hated Defence of India act of an alien Government. It is contrary to democracy and is in clear violation of all that the Congress has stood for and fought for so many years. What is more, whilst the British had some excuse that they were thens and were at war and hence needed the Defence of India Act in our country in spite of universal opposition, the Congress which is in power oday in Bengal has no reason, no excuse whatsoever to usher in such a Bill oday. I know that the Congress having come to power today in Bengal is facing many difficulties. I know that in trying to ameliorate the conditions of all sections of the people of Bengal, urgent measures-rapid measures-will have to be taken, but Ordinances and such like Acts cannot take the place of rallying-mobilising-the support of the people behind the Government in support of those measures. If there are enemies-and it is unfortunate that today the Congress all of a sudden has started seeing enemies jurking here and there,-it there are really enemies of Congress and they are getting so powerful and violent that the Government wants powers to curb these enemies in order to serve the people, then I say that there is no other measure which can give that support to the Government than the people themselves who have elected the Congress to power today in Bengal and in the whole of India Instead of seeing hallucinations, instead of creating all sorts of confusion in the people's minds—and I am sorry to say that a miasma is being spread and nothing definite is being told to the people as to who are the enemies, what are they trying to do-in plain and clear language the people must be taken into confidence, the enemies must be named and then the just anger of the people would be roused in defence of the Congress Government for the just measures that the Congress is going to undertake today in Bengal, but the place of that cannot be taken by this kind of law which is no law. That is what the Congress has taught us for the last 50 years, but today all on a sudden as soon as the Congress has come to power, all the professions about democracy are thrown to the wind and this Bill is introduced. It is amazing, Mr. Speaker, Sir, that the Congress leaders have no qualms of conscience in bringing in such a piece of legislation.

Sir, I know the argument which will be trotted out of the nation being in danger. I know that it will be said that in order to benefit the people, the common humanity of Bengal—the kisans, the workers, the middle classes—in order to give them food, shelter, clothing, education and health, it is necessary that the Government must assume certain extraordinary powers. But I ask what is the justification for riding rough-shod over all the ordinary laws that exist in India today. Government have sufficient powers with the support of the people—sufficient powers. I say, under the present laws—whereby Government can bring to book any enemy that raises its head against the Congress Government today. But why these special powers are necessary it is impossible to understand

Mr. Speaker, I of course reserve my right to make further comments on this Bill as I take up the clauses one by one, but before I do that I shall, Mr. Speaker, quote before you from Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru's "Discovery of India" in which he said: "The Civil Service had a reputation chiefly self-propagated for efficiency but it became evident that outside the narrow sphere of work to which they had been accustomed they were helpless and incompetent. They had no training to function democratically"—mark the word "democratically"—"and could not gain the good-will and cooperation of the people whom they both feared and despised. They had no conception of big and fast moving schemes of social progress and could only hamper them by their red tape and lack of imagination." This is what Pandit Nehru said with regard to the I.C.S. in our country, but I

find that this Congress Ministry in having come to power have accepted all that the Civil Service has done for the last so many years. They have been asked to prepare Bills and place them before a popular Minister like the Hon'ble Dr. Ghosh. It is surprising that he will rubber-stamp what has been done for him by the bureaucracy. But I shall not blame only the bureaucracy for this, because Dr. Ghosh knows what he is doing. He knows the evils of such an Act and it is he and leaders like him who have taught the people of Bengal to fight tooth and nail with their lives such dastardly Acts of the British Government when they were in our country. Time after time we have sworn that we shall not descend again to the rule of Sir John Anderson of evil memory. We have hated him, we have in our public speeches, in our meetings, whenever we could, lodged our protest against such Acts when they were passed. But, today, Sir, the agonised people of Bengal in dismay will ask why, why is it necessary when we support the Government, for it to pass such an Act! Why is it necessary for the Government instead of relying on us to trust bureaucracy who have crushed all people's movements.

In asking the Chief Minister to at least circulate this Bill for public opinion, I would ask him, in conclusion, to consider, with all the good intentions that the Chief Minister may have, what the effect of this law is going to be. It will mean not peace and the amelioration of the conditions of the people very rapidly; if will mean that whenever the people ask for rice, whenever they ask for a better means of livelihood and in support hold mass meetings and demonstrations, then somebody inside the bureaucracy or the Ministry will whisper "Here are the people who are going to seize power and therefore they must be crushed" and then all people's movements will be crushed. The powers will be used—we have seen that they have been used by Mr. Suhrawardy to destroy people's movements; they will be used again, I am sure, by the bureaucracy of Bengal to crush people's movements. I know that even now under the Special Powers Ordinance workers have been arrested by this Government. I know that as in the past even now things like that are going to happen. Today some people came to my house and told me that Srijut Soumendra Nath Tagore has been arrested under the Special Powers Ordinance. So it has already begun. If the Congress cannot put up an accused in the dock and let him face a public trial, if it cannot do this, the Congress has no business to rule. It has sufficient support in the country to be able to do that. It has sumcient support in the country to ask the people to give evidence against enemies of the Congress Government today. But unfortunately repression has begun. We know the kind of policy which the Labour Minister, for instance, is following with regard to the labourers in Bengal. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, at least I can ask the Chief Minister to place this Bill before the public for their opinion and then certainly this Bill can be brought in this House. This is not the first time that a popular democratic Government is being asked to elicit public opinion on such a controversial measure which curtails people's liberties. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I repeat once again that it should be placed before the public at least to get their view. If the Congress can convince them that such an Act is necessary, then I shall say-bring it here and let this Act be passed as the public want it.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr Speaker, Sir, I would have been very happy if my honourable friend who spoke before me had demonstrated his vehement opposition any time during the period from 1942 to 1945 against extraordinary power and ordinance rules and against all the arbitrary arrests, detentions and incarceration of patriots behind prison bars. Sir, today I do not see what possible objection there can be in arming the present Government with special powers to be used not against patriotic citizens but against enemies or agents, and fifth columnists who might be at large to undermine the security and safety of this realm. (Cries of "Hear, hear" from Government benches.) We know that after two

hundred years of a dark chapter of oppression and tyranny organised on governmental footing the British power has disappeared, but, Sir, we know that there are fifth columnist agents who are out to help them, keep them and maintain them on our soil. We know those elements are there who helped the British Government to win the last war. We know those elements who helped the British power and who have frustrated the victorious advance of the Azad Hind Fouj. If, Sir, those elements are still about in this realm, the present Government, the Government of the day, does indeed need extraordinary powers—I should say far larger powers—in order to curb the nefarious activities that may be afoot.

Sit, there would have been perhaps no need of those powers it people had been well fed, well clothed and it there had been all the conditions of security present and existing today. We have heard my honourable friend talk about people wanting rice but getting something else. May I remind—many honourable members were then present in the House in the year 1942—that Sir, John Herbert of intamous memory on the floor of this House had proclaimed the so-called denial policy with regard to the pinch of food of the people, with regard to organised familie, Mahatma Gandhi had proclaimed opposition to the denial policy; Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru who has been quoted here had also proclaimed opposition to that denial policy.

The Congress workers and leaders whose teachings are quoted today-and amongst them was our Prime Minister who sits in this House --courted imprisonment in opposition to the denial policy and in opposition to the intamous British Raj which had been ruling in the most arbitrary fashion in this land. May I ask my honourable friend to pause for a moment and to say honestly with his hand on his chest what was the role that he and his fellows were playing at that time. It was precisely those fellows, Sir, who were helping the British people in their denial policy in denuding the rice in the countryside which paved the way for famine. They were helping the Government in getting foodstuffs from every home. For famine criminals we ought to institute a trial and an enquity -I do not know why the Government will not do it and I hope Government will not assume a grandmotherly attitude but assume the attitude of ruling the country in the interest of a better India, and Government ought to have instituted a tri-bunal to investigate into the charges against the profiteers about whom Pandit Nehru has proclaimed that for each head of famine death they made a profit of one thousand rupees. With that gang of criminals would come those who at that time had aided and abetted the criminal power in occupation of India with their denial policy in depriving the people of their rice stock. We have just now heard about the necessity of a tribunal making open trials. I lend my whole-hearted support to my honourable friend in this matter, and I do hope that Government would agree to institute a tribunal not only to try such people but also to try those who have been guilty of treachery to India and of betraying India's patriotic cause during the years of 1942-45. Sir, may I remind you that if we are to emulate the British model, then we will not hesitate to institute a trial as was done in England where John Amery was tried and convicted and had to pay for his treachery to his country by hanging from the gallows, because the verdict of the people of England was that such people like John Amery were not fit to pollute the soil of England by treading on that soil alive, because the English people remained firm in their determination that Britons shall never be slaves whereas in India two hundred years of slavery made some people think that they could perpetrate any heinous crimes against this country and by deluding the masses with cheap slogans perpetrate that slavery with delusions and deceipt, and then come out as great protagonists of democracy. I only regret that a trial corresponding to the tribunal which tried the criminals in the Brakenville trial was not held in India. It is

the extremely forgiving, disastrously forgiving and the so-called humanitarian outlook of Mahatma Gandhi that has given them freedom and has gone even to harbour those who had gone astray. That has been illustrated by the treatment meted out by Mahatma Gandhi to some of the Prime Ministers who had taken to Direct Action and various other measures. May I remind my honourable friend who has just spoken that it is precisely because of that outlook that many people have escaped a trial today. We know that slavery corrupts a nation and two hundred years of slavery was enough to corrupt the very fibre in the general body of India. It was the few, Sir, who atoned it with their sufferings and sacrifices, even with their lives, in order to redeem the people and infuse a new calibre into them. Only a few weeks of slavery in a country like France had produced that type of people. Only a few weeks of slavery in the Scandinavian and other European countries had produced men whose names have brought a new addition in the dictionary of the English language in the word "Quisling"—men who were known as a criminal band masquerading as political parties.

Let me not take more time of the House, Sir. If we are really to emulate democracy and earn democracy, not with guns and bullets, then we must not emulate the Brakenville method but the democracy of the Congress Government which represents the democracy of India-not through the police but through the tears of the people, the price that they had paid for their country's freedom. We have been told a lot of things about the I.C.S. and the Police. They are not discoveries to us. We all know that, but I am sorry to say that there are pseudo-political parties who had out-Heroded Herod during the war, during those dark days of 1942-45. We have had the experience of the August, 1942, days when even ordinary police officials who for their bread were compelled to do things which were not very commendable used to come and inform us about the police tracking the heroes of the August movement-heroes who were called goondas by those very people whose voice even today echoes in this House. Even if the police warned us, it was the members of that criminal anti-patriotic bands masquerading as political parties who were trying their level best to hand over the patriots to the police. The voice of those people is now represented as the voice of democracy If that voice of democracy was real, if it was really the voice of Jacob and not the hand of Æsop, we could have expected them to join the Azad Hind Fouz under the banner of Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose, but instead of doing so they condemned them as traitors and now they are masquerading themselves as protagonists of democracy on the floor of this House.

Fortunately, Sir, the Congress has now come to power, but not with that kind of organisation, but, unfortunately, we have to confess to our shame that we have not got the machinery which can overnight change all the officials from the Governor down to the chaukidar and create a new set in their place. If we had, the Congress could have adopted measures to liquidate them. But the Congress is out to give a new example of freedom, a new light and a new teaching under the leadership of Mahatma Gandhi to the whole world. Therefore the Congress has embraced with open arms all and sundry. We have today accepted as part of our nation those who were out to serve the infamous British power in occupation. Those people who for their bread were in the past giving every service to the enemy whom they had been used to serve loyally, have now been given a chance, and the patriots and political workers will see today how to uplift the present dilapidated India where the nation has been reduced into a ramble of ruins. So the Congress will see that out of such people we build up a new nation and a new people. So let us not pour venom as my friend has been doing. Therefore I will say to him, Doctor, heal thyself before healing thy neighbour. That is the only thing I would say to him. I was listening to him with intent attention and I must thank this side of the House that they have shown exemplary toleration in even listening to the voice of

those who echo the political memory of John Amery in England. It was for the same fault that John Amery went to the gallows, but India has given such people an opportunity to redeem themselves and become good citizens as a patriotic section of our democracy. (Applause.)

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: Sir, to be very frank with you I was not at all prepared to speak on this subject, but I regret to say that Mr. Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar provoked me to speak a few words on this subject. I very much expected that in this first legislature of West Bengal in free India debates and discussions would be of a different kind from what we saw in the past days. Mr. Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar, I am sorry to say, inflicted on us a speech, an old-fashioned speech, a clap-trap speech, indulging in sentimental and emotional utterances. He, while supporting the Government, indulged mostly in personal attacks on persons who could not agree with the party to which he belongs and belonged in 1944-45. I very much expected that Mr. Dutt-Mazumdar being an able representative of the Ministerial Party would place before us the merits of the measure that Government intend to pass and convince us by his arguments that the House should without opposition accept the measure. Instead, he simply spent all his time throwing mud upon others and describing those who did not agree with them as fifth columnists and enemies of the country. I take this opportunity to tell very clearly to Mr. Dutt-Mazumdar and his friends and those who think like him that loyalty to the State is a loyalty that is needed but loyalty to any party-for instance Congress which is one of the many parties now functioning in India and which party fortunately is now m power-lovalty to that party does not mean loyalty to the State. We may have political differences. Some in 1944-45 thought that supporting Fascist uprising would destroy India's chance of freedom. They thought that, it the Fascists were destroyed, then circumstances and atmosphere will be created in which India will get her desired objective, independence. Others thought otherwise. I do not want to say that those who thought otherwise were wrong or traitors, but history has proved that with the fall of the Fascist forces India has got freedom and independence. So it cannot be said as strongly as Mr. Dutt-Mazumdar pretends to say that those who thought that the Fascists should be opposed and reasonable assistance should be given to the Allied powers were traitors

Now, Sir, I think with the attainment of independence we should start creating a complete revolution in our thinking, feeling, action and our very existence. If by independence we simply mean the stepping into the shoes of the past administrators and rulers, it by independence we simply mean a change from the White to the Brown, then we think we shall be very much mistaken. In the new context, new circumstances, new atmosphere we must breathe freely. What the past Governments, past Ministers, past administrators and rulers did is not very much our concern. If we really love freedom and independence, in that case by our action we must prove to the people that we really do so. Therefore if ordinances, extraordinary measures or violence, guns and atom bombs have been used in the past for maintaining law and order, it does not go to prove conclusively that in future also these things will have to be used. I am not an unqualified believer in the doctrine of non-violence to which creed, I think our present Premier, Dr. Ghosh, owes allegiance and I believe that occasion arises when force has to be used, but today we are living practically by the grace of fold in normal circumstances and just we are going to lay the foundation of a prosperous future.

Now, I do not find any reason whatsoever why extraordinary measures should be taken resort to. Here unless any emergency arises we should proceed normally and instead of ordinances and extraordinary measures against which we have developed so much prejudice and against which we tought and our friends in the Ministerial benches and their leader

Dr. Ghosh courted imprisonment and voluntarily underwent great sufferings—I think he should remember his past days and his friends also should remember their past days and their past views, past action, past thinking and past feelings—on the basis of those things they should create new history.

Sir, after achieving independence people of Bengal and other provinces of India are expecting different things and different treatment, different dealings, different administration, altogether different Government from what we saw in the past. Now, Sir, as I frankly said I was not prepared to speak on the subject and in fact I do not know the details of the Bill, so I cannot say I am opposed to it, but Sir, I would request the gentlemen sitting in the Ministerial benches and the mover of the Bill not to support the Bill in the manner Mr. Mazumdar did. On the contrary I expect that they should place before the House in a quiet manner, without giving any provocations, without attacking anybody and indulging in personal attackscalling this man a traitor and that man a traitor and always claiming a monopoly of patriotism all by themselves-the merits of the circumstances which forced this Ministry to take this measure, the circumstances which led them to press a measure like this in this House. These should be discussed and talked and instead of attacking others I think argument and reason should be taken resort to and an attempt should be made to convince everyone here about the justice and fairness for accepting this Bill. Otherwise we shall start creating bad blood from now and unnecessarily create disruptions amongst ourselves when unity of purpose is very much needed.

I cannot say, as I am not prepared and have not studied the details of the Bill, that we oppose the Bill but I simply rise, as I said already, to protest against the manner in which the Bill is going to be supported. If there is no merit at all, then people should adopt this method or if the Ministry find that they have nothing to support the Bill on merits, there is no justice and fairness in it, in that case they have got a majority and a party disciplined in that case without a speech they should put it before the House and forcibly get it through. But in any case these kinds of provocations and attacks should not be encouraged.

This is what I want to place before you and I draw the attention of Dr. Prafulla Chandra Ghosh and his colleagues that these personal attacks were a past tradition when things were done without justice and fairness, somehow they wanted to get things through by force of majority and simply to throw dust upon public eyes outside the legislature used to indulge in tall talks on democracy. So, Sir, we think he will at least, in whom people have some confidence as sincere and honest patriot—under his leadership the old fashion, the old order will be changed and a new system should be introduced for introducing a Bill, for supporting a Bill and getting a Bill passed.

With these words I beg to support the amendment.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I oppose the amendment which has been moved by my friend Mr. Jyoti Basu for circulation. His whole speech was one of opposition but his amendment was one for circulation whose object also is to defeat the purpose of the whole Bill, so that the Bill may not be effective at all and it may not come before the House at all. Therefore, strictly speaking, this amendment is not an amendment, it is one of opposition. But I do not want to stand on technicalities. I do say I am sincerely sorry that I have got to move this Special Powers Bill. I wished that under the altered circumstances of India after the attainment of freedom everybody had behaved in an orderly and disciplined manner and this Bill would not have been necessary at all, but I am sorry to say that the state of affairs is not such. I am rather ashamed to say that there is a spirit of indiscipline

more or less all round. At the slightest provocation people are in a mood to take law in their own hands. I may tell you from my personal experience that I was asked to preside over a public meeting. I went there and then one speaker got up and said that of the two phases of our struggle one phase is over, i.e., the Britishers have left; the second phase of our struggle is that the Imperialistic, Capitalistic Congress Government must be liqui-Up to that there is nothing wrong in that. I do say that everybody has a right to say "Congress Government Murdabad", but what he "We are not wedded to non-violence and we shall said next was this: even take violent measure to overthrow the Congress Government, if need I was presiding over the meeting and he was speaking! I can tell Mr. Jyoti Basu that I have not taken him to the prison house for that speech. So if this sort of attitude continues, I do not know what the Government is to do. I am a strict follower of non-violence. I wish the society had been such that an organised violence of the State would have been altogether unnecessary, and I appeal to my friend to at least persuade his followers to behave in such a way, so that these Acts might not be necessary at all. And I can assure the whole House that the moment I find that these Acts are not necessary, the Acts will be repealed, and not merely that, the Acts will be applied in such a manner that not a single innocent person, at least when it comes to my knowledge, is punished. I shall not stand on technicalities. I shall see that justice is done and this law is not applied improperly in any manner. But after the attainment of independence many people do not differentiate between independence and licence. Licence cannot be tolerated in the name of independence. 1 do say with all humility that I almost eat out my heart when I have got to order any police or anybody to shoot people, but I have got to do that. When there are loot and arson, what am I to do? I have got to prevent this loot and arson. I have got to prevent many things. Robbery is going this loot and arson. I have got to prevent many things. Robbery is going on even in Calcutta. There are arms and ammunitions in the hands of many people, both Hindus and Muslims, and I have not yet been able to recover even under the Special Powers Ordinance all these arms and ammunitions. What am I to do Of course I hang down my head, you may say, in shame, because this is my country and I am a representative of that country.

Sir, a good deal has been said about the past. Even Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru and Mahatmaji have been quoted. I wish these scriptures were not quoted. I can quote chapters and verses against my comrades, but I want to forget the past. I want to live in the present and in the future. Man is a dynamic and not a static being. So I appeal to him not to press this amendment but to accept my motion. My friends Mr Khuda Bukhsh and, I understand, the Chief Whip Shri Amar Ghose have given notice for referring the Bill to a Select Committee I do say now that I accept the amendment for sending it to the Select Committee. As regards the personnel of the Select Committee, the mover will mention that later. What I am to say now is this that we should all try to bring the country to such a condition, so that these things may be altogether redundant. Mr. Basu has said that the I.C.S. officers are there. They are there. He has quoted the scriptures of Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru. The Government of India of which Pandit Jawaharlal is the head made an agreement with the Secretary of State that the I.C.S. officers should remain. Therefore, it is the Government of India which is responsible for keeping LCS officers in Bengal. The I.C.S. officers cannot behave in any way they like, because the Prime Minister knows what is going on. I can tell you that the I.C.S. officers will have to act under the orders of this Government. Everything that is done, our Government is responsible for that. If any officer behaves wrongly, he will have to go, but whatever is done is done on behalf of the Government. It is no good blaming the I.C.S. officers. The I.C.S. officers are to carry out orders. They carried out the orders of the past Government and they will carry out orders of the present Government also. If anybody does not carry out orders of the present Government, however high

he may be, he will have to go. Therefore we must not go into the shibboleth of I.C.S. officers. It would be better if we forget many slogans of the past and it will be good for the country. Mr. Hashim has appealed to me-I see Mr. Hashim has disappeared-let us forget the past. He has appealed to me but he has not given me an opportunity to appeal to him. He has appealed to me to be generous and see that the country can write on a clean sheet of paper. I would request him to co-operate with us, so that we can write on a clean sheet of paper and make our country-men prosperous and happy—both Hindus and Muslims. I do not want to rake up the past as to what Mr. Suhrawardy did or what Mr. Siddiqi did. I do not want to rake up that past but I can assure all sections of the Houselet us all work together, let us curb the tendencies towards lawlessness and violence and let us curb the tendencies towards bribery. This Bill may be passed into an Act; still I can assure you that this Bill will not be applied to any and every case unless it is absolutely necessary and even then also with mercy and justice and with the background of non-violence of which I am a votary I do believe that non-violence alone can save the world, and democracy alone and not totalitarianism can save the country. I assure Mr. Bose, a democratic exponent of a totalitarian party, that democracy practised properly and not in an indisciplined manner will save the country.

With these words, Sir. I appeal to all my friends to extend their hand of co-operation by which I hope to make the Bill a success, and I oppose the amendment of Mr. Jyoti Basu.

The motion of Mr. Jyoti Basu that the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion by the 15th of March, 1948, was then put and lost

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sii, I beg to move that the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the folloing members:—

- (1) Mr. J. C. Gupta,
- (2) Mr. Dhirendra Narayan Mukherji,
- (3) Mr. Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdat,
- (4) Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha,
- (5) Mr Jyoti Basu,
- (6) Mr. G. C. D. Wilks,
- (7) Mr. Daniel Gomes,
- (8) Khan Bahadur Mudassir Hossain,
- (9) Mr. Molla Muhammad Abdul Halim, and
- (10) The Hon'ble Minister-in-charge of the Home Department,

Sir, I find that my name also appears to have been included in the printed list of the Order Paper. I do not desire or intend that my name should be included.

Shri J. C. CUPTA: It is always the practice to include the mover.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I am sorry, I was not aware of the procedure.

Sir, it is indeed a very happy augury that there is a realisation in all quarters that the country should not be ruled ordinarily by these extraordinary pieces of legislation known as Ordinances. But Sir,——

Mr. SPEAKER: May I knew from the honourable member whether consent has been obtained from the members whose names have been included in the list.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, as there was no time, this was done in an extreme hurry and I have not been able to ascertain the wishes of the honourable members.

Mr. SPEAKER: Then, I am afraid, your motion falls through.

Shri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following members:—

- (1) Mr. Kanai Lal De.
- (2) Mr. Susil Kumar Banerjee,
- (3) Mr. Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar,
- (4) Mr. J. C. Gupta,
- (5) Mr. Harendra Nath Dolui,
- (6) Mr. Jadabendra Nath Panja,
- (7) Mr. Satish Chandra Chakravarty,
- (8) Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh,
- (9) Mr. Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim,
- (10) Mr. G. C. D. Wilks, and
- (11) The Hon'ble Minister-in-charge of the Home Department,

with instructions to submit their report by the 5th of December, 1947, and that the quorum of the Select Committee be fixed at five.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, may I be permitted to speak on this amendment?

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, you have heard and we also have heard the learned speeches tracing the history of the freedom movement in all its diverse aspects. There has been acrimony evident in the House which is regrettable.

Sir, these Ordinances had been the butt of attack from the Opposition whoever constituted the Opposition. I have to admit that the Ordinance, as has been placed before the House, is in most parts a replica of the Ordinance that had to be passed by the House when this side of the House was running the Government.

Shri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: That side of the House never ran the Government.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Well, I mean by that the Muslim League.

Sir, this Bill comprises certain Ordinances which were promulgated by the Government which felt that the Government should arm themselves with sufficiently wide powers of an extraordinary nature to deal with the mituations that might be created with the advent of independence, when it did come, and when the country was passing through troublous times. Government could not foresee and it was not expected that any human Government would be able to foresee how the situation would develop after such a momentous occurrence as the transfer of power to the people of India from the foreigners. But now, Sir, I hope you would agree with most of the members who have spoken on this subject that times have changed and we are fast regaining normalcy, and what could have been done without the approval of all the members of the House in times of urgency and emergency may not be done in times of peace. Therefore I feel that instead of opposing the Ordinance, lock, stock and barrel, certain provisions which were of a sweeping nature which gave the Government wide powers but

which Government did not really need in the altered circumstances, should be gone into, debated and discussed, and amendments suggested so that the Bilk may be presented to the House in a form that may be acceptable to all shades of opinion in this House. Sir, many a time this side of the House as well as the honourable members on the Government benches had the feeling at the time allotted to us to table amendments, but the Hon'ble the Prime Minister ruled it out on the ground that we are trying to waste the nation's time. I must recall with great sorrow and dismay that it was, to put it very mildly, a very fantastic plea to say that this House has the remotest or any intention at all of wasting the nation's time. I want to assure the Leader of the House that it is farthest from any member of the House to waste the nation's time. We are all here to see that good government is done and that legislation is enacted only for the benefit and uplitt of the masses and that all legislative measures are put through with utmost expedition. All the same we cannot surrender our rights as members to be able to scrutinise and digest all the provisions of the Bills and other measures that are brought before this House.

Therefore, Sir, I welcome the Prime Minister's agreement to refer this Bill to a Select Committee,

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I accept this amendment.

The motion of Shri A. K. Ghose that the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following members:—

- (1) Mr. Kanailal De,
- (2) Mr. Susil Kumar Banerjee,
- (3) Mr. Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar,
- (4) Mr. J. C. Gupta,
- (5) Mr. Harendra Nath Dolui,
- (6) Mr. Jadabendra Nath Panja,
- (7) Mr. Satish Chandra Chakravarty,
- (8) Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh,
- (9) Mr. Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim,
- (10) Mr. G. C. D. Wilks, and
- (11) the Hon'ble Minister-in-charge of the Home Department,

with instructions to submit their report by the 5th December, 1947, and that the quorum of the Select Committee be fixed at 5, was then put and agreed to.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: May I rise on a point of order, Sir. Perhaps it has escaped your attention to put the original motion to vote. The amendment has been carried, but the original motion has not been put to the vote.

Mr. SPEAKER: When the motion for the Select Committee has been carried, the original motion falls through.

Prayer adjournment.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I draw your attention to the letter I gave you in your chamber regarding prayers.

Mr. SPEAKER: You better see me in my chamber when I shall discuss this matter in consultation with the Prime Minister.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I shall do so certainly, but in the meantime members are going out for prayer and the Opposition benches are thinning.

Mr. SPEAKER: There is an application for adjourning the House for prayer.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: May we not make some arrangement afterwards. At least for today it may do if we adjourn at 4-45. Let us then sit down together and fix some time.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, the Hon'ble Chief Minister suggests that we should sit down and come to an arrangement. I am afraid, on this matter we cannot come to an arrangement for the simple reason that prayer time cannot wait. We have prayers five times every day and at fixed hours.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: What are those fixed hours?

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Of course, they vary with the variations of the season. I wrote a letter to the Honble Speaker intimating that the House should ordinarily for this session adjourn once at 3-30 for Asar prayer and once at 4-50 for Magrib prayer.

Mr. SPEAKER: I have received this application.

Shri JYOTI BASU: That has been the usual practice.

Mr. SPEAKER: I adjourn the House today for 15 minutes for Asar prayer, subject to any arrangement that may be arrived at.

The House was then adjourned for 15 minutes.)

(After adjournment.)

COVERNMENT BILLS.

The West Bengal Disturbed Areas Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir I beg to introduce the West Bengal Disturbed Areas Bill, 1947.

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Disturbed Areas Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, on a point of information. This Bill in its ordinance form was applied in the district of Murshidabad on the 17th or 18th, the day the Boundary Commission Award was published. I am not aware whether the Ordinance is still in operation in my district. The Government apprehended that in Murshidabad conditions might arise which might necessitate the use of the provisions of this Ordinance, but my district is quiet and there is no likelihood of any breach of the peace. I am through you asking the Hon'ble Chief Minister if he is aware that the Ordinance has since been recalled from operation in the district of Murshidabad.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Just now how can I give you the information. You yourself coming from Murshidabad do not know and you ask me to give the information here and now. I want notice. I shall give you the information later.

Mr. MAHAMMAD SAYEED MIA: Hon'ble Speaker, Sir. Bengal Disturbed Area Bill সম্বশ্বে আমি করেকটী কথা বলা প্ররোজন মনে করিতেছি। আমরা স্বাধীন ভারত ডোমিনিয়ন রাম্মের অন্থত ও রাজভক্ত প্রজা হিসাবে সকল প্রকার হাসামা, আইনভস ও শান্তিভস ও বথেছাচারজনক কার্য্যের দমন স্বর্থান্তঃকরণে সম্বর্থান করি।

हेलिश्रादर्भ किनकाला ७ अन्यान स्थान स्थर्भ कीयन शत्रामा ७ माहनीय श्लाकान्छ श्रेयाह `ভাহাতে উহার বিহিত প্রতিকারের জন্য উক্ত বিল পাস হওয়ার প্রয়োজনীয়তা আছে। কিবু দেশের वर्तमान मान्छ व्यावशास्त्रात मर्था डेक व्याहेरनत व्यवभाषारा प्रात्तिमरक अपन क्रमणात व्यवपावशात १७ हात যথেট আশব্দা রহিয়াছে। দেশের বিভিন্ন অগুলে অস্ত্রধারী প্লিস রাখা ও কোন কোন স্থানকে disturbed area বলিয়া ঘোষণা করার সুযোগ লইয়া এক প্রেনীর দুষ্ট বৃদ্ধির ও উপ্র প্রকৃতির লোকেরা নিরীষ্ট ও অসাবধান গ্রামবাসীকৈ প্লিসের সম্মুখীন করিয়া ও গ্লী খাওয়াইয়া তাছাদেরকে আত্তিকত ও ছতিগ্ৰস্ত করার জন্য কৌশলে শান্তিভঙ্গের কারণ ঘটাইতেছে न्हारन बद्दान मूचिना घछोत्र नत्र न्हालामत बक जतरम तिर्ाहित हेनत्र निर्मत कत्रियाहै वह निर्मास लाकरक व्यताम्रहाद धर्माक् ७ मारधर, गामान ७ मीर्घ शाहरवाम कतान श्रेरलहा। क्रममाधारामत न्याया ७ প্রকৃত অভিযোগ থাকিলেও তাহা কর্তৃপক্ষণণের গোচরীভূত করার কোন উপায় রাখা বা সুযোগ দেওয়া रह नाहै। प्रचेत्र पमन ७ निर्फेत भावन कताहै यथन शुक्र परिराधित वाका एथन प्रकल श्रकात आहेन-**क्रमकातिशगरक वाहेन** न्वात्रा नमन ७ गाण्ठिमान कतात प्रत्न प्रति प्रत्न भू निरुप्तत स्थाला, व्यवतावहात ७ यरश्का-চারিতা সম্বন্ধে বিহিত তদন্তের ব্যবস্থা করা ও নিরপরাধ ও শান্তিকামী জনসাধারণ যাহাতে অনুথাক প্লিসের ব্যারাও আইনের ব্যারা অত্যাচারিত ও বিপক্ষ না হয় তাহারও উপায় করা ও জেলা কড্--পক্ষণণকে তদন্যায়ী নিদ্দেশি দেওয়া গভর্ণামেন্টের নিতান্ত প্রয়োজন। কারণ বর্তমানে বিভিন্ন পশ্পদায়ের মধ্যে যের্প অবিশ্বাপের ভাব বর্তুমান রহিয়াছে তাহাতে প্লিপের এক তরকা রিপোটের্র উপর নির্ভার করা নিরাপদ নহে। আশা করি আমাদের জনপ্রিয় প্রধান মদ্দ্রী মহোদয় শান্তিকামী জনসাধারণকে আইনের অপব্যবহার হইতে রহা করিবার স্বাবস্হা করিয়া দেশবাশীর কৃতভ্রতাভাজন श्रदिन ।

The motion of the Hon'ble Dr. Prafulla Chandra Ghosh that the West Bengal Disturbed Areas Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration was then put and agreed to.

Clause 1.

The question that clause 1 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

The question that clause 2 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 3.

The question that clause 3 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 4.

The question that clause 4 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

('lause 5.

The question that clause 5 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 6.

The question that clause 6 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble.

The question that the preamble stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Disturbed Areas Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

The motion was put and agreed to.

The West Bengal Special Powers (Second Amendment) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to introduce the West Bengal Special Powers (Second Amendment) Bill. 1947.

Mr. SPEAKER: May I know from the mover of the motion as to whether the provisions of this Bill are to be consolidated with the West Bengal Special powers Bill or do you want to continue this Bill?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I want that this Bill also be referred to a Select Committee

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill)

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Special Powers (Second Amendment) Bill, 1947, be-taken into consideration.

Shri A. K. CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move by way of amendment that the West Bengal Special Powers (Second Amendment) Bill, 1947, be referred to the same Select Committee consisting of—

- (1) Mr. Kanai Lal De,
- (2) Mr. Susil Kumar Banerice,
- (3) Mr. Nihaiendu Dutt-Mazumdar,
- (4) Mr. J. C. Gupta,
- (5) Mr. Harendra Nath Dolui,
- (6) Mr. Jadabendra Nath Panja,
- (7) Mr. Satish Chandra Chakravarty,
- (8) Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh,
- (9) Mr. Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim.
- (10) Mr. G. C. D. Wilks, and
- (11) the Hon'ble Minister-in-charge of the Home Department, with instructions to report by the 5th of December, 1947, and that the quorum of the Select Committee be fixed at five.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I accept that amendment.

The motion of Shri A. K. Ghose that the West Bengal Special Powers (Second Amendment) Bill, 1947, be referred to the same Select Committee consisting of—

- (1) Mr. Kanai Laf De,
 - (2) Mr. Susil Kumar Banerjee,
 - (3) Mr. Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar,
 - (4) Mr. J. C. Gupta,
 - (5) Mr. Harendra Nath Dolui,
 - (6) Mr. Jadabendra Nath Panja,

- (7) Mr. Satish Chandra Chakravarty,
- (8) Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh,
- (9) Mr. Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim,
- (10) Mr. G. C. D. Wilks, and
- (11) the Hon'ble Minister-in-charge of the Home Department,

with instructions to report by the 5th of December, 1947, and that the quorum of the Select Committee be fixed at five, was then put and agreed to.

The Bengal Public Demands Recovery (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Mr. Speaker, Sir, J beg to introduce the Bengal Public Demands Recovery (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947.

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I beg to move that the Bengal Public Demands Recovery (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

Sir, in this connection I would like to make a few observations. It is a very short Bill which seeks to amend the provisions of the Bengal Public Demands Recovery Act, 1913, in respect of two small matters for administra-tive convenience. Under the existing provisions of the Act any requisition for certificates filed by a liquidator is required to be countersigned by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, and this causes unnecessary delay in the filing of such certificates. Moreover, under the Bengal Co-operative Societies Act, 1940, the liquidator has been authorised to file such certificates direct to the Court of the Certificate Officer. It is therefore necessary that there should be a consequential amendment of section 5 of the Public Demands Recovery Act bringing it in line with the provisions of the Co-operative Societies Act. Article 9, Schedule I of the Public Demands Recovery Act, is also proposed to be amended in order to facilitate the realisation of the seed loans advanced by Government to cultivators during the last few years in connection with the Grow More Food campaign. There is an outstanding demand of about one lakh maunds of seed loans, and difficulties are being experienced in enforcing realisation of the arrear demand from wilful defaulters. In order to avoid delay in distribution of loans, the bonds executed by the cultivators were not registered. As such the amounts cannot be recovered under the existing provisions of the Public Demands Recovery Act. The only legal process available for recovery of these loans is a civil suit which involves much delay and expenditure, and is unsuitable for realisation of Government dues. It is therefore proposed to amend the schedule of public demands so as to bring these loans within the category of public demands.

I hope, Sir, the House will accept my motion without any opposition.

The motion of the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada Mookerjee that the Bengal Public Demands Recovery (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 1.

The question that clause 1 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

The question that clause 2 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 3.

The question that clause 3 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble.

The question that the preamble stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I beg to move that the Bengal Public Demands Recovery (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

The motion was put and agreed to.

Adjournment.

The House was then adjourned at 4-37 p m $^\circ$ till 3-45 p.m. on Monday, the 8th December, 1947, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

64 [STH DEC.,

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Monday, the 8th December, 1947, at 3-45 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Speaker (the Hon'ble Shri Iswar Das Jalan) in the Chair, 9 Hon'ble Ministers and 54 members.

Policemen in the Assembly compound.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, I find on entering the Assembly today that there are hundreds of armed policemen and some Intelligence Branch people. I do not know whether we are living in a Police State. What is the reason for this? Have they come here with your permission and what is the reason for the armed police being here in a free Assembly?

Mr. SPEAKER: They have come with my permission and in order to prevent entrance into the House.

Shri JYOTI BASU: By whom—who are entering the House? Do we need armed policemen in order to prevent entrance into the House?

Mr. SPEAKER: It is the duty of the police to protect the House and in performance of their duty, they are here.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

Maintenance of District Board roads scheduled to be taken up as National or Provincial Highways.

- *1. Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: (a) Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Works and Buildings Department be pleased to state whether it is a fact—
 - (i) that the roads scheduled to be taken up as National Highways or Provincial Highways are being neglected by the district boards and other local authorities and have, as a result, fallen into disrepair;
 - (ii) that Government have not yet taken over these roads, nor have arranged for their proper maintenance; and
 - (iii) that the holding-up of the distribution of the proceeds of the Motor Vehicles Tax Fund has been one of the handicaps which has hampered the district boards in their road repair work?
- (b) Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether the Government propose to take over immediately the roads notified to be taken up as National and Provincial Highways?
- (c) If the reply to (b) is in the negative, what steps do the Government propose to take to keep the roads in working condition throughout the year?

MINISTER in charge of the WORKS and BUILDINGS DEPARTMENT (the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati Majumdar): (a)(i) Yes, in many cases.

- (ii) No. Government have taken up for improvement under the Development Programme, and are maintaining a certain mileage of roads which are classified as National Highways or Provincial Highways.
 - (iii) Yes, but not a major one.
- (b) Government are seriously considering the question of taking over for maintenance all roads which were improved from Detence Budget during the last war and which are included in the Post War Road Development plan of this Province.
- (c) An ad hoc grant of Rs. 10 lakhs has been sanctioned to district boards for urgent repairs to their roads during the current financial year.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state if there is any difference between the roads scheduled as National Highways or Provincial Highways and the roads mentioned in answer (a)(n), nz, roads taken up for improvement under the Development Programme?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: National Highways are maintained by the India Government—we maintain on behalf of the India Government and the Provincial Highways are maintained out of the funds of the Provincial Government.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, my question was not that. I asked whether the roads scheduled to be taken up as National Highways or Provincial Highways have been taken up by the Government. The Hon'ble Minister says "No". That means, the roads scheduled to be taken up as National Highways and Provincial Highways have not been taken up. Then he goes on to say that Government have taken up certain roads for improvement under the Development Programme. My question is whether the Development Programme does not include or does include the National Highways and the Provincial Highways.

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: I want notice.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether it is a fact that certain mileage of roads classified as National Highways and Provincial Highways have been included in the Development Programme and they are now being maintained by the Government?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: Yes. We have now taken over to repair and maintain Barasat-Jagulia road -19 miles. Bankura-Chuchuria road—14 miles. Bansa-Chuchuria road -23 miles, and Diamond Harbour-Kakdwip road—27 miles.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what percentage the total of these roads form to the National Highways included in the Development Programme?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: I want notice,

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether the roads which were improved from the Detence Budget during the last war have all been included in the Development Programme as National Highways, Provincial Highways, or major district roads?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: I want notice,

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be p'eased to state on what principles this ad hoc grant of Rs. 10 lakhs has been made?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: Just to help the district boards to get over their present difficulties.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state on what basis this ad hoc grant of Rs. 10 lakhs has been allotted to the different district boards?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: According to the mileage of different districts.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be p'eased to state whether municipalities have been excluded from the benefit of the Motor Vehicles Tax Fund?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: Municipalities will be given grants from other sources.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state if Government are considering the necessity of supplying road materials and road-making materials to the district boards?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: It is very difficult at the present moment to say that.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state if it is not a fact that in the absence of road materials and road-making materials, mere grant of ad hoc grants would be of no benefit?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: It would be of some benefit

Shri RADHA NATH DAS: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether the old Benares Road in the district of Hooghly has been taken into consideration in this connection?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: Government is considering whether it would take it up or not. There was a talk of National Highways running parallel to that road. That was why Government could not proceed with the maintenance of the road or making it pucca, but now there is a talk of having the National Highways ending near Bally Bridge. So, Government will be in a position to take up the old Benares Road and maintain it.

Shri ANANDILAL PODDAR: In reply to question (a)(iii), the Hon'ble Minister has said "Yes, but not a major one". Then, Sir, what, according to the Hon'ble Minister, is a major handicap for the district boards in their road repair work?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: The motor vehicles tax is just a part of a grant which is generally not a grant for repair work. This was a supplementary grant for original work. The district boards should maintain roads from their road cess fund and other funds.

Sti ANANDILAL PODDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what attempts are being made by Government to see that the district boards do repair the roads from the funds from which they are expected to repair the roads?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: Now a special grant has been made and it is expected that they will do it.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether the Government's road development programme includes the development of the roads in the eastern border of the province?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: Yes.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what priority has been given to that work?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: In some cases priority has been given.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what considerations determine giving priority to such roads as the answer is, in some cases priority has been given?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: The roads, say, in Dinajpur which is a surplus district are to be taken up first. Some priority has been given to the Malda district where there is no connection with other districts.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: With reference to answer (c) that an ad hoc grant of Rs. 10 lakhs has been sanctioned to district boards for urgent repairs to their roads during the current financial year, has Government made any arrangement on its own part for actual supervision to find out whether this money is being properly utilised and the roads are kept in proper order of repair?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: It is left to the authorities of the district boards.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state how much out of this Rs. 10 lakks has gone to the Murshidabad district board?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: I want notice, but the idea is that according to the mileage of road, they will get

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: The Hon'ble Minister was good enough to say that priority will be given to this or that. Will be kindly tell the House the basis of this choice of priority?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: I want notice.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state if it is a fact that some district boards are unwilling to hand over roads to the Government which have been scheduled as Provincial and National Highways?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: Well, the district boards are not very eager to hand over the roads.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Is it a fact that the Murshidabad district board is not willing to hand over the Kandi-Radharghat Road in this way?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: I want notice.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Will the Hon'ble Minister kindly tell the House whether these repairs to the roads have priority only in cases where they are broken up and not serving the public satisfactorily?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: That is one of the considerations.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQUI: What are the other considerations?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: There are many other considerations.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Will you kindly mention one or two?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: Procurement of surplus food is one.

Mr. MAHAMMAD SAYEED MIA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state why only a small amount of Rs. 10,000 has been allotted to Malda out of Rs. 10 lakhs?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: That depends on the mileage of the district.

Mr. MAHAMMAD SAYEED MIA: Is this not a very small amount—Rs. 10,000 to Malda?

The Hon'ble Shri BHUPATI MAJUMDAR: That is a matter of opinion.

Arrest of Mr. Soumyendra Nath Tagore.

- *2. Shri JYOTI BASU: (a) Is the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Home Department aware that Mr. Soumyendra Nath Tagore has been arrested by the police?
- (b) If so, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state under what law and on what charge or charges Mr. Tagore has been arrested?
 - (c) Does the Hon'ble Minister propose to send him for trial?
- (d) Is the Hon'ble Minister aware that detention without trial is contrary to the declared policy of the Indian National Congress?
- (e) If so, does the Hon'ble Minister contemplate setting him free and putting an end to detention without trial?

MINISTER in sharge of the HOME DEPARTMENT (the Hon'ble Dr. Prafulla Chandra Chosh): (a) Yes.

- (b) He has been arrested and detained under section 18 of the Bengal Special Powers Ordinance, 1946, for acting in a manner prejudicial to the public safety.
- (c) No question of trial arises as there is no provision for it under the law under which he has been arrested. His arrest and detention are preventive measures as there are plenty of materials in the possession of Government to show that he and some members of his party have been acting or are about to act in a manner prejudicial to the safety of the State.
- (d) What is the policy of the Indian National Congress is not a subjectmatter for discussion here, but I may inform the Hon'ble Member that some other Provincial Governments have passed similar Acts and the Constituent Assembly in its meeting on 22nd August, 1947, accepted the principle of preventive detention for reasons of State.
 - (e) Does not arise.

Shri JYOTI BASU: With regard to answer (a), was the Hon'ble Minister informed of the arrest after the arrest or before the arrest?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I passed the order for arrest.

Shri JYOTI BASU: In answer (c) the Hon'ble Minister has stated that there are plenty of materials in the possession of Government to show that he and some members of his party have been acting or are about to act in a manner prejudicial to the safety of the State. If that is so, then why are not these evidence sufficient or trustworthy enough to be placed before a court of law?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: For reasons of State.

Shri JYOTI BASU: What is the answer, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER: The Hon'ble Minister has said "for reasons of State".

Shri JYOTI BASU: For reasons of State what? Sir, I do not think my question has been understood.

Mr. SPEAKER: That means he does not want to say for reasons of State.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I may be permitted to make myself clear. Here it is said, mark the language, "there are plenty of materials in the possession of Government". I said "could not some of these materials be placed before an open court of law by a popular Government?"

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: It is in the interests of the State that these materials should not be placed before the court.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state as to who supplied the Hon'ble Minister with these materials?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: The usual agency.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state who are these usual agencies? Now that a popular Government have come into being, we would like to know this.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Usual agencies in every Government everywhere in the world and in this country also as in other provinces.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Are they the C.I.D.?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I say they are the usual Government agencies.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: With reference to his answer that he passed orders for the arrest, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether, in passing orders, he acted merely on reports received or did he personally take precaution to satisfy himself that there were grounds for justifying such an arrest?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I had personally satisfied myself that there were justifiable grounds for the arrest.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: In taking such a decision and passing such an order, a grave step was taken so far as liberty of a citizen of free India was at stake. With that in view did he make all necessary enquiries in order to satisfy himself in this connection?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Yes; I did as far as possible.

Shri JYOTI BASU: With reference to answer (d), viz., "some other Provincial Governments have passed similar Acts", etc., will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether these Governments acted according to the election manifesto of the Congress or according to the proclaimed policy of the Congress for the last 40 years?

The Honble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: This is irrelevant here.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would request you to say whether this question is irrelevant. The answer to the original question is "some other provincial Governments have passed similar Acts, etc.". My question was "if the Hon'ble Minister is aware that detention without trial is contrary to the declared policy of the Indian National Congress". The answer has been given as stated above. And, therefore, I would like to know whether these Governments have acted according to the proclaimed policy of the Congress for the last 40 years.

Mr. SPEAKER: It is for the Hon'ble Minister to give the answer. He has said that it is irrelevant.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether persons detained under this Ordinance are made to work in the jail?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: At least as far as I know "no". He has been put in Division I and I do not think he has to work.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: My question is whether persons arrested under Bengal Special Powers Ordinance, 1946, are made to work in the jail?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I want notice. I shall enquire and let you know.

Shri JYOTI BASU: With reference to answer (d) when the Hon'ble Minister says that the Constituent Assembly had accepted the principle of preventive detention for reasons of State, is he aware that in the fundamental objectives cited by Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru rights of citizens have been guaranteed, and is he aware also as to the conditions under which the principle of such preventive detention has been accepted by the Constituent Assembly?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I am afraid that is an argument and not a question.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Sir, the Hon'ble Minister refuses to answer my question; it is amazing.

Mr. SPEAKER: I cannot compel him if he does not want to do so.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: The Hon'ble the Prime Minister has, since the promulgation of the Ordinance when it was presented to the House in the form of a Bill, told the country that this Ordinance will be limited to four things, namely, suppression of goondas, possession of illegal arms, communal riots, and public safety. Now, Sir, I want to ask this question to the Hon'ble Minister, Home Department, "can be justify the arrest of Mr. Soumyendra Nath Tagore?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Yes.

Shri SIBNATH BANERJEE: Will the Hon ble Minister be pleased to state how many others have been arrested of that party since the arrest of Mr. Soumyendra Nath Tagore?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I have signed orders about three and I saw in the press that in mufassal areas some have been arrested but I have not yet received papers about them.

Shri JYOTI BASU: With reference to the answer which has been given just now by the Prime Minister that he has not received the papers of some other members of the party, is he aware that only a few days back he said that without the sanction of the Prime Minister such preventive detention would not take place?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: That is not correct. Up to 15 days the police can arrest and keep in detention and within that period the papers must come to me so that I may go through the papers.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether the Hon'ble Minister has decided to call for the papers of such persons first and then have them arrested or whether the police are going to arrest first and then send on the papers to the Prime Minister?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: In certain cases the police can do it first and then present the papers to me.

Shri SIBNATH BANERJEE: With reference to his answer (c) that no question of trial arises as there is no provision for it under the law under which he has been arrested, granting that it is so, is there anything preventing the Honble the Prime Minister to put Mr. Soumyendra Nath Tagore on trial? Under the provisions of this Ordinance there is none, but is there anything which is preventing him from putting him on trial?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I have already answered that.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state it it is a fact that Mr. Soumyendra Nath Tagore was collecting arms or doing anything that falls within the category of the Special Powers Ordinance?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSE: I am not ready to disclose the facts in the interests of the State.

Shri SIBNATH BANERJEE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to call a secret session of the Assembly and tell us what led him to sign the warrant of arrest of Mr. Soumyendra Nath Tagore?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I am not prepared to do that at least at this stage.

Shri JYOTI BASU: At what stage will be be prepared to do it?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: It will depend on the circumstances.

Shri SIBNATH BANERJEE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state how long is the detention likely to continue?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: As long as it is necessary in the interests of the State.

Shri SIBNATH BANERJEE: Is not that reply very vague? Let us know whether it is one year, two years, three years or ten years—a definite period.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: If you read the original Bill you will find that the period is two years and in the Bill that has come out of the Select Committee it is one year. Even after that if you pass a resolution you can amend it.

Mr. SPEAKER: I do not think you can refer to the Select Committee report until it is presented to the House.

Shri SIBNATH BANERJEE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to reconsider the step of detaining without trial and not facing the trial even with sufficient materials in his possession because of the sense of insecurity that is prevailing now amongst the people of Bengal including the members of this House?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I am afraid I cannot reconsider that at this stage in the interest of the State.

COVERNMENT BILLS.

The West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment Bill, 1947.

The Hos'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I beg to present the report of the Select Committee on the West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment Bill, 1947.

The Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to present the report of the Select Committee on the Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947.

The West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to present the report of the Select Committee on the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947.

The West Bengal Special Powers (Second Amendment) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I do not want to present the report of the Select Committee on this Bill as that is govered by the previous one.

Mr. SPEAKER: No, the Hon'ble Minister should present it also.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: All right, Sir. I beg to present the report of the Select Committee on the West Bengal Special Powers (Second Amendment) Bill, 1947.

The West Bengal Premises Rent Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I beg to introduce the West Bengal Premises Rent Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill, 1947. (Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Premises Rent Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill, 1947, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of—

- (1) Mr. J. C. Gupta,
- (2) Mr. Satish Chandra Bose,
- (3) Mr. Bimal Comar Ghose.
- (4) Mr. Sushil Kumar Banerice.
- (5) Mr. Kuber Chand Haldar,
- (6) Mr. Basant Lal Murarka,
- (7) Mr. Muhammad Rafique,
- (8) Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh, and
- (9) the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Department of Land and Land Revenue (the mover),

with instructions to submit their report by the 15th January, 1948, and that the number of members whose presence shall be necessary to constitute a quorum, shall be five.

Shri A. K. CHOSE: Sir, may I move an amendment to the motion for Select Committee?

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes.

Shri A. K. CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that the names of Mr. Hemanta Kumar Basu and Mr. Anandilal Poddar be added to the list of members on the Select Committee proposed by the Hon'ble Minister.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I accept the amendment moved by Mr. A. K. Ghose.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: May I rise on a point of order, Sir? Have these two names been added with the consent of the honourable members concerned?

Mr. SPEAKER: Consent has been given.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, may I move another amendment that another name be added to the list from our side of the House, now that the number of members of the Select Committee has been increased from nine to eleven.

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I move that the name of Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman be included in the list of personnel of the Select Committee. I have got his consent.

The Hon'ble Shri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I accept the name proposed by Mr. Khuda Bukhsh.

The motion of the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada Mookerjee, as amended, that the West Bengal Premises Rent Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill, 1947, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of—

- (1) Mr J C. Gupta,
- (2) Mr. Satish Chandra Bose,
- (3) Mr. Bimal Comar Ghose,
- (4) Mr. Sushil Kumar Banerjee,
- (5) Mr Kuber Chand Haldar,
- (6) Mr. Basant Lal Murarka,
- (7) Mr. Md. Rafique,
- (8) Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh,
- (9) Mr. Hemanta Kumar Basu,
- (10) Mr. Anandilal Poddar,
- (11) Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman, and
- (12) the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Department of Land and Land Revenue (the mover),

with instructions to submit their report by the 15th January, 1948, and that the number of members whose presence shall be necessary to constitute a quorum, shall be five, was then put and agreed to.

The Bengal Municipal (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to introduce the Bengal Municipal (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947.

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to move that the Bengal Municipal (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, may I rise to say a few words on the provisions of this Bill. So far as I have been able to gather, this Bill wants to do away with nomination. But, Sir, one point has to be raised in this connection. There are certain special municipalities where the nominated element predominated up to the extent, in some cases, of 50 per cent., and those municipalities will have very great handicap from the principle that has been enunciated in this Bill. So, I would beg of the Government to consider the difficulties those municipalities are likely to suffer from.

Secondly, there is another provision in this Bill that the term of the municipalities is likely to be extended for three or four years even after nomination has been abolished. In many cases, Sir, many special interests, such as Scheduled Castes and such other interests, were represented by nomination. In those cases where elections have taken place long ago and fresh elections are due, I think, it would be hardly fair to extend their term for another three or four years or to allow them to run the normal term of office. In those cases, Sir, I think it should be the duty of the Government to order fresh elections as early as possible.

These two points should be considered before the Bill is passed into an Act. I beg of the Government to take into consideration these two points, specially in view of the fact that in many of the municipalities elections have not taken place for a very long time, and even where elections have taken place persons belonging to the minority communities and to the Scheduled Castes had not the inkling of an idea that they would not be able to come through nomination any more. So I think these two points should have adequate consideration at the hands of the Government before this Bill is made an Act.

With these observations, Sir, I would suggest to the Hon'ble Minister to make any suggestions which may cover these two points.

Shri SIBNATH BANERJEE: Mr. Speaker. Sir, I would also like to make two observations. Abolition of nomination is very welcome, of course subject to the comments made by my friend Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha. But there are two other very objectionable features; one is that voting in most cases is not by ballot. Where it is not so, it must be by ballot and the second is, the voting is not by symbol—in one or two cases it is by symbol. Mostly the illiterate voters come and somebody's name is mentioned by the voter but the cross is given against somebody else's name according to the Polling Officer's choice. Therefore if it is voting by symbol as it is in the case of the Assembly, much of the corruption in the municipal election will go.

A third point also may be mentioned just now. Often in the municipal elections the Municipal Chairman or some other office bearers of the executive heads are the returning officers. That system is not helpful.

Therefore, these three points may be considered when the Act is being amended. It is long overdue. We tried in the past to get this amendment through. It was not possible then for reasons known to all present, but now is the time to make the corrections which are overdue.

8hri 8UKUMAR DUTTA: बाननीय সভাপতি মহোদয়—এই সুযোগে আমি बाननीय बडीयरामरामद कारह निरंबनन कहि य दर्शनी District Board এ প্রায় ১২ বৎসর যাবত নিবর্গাচন হয়নি।

which has been made for elimination of the nominated members may sound democratic but this is democracy with a vengeance. When there was the law that a certain proportion of members will be elected there was the law that a certain proportion of members will be nominated. Now, what are the principles on which this system of nomination was based? As was pointed out by my friend, the principle was that the persons who were not represented or the interests which were not represented were nominated by the Government so that their services might be obtained, but, Sir, if you really abolish nomination and restrict the working of the municipality or the board with the limited number of members, you do great injustice to the people and thus your act will be undemocratic for if you really want to do away with the system of nomination you must divide the entire area into several constituencies and then you must give the right of election to the interests which are not represented. Therefore, Sir, I oppose the very principle of the Bill and I say with all the emphasis I can command that

it is the most undemocratic principle on which nomination is going to be abolished and the people are being deprived of their rights of franchise. If you are really serious in the matter, your first duty will be to see that the area for which or the people for whom these representatives were nominated by the Government are given representation by election after dismissing these nominated members. Therefore, Sir, I wish to draw the pointed attention of the Hon'ble Minister and the House that it is a most undemocratic principle, and if we are really to give representation by election and if we really wish to direct our energies towards the realisation of democratic views, then we must divide the entire area into so many constituences as there are number of members who were nominated and then allow that constituency to elect their own members instead of getting the services of such persons by nomination.

Therefore, Sir, I oppose the Bill and the Bill, I think, should be dropped.

The motion of the Hon'ble Shri Annada Prosad Choudhury that the Bengal Municipal (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration was then put and agreed to.

Clause 1.

The question that clause I stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

Shri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 2(a) in the proposed amendment of section 16, line 6, the figure "16" be omitted,

The motion was then put and agreed to.

The question that clause 2, as amended, stand part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 3.

The question that clause 3 stand part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 4.

Shri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 4 in the proposed amendment of section 18(2), line 6, for the word "concerned" the words "concerned in" be substituted.

The motion was then put and agreed to.

The question that clause 4, as amended, stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 5.

The question that clause 5 stand part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 6.

The question that clause 6 stand part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 7.

The question that clause 7 stand part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 8.

The question that clause 8 stand part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 9.

The question that clause 9 stand part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 10.

The question that clause 10 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 11.

The question that clause 11 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 12.

The question that clause 12 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 13.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Rahman's amendment is out of order. Yes, Mr. Dolui.

Shri HARENDRA NATH DOLUI: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 15 in item (a), lines 9 to 12, for the words beginning with "the commencement of their term of office" and ending with "under the provision of" the words "they shall hold office for six months from the commencement of this Act notwithstanding the provision contained in" be substituted.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: On a point of order, Sir; may I know why Mr. Rahman's amendment is out of order?

Mr. SPEAKER: You are now too late to raise a point of order. Another motion is now before the House.

Shri HARENDRA NATH DOLUI: এই Municipal Amendment এর যে প্রস্তাব Government এনেছেন এতে nomination তুলে দেওয় হৈছে। এই nominationএর ফলে সংখ্যা লাঘণ্ট যারা সমস্ত ছিলেন তারা আর আসতে পারছেন না। সেজন্য আমার ইছা যে nominated memberদের বাদ দিয়ে উপস্থিং যে সমস্ত সন্তা নিবর্নাচিত হয়েছেন তাদিগকে নিয়ে যে Board তৈরি হবে, ভাতে বেশী দিন তাদিগকে কাজ করতে না দিয়ে ছয় মাস প্র্যান্ত দেওয়া হোক। এরপরেতে আবার নৃত্ন election করা হোক। কারণ যে সমস্ত minority community আছেন, তারা হয়ত আবার আসতে পারেন। আমার এবিবয়ে এইট্কু মাত্র বলবার আছে।

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: माननीय Speaker महापम् . এই विल प्रस्वत्थ और इ रहिन्स नाथ पल्टे महासम् रा प्रश्माधनी अञ्जाव এনেছেন আমি जाव বিরোধিতা করছি। তার মনে আশঙকার উদ্ৰেক হয়েছে र्य. भरनानम्बन প্রথার সাহায্যে विरमय विरमय फार्ट्स विरमय विरमय ज्याकरक अबकाव अक मरनानम् करव Municipality द मर्दर महान भावाद य भाषाभ मिरहन, व्याज्ञभद प्र भाषाभ व्याद जीवा भावन ना। किंह এই প্রখাটীর म् लगठ नौजित्त है आपछित कारण आहर थरा प्रहे आपछि एत करात छना आमता मरनानमून अथाहे जल দিতে চাই। কিন্ত ডলে দেবার ফলে আমরা জানি যে, কিছু অস্বিধার স্ভিটু হবে। আমরা সে কেত্রে ज्ञकत्करे आग्वान मिर्छ हारे ख. उराज करना ख नमन्छ अन्तिथात नृष्टि शत छा[.] मृत करत मिवात करना সমস্ত বিশেষ বিশেষ ক্ষেত্ৰে যাদের প্রতিনিধিত ঐ Municipalityতে খাকা भवकात जा ताथवात अना, या गाँध नम्ख्य आमता न्छन अकि विल अत एनरे नमन्छ अमृविधा भूत करत्. বর্তুমানের আপত্তি এবং আশুক্কার নির্দন করবো। ''ষ্ঠ শীঘ্র সম্ভব'' বলতে গিয়ে আমি আরো পরিক্কার करत वरण मिर्छ हाहै रह मू वस्त्रात्त्र अनिवक्काण मरवाहै आमता त्रमण्ड विवस विरक्षना करत এह बतराव এकिট বিল এই পরিষদের সামনে উপস্থিত করবো। আশা করি ইছাতে যে সমস্ত আশুক্কা বর্তমানে দেখা मिक्क, ठा मृत्र वरव। नर्व पुथरम এই বিলের নাধারণ আলোচনার সময় ২টি বিষয়ের উল্লেখ তীযুক্ত

বিমল চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ মহাশ্র करत्राइन। তিনি বলেছেন যে, কোন কোন Municipality তে প্রায় অন্থেকি পরিমাণ সদস্যই মনোনীত, এবং কোন Municipality কোন आब ৮ वश्मत. ১০ বংসর, কিম্বা তাদের যা সাধারণ জীবনকাল তার থেকেও অনেক বেশী দিন প্রশাস্ত নিব্রাচনের वावच्या ना करत काल गांनिएम वाप्रस्म। निवर्ताहन ना वर्तमा श्वान्त के प्रव मिडेनिप्रिणानिहीए वा स्य সকল মিউনিসিপ্নালিটীতে মনোনীত সদস্যসংখা অধ্ধেকের বেশী তাতে কাজ চালাবার অস্বিধাণুলি দ্র करत प्रवाद क्रमा प्रद्रकारत्व उत्तर थारक आवगाकौंग्र प्रावायम्या करत प्रदेश एरवा स्थाप आगि आगा किंद्र যে, অতঃপর যে সমস্ত আশতকা তার মনে হয়েছে সে আশতকার আর কোন কারণ থাকবে না এবং আমি ইহাও আশা করছি যে, ঐযুক্ত হরেন্দ্র নাথ দল্ট মহাশয়ও তার সংশোধনী প্রস্তাব অতঃপর ফেরং নেবেন, এবং বিনা আপত্তিতে স্বর্দ্ম্মতিক্রমে এই বিল পরিষ্দে গৃহীত হবে।

Shri SIBNATH BANERJEE: আমি যা বললাম সে সম্বন্ধে ত তিনি কিছু বললোন না; ballota election কৰা হবে গুনাখালি অবশ্যে বোদন হয়ে গোন।

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: What was the necessity of rushing through this measure in this haphazard and piecemeal way? You could have taken time. The heavens would not have fallen. The administration was going on very well.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: ত্রীযুক্ত বিবনাথ বাব্যে কথা তুলেছেন আমি ঠিক এখনই তার উত্তর দিতে পার্ছি না। যদি বিশেষ কোন অস্বিধার কারণ না থাকে তবে আমাদের Assembly র নিবনাচনের সময় যে রক্ম Ballot system এ vote দেওয়ার বাবস্থা প্রবর্তি চেন্টা আমরা করবো।

আমাব অপর বন্ধ বিলটী তাড়াছ্ডা করিয়া পরিষদে উপস্থাপিত করার যে দকল মুটীর কথা বলেছেন তা আমি কতকটা স্বীকার করলেও একথা স্বীকার করতে পারছি না যে এই বিলের বিষয়বস্টুটী undemocratic. বিল তাড়াছ্ডা করে আনার ফলে চয়তো কিছু মুটী রয়ে পেছে কিছু democracy র আদশে পেশছাবার জন্য যৌথ নিব্লাচন প্রথার প্রবর্জন ও মনোনয়ন প্রথার বিলোপসাধন ইত্যাদি যে বিষয়বস্টুপ্লি এই বিলে রয়েছে তা যে democratic নয় এ কথা আশা করি আমার বন্ধ্র বলবেন না। তাড়াছ্ডা করাটা স্বর্জনিতেই democratic method নয় বলে উনি যা বলেছেন বর্তমান অবন্ধ্য বিবেচনায় আমি তশর সক্ষে সে বিষয়ে একমত হতে পারছি না। স্ত্রাং মূল আদশ ও উদ্দেশ্য বিবেচনা করে তিনি এই বিলের যে বিরোধিতা করতে যাছেন তা করবেন না এবং আমি আশা করি পরিষদে স্বর্জম্মতিক্রমেই এই বিলেটী গৃহীত হবে।

Shri HARENDRA NATH DOLUI: Mr Speaker, Sir, মাননীয় মত্রী মহোদয় আব্বাস দিয়েছেন, যে দু বছর পরে আবাব নৃত্ন election হবে, এটা amendment এর ভিতর আনলে ভাল হ'তো। আমি তারে কথার উপর নিভার করে আমার amendment withdraw করছি।

There was no objection.)

The motion of Mr. Harendra Nath Dolur that in clause 13 in item (a), lines 9 to 12, for the words beginning with "the commencement of their term of office" and ending with "under the provision of "the words "they shall hold office for six months from the commencement of this Act not-withstanding the provision contained in" be substituted, was then by leave of the House withdrawn.

Clause 13.

The question that clause 13 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble.

The question that the Preamble do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to:

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to move that the Bengal Municipal (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

The motion was put and agreed to.

The Bengal Village Self-Government (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to introduce the Bengal Village Self-Government (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947.

(The Secretary read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURI: Sir. I beg to move that the Bengal Village Self-Government (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

Shri ISWAR CHANDRA MAL: Mr. Speaker, Sir, এই বিলটাতে ঠিক এই বক্ষ ভাবেৰ একটা অসুবিধা হবে যে Union Board এতে যে nominated member ছিল তিনজন করে, তারা তিন জন চলে পেলে পর, তাদের স্থানটী খালি হয়ে যাবে। যদি এইটাকে বেশী দিন ধরে continue করতে দেওয়া হয়় তাহলে একটা অবিচার করা হ'বে। আমার মনে হয়় যখন এই বিলে provision করা হয়েছিল যে ছয়জন মেম্বরের ভিতর তিনজনকে nominated করা হবে কিছুটা interest বাদ রেখে ছয়ৢজনের কথা বলা হয়েছিল। প্রের্থ আমার বন্ধা বিমল বাবা বালেছেন আপত্তির কথা আমি তা endorse করে বলছি যে যত্ত্বীয় একটা বিল আনা হয়় তত্তই আমাদের ভাল। আমি through স্পীকার, মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহোদয়কে বলছি যে এটা যেন তিনি বিবেচনা করেন।

The motion of the Hon'ble Shri Annada Prosad Choudhury that the Bengal Village Self-Government (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 1.

Shri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 1, line 1, for the words "The Act", the words "This Act" be substituted

The motion was put and agreed to.

The question that clause 1, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2

The question that clause 2 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 3.

The question that clause 3 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 4.

The question that clause 4 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 5.

The question that clause 5 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 6.

The question that clause 6 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 7.

The question that clause 7 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 8.

The question that clause 8 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

Clause 9.

The question that clause 9 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble

The question that the Preamble do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to move that the Bengal Village Self-Government (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: याननीय Speaker मरहापम् प्रामान नन्धु जियुक्त प्रेन्द्र हन्द्र भाल महासम् स्य आमह्यात्र कथा है। हाथ करतरहन टा आमवा कालकारवरे दावि। Union Boarda मरनानमून अथा उरल प्रवाद অবাৰহতি প্ৰেৰ Union Board a election হয়েছে, এবং সে সকল ইউনিয়ন বোর্ডে বর্তুমানে যে নয়ড়ন সভা আছেন তারা সকলেই যখন মনোনয়ন প্রথা চাল্ ছিল তখনকার নিব্যাচিত ও মনোনতি সদসা। किছা Union Board অবন্য এখনও व्याष्ट्र यथान न ताजन मरनानीज প্রভা কয়েকজন এখনও আছেন। বর্তমান ব্যবস্থায় মনোনীত সভাগণ পদত্যাগ করিলে। সদস্যসংখ্যা ক্ষে হাবে ঠিকট: ঐ ছেত্রে কাজ ঢালাতে যাতে কোন অস্বিধা না হয় তার ব্যবহল যে আম্বা কর্বো এ আম্বাস আমি দিছিং। যত শীঘু সম্ভব এর বিহিত ব্যবস্থা হবে, এমন কি, সেই ব্যবস্থা করার জুনা ইতিমধ্যেই আমরা কয়েকজনের উপর একটি যথোপযুক্ত বিল প্রণয়ন করবার ভার দিয়েছি। যত শীঘু সম্ভব এই দ্র করার উদ্দেশ্যে Village Self-Government Act (4 জন্য এই পরিষদে একটা বিল আমরা উপস্থিত করছি। তাই তার মনে যে আশঙ্কা আছে সে আশঙ্কার প্রতি যে সবকার অবহিত আছেন এবং ঐ আশভকার যে কোন কারণ নেই, আশা করি তা আমি ব্রত পেরেছি। স্তরাং আমি প্রত্যাশা করি যে এই বিলটি ষেঠাবে উপদিহত করা হয়েছে পরিষদ স্বর্সম্মতিক্রমে সেভাবেই উহাকে গ্রহণ করিবে।

The motion was then put and agreed to.

The Bengal Local Self-Covernment (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to introduce the Bengal Local Self-Government (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947.

(Secretary read the short title of the Bill.)

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg also to move that the Bengal Local Self-Government (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, may I rise to move the amendments that stand in my name and may I have your permission to move some of the amendments together as they propose to cover the same ground.

Mr. SPEAKER: I shall have to put the motion before the House first and then your amendments will come.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: The motions have already been moved.

Mr. SPEAKER: No, we have not come to the clauses yet.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, our Government have taken the decision to promulgate this Ordinance on district boards, union boards as also on municipalities in acceptance of the principle that nomination to these local bodies is contrary to accepted notions of democracy. Sir, I shall not go into the merits of the Government case. After all a Government is entitled to run the administration in a way that it thinks proper, but I shall call its pointed attention to my district, Sir, where the operation of this ordinance has affected very seriously the legitimate interests of the Mussalmans.

The Mussalmans in Murshidabad district constitute over 57 per cent, of the population but by a very clever manipulation of the constituencies of the district by the Chairman who is now running the district board, by a clever manipulation of the delimitation of the constituencies he has succeeded in preventing just and legitimate representation of the Mussalmans in the district board. Sir, immediately before this ordinance was promulgated, I should say one or two months before the ordinance was promulgated, the district board had finished its general election and where the Mussalmans constitute 57 per cent. of the population, out of 24 seats, they were able to get only 12. That means they got 50 per cent. representation where they ought to get 57 per cent. representation. That was made good among others by Government nomination, but, Sir, before the election of Chairman could take place and the board could be reconstituted this ordinance was promulgated thus taking away the right of the nominated members to go and influence the constitution of the district board. But, Sir, as I have said, a Government in office is entitled to run the administration of the country by ordinances or by bringing them before the House and getting them passed as pieces of legislation as it likes. I voice, Sir, the grievances of the Mussalmans of Murshidabad.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: মাননীয় স্পানির মহাশয়, খোদাবন্ধ পাছেব বলেছেন যে এর আগে যিনি মুখিদাবাদ জেলা বোডের চেয়ারমান ছিলেন তিনি তপর বুদ্ধির জোরে ('onstituency টাকে এমনভাবে delimitation কবিয়ে নিয়েছিলেন যাতে চপর দলের সংখ্যাধিক্য জেলা বোডে ঘটে।

Mr. Md. KHUDA BUKHSH এখনও আছে।

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: এখনও যদি থেকে থকে ওকং তিনি যদি চালাকী করতে পেবে থাকেন তাহলে আমি শ্যু এই বলব যে, আমবা তখন অফিসেছিলাম না। অতএব কেমন করে তিনি চালাকী বারা ভুলিয়ে কাযোগিখাব কবে নিয়েছেন রার উত্তর আমরা দিতে পাবব না। সে বিষয়ে আমি কোন আলোচনাও করতে চাই না।

Mr. A. F. M. ABDUR RAHMAN: Mr. Speaker, Sir, would it not be better for the House to adjourn now for prayer?

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: I shall take two minutes.

Mr. SPEAKER: The Hon'ble Minister will speak only for two minutes. I shall adjourn the House after that

তারপব, নমিনেশনের যে বাবস্থা ছিল আমরা সেই নমিনেশন প্রথা যদি উঠিয়ে দিয়ে থাকি তাহ'লে, আমি মনে করি, আমরা আমাদেরই এইমতা খবর কবেছি। এতে যদি তিনি অসন্ট্ট হন, তাহলে আমবা নির্পায়। চেয়ারমান বৃদ্ধি প্রয়োগ কবে অতীতে তাদেব হাবিয়ে দিয়েছেন বলে তিনি যে আশঙ্কা করেছেন, এখন সেই আশঙ্কার কোনই হেচ নেই।

Mr. SPEAKER: Will you take long, Mr. Choudhury?

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: No. 1 have finished practically.

সেই জেলা বোর্ডের এখন যে অবস্থা আছে, নৃত্য নিয়মে তার যে কি পরিবর্তন হবে তা জানি না। একটা মূল নীতি আমরা সাব্যস্ত করেছি। সেই নীতি অনুসর্গ করতে গিয়ে কোন জায়গায় হয়তো স্বিধা হবে। বিশেষ কোন জায়গার কথা বিবেচনা করে নীতি স্থির করা হয়নি। কাজেই যদি কোন জায়গায় উঘার ফলে অস্বিধা হয়, এবং উহা যদি আমাদের গোচরীভূত হয়, তবে অন্য জায়গায় যেমন সেই অস্বিধা দূর করবার চেন্টা কবা হবে তার জেলাতেও সেই রক্ম চেন্টা যে করা হবে এ আশ্বাস আমি তাকে দিছি।

The motion of the Hon'ble Shri Annada Prosad Choudhury that the Bengal Local Self-Government (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration was then put and agreed to.

(At this stage the House was adjourned for 15 minutes.)

(After adjournment.)

Clause 1.

The question that clause I stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

The question that clause 2 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

Clause 3.

The question that clause 3 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

Clause 4.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that for clause 4 the following be substituted, namely:

"4 In sub-section (5) of section 16B of the said Act, the words 'and fresh appointment' shall be omitted."

The object of my tabling this amendment is that there is a provision in the Act for tresh appointments in the case of local boards. The object of tabling my amendments Nos. 1 to 7 was that so far as the local boards are concerned, nomination should be abolished also in that sphere. As I find, Sir, that there are constitutional difficulties in admitting my amendments Nos. 1 to 7 may I remaind the Hon'ble Minister that it would be an anomaly to retain nomination in the local boards while abolishing nomination in the district boards as also in the union boards. I do not know, Sir, if the local boards still exist anywhere in Bengal. If they do exist I would urge upon the Government the necessity of abolishing nomination in the local boards as well, but if the Government have by executive order under the sections already existing in the Bengal Local Self-Government Act abolished the local boards, in that case these amendments will not be necessary. So, I urge upon the Government to make a statement in this House whether local boards still exist anywhere in Bengal and if they do exist whether Government propose to retain nomination to the local boards or to abolish nomination in those cases also.

With these words, Sir, I move this amendment, the object of which is to abolish nominations so far as the local boards are concerned

Mr. Speaker, Sir, may I have your permission also to move a short-notice amendment to clause 4?

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I beg to move that for clause 4 the following clause be substituted, namely:—

- "4. In section 16B of the said Act-
- (a) in sub-section (1)—
 - (i) for the word 'Crown' the words 'Constitution of India' shall be substituted, and
- (ii) for the words 'I, A, B., having been elected appointed a member of the district board or the local board, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to His Majesty the King-Emperor of India, His herrs and successors, and that I will faithfully discharge the duties upon which I am about to enter', the words I, A, B, being a member of the district board or the local board, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will bear true taith and allegiance to the Constitution of India as by law established and that I will faithfully discharge the duty upon which I am about to enter' shall be substituted, and
- (b) in sub-section (5) for the words 'and fresh appointment' the words in the case of a district board and by a fresh general election and fresh appointment in the case of a local board' shall be substituted"?

Sir, the object of this amendment is to amend the form of the oath that now prevails in the case of members of district boards and local boards. This change, Sir, is consistent with the change in the form of oath that has been adopted by the Constituent Assembly; for all self-governing bodies the old oath has been changed and modified in this form and therefore I suggest that instead of swearing allegance to His Majesty the King who has now gone out of the picture of India we may now say that we owe allegance to the Constitution of India as by law established and that we shall faithfully discharge the duty upon which we are about to enter being bound only by that Constitution of India as by law established. This is the object of this amendment and with these words I move the short notice amendment to clause 4.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: But in Dominion Status there is allegrance to His Majesty the King-

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Sinha, I find you have moved two motions

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I withdraw the amendment I first moved.

Mr. SPEAKER: In item 8 of the agenda you have moved that for clause 4 the following be substituted; and this amendment which you have now moved is that for clause 4 the following clause be substituted.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I withdraw the first amendment and move this short-notice amendment

There being no objection the motion of Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha that for clause 4 the following be substituted, namely: "4. In sub-section 63 of section 16B of the said Act, the words 'and tresh appointment' shall be omitted" was then by leave of the House withdrawn.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I accept this amendment last moved by Mr. Sinha.

Mr. SPEAKER: On the floor of the House an amendment has been moved by Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha which has been accepted by the Hou'ble Minister.

The motion of Shri Bimul Chandra Sinha that for clause 4 the following be substituted, namely:—

- "4. In section 16B of the said Act-
- (a) in sub-section (1)—
 - (i) for the word 'Crown' the words 'Constitution of India' shall be substituted, and
- (ii) for the words 'I, A.B., having been elected/appointed a member of the district board or the local board, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to His Majesty the King-Emperor of India. His heirs and successors, and that I will faithfully discharge the duties upon which I am about to enter', the words 'I, A.B., being a member of the district board or the local board, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the Constitution of India as by law established and that I will faithfully discharge the duty upon which I am about to enter' shall be substituted, and

(b) in sub-section (5) for the words 'and fresh appointment' the words 'in the case of a district board and by a fresh general election and fresh appointment in the case of a local board' shall be substituted", was then put and agreed to

The question that clause 4, as amended, stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

Clause 5

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I beg to move that for clause 5(b) the following be substituted, namely:—

"(b) Sub-section (2) shall be omitted"

Sir, the object of my moving this amendment is the same. I want to bring under the purview of this Act the local boards as well. That is why not only the district boards but also the local boards have been included in this amendment; and if the local boards exist they should be brought within the purview of this Act and nominations abolished. With that object in view I move this amendment

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: $\operatorname{Sir}_{+}1$ beg_{-} to oppose it.

মাননীয় বিমল Local Boards nomination এর কথা তুলেকেন। মহাশয় वाश्ता एपरम आयु नाहे-हे. এकमात्र नवमतील एउँचात्र कडकारम खाह्म, এवर সেই নৰদ্বীপ জেলাও সপ্ৰতি ন্তনভাবে গঠিত হয়েছে। সেই জেলায় উহা অচিরেই কলে দেওয়া হবে। व्यनप्ताना स्य त्रव रिकंताम् भृत्वर्र किल स्त्रधारन वर्डमारन नाहे----इरल स्वत्रमा हस्माह- -- वर स्वधारन व्याह् সেখানেও তুলে দেওয়া হবে বলে Local Boarda nomination প্রথা তুলে দেওয়ার কোন बाबन्दा धरै विरल कता दश्रीन। किन्तु य spirita अन्यानः (ऋत् nomination যদি Local Board থাকতো তাহলে আমরা সে ছেত্রেও তুলে দিতাম। Board नाहे, এवर द खरूप वाद्रशाद्र आहा प्रचारना इस्त प्रहिद्वाद प्रिम्हान्तुहे श्रुकात करत्रकतः। nomination এর কথা Local Board as दबलाइ হরনি। স্তরাং আমি আশা করি এরপরে বিমল বাকুষে সংশোধনী প্রস্তাব এনেছেন তা তিনি প্রতাহার कंद्रदन ।

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, in view of the statement made by the Hon'ble Minister that the local boards have been abolished and that the few solitary instances that may yet be cited will be no more in near future, I beg leave of the House to withdraw the amendment that I have moved.

There being no objection the motion of Shri Bimal Chandra Sinha that for clause 5(b) the following be substituted, namely:—

"(b) Sub-section (2) shall be omitted"

was then by leave of the House withdrawn.

The question that clause 5 stand part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 6.

The question that clause 6 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 7.

The question that clause 7 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 8.

The question that clause 8 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 9.

The question that clause 9 stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 10.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, 1 beg to move that in clause 10(a), lines 1 and 2, for the words "before the commencement of this Act" the expression "after the 3rd June, 1947" be substituted.

Sir, the object of my moving this amendment is this. Clause 10, as now drafted, provides for the abolition of all nominations, whether they have been made before the 3rd of June or thereafter. In my view, Sir, this would be an obvious anomaly. There are cases where elections have taken place recently, say, within a month or two, and there are cases where elections have not been held for eight or nine years. I find Mr. Jasimuddin Ahmed is looking at me He will bear testimony to the fact that the district board of 24-Parganas had its elections nine years ago, and that the district board had repeatedly sent their recommendations to delimitation of the constituencies. The previous Government backed by the party to which Mr. Jasimuddin Ahmed had the honour to belong did not think it worthwhile to delimit the constituencies of that district board and hold fresh elections. Thérefore I suggest that a distinction should be made between those district boards that have had elections recently and those that had had no elections for a long time. I would say that it would be obviously wrong to allow those district boards which had elections long long ago to go on in their present form simply without the nominations. I will give a specific instance. Sir. In the 24-Parganas district board twenty members are elected and ten nominated. If these ten members go out, it should require reconstitution. Then, again, Sir, there was one lawyer-magistrate who has gone away and one member has become an Hon'ble Minister and has shunned the district board for the Writers' Buildings, and therefore the district board has now to function with, say, seven or eight members. That would, I submit, Sir, be an obvious wrong. Therefore I suggest that those district boards which have had their elections recently, that is, after the 3rd of June, 1947, might be allowed to continue because they have had fresh blood in their composition, only the nominated members in those district boards going out, and I would suggest that fresh elections should take place in the case of the other district boards as soon as the Government

might think fit. I think it would be an obvious wrong if you do not make a distinction between these two sets of district boards and make separate arrangement in each case.

Mr. SPEAKER: Amendment No. 16 is not in order.

Mr. A. F. M. ABDUR RAHMAN: May I know, Sir, why my amendment is not in order?

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Rahman, your amendment is in conflict with the words "commencement of the Act". I therefore rule it out.

Shri HARENDRA NATH DOLUI: Sir, I beg to move in clause 10, in item (a), lines 6 to 8, for the words beginning with "the commencement of the term" and ending with "come into office under", the words "they shall hold office for six months from the commencement of this Act notwithstanding the provision contained in" be substituted

Shri A. K. GHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 10, in item (a), line 8, for the word "come", the word "came" be substituted.

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 10, in item (c), line 4, for the word "Commissioners" the word "members" be substituted

Sir, it is a mistake in nomenclature and I would urge upon the officials of Government to be a bit more careful to correct such mistakes in future.

Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Speaker মহোদয়, শ্রীযুক্ত বিমল চন্দ্র সিংহ মহাশয় clause 10 এর লাইন দ্টেতে যে ১৫ নম্বর amendment উন্মাপিত করেছেন আমি তার বিরোধিতা করছি। উনি বলতে চাচ্ছেন যে ৩রা জুনের আগে যে সমস্ত ष्टाग्राष्ट्र এवः ७ ता जात्मत्र भटत एव नमञ्ज nomination राम्राह्म ठात मार्था अवको आर्थाका कता रहाक। कलकर्शालाक शहन करत कलकर्शालाक বাতিল কবে দেওয়ার আমি বিরোধী। কারণ আমরা কতকণালি বিবেচনা করে প্রথমে একটা মূল নীতি স্থির করতে চেয়েছি। সেই মূল নীতিটী হয়েছে nomination প্রথার বিলোপ সাধন তা ৩রা ङ्क्तित व्यारशत मरनानम्नने रहाक व्यात भरतरे रहाक। এখন এই প্রথা তুলে দেবার ফলে যে সব অসুবিধা দেখা দিবে তার যা কিছা প্রতিকার দরকার হয় তা Government পৃথকভাবে বিচার করে যে ব্যবস্থা দরকার তা করবে। কিন্তু এই নীতি অনুসারে যে সিন্ধান্তে আমরা উপনীত হতে চাই তার মধ্যে কোন ব্যতিক্রমের প্হান না থাকাটাই বাখনীয়। অতএব ৩রা জুনের আংগে এবং ৩রা জুনের পরের মনোনয়নের মধ্যে যে পার্থক্যের সৃষ্টি করার প্রস্তাব বিমল বাবু করেছেন আমি মনে করি তা' তিনি भारत करावन এवर এर विराम प्रमुख वर्ग और भविष्य प्रवास करावन शहर करावन।

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: On a point of personal explanation, Sir. Perhaps the Hon'ble Minister has not understood my point. My point is not to support nomination. In my amendment No. 22 I have also suggested that those districts which were constituted before the 3rd of June, 1947—in those cases also nominations should be abolished. My only proposition is that in the case of those district boards which have had elections recently the boards might consist of the elected members, the nominated members going out. But in the other case not only the nominated members should go out but also the entire board should go out and fresh elections should be held. But in the case of those district boards which had recent elections, there should be no fresh general elections and those boards may continue only without the nominated members. In the case of those district boards where there were no elections recently not only the nominated members but all the members of the boards should go and there should be fresh elections as early as possible.

Hen'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: ৩রা জুনের আগে যে সব বারুপার election হরে পিরেছে এবং যে সব Board চলছে তার nominated member

শ্রীযুক্ত অমর কুমার ঘোষ মহাশরের ১৮ নং সংশোধনী প্রস্তাব গ্রহণ করলাম; এবং শ্রীবিমল চন্দ্র সিংহ মহাশরের ২১ নং সংশোধনী প্রস্তাবও আমি গ্রহণ করলাম। শ্রীযুক্ত হরেন্দ্র নাথ দল্ট মহাশরে যে কথা বলেছেন আমি আগেও যেমন বলেছি এখনো তাকে আন্বাস দিছি যে এই nomination প্রত্যাহারের ফলে যে সমস্ত বিশেষ সম্প্রদারের প্রতিনিধিত্ব করার সম্ভাবনা ছিল তা যদি বাদ পড়ে যায় তাহলে যত শীয় সম্ভব delimitation করে constituency ভাশ করে যাতে যথেন্ট প্রতিনিধিত্ব তারা পেতে পারেন তার বাবস্থা করা হবে। আমি আন্যা করি যে আগে যে আন্বাস দিয়েছিলাম সেই আন্বাস অনুসারে তিনি তার সংশোধনী প্রস্তাব প্রত্যাহার করে নেবেন এবং ১০ নং clause যা আপনাদের সম্মুখে উপস্থাপিত করা হয়েছে তা স্বর্গসম্মতিক্রমে গৃহীত হবে।

Shri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: On a point of information, Sir. Will the Hon'ble Minister just inform this House as to how long the old boards are likely to continue—the point raised by Mr. Sinha? For example, in 24-Parganas, if his amendment is not accepted, how long will the old board continue?

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Election এ আমার মনে হয় যে এক বংসরের বেশী সময় লাগবে না, হয় তো তাব চেয়ে কম সময়েও শেষ করে শেওয়া যাবে। যে ২৪ প্রগণাব নজীর বদ্ধুর গোধাবক্স সাহেব তুলেছেন তাব delimitation করে দিতেই আমাদেব পুরর্বভী সরকারের এক বংসব সময় লেগেছিল। তা যাই হোক, আমি মনে কবি election এক বংসবেই শেষ করে দেওয়া যাবে—তার কম সময়েও হতে পাবে। এক বংসবেব বেশী তো নয়ই।

Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, in view of the assurance very kindly given by the Houble Minister. I beg leave of the House to withdraw the amendment that stands in my name—amendment No. 15.

8hri HARENDRA NATH DOLUI: মাননীয় মন্ত্ৰী মহাশয় যে আশ্যাসবানী দিয়েছিলেন তার উপর নির্ভার কবে আমি আমাব amendmentটা পুত্যাহাব কবছি।

Mr. SPEAKER: Has Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha the leave of the House to withdraw his amendment No. 15?

Mr. JASIMUDDIN AHMED: I object because that should be formally put. The usual procedure is to say that the question before the House is that leave has been asked for by so and so.

Mr. SPEAKER: After your opposition, I shall put it like this. The question before the House is that leave has been asked for by Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha to withdraw his motion No. 15, viz., that in clause 10(a), lines I and 2, for the words "before the commencement of this Act" the expression "after the 3rd June, 1947", be substituted.

The motion was then, by leave of the House, withdrawn,

The motion of Shri Harendra Nath Dolui that in clause 10, in item (a), lines 6 to 8, for the words beginning with "the commencement of the term" and ending with "come into office under" the words "they shall hold office for six months from the commencement of this Act notwithstanding the provision contained in" be substituted, was then, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

The motion of Shri A. K. Ghose that in clause 10, in item (a), line 8, for the word "come" the word "came" be substituted, was then put and agreed to

The motion of Shri Bimal Chandra Sinha that in clause 10, in item (c), line 4, for the word "Commissioners" the word "members" be substituted, was then put and agreed to.

The question that clause 10, as amended, stand part of the Bill was put and agreed to.

Preamble.

The question that the preamble stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to move that the Bengal Local Self-Government (West Bengal Amendment) Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

The motion was then put and agreed to.

The West Bengal Black Marketing Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: Sir, I beg to introduce the West Bengal Black Marketing Bill, 1947.

(Secretary then read the short title of the Bill.)

Observations of Mr. Speaker on the preservation of dignity and honour of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER: Before we rise, I wish to draw the attention of the honourable members of this House and, through them, of the outside public that for some time past I have been noticing that so far as the precincts of this Assembly House and even the Assembly hall are concerned, there have been encroachments. We are now in a free Parliament in a free India and we should help in establishing good precedents and conventions to guide us. Whatever may be the policy of the Government and whatever may be the form of protest that may be lodged by any section of the people, I do appeal to them that so far as this House is concerned, they should see that the dignity and honour of this House are preserved. On the House were molested

I may draw the attention of the House that so far as the House of Commons is concerned, it is a very valued privilege of the members of the House that when they come to the House or go out of the House they should not be in any way molested or interfered with. So far as the precincts of the House are concerned, the House of Commons has resolved that it is a high crime and misdemeanour for any person or group of persons to come to the House in order to have a particular Bill passed or not passed. It is the freedom of the members of the Parliament to come to and go from the Parliament and express their views freely unhampered and unmolested that will pave the way for establishing such traditions in this House which will ensure freedom for this country.

My special appeal is to our youth who have sacrificed so much for the attainment of freedom of this country. And I shall appeal to them that they should exercise some restraint in order to establish the highest traditions so far as this Assembly is concerned. I, as the custodian of the honour, dignity and privilege of this House, make this earnest appeal to them, and I do hope that whatever may be the form of agitation or protest, so far as the Assembly precincts are concerned, the dignity and honour of this House should remain unsullied.

Shri JYOTI BASU: On a point of privilege, Sir, and this is the third time I am raising this point. We are told two or three Bills will come up tomorrow and we are to give amendments to them. One which is on the Order Paper-I do not know whether this also will come up tomorrow-is the Special Powers Bill as reported by the Select Committee. This is supposed to come up tomorrow. In the rules it is stated that "the Bill as reported by the Select Committee be taken into consideration, but any member may object to its being so taken into consideration if a copy of the report has not been available for the use of members for seven days". Now, unfortunately, seven days are not being given to us and if we are to proceed in this manner, then I do not know what is the use of these rules. Of course, Sir, you can, under certain circumstances, deprive us of the right, but as Parliamentary practice goes, as far as I am aware, unless there is a grave emergency, unless valid reasons can be shown, even the Speaker does not act in such a manner that the privilege, especially of the minority, is not protected. Therefore, I would urge upon you not to let the Government act in this manner and bring in Bills in such a hurried fashion. We should, as far as practicable, stick to the rules as they are tramed—as they are being followed for the last so many years. I do not know why when since session has begun in a free Bengal, every time every Bill is brought up, we are not being given sufficient time to go into the Bills, and function in a democratic manner. I am sure, Sir, that you will help the minority to act in such a way that they may uphold the dignity and honour of the Chair and this House. Otherwise, history has proved that if the minorities are ridden roughshod in this manner, then they have to act by methods which we do not at all like. I should like to know whether you will protect us in this matter, and what are the urgent issues that justify bringing in these Bills in this hurried way.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Basu, I quite realise the grievances ventilated by you in this House. As a matter of fact, I do believe that it is a privilege of the members to have sufficient time for considering the Bills which are before them. With that object in view I contacted the Chief Whip of the Government Party and asked him not to bring this Special Powers Bill and the Calcutta Municipal Bill for consideration tomorrow. Instead these two Bills may be taken for consideration day after tomorrow and the time for tabling amendments will be given till II a.m. on the 10th

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, even two days' time, namely, up to day after tomorrow, for these two Bills is very short. The Special Powers Bill was presented to this House and was referred to a Select Committee. The Select Committee sat and they have made recommendations for certain changes in the Bill. The members should be given sufficient time to study the original Bill as well as the amendments and modifications as suggested by the Select Committee. Only two days' time is too short for these two Bills and I associate myself with Mr. Jyoti Basu in protesting against this short time.

Shri A. K. CHOSE: You were a member of the Select Committee.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I was a member of the Select Committee, and that is why I say that the Bill is of a sweeping nature. You are aware, Sir, that there is countrywide resentment over this Bill and the whole country is clamouring for the rejection of this Bill. It is therefore meet and proper that the members, the elected representatives of millions outside who are clamouring for the rejection of this Bill, should be given some more time to consider the provisions of the Bill and then come to this House to pool their talents, to pool their experience and then present something to the country which will be acceptable to the country outside. Sir, you are aware that Bengal in general is groaning under this Special Powers Ordinance. What has transpired in the Select Committee is not for me to

divulge now, because the report has been published. But I feel that Government should give more time to the members to digest the modifications suggested and also to ascertain for themselves whether the modifications are of a nature which is acceptable to the country.

Mr. SPEAKER: I have already stated my views about the time. Government has also heard them. The difficulty is that as much time as is allowed by the rules cannot be permitted.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Let us hear the Government as to why they are going against the democratic rules that are before us.

Mr. SPEAKER: So far as this House is concerned, I may say that I had a talk with the Chief Whip and I did point out to him the necessity of giving more time, and as a matter of fact on account of that one day's more time has been given and the Bill instead of coming up tomorrow will be taken up the day after. Now it will be for the House either to reject it or to pass the Bill.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Why should you bow to the dictates of Government? In the rules it is definitely said that the Bill must be in our hands tor seven days before it is brought up for consideration

Shri A. K. CHOSE: On a point of order, Sir. Is the honourable member entitled to make a reflection on the Chan?

Shri JYOTI BASU: It is no reflection

Shri A. K. GHOSE: There is no dictate from Government to the Speaker, and my honourable friend is insinuating that

Shri JYOTI BASU: Then Government is to answer why seven days' time is not being given to us.

Shri A. K. CHOSE: Government have stated the reason at the time of introduction of the Bill.

Shri JYOTI BASU: What are the reasons?

Shri A. K. CHOSE: If the honourable member was absent I am sorry (Mr. Jyoti Bast); I was not absent.) The Prime Minister made it clear why Government had to curtail the usual time which is given to members for tabling amendments. The reasons are obvious. Government had to bring this measure all on a sudden. As a matter of fact Government had no intention to call the Assembly at this time, but it was compelled to call the Assembly on account of certain resolutions which had to be passed in connection with the Damodar Project, and as the law stands these Ordinances which were promulgated had to be converted into an Act; otherwise they will lapse six weeks after the opening of the session. We are very hard pressed for time and naturally we had to curtail the usual time which is given to members. The Prime Minister at the time of introduction of the Bill made this point perfectly clear, and I am glad to say that the House also gave that much of indulgence which was needed at that time

Shri JYOTI BASU: We did not.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, I did not want to speak because this is the first session of the Legislature and there is not much of opposition in the House and the Bills are being passed more rapidly than what the tovernment anticipated Sir, it does not look nice that the Rules of Procedure that have already been framed should be broken on the very second day when we were entering the House even though you assured us that those rules would be strictly followed. Sir, if the Government will give us sufficient time, they will not be losing anything because the Bills—which

have already been passed have not received assent of the Governor and it will take some time for the Government to see them on the Statute Book. Sir, I think, you as the Speaker of this House and as the custodian of the rights and privileges of the members of this House should exercise your powers and give us protection. We want to stick to the rules which have been framed and we do not want any favour from the Government. If the Government today want that they should pass the present measure rapidly, they can do so because there is no opposition in this House. If a precedent is created it is quite possible that when the present Government is not in power the precedent created would hit with greater force the Treasury Benches. We do not raise any objection to the measures that have been hurried through the House, but I think in tuture you would be pleased to give us sufficient time to put in our considered views. These are very important measures which required more time for giving notices of amendments.

Mr. SPEAKER: I have heard the objections. So far as the Special Powers Bills are concerned, I find that the Government wanted to move the consideration of the Bill on a previous day, but in deference to the opinion of the members of the House the Bill was referred to the Select Committee, and some time was given to the members to consider the Bill in the Select Committee. I have now got the consent of the Chief Whip that the time should be extended by one day more for the Special Powers Bill and that time has been given up to 11 a.m. on the 11th. But the difficulty is that if instead of 5 p.m. on the 10th, 11 a.m. on the 11th is fixed, so far as my office is concerned, it will be difficult for them to circulate the amendments in time; and if the members want to have time till 11 a.m. on the 11th, I may not be able to have the amendments circulated in time.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Of course, if there is no other alternative, we shall submit our amendments by 11 a.m. on the 11th but we shall do so under protest.

Mr. SPEAKER: Then, so far as the Special Powers Bill is concerned, the time for submitting amendments will be up to 11 a.m. on the 11th. So far as the other measures are concerned, they will be taken up as per circular already issued.

Shri JYOTI BASU: Sir, in that case the rules will be violated.

Mr. SPEAKER: So far as the rules are concerned, I think the members know it perfectly well that in order to go on with the business of the House, those rules have sometimes to be suspended and sometimes have to be curtailed. Of course I agree with the honourable members in this matter that the Government ought to see that there is no such hurry hereafter and that there be no grievances on the part of the members of the House.

Shri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Sir, in the interest of proper conduct of business of the House it is your inherent power, whether Government may agree or not, to allow such time as you may think necessary, and although you have got the power to waive the rules to expedite the business for urgency, you are nevertheless, as the Speaker of the House, expected to give as much time as possible. And I therefore suggest that in the matter of giving notices it is necessary that you. Sir, have the inherent power of fixing the time. Of course where Government have any difficulty they will explain such difficulties to you. I know that due weight should be given for reasonable difficulties which Government may have to surmount. But in this matter I feel that in the exercise of your inherent power and the rules, and such things as are not covered by the rules or by your inherent power, you will be pleased to give such time as may be necessary.

Mr. SPEAKER: In view of what I have said, I regret that nothing more can be done. I have got to look to the business of the House. Reasonable time has been given, and I believe that honourable members will exert themselves a bit more to submit their amendments in time.

Adjournment.

The House was then adjourned at 5-58 p.m. till 3-45 p.m. on Tuesday, the 9th December, 1947, at the Assembly House, Calcutta

92 [9TH DEC.,

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Tuesday, the 9th December, 1947, at 3-55 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Speaker (the Hon'ble Shri Iswar Das Jalan) in the Chair, 4 Hon'ble Ministers and 12 members.

Mr. SPEAKER: As I find that there is some difficulty in the members coming into this House, I adjourn the House for half an hour.

(The House was accordingly adjourned for half an hour.)

(The House re-assembled at 5-7 p.m.)

Throwing of tear gas bombs by the police from inside the Assembly compound.

8ri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Mr Speaker, before we being the proceedings today, there is one point on which I should like to have some information. It is about the throwing of tear gas bombs from inside the premises of the Assembly round about the streets of the Assembly precincts. I should like to know if that was done with your knowledge and permission or whether the police had of their own done that.

Mr. SPEAKER: So far as the road is concerned, that is beyond my jurisdiction. That is for the Government to decide as to what it wants to do. When the police had closed the doors I asked the police to open one of the doors to allow the members to come in.

8ri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Mr. Speaker, let me make my position clear. What I meant was this, that the police were throwing from inside the Assembly precincts tear gas bombs on the streets

Mr. SPEAKER: That I am not aware.

8ri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: I raised the point because it concers the independence of the Assembly and the powers and jurisdiction of the Speaker I thought that it should be brought to your notice so that such happenings may not recui in future without your knowledge

Mr. SPEAKER: I note what you say. Questions.

Sri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Mr. Speaker, may I draw your attention to the fact that many members are not present to-day. And although we have a quorum and can proceed with the business of the day, I would like to know whether you would consider it advisable to proceed with the business, because if you take into consideration the circumstances under which the Assembly has met today, I think it will not be fair because all the members will not have an opportunity to express their opinion on the questions and other matters that may be brought before the Assembly today. So, I should like you to consider the situation and deal with it as you think best.

Mr SPEAKER: May I know as to what Government has to say?

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Before the Government expresses its view, the House has a quorum and, whether Government likes it or not, I as a member would demand that the work should go on.

Mr. SPEAKER: I am entitled to know as to what Government has to say. Certainly I should use my discretion in the matter.

The Hon'ble Dr. 8URE8H CHANDRA BANERJI: বাননীৰ স্পীকার বহোদয়!
আষাৰ বতে বধন কোৱাৰ আছে তধন Assembly proceedings চলা উচিত।

Mr. SPEAKER: I think I shall have to proceed with the business of the House. Questions.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

Primary education in rural areas.

- 3. Sri KANAILAL DASS: (a) Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Education Department be pleased to state আবশ্যিক 3 অবৈত্যিক বুনিয়াদী শিক্ষা পশ্চিম বাংলা প্রদেশে যথাসম্ভব শীঘ্র প্রতিষ্ঠা করিবার নীতি সরকার গ্রহণ করিয়াছেন কি?
 - (b) যদি করিয়া থাকেন তবে এই সম্পর্কে সরকারী পরিকল্পনা রচিত হইয়াছে কি?
- (c) যদি পরিকল্পনা রচিত হইয়া থাকে তাহা হইলে এ সম্পর্কে জনসাধারণ ও বিশেষজ্ঞের অভিমত লঙ্য়া হইয়াছে কি?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI (on behalf of the Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Chosh): (a) Yes, the Government has accepted the principle that primary education in rural areas should be on the basic model and that it should be free (It cannot, however, be made compulsory now)

- (b) A tentative short-term plan has been drawn up.
- (c) Some experts in this line have been consulted.
- 8ri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state as to what stage the short-term plan is at the moment? The short-term plan has been drawn up. Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state as to when they want to give effect to that short-term plan, or is it still under consideration of Government?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: বৃৰ শীঘুই দেওবা হবে এবং শিক্ক-ট্ৰেণিংএর জন্য ব্যবস্থা করা হচ্ছে। Basic Education দিতে হবে সংৰ্পুধ্যে শিক্ষক-ট্ৰেণিং প্রোজন। শিক্ষক-ট্ৰেণিং হয়ে গেলে জুন start করা হবে।

Sri KANAILAL DASS: বাননীয় বহী বহাপম বলবেন কি উত্তৰ(b)তে তিনি বলেছেন plan করা হয়েছে; সেই tentative plan করা হয়েছে; না হবে ?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: ना, कदा इरहार्ड ।

Sri KANAILAL DASS: যদি হয়ে পাকে ভাষতে সেই short-term plan কি, ভা কি লয়৷ কতে বলবেন ?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: একটা বোর্ড করা হংয়তে এবং কেংথায় এই ট্রেণিং জুল বোলা হবে, কি ভাবে ট্রেণিং দেওয়া—এ সমন্ত ছিল হয়েছে। একটা সংখ্যা ৫০ বা ১০০ আগো ঠিক করে তাবপবে কয়েকটা জুল খোলা হবে। এই বক্ষভাবে একটা tentative plan করা হংহছে।

8ri KANAILAL DASS: যার। এই লাইনের Expert আছেন তাঁদের নাম দিতে আপত্তি আছে কিং

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDAR' এই। এখনি বলতে পারব বা। বোটিশ চাই।

Number of primary schools required for introduction of Primary Education.

- *4. 8ri KANAILAL DASS: Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Education Department be pleased to lay on the Table a statement showing—
 - (a) সমগ্র পশ্চিম বাংলার ব্নিয়াদী শিক্ষা প্রতিষ্ঠার জন্য কতপ্লি ব্নিয়াদী বিদ্যালয়ের প্রয়োজন: এবং
 - (b) সেজন্য কত শিক্ষক ও কি পরিমাণ অর্থের প্রয়োজন?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI (on behalf of the Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Ghosh): (a) The exact number has not yet been calculated.

(b) The number of teachers and the amount of expenditure will depend upon the number of schools.

Covernment scheme for introduction of Basic Education.

- **'5. 8ri KANAILAL DA88:** (a) Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Education Department be pleased to state সরকার কত বংসরে সমগ্র পশ্চিম বাংলার বানিয়াদী শিষ্টা প্রতিষ্ঠা করিতে পারিবেন বালিয়া আশা করেন?
 - (b) এজন্য বার্ষিক কতজন শিক্ষককে শিক্ষকতা শিক্ষা দেওয়া প্রয়োজন?
 - (c) এ বিষয়ে সরকারী পরিকল্পনা কি?
- (d) যদি কোন পরিকল্পনা থাকে তাহা হইলে তাহাকে কার্য্যকরী করিবার জন্য কিবারস্থা অবলম্বন করা হুইয়াছে γ
 - (e) যদি না থাকে তাহা হইলে এখনও কোন পরিকল্পনা রচিত না হওয়ার কার্ণ কি?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI (on behalf of the Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Ghosh): (a) It is difficult to predict the future, but if sufficient funds are available and progress is unhampered it will take about 10 years to cover the whole of West Bengal with the requisite number of basic schools

- (b) The number of teachers to be trained for this purpose will increase in arithmetical or geometrical progression according to the rate of increase of training schools and the rapidity with which we can recruit suitable teachers.
- (c) Government intends to start training schools in the first instance and also to utilise the existing non-official training schools in order to train basic school teachers. Afterwards when there are sufficient number of training schools from which competent trainers can be selected, Government intends to start a Training College.
- (d) Some teachers have already been sent to Sevagram for a course of training and they are expected to start, two training schools about the middle of 1948. About 50 teachers have also been selected for training at Balarampur Basic Training Centre.
 - (c) The question does not arise.

Formation of Basic Education Board.

- *6. Sri KANAILAL DASS: (a) Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Education Department be pleased to state বুনিয়াদী শিক্ষার সূত্র্পরিচালনার জন্য পশ্চিম বাংলা সরকার একটি বুনিয়াদী শিক্ষা বোর্ড গঠন করিবার কথা জাবিয়াছেন কি?
 - (b) যদি ভাবিয়া থাকেন, তবে এর প কোন বোর্ড গঠন করা হইয়াছে কি?
 - (c) यांन व्हेंया थारक, उरव करव এवः काशामुब लहेया এहे व्वार्ज शिवेड व्हेंसारह?

- (d) এই বোডের সভাদের ব্নিয়াদী শিষা সম্বন্ধে কি অভিভাগ আছে?
- (e) কি নীতি অনুসারে বোর্ডের সভ্য নির্ন্থাচন করা হইয়াছে এবং বোর্ডের হাতে কি ক্ষমতা ও দায়িত্ব অর্পণ করা হইয়াছে?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI (on behalf of the Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Chosh): (a) and (b) Yes.

- (c) The Board was formed on 21st September, 1947, with the following numbers:—
 - (1) The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Ghosh, Education Minister, Chairman.
 - (2) Sri Asha Aiyanayakam, Secretary, Hindusthani Talimi Sangha.
 - (3) Labanyalata Chanda, President, Bengal Talimi Sangha.
 - (4) Sti Sucheta Kripalani, Member, Hundusthani Talimi Sangha
 - (5) Dr. (Mts.) Maitrey: Bose, Secretary, Save the Children Committee, A.I.W.C., Bengal.
 - (6) Sri Jamuna Ghosh, Secretary, Bengal Talimi Sangha
 - (7) Sri Panchanan Bose, Secretary, B.P.C.C. Constructive Work Sub-Committee
 - (8) Sir Priyaranjan Sen, Secretary, Harijan Sevak Sangha, Bengal.
 - (9) Secretary, Education Department (Ex-officio)
 - (10) Director of Public Instruction, West Bengal (Ex-officio)
 - (11) Special Officer, Basic Education-Member-Secretary (Ex-officio).

Four more members will be taken in.

- (d) All members are interested and experienced in Basic Education
- (c) The principle of selection of the members will be obvious from their designations. The exact power to be vested in this Board is still under consideration.
- Sri HARENDRA NATH DOLUI: এই যে Basic Education Committee form কৰা হত্যেক্ত এবি৷ কি খৰৱেৰ কাগতেল বা মেছাৰ্ডেলৰ এক কলি কৰে পাঠিয়ে জানাবেন যে এই Basic Education কি এবং কি ভাবে তৈটী কৰা হচ্চেছ গ

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: বেটা কমিটা ঠিক কৰবেল ৷

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Does the Hon'ble Minister propose to take into consideration the education of boys other than Hindu or Sikh or Anglo-Indian or any other. I mean for Muslim education?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: Basic Education Scheme এ জাতিবগনিবিবিংশ সকলেই বিক্তি হবে। হিন্দু, যুসন্মান, গুটান এবং পুৰুষ, খ্রীলোক যে অংসৰে সকলেই বিক্তি হবে। অতএৰ এই Basic Education এর ব্যাপাবে এই পুণু উঠে না।

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Does the Government propose to put in any Muslim members on this Committee?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: কোন মুসলযান নেখাৰ নেওমং সম্পাকে গাঁচপ্ৰেন্থেট্ৰ কোন আগতিনেই। এখন যে বোর্ড পঠিত হয়েছে, তারা প্রে বিৰেচনা করে কি কর্মানে ঠিক কর্মান।

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: There are four vacant seats. Would the Government consider the advisability of allowing the Muslims also to have a say on basic education?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: বণি উপবৃদ্ধ কোন বেখার পাঁওয়া বার দ্পল্লানই চোন বা কেই হোন্ ওঁকে নেওয়া হবে , এ বিখরে কোন সংশহ নেই । Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Does he think that there are no Muslims available in the whole of West Bengal who can be of some service to the country on this problem?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: সে কথা গভৰ্গবেণ্ট মনে করেন না। তবে কোন মুস্নমান Basic Education বোঝেন বা ততে interested কিংবা তার উপযোগী কিনা—গভর্গবেণ্ট তা অবগত নন্। কিন্তু গভর্গবেণ্ট এ কথা মনে করেন না যে কোন মুস্নমান এ কাজের উপযুক্ত নন্।

Sri KANAILAL DAS: যে সমস্ত নাম দেওরা হয়েছে তারপবে আবও ৪ জন নেওয়। হৰে; এই সৰ selection কারা কবেছেন ?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: এই selection গতৰ্ণমেণ্ট কৰেছেল।

Sri KANAILAL DAS: ঐ ৪ জন যে বাকী অংচেন তাঁচলৰ কি গতৰ্ণমেণ্ট select কৰ্মেন গ্ বাংলাদেৰে শিক্ষিত scheduled caste সংবাচি অনক, তাদেৰ মধ্যে একজনকৈও নেওয়া হয়নি কেন গ

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: কেন নেওয়া হয়নি এ পংশের উত্তৰ এগণি পেওয়া শক্ত।

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Will the Hon'ble Minister kindly explain whether this basic education is intended to help more backward class or classes that have already got the benefit and advantage of education?

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: Basic Education এ কল শুণীই উপকৃত হবে। যদি Hon'ble Member Basic Education এব মূল নীতি দেগতেন তাহলে তিনি একধা বলতেন না। কাবণ Basic Education একটা method of training অৰ্থাং শিক্ষাৰ একটা পুণালী মাত্ৰ। অৰ্থাং আমবা বই পড়াব মধা দিয়ে যে জিনিছ শিবি সেটা কাজেব মধা দিয়ে শিবৰ এই হচেচ কথা। অত্যৰ এব ছাবা সকলেই benefited হবে। যে শিক্ষা আসবে তাৰ মধো কোন অন্যুসৰ শুণী বা একপ কিছু পাক্ষরে না। এবছারা শিক্ষাপুণালী অভান্ত সহজ হবে। এবং অন্যুসৰ শুণী বাবা আছেন তাঁবা এব ছাবা বেশী উপকৃত হবেন। কোল শুণীর প্রতি একটা বেশী ৰোক্ষাৰ পাকবে না। এটা একটা শিক্ষাপুণালীৰ প্রবিষ্ঠন মাত্র।

Sri KANAILAL DAS: মাননীয় মন্ত্ৰীমহোদয় বলবেন কি—্যে সমন্ত নাম দিয়েছেন answer (৫)তে—এতে দেবা যায় সৰ কয়জনই গভৰ্গ মেণ্ট কৰ্জুক মনোনীত হয়েছেন। এটা কি বালি দলগত ব্যাপাবেৰ দিকে লক্ষা বেৰে ক্ৰিয়া হয়েছে, না অনাভাৱে হয়েছে গ

The Hon'ble Shri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: এটা শিকাৰ বাপার। এতে কোন দলগত পূণু নেই,—নাম থেকেই তা বুঝতে পাহবেন। অধাৎ শিকা সম্পর্কে বাবা উপযোগী— তাঁদেৰ গগণ কৰা হয়েছে।

Mr. SPEAKER: Questions over The next business is the West Bengal Black Marketing Bill, 1947—1 should like to know whether in view of the paucity of members present in the House, the House wants to proceed with the Bill or whether the intention of the House is to adjourn the House till tomorrow.

8ri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Sir, there is one difficulty. All the amendments have been proposed by one honourable member and that honourable member is not present today

The Hon'ble Dr. SURESH CHANDRA BANERJI: আমাৰ মতে এ সৰ কাল হওয়। উচিং।

Mr. SPEAKER: In view of the sense of the House I adjourn the House-

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Sir, what decision has the House taken? You cannot close the House. If there is a quorum at the beginning when the Assembly meets, nothing should stop it and I would

respectfully urge upon you the necessity that we must go on with our work. If a gentleman has not come and there are amendments in his name, that is his look out and not that of the House. I would, therefore, respectfully request you to carry on with the work of the House. I do not think we have given a decision about it.

8ri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Mr. Speaker, Sir, it appears that Mr Siddiqi had not been present on certain previous occasions when we had to adjourn the House under similar conditions. Then also the House had quorum but not sufficient number of members was present for reasons for which they were not responsible and they could not come even if they wanted to. The House would have sat at 3-45 p.m. today, but we met at some minutes past five and the members could not be expected to know when they had come here that the House would meet at five. It would be extremely unfair in the circumstances in which the House has met today to continue any legislative business today.

Mr. SPEAKER: In view of the fact that this Bill is a very important one and in view of the fact that members have not been able to come to the House in their proper numbers. I think it desirable that this Bill should not be considered today. I therefore adjourn the House till 3-45 p.m. tomorrow. This business will be carried over to tomorrow.

Adjournment.

The House was accordingly adjourned at 5-26 p m (ill 3-45 p.m. on Wednesday, the 10th December, 1947, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

98 . [10TH DEC.,

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Wednesday, the 10th December, 1947, at 3-45 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Speaker (the Hon'ble Shri Iswar Das Jalan) in the Chair, 8 Hon'ble Ministers and 35 members

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

Potato seeds.

- *7. (SHORT-NOTICE QUESTION) Sri SUKUMAR DUTT: (ক) মাননীয় কৃষি বিভাগের মন্ত্রী মহাশয় জানাইবেন কি. এবার আজ পর্যান্ত কত হাজার মণ্ আলুবীজ সংগ্রহ করা হইয়াছে?
- (খ) মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহাশয় ইহা জানাইবেন কি যে পশ্চিম বাংলার চাষীদের কত হাজার মণ আল্বীজ প্রয়োজন?
- (গ) মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহাশয় জানেন কি যে এ বংসর আলুবাঁজ বন্টনের দোষে চাষাঁদের বহু লক্ষ টাকার ক্ষতিসাধন হইতে বাসিয়াছে, বিশেষ করিয়া হুপলি জেলার চাষাঁদের, যেখানে বহু চাষাঁ শুধু আলু চাষের উপর জাবিকা নিন্ধাহ করে?
- MINISTER in charge of the ACRICULTURE DEPARTMENT (the Hon'ble Sri Hem Chandra Naskar): (क) ১৯৪৭ সালের ৪ঠা ডিসেম্বর পর্যান্ত গভর্গমেন্টের সাক্ষাং তত্ত্বাবধানে মোট ১০৫,০০০ মণ। ইহা ছাড়া ব্যবসায়ীগণের ও অন্যান্যের চেন্টায় আন্মানিক ৫০,০০০ মণ।
- (খ) নিজ প্রদেশে উৎপন্ন আন্দাজ ৬ টু লক্ষ্মণ এবং অন্যান্য স্থান হইতে আমদানী আন্দাজ ২ টু লক্ষ্মণ।
- (গ) গভর্ণমেন্ট অবগত আছেন যে যথেণ্ট পরিমাণ আলুবাঁছ অভাবে এবার বহু চাষাঁর বহু লক্ষ টাকার ক্ষতি হইবার সম্ভাবনা কিন্তু এই ক্ষতি প্রধানতঃ বিভিন্ন স্থান হইতে চেণ্টা সত্ত্বেও যথেণ্ট পরিমাণ আলুবাঁছ না পাওয়ার জনাই ঘটিয়াছে। বন্টনের দোষে নহে। এ বিষয়ে বিশ্বন বিবরণ প্রেই সাধারণের অবগতির জনা প্রকাশ করা হইয়াছে। মাননীয় সদস্য মহোদয়গণের অবগতির জনা উক্ত বিবরণাঁর একখণ্ড নকল সভার প্রতকাগারে রাখা হইয়াছে।

Extension of Bus route Nos. 2 and 2A.

- *8. Sri ASHUTOSH MALLICK: (a) Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Home (Transport) Department he pleased to state whether it is a fact that the route of Bus No. 2 and 2A which plied between Shambazar and Gariahat Road Junction has subsequently been cancelled?
- (b) If the answer to (a) is in the affirmative, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state the reasons thereof?
- (c) Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether memorials have recently been submitted to Government for resumption of the route up to Gariahat Junction?
- (d) If the answer to (c) is in the affirmative, does the Hon'ble Minister consider the desirability of resuming the route up to Gariahat Junction?

MINISTER in charge of the HOME DEPARTMENT (the Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Chosh): (a) The permit extending the route from Lansdowne Road Junction to Gariahat Road Junction was temporarily suspended.

- (b) Extension was granted provisionally by the Secretary of the Regional Transport Authority. It was, on receipt of objections from local residents, suspended pending hearing of both sides by the Regional Transport Authority. The objectors have been heard on 20th November, 1947, their objections overruled, and the route extended up to Gariahat Road Junction by the Regional Transport Authority.
 - (c) Yes.
 - (d) This has already been done
- Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether the route has been extended alongside the lake or round Lansdowne Road Extension and Rashbehari Avenue up to the terminus?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: I want notice

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Has the Hon'ble Minister got any information as to whether in connection with the investigations on the spot regarding extension of the bus route there was some amount of rowdyism displayed by those who had collected there in connection with the investigations?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: I want notice

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Is he aware that some respectable persons of the locality were insulted and nearly man-handled in connection with these investigations?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: I want notice

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether he has actually investigated it any complaints in connection with the extension of this route via alternative ways have correct to his office?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: I also want notice for this

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Will the Hon ble Minister be pleased to state whether the Commissioner of Police in connection with the extension of this route had invited written representations regarding objections or otherwise from the local residents?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: I want notice

Revision of pay of Covernment servants.

- '9. Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: (a) With reference to the reply given to staired question No 66 on 28th September, 1946, by the then Chief Minister of Bengal, regarding standardisation, rationalisation and revision of pay of Government servants, will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Finance Department be pleased to state whether the inquiry referred to therein has been completed?
- (b) If the reply to (a) is in the negative, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state the reasons thereof?
- (c) Is the Hon'ble Minister considering the desirability of expediting the work and giving relief to the ill-paid servants of the Government without any further delay?
- (d) Is the Hon'ble Minister aware that the Dominion Government has already given effect to the recommendation of the Pay Commission established by the Government of India?

MINISTER in charge of the FINANCE DEPARTMENT (the Hon'ble Sri Annada Prasad Chowdhury): (a), (c) and (d) Yes.

(b) Does not arise.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state when the enquiry was completed?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: The enquiry was completed by April and the last ad interim grant was given from the 7th July, 1947.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what are the recommendations of the Enquiry Committee?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: I want notice. I have not got the papers with me

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state the possible date when Government are likely to give effect to the recommendations of the Enquiry Committee?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: The whole question is being examined and we propose to give effect to it by early January in our own way—not on the basis of the recommendations of the previous Government

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether Government proposes to give retrospective effect and, if so, from which date?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: It will be given from the 1st January—not with retrospective effect.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether he is considering the increase of pay only with regard to the low-paid servants or whether he is considering the increase of pay with regard to Government servants including higher paid staff?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: The whole case is being examined and the limit has been put at two stages—one is up to a salary of Rs. 300 and the other up to a salary of Rs. 500. The total cost of Government will be examined and the scale of pay is not going to be beyond Rs. 500 in any event

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether it is in the contemplation of Government to introduce scales of salaries so that the salary of the highest paid Government official shall not exceed that of the Prime Minister of the province?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: It is being examined, but in certain cases our hands are bound by rules of the Government of India and the previous commitments of the Secretary of State.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state by what margin does the rate of pay drawn by high-paid Government officials exceed the amount of pay drawn by the Prime Minister of the province?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: It is very difficult to say now.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Does it happen so in many cases?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: It might or it might not.

- Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether the recommendations are the same as those of the Pay Commission of the Government of India?
- The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: I cannot definitely say, but it will very nearly approach that.
- Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether Government propose to sanction increased rate of dearness allowance to Government servants?
- The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: The whole thing is being reviewed and probably the scale of pay which we propose to pay might include the dearness allowance and there will be no necessity of any extra dearness allowance being granted.
- 8rt BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether they have come to any decision about the recommendation of the Pay Commission that the maximum scale of pay will not be more than Rs. 1,000 per month?
- The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: That is not probably provided in the Pay Commission's Report—The Pay Commission's Report deals with the increase which is to be allowed, and the increase to be allowed will be allowed only of scales up to Rs 500.

UNSTARRED QUESTIONS

(answers to which were laid on the table.)

Recognition of training schools for Basic Education.

- 1. Sri KANAILAL DASS: Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Education Department be pleased to state
 - (a) ব্নিয়াদীশিষ্ণ শিষ্ণালয়কে সরকারী স্বীকৃতিদান সম্পর্কে নীতি কি?
 - (b) বলরামপুরের বুনিয়াদীশিক্ষণ শিক্ষালয়কে সরকারী দ্বীকৃতি দেওয়া হইয়াছে কি?
 - (r) হইয়া থাকিলে কবে, কাহার দ্বারা, কোন, কোন, যোগ্যতার ভিত্তিতে এই দ্বীকৃতি দেওয়া হইয়াছে
 - (d) অন্য কোন প্রতিষ্ঠান অন্রূপ শিক্ষালয় খুলিবার জন্য আবেদন করিয়াছেন কি?
 - (e) করিয়া থাকিলে সে সম্পর্কে সরকার কি সিন্ধান্ত করিয়াছেন?
- MINISTER in charge of the EDUCATION DEPARTMENT (the Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Chosh): (a) Government will recognise any training school which is approved by the Hindustham Talimi Sangha.
 - (b) and (d) Yes
- (c) By the Education Minister on the ground that it has been approved by the Hindustham Talimi Sangha
- (c) The matter has been referred to the Hindusthani Talimi Sangha and if they approve of this new training school, Government will recognise it

Commencement of training work by persons trained in Balarampur Training School.

2. Sri KANAILAL DASS: Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Education Department be pleased to state বলরামপুর শিক্ষণ শিক্ষালয় হইতে শিক্ষালাভ করিয়া যে সকল শিক্ষক বাহির হইয়া আসিবেন ত'হোরা কখন কাজ আরুদ্ভ করিবেন?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: About the end of December, 1948, or in the beginning of January, 1949.

Point of Privilege.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I rise on a point of privilege. The time for giving amendments to the Calcutta Municipal Act and other Acts was fixed at 11 a.m. today. You might know, Sir, that because of untoward happenings many of the members could not come to the Assembly and table amendments. Therefore I beg of you to relax the orders that you have already given and to give us some more time for tabling amendments to the Calcutta Municipal Act and all those Acts amendments to which were scheduled to be given by 11 a.m. today.

Mr. SPEAKER: Has anybody any observation to make about it?

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: I quite agree with my friend, Mr. Sinha.

Mr. SPEAKER: In view of the objection raised, time will be given for sending amendments till tomorrow at 11 a.m. Calcutta Municipal Bill will be considered tomorrow

Point of Information,

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: On a point of information, Sir. The difficulty mentioned by my friend relates. I suppose, to the obstruction placed at the gate in the way of members coming into the Assembly House. Are you aware, Sir, or have you received any written representation from the demonstrators or from any section of the public with regard to any matter to tell you and through you to the members of this House as to what the reasons are for the demonstration, whether any representation has been received by the Hon'ble Speaker of this House?

Mr. SPEAKER: No

Demonstration outside Assembly Compound.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir. while we are on this subject of obstructionists and demonstrators, I should like to draw your attention to the fact that members are being obstructed from entering into this House. Yesterday many members came and had to go back because they could not gain entry into the House. Today also people who had succeeded in coming in probably had to face brickbatting and all kinds of derisive shouts, and in an attempt to come into this House, an honourable member of this House has lost his eye-glass. I should like to ask the Hon ble Minister in charge of the Home Department through you, Sir, what arrangements, if any, he has contemplated to ensure safe entry of the members into this House and their going out. Sir, in this connection interesting observations are being made inside the Chamber as well as outside. Who are these obstructionists? Who are staging these demonstrations? Are they those who have come here to voice their resentment to the passage of the Security Bill? If so, who are they? It should be within the power of the Government to find out who they are, and if they have any legitimate grievance, Government should be prepared to listen to them and not subject the honourable members of this House to rough-handling. Sir, you are the custodian of the privileges of members of this House and I appeal to you that you will try and pursuade Government to give us safe conduct.

Mr. SPEAKER: May I know whether Government want to say anything?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, yesterday very unhappy things took place. Members were prevented from coming to this Assembly in the name of civil liberty. They want civil

liberty for themselves but they do not want to allow the legislators to have at least that minimum civil liberty to come to the legislature and pass legislation. I do think that it is intimidation pure and simple and no Government can tolerate intimidation. My friend, Mr. Khuda Bukhsh, has said that he saw brickbatting today. They are heading for a trouble and they want chaos in this country. They are using brickbats, and then if the Police retaliates, they will begin to weep and they will begin to say "oh ho, this Government is so unkind, this Government is so cruel that they have shot and this and that." But violence, if it is exercised by the people in this way, then where is the remedy? And if they want to intimidate the legislators, I do not think there is any other remedy but to put them in prison or to take proper steps. I can assure Mr. Khuda Bukhsh that our Government will take proper steps to see that the way is clear for the members and that not a single person can come this side and create trouble. This is nothing but pure and simple intimidation. No Government can submit to this bullying tactics.

I also want to say one thing. They said that they had objection to this Security Bill. Yesterday anti-black market Bill was there. I have even heard that some people who do not even understand what is meant by Security Bill were brought in here, I think with the money of some blackmarketeers. I do not know whether they wanted to stop this Black Marketing Bill. It may be quite possible. There is a sort of combination—all kinds of combination—during these past few days of black marketeers and so-called oppositionists to this Security Bill. If they want to serve their purpose, this is not the way. They are to convince the legislators and not to coerce them—If the legislators can be coerced, they do not deserve the place they occupy—So this is not the way—Mr. Khuda Bukhsh has said whether I met the representatives. I met two batches of students' representatives day before yesterday. I tried to convince them, even if I failed to convince them this is not the way of doing things. They have every right to oppose this Bill. They are holding meetings in Wellington Square, on the Maidan and everywhere. We are not opposing them. But here we want to come to the legislature and they want to throw stones against us. Mr. Khuda Bukhsh has said that one member has lost his eye-glass. This is pure and simple hooliganism and goondaism and no Government can tolerate this hooliganism and goondarsm in the cloak of civil liberty. Is that the civil liberty that they are contemplating? This is sufficient justification, I should say to the honourable members, for bringing this Bill before this House. That is the reason why I want more special powers and why you should give me more special powers. And I appeal to the saner section of the public also not to encourage it, and I am sure the saner section of the public do not at all support this hooliganism. Yesterday 300 or 400 or at the most 500 people were there Today also they are about that number. In the city of Calcutta there are four or five million people, and is it impossible for any black marketeers to bring 500 people? If 500 people can coerce the representatives of the whole of West Bengal, then I say good bye to all orderly progress in this country, and in that case all must say with one voice that we cannot tolerate this. It is said that the students are making this demonstration, but I know that in many colleges the students are not, even by a majority, joining these demonstrators. In Calcutta there are many thousands of students, and if some students come and say that they represent the students I think nobody will accept them as such. I know, Sir, that the students, as a rule, are orderly and will remain orderly, but if some of them want to coerce the people in this way-supposing they throw stone and it falls on the head of Mr. Khuda Bukhsh-I hope it will notnobody knows what will happen: that may even lead to communal troubles. If these things are allowed to happen we do not know where we shall be. I feel that we are rather in a house full of gun powder. Somehow or other we shall have to stop communal massacre in Bengal and let us hope none of us will encourage these things, for by encouraging these things there can be no orderly government, whether we are here or others are here. I know

there is a deep-laid conspiracy to put the Government to a wrong. If these demonstrators throw stones because they have stones near about and the police men are hurt, they may retaliate because they are well-armed and have got rifles and revolvers with them. Violence is always cowed down by greater violence. Therefore I appeal to all sections of the people not to take recourse to violence. They may say that this Bill is not good and may make peaceful demonstration. I may take into cognisance their sincere expression of views against the Bill. I admire the courage of conviction of those who come out of conviction. But I doubt their wisdom at this stage. They are not the people who can control the destinies of the country at this critical juncture by asking school boys who are mere political urchins to coerce the members of the legislature to pass or not to pass a bill. They cannot be allowed to do so. I may assure the honourable members that they will be given sufficient protection while coming to the legislature though, I am sorry, sufficient protection could not be given to them yesterday or today.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, we do not want sufficient police protection. What we want is that these demonstrations should not be held.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I am not in friendly terms with these demonstrators. I hope if all sections of the public are roused to a sense of citzenship and believe in the civil liberty of all others, they can stop these demonstrators from coming here. But if the demonstrators come here and pelt stones, what is the remedy for me except meeting that violence with greater violence? I hope they will listen to this friendly advice of mine—I am their friend. But I know there are some persons who are doing this out of some mischievous motive. They do not want an orderly Government in the country. They want to fish in troubled waters, and if any chaos comes they only will profit by it. So they are trying to create this trouble. If there is orderly government in the country, they have no occupation. So they are doing all this. If they behave and oppose the Bill in an orderly way, I have nothing to say because I do not expect that everybody will always support the Government in all matters. That cannot be expected in any country. They are even open to convince the members on this side.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: No, not on that side: they are convinced

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Rafique says that this side is convinced and the other side is not. I don't think so They can convince both the sides. If they want to, then of course they can do it. But this is not the way to convince them. It is the Nazi method of 500 or 1,000 people coming and trying to capture power. That will not do. Hitler has spoilt Germany and the followers of the Hitlerian doctrine here will ruin West Bengal. So for orderly progress of the province and for civil liberty of the people I would appeal to you all and to the public outside as well not to encourage this lawlessness. I hope the public will take proper step and my Government will try its level best to see that these things are not done, and the routes will be completely cleared. I do hope Mr. Khuda Bukhsh will pardon me and other members also will pardon me for the inconveniences caused to them.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: Mr Speaker, Sir, so far as I understood-

Sri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE On a point of order, Sir, I think it is not the custom of this Rose to be any member to make a speech after the Leader of the House Statement.

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes. But I do not know what he wants to speak about.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: Sir, a point of order has already been raised. I may say that the convention and custom of this House that he has referred to is with regard to any resolution or a measure or a bill.

Sri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: No. no.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: Sir, I simply wanted to draw your attention that Mr. Khuda Bukhsh wanted an assurance as to what steps, if any, Government has taken to ensure safe entry and exit of the members of this House and he did not raise any discussion on the demonstrators or anything of the kind, or whatever these people may do. So we want a specific reply from the Hon'ble Minister as to what steps he has taken for our safety.

Sri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: I rise on a point of order, Sir. My point of order is that the statement made by the Prime Minister cannot be discussed in the House.

Mr. SPEAKER: I do not think that there can be any discussion in the House after the statement of the Hon'ble the Minister. A question has been raised by Mr. Khuda Bukhsh about the privilege of members, and the Prime Minister has answered that point. As this is a question of privilege of the members of the House to be allowed to enter into the House and depart in safety, I believe it is a question about which I am entitled to say a few words.

Day before yesterday I made an appeal that whatever might be the grievances of any section of the people and whatever might be the policy of the Government, it was desirable that so far as this House was concerned it should be allowed to proceed unimpeded. That appeal, unfortunately, has not been responded to. On the contrary what I find today is that members have not been allowed to enter into the House in order to carry on the proceedings of this House by force. As a matter of fact I myself was denied entrance and I had to seek another route by which I could come in. The position is extremely serious and I have not seen the like of it during the period that I have been in this House. It is impossible for any Government to function for one moment if its highest Parliament is not allowed to proceed unhampered and uninterfered with. I shall like again to appeal to the leaders of public opinion and to all the members of this House to exercise their utmost influence and to see that this episode comes to an end. If the public opinion be sufficiently strong I have no doubt whatsoever that we shall not see the repetition of this painful episode.

As a matter of constitutional privilege I will also like to draw the attention of the House to certain conventions which have been established by the House of Commons, the Mother of Parliaments. I have already stated that we are now functioning in a free India and the representatives of the people are in this House. If they do something wrong there are remedies for the purpose but certainly to prevent the egress and ingress of the members to this House by violence, by intimidation or by any other forcible method is absolutely unconstitutional, and I shall once more appeal to them that they should desist from this path in the interest of freedom of our country.

I cannot resist my temptation of citing one or two authorities on this point with a view to lay emphasis upon this valued privilege of the members of this House. The House of Commons on 26th January, 1696 and 27th February, 1699, resolved that the inciting and encouraging any number of persons to come in a riotous, tumultuous or disorderly manner to this House in order either to hinder or promote the passing of any bill or other matters pending before this House, being against the constitution and freedom of parliament, is a high crime and misdemeanour.

On 6th June, 1780, the Commons resolved that the taking possession of the lobby and the avenue to this House by a large and tunultuous assembly

of people, and maintaining the same, to the great obstruction of the business of this House, though frequently desired by the Serjeant at Arms attending this House and by several members to withdraw, was a high violation of the privilege of this House, tended to control the freedom of debate and was a gross and notorious insult on the dignity and constitution of Parliament.

The Commons, on 12th April, 1733, and the Lords on 17th May, 1765, resolved that the assaulting, insulting or menacing any member of this House in his coming to or going from the House or upon the account of his behaviour in Parliament, is a high infringement of the privilege of this House, a most outrageous and dangerous violation of the rights of Parliament and a high crime and misdemeanour.

I need not quote anything further. Though we have not as yet settled the privileges of this House, still we have got to be guided by the privileges which by long-standing tradition and convention still—rule—the civilized world.

In conclusion, I once more appeal to the members to exercise their influence and to see that this House functions.

Now the Hon'ble Mr. Charu Chandra Bhandari wants to make a statement on food policy

Statement on West Bengal Covernment's Foodgrains Policy.

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: Su, I beg to announce West Bengal Government's foodgrams policy next year which has been decided upon with the concurrence of the Government of India. It has been decided by the Government of West Bengal to deration Asansol and Kharagpur with effect from 1st January, 1948. Movement of rice and paddy into Asansol and Kharagpur rationed areas will be allowed with immediate effect. Modified rationing in all districts will be discontinued with effect from 1st January, 1948, except in the districts of Howiah, Hooghly, Darjeeling and Jalpargur. In other places and in other respects control will continue for the present as before. Procurement will be confined to the districts of West Dinappir, district of Murshidabad, west of river Jalangar, Kulpi and Mathurapur of 24-Parganas, districts of Burdwan, Birbhum, Bankura and Midnapur and the district of Mada. Each of the above groups of areas will be considered as one area for the purpose of cordoning. No embargo will be placed on the movement within the province in other areas but export from the province will be banned.

The procurement price of paddy and rice has been increased—it was already announced in the press. Wheat product will continue to be supplied by the Government throughout the province according to availability. It is hoped that hoarding and profiteering will be avoided by all concerned. Should however supplies in any decontrolled area be jeopardised by hoarding and profiteering, Government will not hesitate to re-impose control.

COVERNMENT BILL.

The West Bengal Black Marketing Bill, 1947,

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Black Marketing Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration.

এই প্রস্তাব উত্থাপন করতে গিল্পে এই বিলটো কেন আনা হয়েচে সেই সম্বন্ধে দ্-চারটে কথা আমি বলতে চাই। মন্যাজীবনের পত্তে অত্যান্ত প্রয়োজনীয় যে জিনিস তার যদি উৎপাদন কম হয় তাহতে সেই জিনিসের স্পৃত্ বন্টনের জন্য control এর প্রয়োজন আছে। এই control প্রয়াটি থেকে যে সমস্ত উপকার হবার কথা সেটা যখন হয় না তখন দেখা যায় সেটা না হওয়ার পথে সবচেয়ে বড় বাধা, সবচেয়ে

বড় প্রতিবন্ধক হছে—চোরাকারবার। চোবাকারবার থাকার জনাই জিনিস পাওয়ার অস্বিধার মধ্যেও র্ম যতটুকু স্বিধা দেশের লোকদের দেয়ার কথা সেট্কুও দেয়া সম্ভব হয় না। স্তরাং চোরাকারবার দিমনের জন্য কঠোর আইনের প্রয়োজন আছে।

কোন কোন মহল থেকে এই কথা বলা হয় যে চোরাকারবার দমনেব জনা আইন রয়েচে, কিন্চু সরকারী কম্মচারীদের শৈথিলা আর নিজিয়তার জনাই এটা দমন হয় না। কোনো কোনো জায়পায় হয়ত সরকারী কম্মচারীদের শৈথিলা বা নিজিয়তার জনা ধরপাকড় হতে পারে না, কিন্চু আমি আমার প্রতাষ্ট্র অভিজ্ঞতা হতে দেখেচি ধরপাকড় হয়েও বর্ডামানে যে আইন আছে তাতে এমন ফাক আছে যার ফলে কাইকে এনে সাজা দেয়া যায় না। চোরাকারবারের সম্বন্ধে যে কথা, খাদো ভেজাল সম্বন্ধেও সেই কথা। খাদো ভেজাল দেয়ার ব্যাপারেও আইনের এমন ফাক আছে যাব ফলে অপরাধী বলে জেনে যাদের ধরা হয়েছে, যাদের নাকি প্রকৃতই অপরাধী বলে আমরা জান্ছি তাদেরও সাজা দেয়া সম্মুত্র হয়নি। এসম্মত কথা আরো প্রপত্ত কবে কারতাম, কিন্তু ভাতে যে সম্মত ভারত আচনাদার প্রায়ে কারতাম কারতাম, কিন্তু ভাতে যে সম্মত ভারত আচনাদার (বিফারাধীন) আছে, তাদের সম্বন্ধে আলোচনা করা হবে এবং সেটা বিধিবহিছ্নত কাজ হার বলে এখানে সে আলোচনা আর করতে চাইনে। কিন্তু এইটাকু বলতে পারি আইনে যে ফাকে আছে মুধ্ তাই নয় আইনে এমন আছে যে যাব জনা দিনের পর দিন চলে যায়, মাসেব পর মাস চলে যায়, অপরাধীদের শাস্তি দিতে হলে কোন কর্কম কার্মছাই করা যায় না। এই চোবাকারবার শ্রুষ্ট্র কটেনে আইনেব প্রয়োজন আছে। এবং এই বিলেতে সেই সবল আইনেব বিধান করা হয়েছে।

স্বাপ্তথম একটা কথা এই যে এই চোৰাকাৰবাৰ যে কি. এন সংস্থা কি. কোন কোন यंश्रदाधमाजक कार्य क्वांचाकावदाद्वय मध्या शहरत. व शम्दान्ध वर्गभक शहरा विधियन्ध वह विदल क्या हासाह, এবং চ্যোবাবাবসার্বিদ্রে শাসিত বিধ্যে করার জন্য সাহিস্ত trial বা summary trial এর ব্যবহর করা হয়েছে। এবং যেখানে এই বক্ষ summary tral হবে লেখানে শাহ্নির এক ৰকম বিধান কৰা হয়েছে এক যেখানে সাধাৰণ বিধানমতে, বাৰফামতে বিচাৰ হবে, সেখানে **আর এক** वकम माण्डित विधान कवा शराहरू। अवर अधे विहलाव देविमण्डे अधे ह्य अधे आधेरन या न्यान्डम माण्डि घट পাবে, ভাব ব্যবহর্ষা করা হয়েছে। যেখানে summary trial হবে, গেখানে শাহিত কখনও এক বছরের কম হবে না এক তিন বছরের বেশী হবে না। এক যেখানে সাধারণভাবে বিচার হবে, সেখানে তিন বছরের কম সাজা হবে না এবং সাত বছর প্যাশিত হতে পার্বে। এবং এই আইন অনিশিশিত্রালের জন্য বিধান কৰা হয়েছে। এবং আৰু একটা বৈশিষ্টা এব মধ্যে আছে। সেটা হছে এই যে গ্রকারী কৰ্ম্মচাৰীদেৰ কন্তৰিচ্চতি বা কম্মে অবছেলা (dereliction of duty) দুৰূপ যেখানে চোৰা-কারবারী প্রস্তার পায় বা সাহায়া পায়, দেখানে সেই সরকারী কম্মটোবীদের অপবাধ হবে যে চারা ঢোৱা-কাৰবাবের abetment করছে। এবং এই বিলে বিধান আছে যে চোরাকারবাবের যে শাসিত, চোরা abetment এও সেই শাস্তি। দ্বিতীয়তঃ স্বকারী কম্মতাবীদের দ্বেছাকৃত কর্ত্বাচ্যাত্র कात्रवाद्वत ভুনা যেখানে চোরাকাববার প্রয়ম পাবে, সেখানে স্বকাবী কম্মচাবীদেরও চোবাকারবাবীদের শান্তি বিধান-মত শাহ্তির বিধান আছে। তারপরের কথা হচ্ছে এই যে অপবাধীদের নিকট bond নেওয়ার ব্যবস্থা Criminal Procedure Code এ বিধান আছে। তারপর কথা হছে আছে যা সাধারণত: **बहै या मिथा १९१६ या फ़ा**ज़ाकाज़वादवंद्र या नामाना नरका वर्डमान बाहेरन बार्फ, जारू रकान बानामीरक ধর্লে bailable offence হওয়ায় সে জামিন দিয়ে বেরিয়ে যায়। আমার নিজের অভিজ্ঞতা थ्यत्क क्षानि स्य क्षात्रकादवास्त्रद्र अभवाधी वस्तरक स्य क्षामिन मिरह स्वतिरह याकि, आवाद किक है भाव करत মামলার খরচটা চালাবার জন্য। সেই কারণে ব্যবস্থা হয়েছে যাতে এই অপরাধ non-bailable

ত্তীয়তঃ বিচারের জন্য দুত ব্যবস্থা অর্থাং খুব তাড়াতাড়ি যাতে বিচার হয়, সে বিধান করা হয়েছে এবং Special Tribunal গঠনের ব্যবস্থা করা হয়েছে। Special Tribunalএ যারা থাক্বেন, তারা High Courtএর বিচারক হবার বোগ্যতাসম্পন্ন লোক হবেন বা Sessions Judge or Additional Sessions Judge হবার মত যোগ্য ব্যক্তি হবেন। আর একটা আছে বিশেষ বিধান—আসামী বা অভিযুক্ত ব্যক্তি তাদের আত্মপন্থ সমর্থানের জন্য তারা নিজেরা সাক্ষী হতে পারবেন। তারপর আর একটা বিধান আছে যাতে চোরাকারবারীদের জনসাধারণ জান্তে পারে—যাদের

চোরাকারবারের জন্য সাজা হবে, তারা যাতে সমাজের চক্ষে হের হর। সেই জন্য তারা যেখানে কারবার করে, তার সামনে তাদের যে সাজা হরেছে, সেই notice টানিরে রাখবার বিধান করা হরেছে। এটা বিদি অপ্রচুর বলে মনে হর, তবে তাদের কারবারের letter-heada, তাদের যে সাজা হরেছে, সেটা লিখে রাখার ব্যবস্থা হয়েছে। এই সমস্ত ব্যবস্থা যে করা হরেছে চোরাকারবারীদের বিরুদ্ধে—আমি আশা করি এখানে সকলে স্বর্মম্মতিক্রমে আমার এ প্রস্তাব গ্রহণ কর্বেন।

8ri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I rise to speak a few words in support of the measure that has been brought before this House by the Government. I must really congratulate the Government for the stern ways in which the Government propose to deal with black marketeers. I think, Sir, the West Bengal Government is the first Government to bring a measure of this kind and place it on the statute book. That should be so perhaps in the very nature of things. During the last regime we had seen the flood gates of black marketing being thrown open in a manner perhaps without any parallel in any province of India. That is why it has become necessary to weed out black marketing and to close those flood gates of corruption as early as possible in this province. I must really congratulate the Ministry for the courage it has shown by placing this Bill for the consideration of the House as one of the first measures that it has brought forward. But, Sir, I would also like to speak a few words by way of suggestion to the Ministry, and I think, Sir, I would not be a loyal party man if I do not convey to the Government the sense of frustration that people are likely to have if we rest content merely with the passing of this Act and do not be quick enough in actually putting down black-marketing. There is a genuine feeling, Sir, not merely entertained by the disgruntled elements and fomented by the black marketeers them-selves but also by genuine supporters of the Ministry and by the masses in general that, after all, things have become so corrupt that in spite of the best intentions of Government the machinery through which such an Act will be operating—that machinery is so ineffective, so corrupt and so insincere that it may not be possible to weed out black-marketing altogether in spite of all the efforts of the Ministry at the top. They have begun to entertain some sort of cynicism about all these measures. I would therefore urge upon the Ministry not to rest content with merely placing this Bill on the statute book but to see that this Act becomes an effective Act and weeds out black market thoroughly and completely from the country. It is unfortunate that there are several cases which are sub-judice and we cannot refer to them. But it has become the subject matter of comment by even those people who are not much interested in politics—people who generally rest content with food and clothing and decent living, that from what they have learnt from Press reports they feel that people who have been arrested for maintaining stocks of soap stone have now been found guilty of nothing but possessing a certain inoffensive white substance. That Press report had enough reason to cause dismay even amongst people who are genuine supporters of the Ministry. Again I shall not mention the case of one Sub-Inspector who was dismissed recently by Government and I do not want to rake up the past because the whole episode has been cleared up now. But, Sir, there is a genuine suspicion that the officers who are at the helm of affairs are not above suspicion. They are not all Caesar's wife. I would draw the attention of Government to the point that in their attempt to remove corruption they should not trust the officers merely because they are officers, but should find out what is the record of each officer and also whether the officers really co-operated with the previous black marketeers in their heinous activities, and if so, Government should give them exemplary punishment. It would not be out of place to mention here that Pandit Nehru, after coming out of prison, stated that the black marketeer should be hanged on the next possible tree. We must remember the assertion he made and should not allow his statement to go as an empty threat. If they transgress the law, and if we cannot, as the Government, suspend the operation of

the due process of law we should at least see to it that the operation of the due process of law is at least so stringent that not only the black marketeers but also those officers who have a hand in permitting black marketing are meted out equally harsh treatment and deterrent punishment.

Sir, there has been a change in the character of the Ministry. I find Mr. Siddiqi is not present here today. He used to entertain the House when he was sometimes in the Opposition that the Government was in the habit of seeing the ghost on every tamarind tree. I myself plead guilty to the charge of seeing the ghost on every tamarind tree, and I have even now a genuine apprehension that the ghosts of the old Bureaucracy and the old Imperialism are still hovering in every chamber of Writers' Buildings. It will take time to remove them—Therefore I would like to urge upon the Ministry that in spite of their good intentions there may be a conspiracy and combination between the administration and many of the black marketeers to frustrate the activities of the Ministry. I would suggest that Government should not rest content with merely passing this Bill on to the Statute Book but should take active steps to put it into effective operation. I may again say that there is such cynicism amongst the people today, so much so that unless steps are taken to make this Act effective, mere passing of the Act will rather weaken the stability of the Congress organisation and the party to which we have the honour to belong. With this warning I congratulate the Ministry for bringing forward this measure and before I resume my seat I would only beg of the Ministry to deal sternly with those officers whose conduct is subject to the slightest suspicion and accord the severest punishment to the black marketeers so that Bengal might be lifted out of the morass into which it has been plunged by the corrupt Imperialist forces and their supporters whoever they might be.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Sir, I beg to oppose the Bill for I am sorry to say that I cannot agree with the principles of the Bill. The principle on which the Bill is based is that if we punish people for black marketing, for taking bribe and murdering people by supplying poisonous foodstuffs, then by inflicting deterrent punishment upon the culprits you will be able to prevent them from doing such things. But, Sir, a study into the criminology will convince you that punishment can-not deter anybody from committing a fresh crime. The only thing which can dissuade or prevent a person from committing an offence is reformation and not punishment. If a person committing a crune is ostracised and driven out from the society and deprived of all the benefits of the society, it is then and then only that that person can be reformed and prevented from doing obnoxious things. In this connection I would draw your attention to the fact that in France black marketing was rampant and the administrators of France took it into their head that if capital punishment was given, then black marketing will cease. So the other day black marketing was made an offence for capital punishment. But in spite of this deterrent provision in the penal law of France, black marketing did not cease but went on merrily day by day in France. If we really want to prevent black marketing we have to go to the root of the thing as to why black marketing prevails. The main basis, the main foundation on which black marketing thrives is the control. If you want to remove black marketing, if you want to banish it from the country, you must first remove the controls. I do not believe in the theory that controls make for the good of the society. It is not at all true Everything is governed by the law of demand and supply. If you are really eager to provide that there should not be any social evil like black marketing, all that you should do is to remove the control, and all the evils you are suffering from will be rooted out. Sir, there was control on salt and so long as there was control on salt, salt was not available in the market. But as soon as it was decontrolled, salt became available.

Bri J. C. GUPTA: What about mustard oil and sugar?

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: It is the same with mustard oil and sugar—(Several voices: No, No). When controlled, mustard oil was not at all available in the market. Mustard oil was not available in the bazar, but as soon as it has been decontrolled, it is available and at a much lower price. Now the price of mustard oil is only Rs. 2. (The Hon'ble Sri Bhupati Maumore: What was the controlled rate?) The controlled rate was much lesser, but nothing was available. We have been in Calcutta and from day to day we went to the market and everyone said mustard oil was not available and we used to get badam oil. I do not know what is the case of Calcutta, but so far as the motusil is concerned and our district of Birbhum is concerned, there is ample supply of mustard oil. That is not the way of controlling. You say that produce is not sufficient. If produce is not sufficient, how can you manage things. I say produce is sufficient, but through manipulation and conspiracy of the capitalists and shop-keepers with whom Government also is in conspiracy, this control is going on. I always regard Mahatma Gandhi as the exponent of truth and wisdom. What has he said? He has always recommended that control should be removed at all costs. Well, remove the control and black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disappear and there will be nothing of black markets will soon disa

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: On a point of order, Sir বিলা লোটিগে এ বক্ষ নোশন আগতে পাবে কি লা খ

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Hossain, you sent to me just now a notice of a motion for circulation. Are you moving that?

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Yes, Su, I have moved that. Finally I have said that I move that the Bill be circulated for electing public opinion

Mr. SPEAKER: You simply opposed it. Therefore, that is out of order,

(At this stage the House was adjourned for 15 minutes.)

(After adjoornment)

Mr. A. M. A. ZAMAN: Mr. Speaker, Sir, আজকে Assembly হৈ বে বিল নিয়ে আলোচনা হছে সেই black marketing সম্বন্ধে আলেও ধরবের কাগতে আনক আলোচনা হয়েছে। Ministry এই বিলটি এনেছেন এক এই black market দমন করবার চেন্টা করছেন সেচুনা আমি আমার শ্রমিক বন্দ্রদেদ পম্ম থেকে তাদের congratulate করছি। আমাব মনে হয় এই বকম বিল্ যদি আরও আণে আসতো ভাহ'লে দেশের অনেক উর্মতি হ'ত। কারণ এই black marketingsa कना प्राप्तत अक्ठ चीठ रहाह। यामाप्तत माथा अक्ठो कथा चाहि—प्रीवाना माहिर अहाङ करत उन्नाउन. र्थ शकारत त्वार रम्न वक्कन त्वारुष्ठ याद्य। यामवाङ मत्न कत्रकाम त्य वक त्वाक यथन नमाङ পछ তখন তাদের সবাই ব্রিও বেহেস্তে যায়। হাজারে একজন বেহেস্তে যাবে কেমন করেণ আজুকে কন্টোলেব ब्राज । तथा यात्र म्यूजन रक्रदरणा. रक्तामन कारणियन, रब विरागन र्लाट्यन जारण णामाद मरन वर्द्र मन्धारवला বিদায় নেবার সময় প্রণার খাতার মুধ্যে শূনা দিয়ে রেখে যান। সিভিল সাংলাই ডিপার্টমেণ্ট ছাডাও बनाना लाक यापद भर्या जानारमाना बार्ड, ठाद प्रन्यान निरम् black market এর স্বিধা তারা করে যাছে। গ্রামদেশে ফাড়-কমিটিব মধ্যেও দেখা গেছে ইউনিয়ন ফাড়-কমিটিতে যারা আছে তাদের भरका आरमारक म्-रवाजन करतानिम राजन भरानिक black market করতে চেন্টা করে। দ্-টান প্রভাবে বিক্রী করতে পারলে বেশ কিছু লাভ হয়।

আমি আর বেশী সময় নত করতে চাই না। আমি আশা করি গছণমৈণ্ট যে বিল এনেছেন শুখু সেই বিল এনেই তারা ছাতে ছবেন না। কাগজপত্রে লেখা-লিখি অনেক কিছু হয়। কিন্দু কার্যোপরিণত যদি তা না করা যায় তাছ'লে কিছুই হবে না। মিল্মিণ্ডলী যে বিল এনেছেন তা পাশ করবার সকলে প্রত্যেক এম-এল-এ-বও কর্ডবা রয়েছে। তারা তাদের constituency তে গিয়ে জনসাধারণকে সদ্পদেশ দিয়ে বোঝান যাতে সকলে এ কাজে সহযোগিতা করেন।

আমি যখন কপোবেশনের কাইন্সিলার ছিলাম তখন আমি কয়েকটা দোকানে তেজাল যি তেল ধরবার চেন্টা কবেছিলাম এবং ধরেও ছিলাম। কিন্তু তারপবে আর বাড়ী টেকা পেল না। সকলে বলতে আসে শ্রাপনি করলেন কি॰ এ case এখনই withdraw করিয়ে দিন। আব কোন দিনও একাজ কবেনে না। শেষ প্যাণিত বাধা হয়ে caseটা withdraw করতে হ'ল। কিন্তু তারা তা এখনও কবে যাছে সেই বক্ম। Public এর পক্ষ থেকে কাকেও ধরলে এসে বলবে ওটা ডুলে হয়ে গেছে: আমরা চানতাম না। কিন্তু ওটা কখনও ভুলে হয় না।

সিভিন্ন সাংলাই ভিপাইমেণ্টে অনেক দেখেছি যাবা ২০০, টাকা মাইনে পায় তারা ৭৫, টাকা বাহুনিছার দেয়। আব ছেন্নবেলায় যে নাস্তা তাবা খায় সে নাস্তা তাব মাইনেব টাকায়ও হয় না। তারপর দেখাছি হাতাব হাতাব টাকা দিয়ে বাড়াইব কবে বসছে। এই সব বান্টোলের ভিনিস যারা বিক্রী কবে প্রকর্ণনেই মণ্কবা তালেব যে profit দেয় সেই profit এ তালেব কিছুই হয় না। আমার জানান্দোনাব মধ্যে অনেকে আছেন যাবা এক বছরেব মধ্যে ক্ষেক লক্ষ টাকা বোড়গাব করেছে। এইসব কন্টোলের জিনিসপত্র এনেন তাল, ভাল, কাপড়, চিনি, গুড় ইত্যাদি। এইখব বাইবে গেকে এনে বিক্রী করে লক্ষ লক্ষ্ টাকা তালের প্রকটিক হয়েছে গতে এক দেও বছরেব মধ্যে। কাছেই আমি গ্রুপ্টিকিটক congratulate কববাব সঞ্জে সংগ্যে এই বিল support কর্মিছ এবং স্কলেব কাছে appeal কর্মিছ যে public sympathy নিয়ে এটাকে যেন কাম্যকিবী করবার প্রচেণ্টা হয়।

আর একটা কথা ভাই সাহেব বলেছেন এই বিলটিকে circulate করতে। Circulate করবার মানে কি হবে চিle k marketeer বা বর্তমানে হেসব সমিতি বা পাটা আছে তার মধ্যে আনকারে অনাজারে টাকা দিয়ে বাধ্য করবার তেটা করতে এবং তাদের সালোকালে নিয়ে আস্বেন্ত বিন তালা চাই লা চাই লা চাই করে করবার তেটা করতে এবং তাদের সালোকালে নিয়ে আস্বেন্ত বিন তালা চাই লা চাই লা চাই লা করবার তেটা আমান মতে এটা বে মিনিট্র দেবা করা ইতিত নার। মাই এই বিল পালা হাবে এবং তাবে পর দিন থেকেই যাতে সেচা ভাগিছেল হার তা করবার তেটা বিল বিচাটি টাইটার দিতে পারার সাল্টে দুর্নাটির সালাধি হয়। বিন্তু করেছিল তুলে দিলেও আলা করি গভোগালার বিলালার বিলালার বিলালার থালার তেটা করবালার তেটা করবালার তেটা করবালার তেটা করবালার বিলালার বিলালা

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: এ বিরুদ্ধে বিকোন করাত গিয়ে অন্যাদের যাননার সদস্য বিষল বাব্ যা এখাপন বাবেছন গেটা ছক্ষে এই যে আমাদের মান্দ্রনান্তলার গণিছা থাবাবেও যে machinery নিয়ে কাও করতে হয় সেই machineryই সাম্যাদের মান্দ্রনান্তলার গণিছা থাবাবেও যে machineryই সাম্যাদের মান্দ্রনান্তলার প্রান্তি না হছে এই প্রতিকার হরে না আমি এইটার আনবাস দিতে পারি যে যে machinery নিয়ে এমারা কাড় করি তার সম্প্রেক আমারা সভাগ আছি এবং এইটার আমারা আমা করি যে মান্দ্রনাভলী সাম্যা নিয়ে এক আন্তরিকতার সঞ্জো সমস্য দ্রাম্যাতি সম্বের চেটা করছে, আন্তরিকতার যান এর মধ্যে থাকে ভাছলে সে machinery যত corruptই হোকা আমাদের এই সনিছাকে কায়বিবী করতে বেশী দিন বাধা প্রান্ত হরে না। একটা কথা তিনি ইয়েখ করেছেন। ক্ষাম্যান প্রথম বস্থুতাতে ভানিয়েছি, আভাবে ছানিয়েছি, যে আইনের ক্যাক আছে স্প্রেবী এই black marketing সম্বন্ধেও যেমন শতিমান খাদ্যা-ভেজাল সম্প্রেবী এই আইনের ক্যাকে আছে যাহিনর ক্যাকে আমারা মান্হ বড় অপরাধী বলে ভেনেছি, আদালতে সিয়ে তানের কিছ্ই করা যাছে না। আমান প্রথম বছাতে মানালতে সিয়ে তানের কিছ্ই করা যাছে না। আমান প্রথম বছাতের গালে বিচারাখীন, sub-judice case নিয়ে আলোচনা করতে হয়। সেটার প্রয়োভন নাই। তবে এই যে ক্যাক আছে খাদ্য-ভেজাল আইনের, ভার আলোচনা করতে হয়। সেটার প্রয়োভন নাই। তবে এই যে ক্যাক আছে খাদ্য-ভেজাল আইনের, ভার আলোচনা করতে হয়। সেটার প্রয়োভন নাই। তবে এই যে ক্যাক আছে খাদ্য-ভেজাল আইনের, ভার

একটা বন্দোবন্দ করতে চেন্টা করছি এবং তার জন্য করোর আইন প্রণারন করবার চেন্টা হছে এবং সে সম্বন্ধে বদি পারা যার মাননীর স্বাস্থা-মস্মী মহাশার এই মাদ্য-ভেজাল সম্পর্কে আইন আনবেন, এবং যদি সময় না পাওরা যায়, তারপরে হরত Ordinance হিসাবে এটা আসবে; তাতে এইট্,কু আমি আম্বাস দিতে চাই যদি কোন জায়ণায় soapstone ব্যবহার করে তারা এই রক্মে ফ্লান্ডে পারবে না। ফ্লাকে বাতে পড়ে না যায় সেই জিনিস সম্পর্কে এই মন্মিমন্ডলী সজাগ আছেন।

আরো একটি কথা উল্লেখ করেছেন একজন Police Sub-Inspector এর বিষয়ে। সে সম্পর্কে ত সমস্ত জিনিস পরিব্দার হয়ে গিয়েছে। এইটুকু আমি জানাতে চাই যে এ সম্পর্কে যে বরখাদেতর কথা ছিল সে সম্বন্ধে অনুসন্ধান এবং enquiry চলক্ষে। এবং enquiry র ফলে যদি কেউ অপরাধী সবাস্ত হয় তার শাস্তি বিধান করতে আদালতে যদি case যায়, আইনের ফাকের কথায় আমি কিন্দু এইটুকু বলতে চাই, আইনের ফাকে কেউ পালাতে পারবে না।

ন্বিতীয় কথা হছে এই: মাননীয় সদস্য মুদাস্পির হোসেন সাহেব এই প্রস্তাবকে বাধা দিয়েছেন। উনি বলেছেন যে, সাজা দিলেই এটার সংশোধন হবে না। এটা ঠিক কথা যে শুধু সাজার বিধান করলেই কোন পাপ কোন দিন যোচে না। তব্ একটা বিধান করতে হয়, এটা নয় যে এই বিধান করলেই এই black marketingআরো বেড়ে যাবে। কিছু কমবে এটা ঠিক কথা। অতএব সেট্কু নিয়েই আমাদের সন্তৃষ্ট থাকতে হবে। এই কথা বলে আমি আমা করি আমার এই প্রস্তাব সবর্শসম্মতিক্রমে গৃহীত হবে।

8ri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: On a point of information, Sir. The Hon'ble Minister was very kind to refer to the case mentioned in my speech about the dismissed Sub-Inspector and he has been pleased to say that enquiries have been instituted against the persons concerned. If he has no objection, will he be pleased to see that enquiries are made about Mr. Gordon who is alleged to be involved in this matter?

The Hon'ble 8ri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI : তঁল ভাই চচেচ , এই officerএৰ সমস্ভ ব্যাপাৰেৰ স্বৰ্থৰ enquiry হচেচ ৷

The motion of the Hon'ble Sri Charu Chandra Bhandari that the West Bengal Black Marketing Bill, 1947, be taken into consideration, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 1.

The question that clause I stand part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I tabled a short-notice amendment to clause 2.—1 do not know whether it has been admitted by you—May I have your permission to move it?

Mr. SPEAKER: All right The position is that sufficient time was not given, and it is very inconvenient to admit motions on the floor of the House and examine its being in order or otherwise. If you insist I may permit it for the time being, but there are difficulties. I have not yet examined it.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I beg to move the short-notice amendment that in clause 2(a), in line 1, for the words "any goods", the words "foodstuffs and other articles essential for the maintenance of the life of the community" be inserted.

Sir, I think I shall be voicing the general feeling of this side of the House when I say that we have decided to support the courageous measure brought by the Ministry. Sir, this is the first time that a measure of such sweeping nature directed against the worst anti-social elements of the society has been brought in this House. Here I would observe that the expression is "selling or purchasing for the purpose of trade any goods" might include anything, but I do not think that it is within the contemplation of Government that they want to include all merchandise under the

term "any goods". It would be impracticable to do so, and I take it that Government have not that in their mind. Now the term "any goods" will perhaps be defeating the very object of the Bill by including so many varied sorts of merchandise as to be ineffective. So I suggest that for the words "any goods" it is only in consonance with the wishes of the Ministry that I want to insert the words "foodstuffs and other articles essential for the maintenance of the life of the community" so that it will limit the application of the Bill.

I hope Government will be pleased to accept my amendment.

Sri J. C. GUPTA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, may I point out to you that clause 2(a) provides "selling or purchasing for purposes of trade any goods at a greater price than the maximum price fixed, by or under any law, notification or order for the time being in force, for the sale of such goods." Therefore it contemplates that Government will by notification fix the maximum price for certain goods. It does not contemplate inclusion of all goods wherever produced. Secondly, Sir, the short-notice amendment of Mr. Khuda Bukhsh to limit it to essential goods will require the provision of a definition of "essential goods". You have also pointed out that at short notice one cannot understand all the implications of a short-notice amendment. Moreover, Sir, the term "any goods" of which the price will be notified is sufficient to cover the point raised by Mr. Khuda Bukhsh. In total view, Sir, I hope Mr. Khuda Bukhsh will see his way to withdraw the amendment.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I have heard the illuminating speech made by Mr J C Gupta He has anticipated the wishes of the

Mr. SPEAKER: I am sorry, Mr Khuda Bukhsh, you cannot speak twice on the same motion.

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: यामि এই मरागाधन করছি এবং Mr. Gupta या কথাই। Essential श्दव जाब আবার সংকা করতে द्दा । मा श विक পড়ে যেতে পারে। क्राक्रा controlled জিনিস আছে তার মধ্যে প্রই তো essential; Essential জিনিস না হলে controlled ब्यूना। य कच्छो controlled তার মধ্যে presume করে নেওয়া উচিত সেণ্লি essential. এই বকম সংশোধনী প্রস্তার না এনে যদি তিনি বলেন কোন একটা foodstuff বা অন্য কোন goods विद्राधिता कद्रद्या। एन द्रकम् এकहा vague **डाद कथा नलाल अर्थ हमू गा।** Control এখনও যা আছে সবই essential জিনিসের মধ্যেই আছে।

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I beg leave to withdraw my amendment.

There being no objection the amendment of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that in clause 2(a), in line 1, for the words "any goods", the words "too stuffs and other articles essential for the maintenance of the life of the community", was then by leave of the House, withdrawn.

The question that clause 2 do form part of the Bill was put and agreed to.

Clause 3.

The question that clause 3 do form part of the Bill was put and agreed to.

Clause 4.

The question that clause 4 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

سر راه

Clause 5.

8ri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 5(I), line 6, for the word "approves", the word "proves" be substituted.

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: আমি এই সংশোধনী পুতাৰ পুত্ৰ কুছি। এটা একটা printing mistake ক্লে।

The motion of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose that in clause 5(1), line 6, for the word "approves" the word "proves" be substituted, was then put and agreed to.

8ri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 5(3), line 3, for the words "gomasta or" the word "gomasta" be substituted.

· The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: আমি এই সংশোধনী পুভাৰ গুহণ কর্তি।

The motion of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose that in clause 5(3), line 3, for the words "gomasta or" the word "gomasta" be substituted, was then put and agreed to

The question that clause 5, as amended, do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause G

The question that clause 6 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause ?

The question that clause 7 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to

Chaise S

The question that clause 8 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to

Clause 9.

The question that clause 9 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to

Clause 10

The question that clause 10 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to

Clause 11

The question that clause 11 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to

Clause 12

The question that clause 12 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to

Clause 13.

The question that clause 13 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 14.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I beg to move that in the first proviso to clause 14(I), line 1, after the words "special tribunal may" the words "for reasons to be recorded in writing" be inserted.

Sir, the object of my amendment is self-explanatory. The object in that the tribunal will record the reasons for refusing to summon witnesses.

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: আমি এই সংলোধনী ি প্ৰকাষ গ্ৰহণ কৰ্ছি ।

The motion of Sri Bimal Chandra Sinha that in the first proviso to clause 14(I), line 1, after the words "special tribunal may" the words "for reasons to be recorded in writing" be inserted, was then put and agreed to.

Sri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that after clause 14(5), the following sub-clause be added, namely:--

"(6) A Special Tribunal may pass any sentence authorised by law."

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: আমি এই সংশোধনী পুস্থাৰ গ্ৰহণ ক'বছি। এব মধ্যে একটা ফাঁক বা lacuna পেকে পেতে যে কোন কথা বলা হমদি Special Tribunal কোন গছে। দিতে পাৰে কিনা। এ বৰম খাকৰে একটা সন্দেহ হতে পাৰে বে Special Tribunal ব সাজা দেবাৰ কোন কমতা মাতে কিনা। এই ফাঁক বা lacuna remove কৰবাৰ জনা এই প্ৰস্থাৰ আনাহামেছে। অভ্যাৰ মামি এটা গ্ৰহণ কৰ্মচ।

The motion of Sir Amai Krishna Ghose that after clause 14(5), the following sub-clause be added, namely —

(6) A Special Tribunal may pass any sentence authorised by law.", was then put and agreed to

The question that clause 14, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

Clause 15.

The question that clause 15 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

Clause 16

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, I beg to move that in clause 16, lines 2 and 3, the expression for to make any order under section 491 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898", be omitted.

The Bill as dratted wanted to prevent the High Court from giving effect to the right of habias corpus. I want that the right of habias corpus and other rights should be there, and therefore. I want to delete the words prohibiting the High Court from giving effect to the right of habias corpus and other rights.

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: আমি এই সালোধনী পুজাৰ বুচন কলিডেটি।

The motion of Sri Bimal Chandra Sinha that in clause 16, lines 2 and 3, the expression "or to make any order under section 491 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898", be omitted, was then put and agreed to

The question that clause 16, as amended, do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 17.

The question that clause 17 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 18.

The question that clause 18 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 19.

that in clause 19(1), line 5, after the words "court may" the following words be inserted, namely:—

"for reasons to be recorded in writing.".

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: অংমি এই সংহশাধনী পুভাব পূচণ করিছেছি।

The motion of Sri Bimal Chandra Sinha that in clause 19(I), line 5, after the words "court may" the following words be inserted, namely, "for reasons to be recorded in writing" was then put and agreed to.

The question that clause 19, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 20.

8ri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Su. I beg to move that in clause 20(1), line 6, for the word "Court" the words "Provincial Government" be substituted.

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: আৰি এই সংশোধনী পুজাৰ গ্ৰহণ কৰিতেটি।

The motion of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose that in clause 20(1), line 6, for the word "Court" the words "Provincial Government" be substituted was then put and agreed to

The question that clause 20, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 21.

The question that clause 21 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

New clause 21A.

Sri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that after clause 21 the following new clause be inserted, namely:—

"Offences under section 20 or section 21 triable by a Presidency Magistrate or a Magistrate of the first class."

21A. Offences under section 20 or section 21 shall be triable by a Presidency Magistrate or a Magistrate of the first class."

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: আৰি এই সংশোধনী পুতাৰ গ্ৰহণ কৰিতেছি.।

The motion of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose that after clause 21 the following new clause be inserted, namely:—

"Offences under section 20 or section 21 triable by a Shall be triable by a Presidency Magistrate or a Magistrate of the first class.",

was then put and agreed to.

Clause 22.

8ri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 22, line 5, for the word "should" the word "shall" be substituted.

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: আহি এই সংশোধনী প্ৰভাৰ গ্ৰহণ কবিতেছি। The motion of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose that in clause 22, line 5, for the word "should" the word "shall" be substituted was then put and agreed to.

The question that clause 22, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 23.

The question that clause 23 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 24.

The question that clause 24 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 25.

The question that clause 25 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, I beg to move that in the preamble, line 2, the words "the evil of" be omitted.

I move this because a law should be precise and should not contain an expression of ethical opinion. The object of the law should be obvious from the contents of the law and I therefore suggest that these words might be omitted.

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: আমি এই স্লোধনী প্রভাব প্রহণ কবিভেছি।

The motion of Sri Bimal Chandra Sinha that in the preamble, line 2, the words "the evil of" be omitted was then put and agreed to.

8ri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that in the long title, lines I and 2, for the words "for checking the evil of black marketing" the words "for checking black marketing" be substituted.

Sir, black marketing is an evil evident enough. So it requires no statement, Sir.

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: আনি এই স্বোধনী পুজাৰ গ্ৰহণ কৰিতেছি।

- Mr. SPEAKER: What I want to point out, Mr. Sinha, about this motion is this that the preamble is a subject-matter for amendment in this House, but not the long title. Therefore, this motion is out of order. It may be done as a consequential amendment and not as a motion. This is the procedure.
- Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I could not quite follow you. Is it the direction of the Hon'ble Speaker that it is not in order to amend the long title?
- Mr. SPEAKER: I understand that it cannot be done by bringing a motion before this House under the Legislative Act. If it is a consequential amendment, then that can be done as such under the Legislative Assembly Procedure Rules.
- Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I would request you for the benefit of the House to elucidate the matter. I have doubts in my mind, but I do not want to detain the House any longer. If you please, I shall see you in your Chamber.

Mr. SPEAKER: I shall be very glad to see you in my Chamber. So far as this amendment is concerned, it will be consequentially done and, therefore, you need not press the motion.

The question that the preamble as amended do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

The Hon'ble Sri CHARU CHANDRA BHANDARI: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Black Marketing Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

এই প্রদ্যাব উদ্যাপন করতে গিয়ে আমি একটা কথা, শেষ কথা, এই বলতে চাই যে আইন হতই করা হউক্, এই যে চোরাকারবারের অপরাধ এটা যদি সতিচকারের দমন করতে হয় আইনও বানাতে হয় এবং জনসাধারণের সহযোগিতাও চাই। আমি আশা করি চোরাকারবাব দমন আইন সম্পর্কে জনসাধারণের সহান্তিতি যে রক্ম পাওয়া যান্তে এই আইন প্রয়োগের সময়ও সেই ক্মম সহযোগিতা পাওয়া যাবে।

আরু একটা কথা আমি বলতে চাই যারা চোরাকারবার ক'রে আসছেন সেই চোরাকারবারীদের প্রতি। ্সে হচে এই যদি control **উঠে याग्र धामात এक वन्ध** वलाह्न छात्राकात्रवात डेटे याद। এটা उ ठिक कथा। किन्तु मान्द्रबंद नःरमाधन ह्यान ना। यपि control डेंटे याग्र डाहरन धरे मात्र हरद य চোরাকারবার যারা করেন তারা যেন এই control থাকতে legalised হবে। शाकराष्ट्रे निरक्षामदरक मः स्माधन कदरा मिरथन। विस्थितः सम न्वाधीन शरहरू। ১৫ই बाग्रास्टेव भरत আর এ জিনিস চলে না। ১৫ই আগন্টের পবে আমবা যে পতাকাকে রাষ্ট্রীয় পতাকা বলে গ্রহণ কর্নেছ लात अध्य यर्थ दृष्ट और त्य आमारमत मुख्य मान्य दृद्ध भए हैटेट दृत्त। ५७३ आभन्ने लातिर्थ मानसीय वीताजारभाभावाहातौँ जि जिनि धरे क्या वरलरहन य आमार्मतरक गुरुन मान्य हरा Governor গড়ে উঠতে হবে। যারা চোরাকারবারী তাদের প্রতি আমার আবেদন যে তাবা আর এ জিনিস চালাবেন ना। **न्दाधीन मित्ने मान्य आमता, आमता स्थन स्थ्यका** मुठन मान्य द्रार भएए उठेरठ भारित এदः नमाजरक এই कन्यम्क यन कदरा भारि, यारा वामता न्यायीन काठिए भतिश्व राय कारा महायान জাতির পে পরিগণিত হতে পারি।

Mr. A. F. M. ABDUR RAHMAN: Mr. Speaker, Sir. I associate myself wholeheartedly with the spirit behind this Bill and, I am sure, every right-thinking man will welcome the provisions of the Bill, but what I am worried about is that with all the sincerity and honesty of purpose behind such popular enactments the resultant effect has not so far been very encouraging. Instances are not very rare-1 do not think I shall multiply them here- but with regard to this particular Bill I would only wish that all the people connected with the execution thereof will be actuated by a spirit of public service and will treat the offenders in the way they deserve, to make them hable to the penalties provided in the Bill. Instances are not rare when by legal squibbles, laches on the part of the executive authorithes and other concomitant factors the accused in respect of black marketing though condemned in public eye have been looming large and making themselves prosperous. Let us hope that the procedure has been effectively tightened up in the present West Bengal Black Marketing Bill to make those things of the past. I can assure the Hon'ble Minister that our party is solidly behind him in his attempt to stop black marketing in any shape or form and if he can sufficiently set the connected Government machinery in motion for eradicating the anti-social evils of black marketing he will not only earn the gratitude of our party but also of all the good people outside.

With these words, Sir, L support this Bill. In this connection 1 would like to say a few words about the speech made by my honourable friend Mr. Mudassir Hossain. He did not mean to oppose the Bill. His idea was that if the control is withdrawn there would be no necessity for passage of this Bill. It was never his intention to oppose the Bill.

The motion of the Hon'ble Sri Charu Chandra Bhandari that the West Bengal Black Marketing Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed, was then put and agreed to.

Firing before Assembly House.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, before we adjourn I want to place before you certain facts. After we assembled, Sir, the police had occasions to resort to firing, and I have been told that seven or eight people have been injured.

Sir, we did not think that matters would take such a turn, but they have taken a very serious turn. Sir, may I request the Hou'ble Leader of the House through you that he should institute a sifting enquiry into the circumstances which prompted the police to resort to their arms and, if possible, enlighten us here and now as to the actual happenings and the names and number of people injured by police firing. If it is not possible for him to do so now, may I request him through you, Sir, to give us these figures and also a detailed account leading to this unfortunate occurrence of tomorrow first thing in the afternoon?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I will give all the facts and figures tomorrow. I am not in a position to do it now.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr Speaker, Sir, honourable members feel that it is not safe for the members of this House to leave the premises just now. May we know what arrangements, if any, Government are contemplating to make for the safe passage of the members from the House?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: If necessary, aimed escotts will be given.

Adjournment.

The House was then adjourned at 6-51 p m till 3-45 p.m. on Thursday, the 11th December, 1947, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

120 [117s Dic.,

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Thursday, the-11th December, 1947, at 3-45 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Speaker (the Hon'ble Sri Iswar Das Jalan) in the Chair, 9 Hon'ble Ministers and 48 Members.

Adjournment motion.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, I gave notice of an adjournment motion on the police firing outside the House yesterday and I find consent has been given. So, I think we should proceed to discuss the matter now.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I also gave notice of an adjournment on a subject which, I find, is identical to that of the motion given by Mr. Basu. Would you now fix up which motion would be taken up first?

Mr. SPEAKER: I understand that there is no objection to this adjournment motion being taken up. If it be so, then it is immaterial as to which motion is taken up.

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: May I request you to take up the motion which was given earlier?

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: On a point of order, Sir. On adjournment motions, when consent has been given it is up to the honourable member to get up and move the adjournment motion. There is no question of either the Speaker or the Government choosing as to which motion should be given priority. It is entirely up to the member and if a member does not move his motion, then it falls through by default.

Mr. SPEAKER: Consent having been given, I fix just after the prayer recess for discussion of this motion.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Ilas any motion been moved here?

8ri 81BNATH BANERJI: The motion has to be read here.

Sri JYOTI BASU: It is for you to read out the short statement.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I think the motion has not been formally moved.

Mr. SPEAKER: I would ask Mr. Basu to move his motion.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that the business of the House be adjourned today, the 11th December, 1947, to discuss a matter of urgent public importance and of recent occurrence, namely, the wanton *lathi* charge and indiscriminate firing by the police on the 10th December in front of the Assembly House causing a large number of casualties including the death of a student.

Mr. SPEAKER: The thort statement of this motion is this. On 10th December, 1947, when some students and others who were picketing in front of the Assembly House gates to protest against the West Bengal Security Bill, 1947, the mounted police, charged on the picketers injurir

some including girls. But as the people refused to disperse, tear gas was used and thereafter the police rushed about mercilessly beating up the people all round the Assembly House. The people tried to stop this brutality of the police and brickbats were exchanged between the police and some of the people. Thereafter, without giving any warning the Armed Police started shooting the people indiscriminately causing the death of one student and serious injury to many.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move-

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Two motions cannot be moved at the same time. Sir, you have to proceed to ask whether there is any objection. If no objection, the motion can be discussed.

Mr. SPEAKER: I have already asked that.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Consent by the Government has been given.

Mr. SPEAKER: There is no question of consent. There is no objection by Government. Therefore, I fix immediately after the prayer recess for discussion of this motion. The other motion falls through.

COVERNMENT BILL.

The Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to move that the Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947, as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Mr. Speaker, Sir, when I get up to oppose or to suggest to the Government any amendment, I feel, Sir, that it is useless, because the gentlemen sitting opposite to me are on oath practically, as I understand them, to support whatever measure Government want them to pass through this Assembly. Many measures have been introduced in this House and some of them have received the sanction of this legislature and all these measures are being rushed in a manner, as if the Government think that they will have no other opportunity later on to see these measures through this House. The Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill which is being introduced now also belongs to one of the categories which I have just mentioned.

Sir, in this Bill, as I understood when the Hon'ble Minister spoke on the first day of its introduction, he wants to change the form of election as that was undemocratic in his opinion. Sir, in this country we are very fend of copying the form of choosing representatives as is prevalent in the Western countries without thinking whether that would be suitable for the people here or that it would be conducive to the maintenance of peace and good fellow-feeling among the citizens of this great city. I was, Sir, under the impression that perhaps the Bill in a modified form would be produced which will bring the two Hind and Muslim communities together that are now drawn asunder and will induce to their better relations in the near future. But, I find there is nothing new in the Bill which has emerged from the Select Committee. They want that joint electorate should be introduced, whatever consequences there might be. The Hon'ble Minister in charge of this Bill is very fond of imitating the foreign form of democratic institutions. This reminds me of a story of an Indian who, when attacked with an illness jubilantly said to his friend, "I am suffering from a disease from which no Indian has suffered so far. It was only confined to the Europeans and I am the first Indian to get it."

Sir, my friend here in his anxiety to introduce this Bill says that he wants to bring this measure in order to hold elections in a more democratic form as the present one is not conducive to the well-being of the Province.

The most important change which is being sought to be introduced in this Bill is that the election would be joint with reservation of seats. Sir, this reservation of seats was all very good when there were two separate sets of people with different ideology, but when there is now no new question of differing from the common object, I do not think it is at all necessary to make this change. Even if the representatives of different communities profess different religions, that won't matter much, because their ideologies and objectives are the same. However, since it is not possible to retain separate electorate with the impression that it will not bring both the communities, the minorities and the majority, together and nearer, I think a better system should have been evolved which would be more practical in attaining the objects which the Hon'ble Minister has in view. Sir, in the Select Committee I suggested that if there is to be any reservation, provision should be made in the Bill to make it obligatory on the minorities to poll a certain percentage of votes of the majority community. In this city of Calcutta there are wards wherein the Muslims form a majority and there are wards in which the non-Muslims form a majority. A candidate who does not command any confidence of the particular community to which he belongs, can be elected by the sheer majority of the other community which support his candidature. The result would be that only the camp followers of the majority Hindu community will be returned. It it is made obligatory in the Bill that a candidate to whichever community he may belong must poll a certain percentage of votes from all communities, then that would bring all the communities together and at the same time the candidate will have to run to all the communities to secure that percentage of votes. Sir, an objection was raised that if the minority community boycotts the election. then the whole election of the entire city of Calcutta will be vitiated and will not take place. In view of the objection, I would not insist on the reservation for all the communities, but I want that a candidate from the minority community to which I belong should be asked to poll a certain percentage of votes of both the communities. Of course, I do not think that the Hon'ble Minister should object to that. I am now anxious and it is my desire and it is to my interest to see that I mix more freely with those who were against me before and who form a majority in this country. It is to my interest to see that the acrimony which was in existence so far, the bitterness which is in existence now, is obliterated. So I suggest that if it is made obligatory that the minority should poll a certain percentage of votes of the majority community, I will be leading my community nearer to the major community and also it will inspire confidence and create good fellow-feeling. I do not see the reason why Government should object to it. At the same time, I feel that in wards where there is a majority of Muslims, the candidate need not go to non-Muslims for votes, because, under the provisions of the Bill as it is presented the Muslims will be able to carry their candidates by their own majority. If compulsory securing of a certain percentage of votes is insisted upon, then the candidate will have to go even to the minority in the ward, though there might be Muslim majority to secure that specified percentage. If the desire of the Government members sitting opposite is to bring both the communities nearer to each other till such time that the distinction between minority and majority is obliterated and they might with confidence do away with the system of separate electorate or with the reservation of seats, they should accept my amendment. We are not anxious to have this system of election with reservation of seats. We want joint electorate. But under the conditions as they are now prevailing today, it is not possible to get all that we desire. It will take some time and I hope if the Government is anxious to introduce a measure with the intention that it will bring both the communities nearer, they should accept my amendment which I shall move later on and by that they will achieve the purpose which we have all in mind. I also find, Sir, that the Bill which the Hon'ble Minister has introduced is meant only for the next election. In the allocation of seats he has robbed the Muslim community of two seats which they have been enjoying so far. In Calcutta

there are 22 seats allotted to the Muslims. Now, Sir, in the Bill which is being introduced now we find that the Muslim community has been allotted only 20 seats. Government has said that seats are being fixed on population basis. If we take the entire population and apply the proportion of the Muslims, they get 22 seats. If we take away Scheduled Castes and other small minorities from them, they get over 20 seats. This is a jugglery of calculation wherein you can just fit in 20 seats or 22 seats as you like. As has been expressed the intention of Government is to bring a more comprehensive Bill, then there was no necessity to cut these two seats only for the next election. After all, if a new Bill is to be introduced, more accurate calculation can be made on the basis of population. It is quite possible that after these three years perhaps there will be no need for the reservation of seats. This action of Government is being misunderstood, I would put it mildly, by the Muslims in the city. In Calcutta they think that the new Government constituted after the 15th of August has taken the first opportunity of robbing the community of the two seats to which they are perfectly entitled. Sir, there were other sections of the Calcutta Municipal Act which require immediate change such as the building rules and hearing of the assessment cases. The Corporation is losing lakes and lakes of rupees on account of the existence of these clauses in the Act. These amendments should have been introduced, so that the Corporation could be put in a sounder financial position than it is at present. It appears that it is not the Government's desire to do any good to the Corporation, but to consolidate their position for the next election of the Corporation, so that they can get their own people elected in the Corporation of Calcutta. From the schedule printed elsewhere you will find that in some wards the number of seats has been increased by 200 per cent., and in some places by 100 per cent., and in some places the number of seats has been reduced. So, Sir, I feel that the intention of Government is more to consolidate its position in the city administration than to do any good to the rate-payers and treat them justly and fairly. In the Bill there are certain sections which are unnecessarily introduced or modified. I would, in this connection, draw your attention to Section 36 which is now useless and redundant, but which has been insisted upon by the Government to be retained, because in their hurry they have drafted the Bill in a manner that they themselves are not sure whether this section is necessary or not.

Sir, as I think that it would be useless to make long speeches after moving amendments, I would request the Government to make a good gesture to the minority community by giving them those two seats which have been taken away from them. I think it would do no harm if the original number is retained for it would not in any way create a majority, nor would harm the Government or the City Corporation, but it would be a good gesture which the Muslim community will very much appreciate. The same, Sir, is the case with the Anglo-Indians who used to have two seats, but now they are going to have one seat or no seat at all. There is no sound argument behind that calculation also. If you take away these two seats on the question of principle, I can well understand but the principle is not in conflict. One or two seats would not make much difference but it would help to foster good relations between the communities.

Mrs. E. M. RICKETTS: Mr. Speaker, Sir, may 1 have your permission to draw the attention of the House to an important omission which there is in the Bill, both in its original form and in the form in which it has emerged from the hands of the Select Committee. This omission is that no specific provision has been made for a woman representative, amongst the Councillors of the Calcutta Corporation. I feel sure that this omission is an oversight and could not be intentional. And I hope that once the attention of the Government has been drawn to this matter they will be only too ready to remedy it. In the past, as long as the Government retained the rights to nominate a certain number of councillors, one of two

women were always included so that whether the City Fathers liked it or not, they were obliged to listen to the points of view of women. I should imagine that now that the right of nomination is no longer maintained by Government, this omission ought to have struck the learned gentlemen through whose hands the Bill has emerged. I must appeal therefore to this House in which there is so high a percentage of men, to appreciate that the Corporation deals with many matters in which a woman's advice and assistance are useful. These matters are the public health of the city, the utility and other services. I would therefore request the Hon'ble Minister to give an assurance that the amendment which, with the permission of the Chair, I wish to move, will receive the favourable consideration of Government.

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: I shall reply to all these points at the proper time.

The motion of the Hon'ble Sri Annada Prosad Choudhury that the Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947 as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 1.

The question that clause 1 do form part of the Bill was put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

Mr. SPEAKER: I take it that amendment No. 1 in the name of the Hon'ble Minister is out of order.

The question that clause 2 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 4.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 4(a), for the words "ninety-five Councillors" the words "ninety-seven Councillors" be substituted.

As I have already said, Sir, I hope Government will consider that the two seats of the minority community are not taken away. If this amendment is accepted by Government, the community will retain its original 22 seats which it has at present and that would create a very good impression on the minority community.

Mrs. E. M. RICKETTS: May I have your permission, Sir, to move a short-notice amendment to clause 4?

Mr. SPEAKER: I have not received a copy of the amendment; at least a copy should have been handed over to me beforehand.

(At this stage a paper was handed over to Mr. Speaker.)

Mrs. E. M. RICKETTS: Sir, I want to move that the following subclause be inserted, namely:— .

(b) In sub-clause (c) of section 5 the words "at least two of whom shall be women" be added.

Mr. SPEAKER: In the paper which you have handed over to me I find it is one woman but now you say that there shall be two women. What is your actual motion?

Mrs. E. M. RICKETTS: I am moving the motion which I am reading out—"of which at least two shall be women".

Mr. SPEAKER: All right. You move the whole amendment.

Mrs. E. M. RICKETTS: Sir, I beg to move that after sub-clause 4(a), the following be inserted, namely:—

(b) In sub-clause (c) of section 5 the words "at least two of whom shall be women" be added.

The Hen'me Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOWDHURY: বাননীর Speaker ব্যাশর, রফিল্ সাছেব যে সংশোষনী প্রস্তাব প্রনেহেন আমি তার বিরোধিতা করছি। তিনি ৯৫ জন Councillor-প্রর জারপার ৯৭ জন করে দেওরার কথা বলেছেন। কলকাতার বিভিন্ন সম্প্রদারে জনসংখ্যার তিরিতে সরকার প্রই ৯৫ জন কাউস্পির নিব্রাচনের সিম্পান্ত গ্রহণ করেছেন। কোন জারপার যদি কেউ বলের রে, ৯৫ জনের জারপার আরো ২ জন বাড়িরে দিন, তবে আপাত দ্ভিতে ২ জন বাড়ানো বে বিশেষ কিছু পূর্তর কথা নর ইছাই মনে হতে পারে। কিন্তু আসলে তা নর—ঐ সংখ্যা নির্গরের মূল নীতির প্রশন্তাই প্রানে বিক্রো। কাজেই মূল নীতি ফির না করে সংখ্যা দির করতে গেলে কেউ বলবেন ২ জন, কেউ বলবেন প্রকল্প, কেউ হরত বলবেন ৫ জন বাড়িরে দিন এবং প্রভাবে বলতে আরম্ভ করলে কাথাও প্র অন্ত পাওরা যাবে না। প্রই ৯৫ জন যা আমরা দির করেছি তা জবিশ্য ১৯৪১ সালের census অনুসারেই করেছি। কিন্তু ১৯৫১ সালের census লোকসংখ্যার বিদ্ তারতম্য হর তবে সে অনুসারেই আমরা Councillor প্র সংখ্যারও তারতম্য করে দেবো। সূত্রাং আমি আশা করি, রিফক্ সাহেব তার সংখ্যারবী প্রস্তাহ প্রচাহার করেনে।

Mrs. E. M. RIGKETTS: মেরেদের প্রতিনিধিত্ব সম্বন্ধে যে কথা বলেছেন সে সম্বন্ধে এখনই কিছু করা সম্ভব হবে বলে মনে হচ্ছে না তবে, আমি এর আগেও বলেছি এবং এখনও প্রতিপ্রতি দিছি যে বহু দায় সম্ভব আমরা একটি পূর্ণাঙ্গ বিল এখানে উপস্থিত করবো। সে সময় রিদ সকলে মিলে আমরা ফ্রির বে মেরেদের আলাদা প্রতিনিধিত্ব দেওয়া দরকার তখন একটি কি দুটি আসন বা দরকার হয় তা দেবো। অতএব তার কাছে আমি অনুরোধ করছি যে তিনি বেন তার এই সংশোধনী প্রস্তাব অস্ততঃ আমার আন্বাসের উপর ভরসা করে প্রত্যাহার করেন।

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: On a point of information, Sir. Does the Hon'ble Minister suggest that after the census of 1951, the figures which we will get in 1952, he will consider as to what should be the number of seats which would be allotted to the minority community? This Amendment Bill is meant for only one election—1948—and the next election will come in 1951 and electoral rolls will be prepared before that date. Where is the time for the Hon'ble Minister, if he is in office, or for the Government to find out what will be the basis of allotment of seats in the election in 1951 on the basis of census of 1951.

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOWDHURY: আমাদের কোন না কোন একটা basis এর উপর নির্ভার করেই চলতে হবে। আপাচত: ১৯৪১ সালের বে census তারই উপর ভিত্তি করে আমরা এখন সংখ্যা নির্পার করেছি। কিন্তু আমি একখা বলছি আমিই থাকি বা বিনিই থাক্ন, যে পূর্ণাল বিল উপন্থিত করা হবে, সেখানেও লোকসংখ্যার উপর ভিত্তি করেই কাউন্সিলরের সংখ্যা নির্ণাতি হবে। ১৯৫১ সালে বে census হবে তাতে লোকসংখ্যার অনুপাতে মুসলমান কাউন্সিলরের সংখ্যা বিদি ২০ জন, ২২ জন বা ২০ জন হয়, তবে ততজন কাউন্সিলর গ্রহণেরই যে ব্যবন্ধা ঐ বিলে থাকবে এ প্রতিশ্রতি আমি দিছি।

Mrs. E. M. RICKETTS: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am prepared to withdraw my amendment on the assurance of the Hon'ble Minister, but I would like to ask that the Government should try and arrange it so that at least two women should be included amongst the Aldermen who are still to be elected. I hope the Hon'ble Minister will look into the matter.

. The motion of Mrs. E. M. Ricketts that after sub-clause 4(a), the following sub-clause be inserted, namely:—

"(h) In sub-clause (c) of section 5, the words 'at least two of whom shall be women' be added", was then by leave of the House withdrawn.

The motion of Shaik Mohamad Rafique that in clause 4(a), for the words "ninety-five councillors" the words "ninety-seven councillors" be substituted, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-13.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, A. F. M., Mr. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi, Mr. Abul Hashem, Mr. Jasimuddin Ahmed, Mr. Khuda Bukhsh Md., Mr. Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr.

Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Mohamad Rafque, Mr. Muhammad Siddique, Syed, Mr. Musharrufi Hossain, Nawab Khan Bahadur, Mr. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr. Zaman, A. M. A., Mr.

NOES-38.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Mr. Sibnath.
Banerjee, Mr. Susii Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'ble Mr. Mohini Mohan.
Bhandari, The Hon'ble Mr. Charu
Chandra.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Choudhury, The Hon'ble Mr. Annada
Prosad.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
De, Mr. Kanai Lai.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Chose, Mr. A. K.
Chose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Chose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Chose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Chose, Mr. Bimai Comar.

Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Mr. Bhupati
Mallumdar, The Hon'ble Mr. Bhupati
Mallick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Mr. Bankubehari.
Mandal, Mr. Bankubehari.
Mandal, Mr. Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, The Hon'ble Mr. Kalipada.
Murarka, Mr. Basantial.
Naskar, Mr. Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Mr. Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Mr. Kamal Krishna.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.

The Ayes being 13 and the Noes 38, the motion was lost.

The question that clause 4 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 5.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, I have got an amendment to clause 5.

Mr. SPEAKER: That is out of order.

The question that clause 5 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 6.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, I will not emulate the example of my honourable friend in wasting the money of rate-payers and citizens for nothing—

Mr. SPEAKER: You move your amendment.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Yes, Sir. I beg to move that in clause 6, after sub-section (4) of the proposed section 8B, the following proviso be added, namely:—

"Provided that no candidate from the Special Territorial Constituencies shall be declared elected unless he has polled 30 per cent. of the recorded votes of the minority community of the said constituencies."

Sir, in moving my amendment I would commend it to the House that this reservation is mainly for the minority communities. We want that the elected representatives of the Special Territorial Constituencies should have at least the confidence of the minority who is voting for them. The minority communities' candidates must be compelled to poll a certain percentage of votes of the minority communities, whom they want to represent in the Corporation of Calcutta. But in the Bill, as it stands at present, it is unnecessary for any candidate of any Special Territorial Constituency to poll a single vote of the minority communities to get himself elected. The intention of Government is quite clear. They do not want the accredited representatives of the minority communities to come in because the majority community will give its patronage to their camp follower who will be a yes-man and get himself elected. The Hon'ble Minister has already expressed sincere desire to bring all the communities, the minorities and the majority, together and foster good relations to inspire confidence amongst them. My amendment should be accepted because it will give practical shape to the desire of the Hon'ble Minister and this will make it obligatory for a candidate seeking election from a Special Territorial Constituency to poll a certain percentage of votes of the minority communities.

If candidates are elected by the sheer force of the votes of the majority community, they will not enjoy the confidence of the minority community. The gulf of bitterness between the two communities will be widened, and the purpose which we want to achieve will not be achieved but will rather be trustrated. Sir, my amendment, if accepted, would not do any harm to anybody. We want a certain proviso so that we may be drawn nearer to each other. It is for our own good, and I do not know why anybody should grudge what we want to have, and which will not harm the Government, nor will that harm the majority community.

Sir, my amendment should be accepted because, as I have said, it will bring the two communities together and foster good relations between them. The rejection of this proviso would be understood to mean, I would say, that it is not the intention of the Government and the majority party of this House that the gulf which is already in existence is bridged.

The Hen'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOWDHURY: মাননীয় Speaker মহোদয়, আমার মাননীয় বস্থা রিকি সাহেব বে সংশোধনী প্রস্তাব এনেছেন, আমি তার বিরোধিতা করি। তিনি বেসব প্রশের কথা তুলেছেন তা আমি ইতিপ্বের ভাল করে বিবেচনা করে দেখেছি। Select Committeeতিতে এ বিষয় বথেণ্ট আলোচনা করা হরেছে। আমরা বে যৌথ নিবর্গাচন প্রথা প্রচলম করছি, তাতে
বিভিন্ন সম্প্রদার্গালি একতার সূত্রে প্রথিত ছোক্, ইছাই আমরা চাই কিন্তু তার মধ্যে যদি আবার
এইসব বাবদহা কর্ছে হর, তাহলে আমার মনে হয় আমরা বে উদ্দেশ্য নিয়ে কায় করছি, তা বাছেত ছবে।
উনি মনে কর্ছেন, আমরা এই ব্যবদহা প্রচলন করে আমাদের করেকজন yes-man এনে ভর্তি করে দিতে
চাই—উনি ভূল ব্রেছেন। যাদের ভোটে তারা বাবে, তাদের yes-man তো তারা ছবেই।
আঘাদের yes-man বাড়াবার কোন ব্যবদহা এতে আমরা করিনি। আমি আশা করি, রিফক সাহেব

The motion of Shaik Mohamad Rafique that in clause 6, after sub-section (4) of the proposed section 8B, the following proviso be added, namely:—

"Provided that no candidate from the Special Territorial Constituencies shall be declared elected unless he has polled 30 per cent. of the recorded votes of the minority community of the said Constituencies".

was then put and lost.

The question that clause 6 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 7.

The question that clause 7 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 8.

The question that clause 8 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 9.

The question that clause 9 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 10.

The question that clause 10 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 11.

The question that clause 11 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 13.

The question that clause 13 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 13A.

The question that clause 13A do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 14.

The question that clause 14 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 15.

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 15(a) in proposed sub-section (1) of section 38, line 10, for the words "true allegiance" the words "true faith and allegiance" be substituted.

The motion was then put and agreed to.

The question that clause 15, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 16.

The question that clause 16 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 17.

The question that clause 17 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 18.

The question that clause 18 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 19.

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to move that for clause 19 the following be substituted, namely:—

- 19. Amendment of section 43.—In section 43 of the said Act-
 - (a) sub-section (2) shall be omitted;
 - (b) in sub-section (3), the words "or appointed" shall be omitted; and

- (c) after sub-section (3), the following sub-section shall be added, namely:—
 - "(4) The vacancy referred to in the second proviso to section 36 shall be deemed to be a casual vacancy and a person elected as a Councillor to fill that vacancy shall remain a Councillor till the first meeting of the Corporation held under section 59 after the next general election."

The motion was then put and agreed to.

The question that clause 19, as amended, do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 20.

The question that clause 20 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 21.

The question that clause 21 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 22.

The question that clause 22 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble.

The question that the preamble do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOUDHURY: Sir, I beg to move that the Calcutta Municipal Amendment Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

মাননীর Speaker মহোদর, এ সন্বন্ধে ইতিপ্রের্ব বা আলোচনা হরেছে সে বিবরে আমি দ্-একটি কথা বলতে চাই। আমি দ্বীকার করি বে এই বিল খ্ব তাড়াতাড়ি এখানে উপন্থিত করা হরেছে। এই তাড়াতাড়ি করার প্রবান করেল হছে, Corporation এর নির্বাচন ইতিপ্রের্ব এক বংসর পিছিরে দেওরা হরেছিল। এখন যদি আমরা তাড়াতাড়ি না করি তাহলে সামনের মার্চা মাসে এবারও election হতে পারে না। সেন্তানাই Government এর তরফ থেকে ইতিপ্রের্ব একটা ordinance ভারী করা হয়, এবং সেই ordinanceটাকে ঠিকমত কার্যাকরী করবার জন্যই আমরা এই বিল পরিবদের সমছে উপন্থিত করেছি। এর মধ্যে মূলগত করেছি প্রস্তাব করা হয়েছে, যথা যৌথ নির্বাচন প্রথা প্রবর্তন, মনোনরন প্রথার বিলোপসাধন। আমি স্বীকার করি যে এর মধ্যে আরো কিছু উমতি করবার অবকাশ ছিল। তাই আমি ইতিপ্রের্বিও বলেছি, আবারও Speaker মহোদরের মারফং এই নিবেদন করছি যে বত তাড়াতাড়ি সম্ভব আমরা একটা প্রাক্তা কিছু থাকবে না। তাই আমি নিবেদন করছি এই বিল বেচাবে এখন করেছা বিলেম্ব জার্পতি করে বার মত কিছু থাকবে না। তাই আমি নিবেদন করছি এই বিল বেচাবে এখন করেছা করে।

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Mr. Speaker, Sir, before I come to the subject matter under discussion tonight I wish to place on record my sense of gratitude to the Hon'ble the Minister for his kindness, urbanity and suavity in the Select Committee. It was unfortunate that he had to act under the direction of his Cabinet colleagues and that he had also to look to the wishes of the majority in the Select Committee as well as in this House.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, I know my cry will be a cry in the wilderness. I know that decisions have been taken which appear to be unalterable like the laws of the Persians and the Medes. Any suggestion, any little kindness asked for, has been summarily dismissed. I do not understand why all

this hurry. I can appreciate that Ordinances must be legalised but in doing so the hurry has been so bad that it has established the correctness of the Arabian proverb "al-ajala min al-shaitan" (Hurry belongs to the Devil). Sir, I will tell you why I have come to that conclusion. In the Aims and Objects of the Bill as presented to the House, or to the Select Committee, there is a contradictory statement. The Bill is supposed to apply only to one election and yet the phrase has been used that it has to be put permanently on the Statute Book. Sir, to hurry through in this manner with a piece of legislation intended for one election, and for no more, is of the type which the Arabian proverb describes.

Sir, the Hon'ble Minister must forgive me when I say that the Corporation of Calcutta, the party chiefly concerned and chiefly affected by this piece of hurried and meaningless legislation was never consulted, was never given an opportunity to express its views on the change in the whole of its constitution as proposed by the Hon'ble Minister. Sir, in the Corporation we shout about autonomy. We have uttered that word and talked of our qualification as a semi-government institution on hundreds of thousands of occasions. The most surprising part of the present Bill, is that the Corporation was never consulted and we were not given an opportunity to place our views before the Government. That, Sir, you will understand better if I were to state that in the change of numbers of the members of the Council of the Corporation, we have gone from 98 to 101, to suit the party convenience of the present Council of Ministers, ruling West Bengal. Sir, if they had taken into consideration the huge addition to the population of Calcutta, I think 200 should have been the right number, although personally I consider even 98 as too many, because the more members you put on the Corporation. we shall have more committees than the 13 or 14 we have, and the field for mischief, the field for all sorts of underhand dealings will be widened and extended. In one of my utterances I had called the Corporation Chorporation (laughter). The Corporation has last grace in the eyes of the city. To tinker with the problem of the municipal administration of this city in this restricted, limited and partisan manner is to say the least of it. unkind of the existing Government from which we expected wider appreciation of the rights of the tax-payers and the citizens and from which we felt that the civic administration of Calcutta would be regarded as more important than filling its Corporation with their own men. There used to be 12 nominated members in the old Corporation. They were scrapped under the new slogan of no "appointed members". If that is the idealogical background of the decision I can appreciate it, but in scrapping these 12 members the humorous part of the action taken by Government is that it has been handed over bodily the full dozen seats to one group only, to itself. representation of the other groups has been reduced, but they have collected all the benefits to themselves.

سارا حَلُوا ای هی صاندے پر کهیئے لیا - اوروں کو ایک نواله بھی نہیں دیا -

(They have pulled all the halva (sweet) on their own bread, leaving not a morsel for others.) This is not good administration and this is certainly no legislation which is intended to do justice all round.

Another aspect of this Bill which should be removed and rejected summarily by the House and, Sir. I am not speaking as a Muslim, a Hindu or a Parsi or anybody; I am speaking here as a tax-payer of the city and as an alderman of the existing Corporation. I claim that I know more about Calcutta and its civic administration than—if he will forgive me this personal remark—the Hon'ble Minister in charge or the departmental experts he had round him in the Select Committee. They had not studied the case of Calcutta and, Sir, the department was certainly not helpful to the Hon'ble Minister. That being the case, Sir, it will again interest you to learn that the poor Scheduled Castes which are now being considered as part, I hope, of the twice born sections of the Hindu have had a raw deal.

(Sri Niharende Dutta-Mazumdar: At the old game!) If this is an old game, the game of the other party is crushing and killing. Can you imagine Sir, that the gentlemen who regarded themselves in the 1941 census as no-caste-men, have suddenly become Kayasthas and Brahmanas? And, Sir, the poor misguided Scheduled Castes have lost because of this beautiful piece of political chicanery?...... (Noise.) Sir, may I seek your protection? May I go on? If I start answering them the dignity of the debate will be lost.

(At this stage the blue light was lit.)

Sir, will you give me some more time? This is an important matter.

Mr. SPEAKER: The House will have to be adjourned now for prayers.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: I will speak after that if you permit.

- **Sri J. C. GUPTA:** Sir, having regard to the important adjournment motion which has been fixed after prayer I move that the question be now put.
- Sri JYOTI BASU: I think this is highly improper. I at least have got something to say on this Bill and thereafter Mr. Siddiqi has got something to add. I suggest that after prayer we take up the adjournment motion for two hours and we keep this Bill in abeyance for the next two days.

(Cries of "No, no" from Congress benches.)

Mr. SPEAKER: What I propose to do is that after the adjournment motion I will finish this business because I do not want to put it off only for the sake of one or two members. I do not want to stifle discussion.

(At this stage the House was adjourned for 15 minutes.)

(After adjournment.)

Adjournment Motion.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I do not know how long you will give me in order to enable me to place my motion before the House and speak on it. However, as it is a very important matter and I believe that two hours will be given for discussion, I hope that until I finish you will not ask me to sit down.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Basu, you know the rule that no speech during a debate shall exceed fitteen minutes.

• Sri JYOTI BASU: I am aware of that, but as it is a very important matter, I think some more time will be given to me.

However, in moving this motion I know that nearly all of us have today entered the Assembly with a heavy heart because of the incidents which took place, especially yesterday, on all sides of the Assembly. It is a known fact which no amount of whitewashing can deny that the picketers, both students and others, who have been sitting near the gates of the Assembly have been doing so in order to protest against the monstrous measure—the West Bengal Security Bill—as it is called now, because they feel that this Bill gives no security to our fair province but that it has aroused and will arouse the just anger of our common humanity and universal indignation.

It is ridiculous to suggest, as the Hon'ble the Prime Minister has sought to do in the papers today, and I believe he made a statement on that point yesterday in the House, that these people who have come here to picket, were sent probably by black-marketers—a clever device to hoodwink the people. Because yesterday there was the Bill against black-marketing in this House, the Prime Minister thought fit as a politician of long standing to tell us all that the black-marketers of Calcutta have sent these young men to face bullets and lathi charges of the Calcutta Police. Mr. Speaker, Sir, I think that I should tell Dr. Ghosh that our people are not politically so naive as to believe all this humbug, but in any case that was made out in his speech yesterday in the Assembly.

Now, recounting the incidents most of which I saw myself when I came to enter the Assembly and could not enter it, having seen what was going on outside. I was standing for some time near the High Court, and I saw that those armed police, armed not only with lathis but with revolvers and other weapons rushing at the crowd as soon as they were collecting or standing in groups at some places and mercilessly beating them. I saw some of them going up the stairs of the Solicitors' building which is opposite us and beating our people. I saw them letting off tear gas on the people. I saw them charging with their horses on boys and girls and injuring two of the girls and, Mr. Speaker, it reminded one of the old days. I could not imagine that I was living in a free Bengal, especially because at that time when we did not know what to do, how to stop the police, we did not see the Ministers outside the gates of the Assembly compound. We did not see them trying to argue with the crowd so that they could convince them that what they were doing was a wrong thing to do. Nothing of the kind. We did not see a single Minister there—Ministers who call themselves representatives of the people, who have, I am aware, for the last so many years fought with our people against the British Government. But these very gentlemen today, as soon as they have become Ministers, take shelter inside this building and do not go out and meet their own people. On the contrary they let loose the brutal police armed with deadly weapons on our own boys and girls.

Mr. Speaker, that was what I found, and afterwards things were taking a very bad turn and when people's anger was roused, then through desperation and in self-defence, some of them did throw some brickbats at the police. Thereafter I saw a new sight and that was that the police and their officers also were throwing brickbats from inside the Assembly compound. It was after this that I found the crowd dispersing on all directions and collecting in groups and watching things and immediately afterwards the police started shooting indiscriminately. That it was indiscriminate shooting, nobody can doubt, because we all know who were the injured and how the injuries took place. A Barrister, who was about to come out of the Solicitors' building, was shot at on the first floor. A R.W.A.C. Cadet, Sisir Mondal, was wounded and killed while he was sitting inside his ambulance car. In this connection I must say that I do not know how a responsible person like Dr. Ghosh came out with a statement in the Press. When I went to see Dr. Subodh Mitter, he showed it to me and said that it was wrong. I can say here that the whole statement is wrong and I do not know from where Dr. Ghosh might have got it. I can assert that the ambulance car was not rushed into the line of fire as Dr. Ghosh has tried to make out in his statement. The ambulance car was standing still awaiting to pick up the wounded and while Mr. Mondal was sitting in his car he was shot at, and Dr. Subodh Mitter has said that he was deliberately shot at. Such things do not happen and it does not happen in a civilised country. The Red Cross mark was there, but unfortunately the police did not care for that. I am also told now that one of these police officers asked Dr. Subodh Mitter subsequently, "Is it because of all these activities that we give you petrol?" I wonder how a police officer can even utter such a word to a doctor like Dr. Subodh Mitter! As regards Dr. Ghosh's statement with regard to the I.N.A.C. car incident, I do not know who gave him the information about that, but if the police gave him that information I say that information given by murderers has no value in a court of law.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, Dr. Ghosh's statement and attitude shown therein is dangerous and full of falsehood. He is so complacent with the police around him that he has not anything to say about the demonstrators, their purpose, and so on. He tries to brush them aside by saying that they have been sent by black-marketers, black-marketers who live in palaces, who are friends, I know, of many of our respectable gentlemen. These are the people who, Dr. Ghosh said, were sent by the black-marketers yesterday and they were fired at. In bringing this up today I wish to point out that even if those boys who had come here—whoever be their leaders who sent them here—even if I take it that they were wrong, that they were using wrong methods in picketing the gates and not allowing members to enter the Assembly, I say that Dr. Ghosh should have acted as a people's leader, his Ministers should have acted as people's leaders, the M.L.As. should have acted as people's leaders, should have gone out there and convinced the boys that that was not the correct thing to do, but they did not do that. In other circumstances, I know, they have throughout their lives addressed hundreds and thousands of people. Why are they afraid now to face them? I know abuses might be hurled by some of them, but I know that majority of them would listen to them, would respect them and if they had gone out and addressed them this tragedy would certainly not have happened.

Dr. Ghosh and his Ministers have been seeing these things going on for the last so many days. Dr. Ghosh has been aware that a united mass mobilisation of all the political parties in Bengal has taken place, and, outside in fields, in maidans, in parks, people are holding meetings every day. Even today a huge mass rally has been held under the joint auspices of many of the parties in Bengal. But Dr. Ghosh did not think it fit to call a conference of the leaders and try and find out a solution of the situation as a people's leader should have done when he found opposition growing all round throughout Calcutta and Bengal over this hated bill. On the contrary he let loose his minions of law and order—those forces who have been trained by the Andersons and his like. These are the people who had been let loose on our youth—whether they were right or wrong, is not the point. Therefore, I say that it is impossible for Dr. Ghosh to give any excuse. He has said in his statement yesterday in the House and today some portion has come out in the papers, that violence would be met with police violence. This attitude leads us nowhere; it shall not lead us anyhere.

(At this stage the blue light was lit.)

Time is short and therefore I say it is impossible for us to pass such a Bill surrounded on all sides by the armed police whom we had to pass today in trying to enter the Assembly. No self-respecting legislator can do this. Therefore I would urge upon the Hon'ble Minister to adjourn the House and meet the leaders of different political parties to find out a solution.

In conclusion, Mr. Speaker, I would refer him to Dr. Rajendra Prasad's statement wherein he says, "If they go wrong they can be set right by methods which are open to all and which need not take the form of violence on the one side or the other." When the Ministers are going to lecture to the people that they should not show violence, the Ministers should equally apply the same thing to themselves. Similarly, Dr. Rajendra Prasad says, "no popular Ministry can stand the strong and genuine popular opposition to any of its measures".

I would recall those words to the Hon'ble Ministers and once more before I sit down urge upon them to answer the people's charges against the police

and the Ministry. They should answer because they are answerable before the bar of public opinion. They should immediately adjourn the House, they should meet the political leaders and find out a solution to this problem and see whether the people of Bengal want the Bill. I had suggested on the first day that they should refer this hated Bill to public opinion, but they did not do that. Even at this stage they must not stand on prestige; they should withdraw the Bill even now and not disturb the peace of Bengal any longer. After a long time we have brought peace to Bengal. It is not the police or the Ministers, it is not they who have brought peace to Bengal, but the ordinary people who have been shot dead by the police. Let not Dr. Ghosh disturb that peace and let the Ministry answer the charges that have been brought against them.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I had no intention to intervene in this debate on the Motion for Adjournment. Sir, I do not wish to utter one word about the proposed Bill under its many names but under the definite fatherhood of the present Cabinet. That will come before us and we shall express our views for or against it. I am afraid I cannot agree with the honourable mover of this Adjournment Motion. It has nothing to do with the Security Bill. The problem before us is whether the Legislature in West Bengal, whether its members, whether the members of the Government who have to come and act in this hall as a responsible government, whether we as the lawfully constituted Assembly of West Bengal, shall be allowed to function. I can understand the enthusiasm of the young men but I cannot understand their coming and dictating to us, their elders, their elected representatives, who are sitting in this House as a responsible body.

Sir, this House is a sacred political institution of this province. Every member of it has the right and privilege to go into it and come out of it in safety. If these young men had read their books properly, they would not have besieged this House. Even the roads round and about it are not so much under the Commissioner of Police as under your jurisdiction. Sir, and, therefore, I am of the opinion that the action taken by the young men and the action of those who egged them on and instigated them to pollute the sacredness and sanctity of this House, committed a public crime.

Sir, these small incidents have a tendency to grow and become conflagrations. Belonging to the minority community here, there is fear in my heart. I do not know how these incidents will shape themselves, it allowed to go on unchecked. Sir, anything might happen. We know the I.N.A. troubles, we know August 1946, and we know the disturbances later on. Sir, the word "goonda" perhaps is understood by some honourable members of this House to mean a Muslim. I shall therefore use the word "hooligan" There are hooligans of every colour, every variety and every creed. It is to their interest to turn the whole of this trouble into a Hindu-Muslim trouble. The country is in a disturbed state, and we, the largest minority in this city, are afraid even of our safety. I shall, therefore, urge upon the Prime Minister to go and consult as many political leaders as he likes, to go and pacify the enthusiasm of the young men who seem to have taken up the cause of the citizens much more seriously than they should. He can do what he likes, but I would, on my bended knees, appeal to him, Sir, to govern and, if he cannot govern, he should get out. I demand my safety; I demand the safety of my property; and I demand that his police and any military assistance that he may seek, shall work, not in a partisan way, not to the peril of the people whom they too do not like. The Government does represent a party, but the Government sitting there is sitting as Judge of the situation. I have every right to demand from it as much protection as it is prepared to give to other sections of the population of this city. And, therefore, Sir, any terms, the strongest terms possible, should be considered as right and correct to condemn the action of the young men and those who instigated them into the mischief and the manner in which they, as I have said, polluted the sanctity and sacredness of this House.

Sri J. C. CUPTA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, we all deplore the unfortunate loss of life that has taken place. We welcome this adjournment motion because this will offer an opportunity to this House to dispassionately consider as to on whom the responsibility for this unfortunate incident really rests.

The learned mover of the adjournment motion said that the Home Minister should have seen these boys, should have addressed these boys. Before he had offered this gratuitous advice, may I tell him that this was exactly what was done by the Prime Minister day before vesterday and by other Ministers too. Day before vesterday when the students came, some of them went and spoke to them and asked them that if they had anything to ventilate before the Home Minister they could nominate a deputation from amongst them. Sir, you will be interested to hear that amongst those who came some said that they would nominate separately and they would meet the Home Minister separately and not at the same time or same place. The Home Minister agreed to receive two separate deputations and he left attending the business of this House to attend to those deputationists. Then he argued with them. When this was proposed to him, the Home Minister said: "Well, I shall try but I cannot convince those who refuse to be convinced." But yet myself, the Secretary and others insisted and he did go. In the next place, the honourable mover has said that the Home Minister should have gone and spoken to them. I thought, Sir, that before moving an adjournment motion like this he would correctly ascertain the facts. That also the Home Minister did. After the two deputationists separately talked to him, it was suggested to the Home Minister by some of our party members that he should go to the gate and address them, and that he did. But has it had any effect? It would have the effect if these gentlemen were sincerely trying to acquaint the members of the House and the Home Minister with their true, genuine and real grievances. But it seemed apparent after the Home Minister had talked with them that they were determined to other ends.

Then it has been complained that the police force was used by the Home Minister. On the first day the police force was here. There were only few obstructionists here and the police force was sufficient, but at the suggestion of some of us the Home Minister agreed to ask the police to withdraw altogether and they were inside the room and nowhere outside all the time that he talked to them. Therefore, Sir, you cannot hold the Home Minister responsible for using the force, because on the first day the police were there but they were withdrawn. He met the deputationists and then he went and addressed the deputationists. That had no effect. On the second day they came along. Sir, it has been said that my honourable friend saw from the High Court end what was happening. We were sitting from about 2-30 p.m. in the Committee Room which is near the High Court and while there holding deliberations brickbats fell on the roof of the Committee Room.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Who threw them?

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Your associates.

Sri J. C. CUPTA: I shall tell Mr. Basu who threw them. He said he had been in the High Court end. If he wants to know who threw the brickbats, I may tell him that I had been to the High Court and talked to some of my Barrister friends and I was told that below the High Court bricks were arranged up and handed over to people, and some of the members of the High Court Library were indignantly mentioning the names of some responsible persons. I would ask my honourable friend to go to the Bar Library and find out from those members of the Bar who instigated and who actually arranged the throwing of bricks. (Sri Jyoti Basu: I had been there for my information.)

The next thing I shall say is to give an answer to the points why the Congress Party did not approach them and why the Home Minister approached them; and I hope after hearing these facts which he conveniently ignored he will think twice as to whether he could make the police responsible for what they did. We were there. For about two hours the police were the Committee Room, had to go by the garden because we were advised that if we took to the streets to come to the House we would be brickbatted.

That was what happened. We came in here and till then there was no assault from the police though there were all these brickbats thrown at them. We saw in the corridor near your room blood marks from the body of the policemen who were brought there and their number was about half a dozen, I understand. If after that the police did take any action, on whom does the responsibility lie? Is it not the responsibility of the persons who actually created a situation which forced the police to take an action which none of us like and which none of us want? But, Sir, our persuasions failed, the police also showed patience, and after that all that happened. I may tell you, Sir, that the mover of the motion is a member of the Bar Library. Let him go to the Bar Library and he will find that many of the members of the Bar Library who saw the incidents happening say that the police could not do otherwise. (Sri Jyoti Basu: We shall both of us go there.) Sir, I am not here now for defending the police, but I want the honourable the mover of the resolution just to find out how these things can be stopped. Sir, he has said that these people came to protest against the Special Powers Bill because it curbed the individual civil liberty. Well, Sir, he should as well realise that the members of this House have the same liberty to come and transact the business of the House. If people in the name of protesting against the Special Powers injure and jeopardise the corporate liberty of this House—the individual liberty of the people, can they claim that they are sincerely upholding the individual liberty? Would it be far wrong to say that when these boys were told by us that "today the Special Powers Bill is not on the agenda paper: we are going to discuss and pass the Black Marketing Bill" they would not listen to us, rather they threatened us saying, "if you go into the House you will have the Aung San incident". Well, if they think that they are the defenders of individual liberty of the people, God save us!

Sri JYOTI BASU: Did you take this reference to the Aung San incident seriously?

Sri J. C. CUPTA: Whether one has got to take it seriously or not, if anybody supports such indiscipline and such irresponsible talks, and irresponsible acts, I think they are doing the greatest harm to the freedom that we won after a long struggle against those who wanted to deprive us of that. Today. Sir, it has become necessary to clear up one point. The honourable member has said that we should go round and convince the people. I may tell the House that we did go round but the people who supported this demonstration would not listen to us.

There is only one other matter, Sir, I want to bring in. Does not the honourable member know that the Bill is already a law of the province on account of the Act that was passed and that it is in force till the 16th of March next?

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFLQUE: Who passed it?

Sri J. C. GUPTA: Your previous Ministry did that. What the Congress Government have done is that they have brought up this measure as a Bill as it has emerged from the Select Committee. With Congress majority the Government have modified the Bill and have omitted all clauses which are unnecessary, and they have limited the Bill to only four things.

namely, communal harmony, possession and use of unauthorised arms and ammunitions, prevention of goondas, and safety of the province. (Sri Jvori Bast: Stability of the province.) Yes, stability of the province. I am glad to stand corrected. These are the objects of the Bill. Is there any one in Bengal who claims to be patriotic, who claims to be sensible, who claims to be impartial, who can stand up and say trankly and honestly that these are not things which should be ensured for Bengal. It that is the thing, if the Bill has been limited by the Congress Government to these particular points. I know there may be some who may think that there may be other provisions which have to be modified. But where is the man who calls himself a patriotic man who wants to safeguard the interest of all peaceloving citizens and shop-keepers who when they go out with the proceeds of their daily earning are robbed at the point of revolver-(Sri Jyori Bast: They are robbed by the police.) who is there in Bengal who will not ask that Government ought to have all powers to prevent this? If in spite of this such a crusade is carried on against it, there would naturally be a doubt about their bona fides. If the Hon'ble Minister found that on a day which was fixed for passing the Black Marketing Bill people were carried by lorries to the Assembly Buildings to block the entrance to the gates, it was natural for him to think that the demonstration was in the interest of the black marketers. Again, when the modified Bill has softened the drastic provisions of the Bill as it now exists, can you really believe the people who do not want to get that Bill passed about their bona fides? I therefore ask those friends who have any influence with these obstructionists whom they consider to be patriotic to use all their influence with them not to violate individual liberties in the way they have been doing. Let them all see that the stability of the province, the communal harmony of the province, goomlaism and the use of unauthorised arms and ammunitions be banished for ever from this province

Sir, with these words I oppose the adjournment motion. (Applause.)

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, this adjournment motion concerns a matter which we all hold dear to our hearts, namely, the right of every citizen to promote, organise and conduct political demonstrations. And it will also bring to light, which we all detest, abhor, despise and hate, the totalitarian zabardast ways of the police. The Hon'ble Prime Minister in a statement yesterday said that if the members of the Assembly cannot come to the Assembly without fear of molestation, then good-bye to all ordered progress in the country. Sir, I shall say that if a band of a few hundred students cannot demonstrate against a Government measure which, rightly or wrongly, they condemn, without rousing the brute and the fiend in the police, then good-bye to all civil liberty in free Bengal. (Sri Nihareent Dutt-Mazumdar: You asked yesterday for protection to come into the House?) Yes.

Sir, the facts are briefly this: Yesterday and also the day before students of both sexes offered satyagraha in front of the gates of the Assembly to prevent the members from coming into the House before which the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, re-baptized as the Security Bill, was pending. Sir, the students and others were reflecting the feelings, sentiments and opinions of a section of the public. Whether that section of the public is large or small, or whether their strength is growing or diminishing, is beside the point and immaterial. But what is material is that they do represent a section of the public. Sir, we are reading in the papers everyday that all-party meetings, piess conferences, and largely attended public meetings are held all over the country in condemnation of the Security Bill. Sir, demonstration by picketing has all along been accepted as legitimate and constitutional means, not perhaps by the British, but certainly by the Congress, of expressing disapproval or condemnation of measures. The persons who have been wantonly lathi-charged and fired upon are those that

have helped to install Congress in power. Sir, it is they who constituted the Army of the Congress who vanquished the British might on different fronts. Sir, it is indeed a very sad end they have come to.

Sir, independence has been won on their suffering and travail, and when, in pursuit of their ideal—the evolution of free politics in free Bengal—they come to the nation's Parliament, they are beaten up, tear-gassed and—as if this was not enough—shot down like pests and vermin.

Sir, the police resorted to lathi-charges to clear the way to allow the entry of members. Whether they were justified in using the amount of force they did is a matter of opinion. But, Sir, the firing took place when the House was in session. What was the justification of the police then to open fire on the defenceless crowd? I shall ask, what need was there for the police, when the House was in session and was engaged in its business to busy themselves with the demonstrators? Why could not they withdraw into the House or any other convenient place and leave the demonstrators alone? After the firing I met the Commissioner of Police who was personally supervising—or shall I say, conducting the operations. When I enquired of him as to who were the casualties, he replied "mostly innocent people". Sir, innocent people they all were—if demonstrating against a measure of a popular Government is a crime, then they were not perhaps innocent. But what are we doing? Are we emulating the British Raj who had to keep us down with their mighty police? Sir, they were aliens and had to keep us down by force of arms, but our flovernment is not alien—if is a popular Government—why should it need the police to keep us down? Are we then to conclude that the Government is not, after all, as popular as we have been led to believe?

Sir, we have been told that demonstrators are paid by the black-marketers. It is most uncharitable even to think that the students would have lent themselves to be utilized in this manner and would have come and faced *lathi* charge and bullets for money.

Sir, supposing that what the Prime Minister yesterday said was true, then the next question that will crop up is —where are the Congress workers—why can't they stage a counter-demonstration to unmask and discredit these paid demonstrators—where are they? Have they, after the battle against the British has been won, settled down to enjoy the fruits of office?

Sir, the newspaper report of the official version of the number of rounds fired is thirty and the number of casualties inflicted 27 with one proving fatal. Who gave orders to open fire? Fire was opened simultaneously with revolvers and rifles. Why and under what circumstances was it necessary to open the attack on all fronts necessitating the simultaneous use of revolvers and rifles? How is it that rifles were fired at an angle which caused injury to a Barrister at work in his office? How is it that an ambulance worker sitting in a stationary jeep was fatally injured? All this is, I submit, proof conclusive that the firing was indiscriminate and panicky.

Sir, we as members of the Opposition owe a duty to the country. We must elicit a satisfactory explanation from Government as to why this sad incident occurred. As representatives of the governed, we shall ask Government to hold a public enquiry into yesterday's occurrences. Gone are the days of hush-hush British policy, backing up the official on the spot, etc. The Government is answerable to the nation and we demand of the Government to answer.

Sir, with these words I support the adjournment motion.

Mr. A M. A. ZAMAN: মি: স্পীকার স্যার, আজকে বে adjournment motion প্রসেছে, তার সম্বশ্যে বলবার জনেক কিছু আছে। এখানে প্রথম কথাই হছে—বিনি adjournment

motion এনেছেন তিনি বলেছেন—''আমি বাইরে ছিলাম আট,কে।'' এখন আমরা স্বাধীনতা পেরে যখন স্বাধীন দেশের লোক হরেছি তখন এই দেশে কি করে গুলি চল্লো। কথাটা দুই দিক দিয়ে দেখা উচিত।

व्यामता मत्न करत् शांकि, नलाहे व्यामता न्यावीनला भ्याद्राहि। न्यावीनला भ्याद्राहि यथन, ल्यन व्याद এक करने व्याधीनका हेबल करेब स्नव-अक्षेत्र कान प्रत्येत खारेरने नारे। भग्रीमन यथन खरने सम्बद्ध ত্কতে পারেন নাই তখন পিকেটারদের বোঝাবার চেন্টা করেছিলেন; আমি নিজে পশ্চিম গেটে দশড়িয়ে वर्लाइ ''आपनाता ध्यान शालमाल करत रुन जामारमत वाथा मिर्ह्मन, सम्वत्रमत वाड़ी वाड़ी यान, গিয়ে তাদের বোরান, সভ্যাগ্রহ তাদের বাড়ীর সাম্নে কর্ন, তাহলে প্লিশ আর লাঠি চার্চা করতে পারবে না।" (Sri. J. C. Gupta: হা, রাস্তা দেখিয়ে দিন!) একথা বলা সত্ত্বেও তাদের সেদিকে লছা নাই-লছ্য ছিল শ্ব assembly র বারে এসে গোলমাল করবার। আমার মনে হয় Demon-Demonstration এ যোগ দিয়েছিল আগেকার মন্ত্রী-সন্তার আমলে এবং যারা সে Demonstration করিরেছিল তারা ডাইনে ব'ীয়ে টাকা খরচ করে সেটা এখানে এনেছিল। অত লোক এখানে এক স্কো দেখবার সোভাগ্য আবার হবে কিনা জানি না। সে সময়ও কিন্তু আমরা assembly তে बाम्र एठ (भरतिहजाम। किन्छ अम् पिन এখানে ঢুक एठ भाति नाहै। काज छ हक एक शिक्ष व्यनक भाजा-गालि रथरब्रिह। **छारे छिल्ला**ना करि.--रकन व्यापनाता এ छिनिन्नित करहन ! विल्हा नम्बरम्थ नानी রকম মতামত আছে। কিন্তু আপনাদের অমত আছে বলেই যে সেটা এম্নিভাবে ব্যক্ত করতে হবে সেটা করা ঠিক নয়। আমি বল্ছি মিঃ জ্যোতি বস্কে-কমরেড, আপনি তাদের একট্ ব্রিয়ে থামালেন না किन? পরের ছেলে মারা গিয়েছে, লীভার কি কেট মারা গেছে! (A voice कেট যায় নাই) আমি communal riots দেখেছি riot এর প্রথম দিকের কথা---সেদিন শনিবার আমি কলকাতায় ফিরবার জন্য ভৌশনে এসে দেখি ভীষণ গোলমাল। তাকে পড়লাম হ্যারিসন রোডে। দেখলাম উভয় দলের গুণ্ডারা একটা মিট্মোট করে যার যার হাতিয়ার রাস্তায় সাজিয়ে নাগছে--ছিন্দ্রা রাখলে পশ্চিমের ফটেপাতে, আর ম্সলমানরা তাদের হাতিয়ার রাখালো প্রের ফ্ট্পাতে। 50,50 ভাগ কুরে দ্বাভালো। ভাবলাম ওরা যখন মিটিয়ে ফেলেছে তখন আর ভয় নাই। riot এর সময় প্র ङाद्रशायुरे प्रत्थीह किन्छ हाातिमन स्त्राप्तत स्माएं या श्राव्याहम स्मत्रकम जिनिम बात हार्थ भएं नारे। Riota महत्रह अहनक ह्लाक किन्तु अकलन लीडाइड महि नाहै। (Hear, hear.) कालेड अकलन innocent लाक मन्ता। এখানে এপে भनावाखी धामता धानतकर कत्रात भाति, किन्ए महबाद innocent लाक। गुर् এथान छात्र हिश्लात कत्रलाहे छलाव ना भव मिक प्रथा বেলায় মরে Ministry কে সব সময়ই comdemn করা হয়। এব আগের করা হয়েছে। কিন্তু ওর ভিতরে ঢুক্লে এক রকম, বেরিয়ে এলেই আর এক রকম। মি: জ্যোতি বস্ যদি ওখানে বসেন জানি না--তিনি কী করবেন। (A voice . তাহলে এর ৪ গুণ লোক অন্ততঃ মববে।) কিন্ত এখন চেন্টা করা দরকার দেশের যাতে উন্নতি হয়। দেশের লোক ত কেবলি মরছে। मार्गात्नित्राय मतरह, करनताय मतरह, प्रिंच्ह मतरह, पाकाय मतरह। मतरा मतरा प्रता नव स्वय हरा শুনাতে পাই আমাদের country র ভিতর থেকে militaryতে লোক না निर्देश खना प्रमा थरक खानाव किया करणाह । किया खामि क्रिकामा कवि खामाप्तद प्रमा कि लाक नाहे? किन्छ political issue जुल विम प्रात्मत नर्जनाम कहा वय ठावरण व्यामाप्पत व्याद उभाग्र नाहै।

Mr. SPEAKER: Your time is up. ...

Mr. A. M. A. ZAMAN: এই আমি শেব কর্রছি, Sir. আমি সকলকেই পণ্ট কথা বলবো—
প্রচ্যেকেই সাবধান ছোন। প্রিশ কাল গুলি করেছে। কিন্তু গুলি না করলে কর্জন আসতে পারতেন।
চাই publicকে সাবধান হতে হবে দুদিক দিয়ে। প্রিশকে সাবধান করতে হবে, এবং নিজেদের
সাবধান হয়ে চলতে হবে যেন প্রিশ কাল বে গুলি করেছে এরকম গুলি চাদের আর ভবিষাতে না করতে
চমা

Sri. KHAGENDRA NATH DAS GUPTA: মাননীর প্লীকার মহোদর, মাননীর ক্ষ্যোতি বাসে আরু বে adjournment motion এই পরিষদের সামনে উপস্থিত করেছেন আমি তার বিরোধিতা করতে দ্বাড়িরেছি।

शत प्राप्तन शत् और श्रीव्रम स्वतन्त्र शामत्त दि छोना घटो भिरत्रहः-विश्व करत कालरक श्रीत हालान इंग्रेंक वर्षांगत करल यात्रा आवल करहर ७ निवल करग्रह लाग्नत कथा मत्न करत्र मिलावे आमत्रा अलाल দুঃখ বোধ করছি। এটা পতা কথা—এই যে আমাদের পরিষদ ভবনের পামনে দু-দিন যে বিছোভ দেখা গিয়েছে এই বিজ্ঞোভ বারা করেছিলেন তারা গুটিকরেক ছুদ্র রাজনৈতিক দল কর্তৃক পরিচালিত হয়ে এসেছিলেন। তারা কেউ ব্যক্তিগতভাবে বিক্ষোভ প্রদর্শন করতে আসেন নাই—বা এই বিক্ষোভ বেভাবে প্রদর্শন করা উচিত ছিল, সেভাবেও তীরা ধান নাই। তীরা এসেছিলেন জোর করে সদসাদের বাধা एमबाর জন্য যাতে পরিষদ গৃছে সদস্যরা প্রবেশ করতে না পারে। এই পরিষদ কক্ষে, বিশেষ করে অধিবেশন हला कारत, शरवरमंत्र व्यवाध व्यविकात भविषम-अपआशरणंत्र भूर्णभावाय व्याष्ट क्षर क्षे व्यविकात ब्रह्म क्या প্রত্যেক গন্তর্গমেণ্টেরই কর্ত্তব্য এবং গভর্গমেণ্ট তার কর্ত্তব্য পালন করবার জন্য পরিক্ষদ-সদস্যরা বাতে পরিষদে खबार्थ हुन्करल भारत राम बावन्का कतरण बाथा। शक मु-मिन धामता म्पर्थाष्ट्र य मत्रकात मामतन अक्मन हात. শুৰু ছাত্র নয় অনেক মজদুরও দেখেছি, তারা এসে বঙ্গে আছে। কোন সদস্য ঢুকতে গেলে জোর করে তীকে বাধা দেওয়া হয়েছে, ধাকা দেওয়া হয়েছে। এমন কি আমাদের দ্-চারজন সদস্যকেও তারা প্রহার প্রান্ত করেছে, গালাগালির ত কথাই নাই। কোন রকমে প্রথম দিন তাদের বোঝাবার চেন্টা করাও হ'ল। কিন্তু কাকে বোঝাবো? তারা ত ব্রতে চান না! তারা চেরেছেন যে কোন রকমেই ছোক সদস্যদের পরিবদে ত্ততে দেবো না। তারা বলেছেন—আমরা Security Bill যেটা পরিষদের সামনে উপস্থিত হবে তার বিরুদেধ বিক্ষোভ দেখাবার জন্য এসেছি। তাই যদি হয় তাছ'লে গতকাল সেই বিলের আলো-**हमात्र मिन किल ना. मश्याममाराज्य मात्रकर क'लकाजायामी मकरल झानराजन य शाउनाल के विरालद आरलाहन।** स्मारिके क्षरव ना. जात्नाकनात विवय त्मिषन किल- Black-marketing विज। जा मरञ्ज भित्रवम **ভব**নে যাতে কোন সদস্য প্রবেশ করতে না পারে তক্জনা তারা এই রকম জুলুম সূরু করেন।

গত পদ্বাধান দ্-বার পরিষদ গ্রে চুক্তে চেন্টা করবার পর দ্-বার এসে দেখেছি প্লিশ চুপ করে দশাড়িরে আছে, কোন রকম ৪৫০০ প্লিশ নিছে না। বিশেষ করে পিকেটার যারা বসে আছে, তাদের বোৰাবার চেন্টা করছেন আমাদের পরিষদ-বন্ধুগণ। কিন্তু তা সত্ত্বেও বিছোভকারীরা সেখানেতে গোলমাল করার জন্য তৈরী হয়ে এসেছিলেন। তীরা কিছুতেই পথ দিলেন না। প্লিশ নিক্তিয় থাকা সত্ত্বেও ধার পরিষদ-সদসাদের চেন্টা সত্ত্বেও আমরা চুক্তে পারিনি। তারপরে যখন জোর করে প্লিশ পথ করে দিল তখন আমরা চুক্তে পেরেছি। কাল তাই হয়েছিল। প্লিশ তাদের কর্ত্বা করেছিল এবং আমাদের রাস্তা করে দিরেছিল। তারপরে প্লিশের উপর যে ইন্টকন্টি কালকে চলেছিল তা আমরা নিজের চছে দেখেছি। তখন আমরা North Block এ পার্টী মিটিংএ ছিলাম। আমরা দেখেছি যে কিন্তাবে শ্লিশের উপর ইন্টকবৃন্টি হছে এবং প্লিশ প্রাণভ্রে কিন্তাবে হটোছ্টি করছে, তারা নিজেদের আয়বছা করবার জন্য ছুটে পালাবার চেন্টা করছে।

Sri. JYOTI BASU: তারা কোখায়? প্লিণ কি হাসপাতালে আছে?

(A VOICE : आश-श)

\$1. KHAGERDRA NATH DAS GUPTA: একটি কথা উঠেছে বে বারা শিকেটিং করতে বর্সেছিল তাদের আমরা বোধাবার চেন্টা করিন। কিন্দু সেটা সম্পূর্ণ ভূল কথা। আমার প্রার্ভী বক্তা গুণ্ড সাহেবও ব'লে গেছেন—একটা আম্চরা এই বে এই সমস্ত অপরিণতবৃদ্ধি ও অপরিণতবর্ষক ছেলেদের বারা পাতিরেছেন—উণারা, সেই নেতারা কেউ এখানে আসেন নাই। এখন বদি হ'ত বে তারা এই পরিষদ ভবনের লারদেশে এসে আমাদের বোবারার চেন্টা করেছেন; কিন্দু তা হর নাই; সেই নেতাদের কাকেও আমরা দেখতে পাই নাই। ত'ারা বদি সতিস্কার মনে করতেন বে Security Bill আমাদের দেশের পাছে অকল্যাণকর, তবে ত'ারা আমাদের বাড়ী গিয়ে এ সন্বন্ধে বোবাবার চেন্টা করতেন। ত'াদের ক্ষকত মন্তল্ব ছিল আমাদের এই গভর্পানেউকৈ অচল করে দেওয়া। বে করেকটা রাজনৈতিক দল এই কাজের শেহনে ছিল তাদের উন্দেশ্য ছিল আমাদের এই কংগ্রেস পরিচালিত গভর্পানেউকৈ স্বর্গরক্ষে অচল করে দেওয়া। এরাড্রা কিছ্ই নর। তারা বদি হাতে ক্ষতা পার, বে সদস্য এই adjournment motion এনেক্সেন—সেই সদস্য যে দলকুকু তাদের হাতে বদি শাসন ক্ষতা এসে পড়ে, তবে এই বিল ত দ্বের কথা স্বন্ধক রক্ষা বিলের ডিক্সেন্ডেকে: ত'ারা পারে দলবেন। বারা বিরোধিতা করতে যাবে তানের ত'রা

সাইবেরিয়ার ঠাণ্ডা অঞ্চল পাঠাবেন, আর না হয় প্লি করবেন। এই ত তাদের দলের কথা, তা আমরা জানি! তাদের পিতৃত্নি রাশিয়ায় কতথানি জনসাধারণকে বিরোধিতা করতে দেওয়া হয়—বাজি ব্যাধীনতা কতথানি তা আমরা জানি। আসল উদ্দেশ্য হছে আমাদের এই প্রণ্থিমণ্টেকে অচল করে দেওয়া।

8ri JYOTI BASU: On a point of order, Sir. The honourable member is maligning a friendly foreign Government,—the U.S.S.R. Is that allowed?

Mr. SPEAKER: Well, it is not desirable to refer to a foreign country as fatherland, but such expression has been used.

Sri. KHAGENDRA NATH DAS GUPTA: আর একটা কথা উঠেছে যে এই বিল অকল্যাপকর এবং জনসাধারণের সমর্থন এই বিলের পশ্চাতে নাই, এটা ঠিক কথা নয়। আমি যে দ্টো দীমাশত জেলা থেকে তাদের প্রতিনিধি হিসাবে এপেছি—জলপাইপ্রিড় ও দাফির্লালং, এই জেলা দ্টোর অধিকাংশ কেন—শতকরা ৯০ জন লোক যারা এই বিলটা সমর্থন করে, তারা এই বিলটা চায়। আমাদের এই দ্টো জেলা কেন বাংলাদেশে যারা স্থিরভাবে চিন্টা করেন তারা প্রত্যেকই এই বিলের যে প্রয়াচনীয়তা আছে তা অস্বীকার করবেন না। প্রেবিড়ী বক্তা বলেছেন counter-demonstration করবার কথা। এই counter-demonstration করবের চাইলে আমরা তা করতে পারতাম। হাজার হাজার, লাখ লাখ লোক যারবা ইক্ষা করবে জড় করতে পারতাম। কিন্দু ফলে ছাত কিং আমি দেখেছি বিছোভকারী বলে কালকে যারা এসেছিলেন, তা শুধু রাজনৈতিক কারণে নয়, তারা এসেছিলেন violenceএর অবতারণা কবতে। আমরা যদি লক্ষ লোক আন্তাম তাছালে একটা মারামারি বেধে যেত এ বিষয়ে কোন সন্দেহ নাই। পগুন্দভন determined লোক ইক্ষা কর্লে দশ হাডার লোকেব সভাও মন্ট করে দিতে পারে।

8ri RATANLAL BRAHMIN: Ham do tho bat bolney ke liey khare huey hain ke hamare pahla jo bakta bole ham ke Darjeeling aui Jalpaiguri ke sab log isko samarthan kertey hain yeh jhut bat hai. Hambhi wahan ke ek admi hain aui ham khatey ham ke Darjeeling our Jalpaiguri ka koir admi bhi iska samarthan naheen karta.

ABUL HASHEM : মাননীয় সভাপতি মহালয়! আমি এই ম্লত্ৰী প্ৰস্তাৰ যা আমাদের বন্ধ ত্রীযুক্ত জ্যোতি বোস উপস্থিত করেছেন তাকে স্বর্থান্ডংকরণে স্মর্থন কর্বার জন্য উঠেছি। প্রথমেই এ কথা বোলে রাখা প্রয়োজন মনে করছি যে সমস্ত বিক্ষোন্তকারীরা এই কয়াদিন ধরে এসেদিবা সভাগ্যহের আশপাশে বিক্ষোভ প্রদর্শন কর্ছেন এবং যে পংধতির ধ্বারা তারা নিত্রেদের বিক্ষোভের অভিব্যাভি कंद्राहरू जांद्र प्राक्त वाकिशण्कार्य वामारमद कांद्र अथवा प्रकर्तद प्रम्वन्थ स्तरे। यामदा निरक्तदा अधम मिन থেকে বহুবার চেন্টা করেছি এই সভাগ্রেছ আস্বোর জন্য। প্রথম দিন সক্ষম ছ'তে পারিনি, দ্বিতীর দিন शक्स रद्योह। प्रिमिन प्रत्योह स्य भूलिएमत भागील এवः वन्ध्वधाती भूलिएमत स्वभक्त अस्तर प्रकारक এখানে আসতেে হয়েছে। প্লিশ দয়া কোরে আমাদের আস্বার, আমাদের প্রতি অন্থচ দেখাবার প্রস্<u>তাৰ</u> করেছিল কিন্তু ব্যক্তিগতভাবে এবং দলগতভাবে আমরা এটা অন্তব করেছিলাম যে এই আইন পভার মধ্যে প্রিল পাহারার যাওয়া আমাদের পচ্ছে অত্যন্ত লক্ষাকর ব্যাপার হবে। এ কথা আগেই বলা প্রয়েজন इ'ल और छना या व्यामि मियालाम बाङ भयांन्छ और नम्भर्ट अदर या नम्भर्ट और विरक्षात्त्व मृचि वरद्वाद সে সম্পর্কে যে সমস্ত বিবৃতি দেওয়া হয়েছে এই গ্রেছের মধ্যে এবং এই গ্রেছের বাছিরে সেখানে আমি এই बाभावजारक व्यना मिक मिरह भविकालिज कववाव शरकता मिर्धाक वर्धार अनगरगव विस्कृत स्य विवस् बार्ट और रव विरम्भाककाती এमित উल्मिमा या हा श्राटक छरूर এটা প্রমাণ করবার অনেক চেন্টা হরেছে। আজও বক্তার মধ্যে অনেক ইঞ্চিত পেয়েছি मन्दर वला श्याह যে তারাই দায়ী এই दिक्कारस्य सन्तर পরিপতির জন্য। সেই জন্মই আগে ঐ কথা ব'লে রাখা প্ররোজন বোলে মনে করেছি। व्यापि रव विन वा व्याप्टेन प्रम्बत्थ क्षे विर्वाहित प्राप्ति शर्द्धांक्षण ठाइ प्रम्बत्थ क्यान कथा बलाहि हाहे ना। **টবে একথা বোলে রাখ্**টে চাই যে এই আইন সভার মহ্যাদা আছে এবং দেখানে প্রচ্যেক সভার নিরাপকে আসংবার, বাবার এবং কার্যা পরিচালনা করবার সম্পূর্ণ স্যোগ থাকা উচিত। এ বিষয়ে আমি সকলের म्हरूम स्थिमक कारत बर्डमान मन्त्रिमक्तीह महरूम ध्रुक्म । यीम मन्त्रिमक्त्रणी शरहालन महन स्थान हर् House of Commonata मह क्षे गृह्दद निवानहात हना कान श्रम्हाद वा विक

9

इनन्दानित करत्र तारत सामारमंत्र न्न्र नहान्कृति ७ नहरदानिता थाक्रव। वक्षा कथा सामाद मर्द्र बाह्न हर अवर तात्र क्रमारे और अन्ताद नमर्थन क्रतात है हिति । यामात्र और बारेन नहात्र मन वश्नात्रत्र विक्काता वाहि। এর मধ্যে এই যে বিকোভ কাল পরশু দেখেছি তার চেয়ে অনেক বড় বড় বিকোভ প্রের্ব দেখেছি; অনেক জনসমাগম আইন সভার চারিপাশে অনেকবার দেখেছি; কিন্তু আমার যতদ্র স্মরণ আছে প্রাদেশিক প্ৰায়ন্তশাসনের পূবের্ব যথন ইংরাজের হাতেই যোল আনা শাসনক্ষমতা ছিল এবং দেশবাসীর তাতে কোন हारु हिल ना रुथनकात स्नामरल এवर প্রাদেশিক স্বায়ত্তশাসনের মধ্যেও এরকম দুর্ঘটনা কখন ঘটেনি বা আট্বার স্যোগ হয়নি, অর্থাং কাল যে এই আইন সভা গ্রের মধ্য থেকে গ্লি ছোড়া হয়েছে এবং এই चाहेन भागत पत्रसात माम्यान हर थेवर बाहर हासहर थेत्रकम मृना बामारमत कथन रमथ्य हासी। वाला हरक स এই বিছোভ যারা ক'রেছে তাদের উপকানী দেওয়া হয়েছে। এরকম কথা অনেককাল থেকেই শুনে আসেছি। আজ যারা ওধারে বসেছেন তাদের মুখ থেকে ওরকম কথা অনেকবার শোন্বার সুযোগ হয়েছিল। ১৯০৫ थ्रोज्य यथन थारक वारलाह विश्वव व्याप्मालन प्रुत् राह्नाह, म्मागत प्रवासीनाजात क्रमा विश्वव शस्त्रा অবলম্বন কোরে অনেক দেশপ্রেমিক যুবক যারা হত্যা কোরেছিল এবং ফলে তাদের ফ'সী যেতে হয়েছিল ठथन७ ठारमंत्र रुपारे कारक्षत्र प्रमर्थान वर्ज वर्ज स्तिपृष्टानीय व्यक्तिता करतनीन, किन्तु ठारमंत्र रय छेरंपन्या स्तिहे উদ্দেশ্যের প্রতি লক্ষ্য কোরে তাদের প্রতি সম্মান দেখিয়েছেন এবং তাদের দেশপ্রেমিক এই আখ্যা দিয়েছেন। একথা বোধ হয় মাননীয় প্রধান মন্ত্রী মহাশয় এবং তীহার সম্থাক ও সহযোগিগণের মনে আছে। তেম্নি আমি বলতে চাই বিজ্ঞোভ যারা করতে এসেছিলেন তাদের বিজ্ঞোত দেখাবার প-হাটা পহিতি ও অন্যায় একথা প্ৰীকার কর্লেও বলতে হয় যে ঐ সকল যুবক ও ছাত্রেরা রাজনৈতিক পুচ্তত্ব শিখ্বার সুযোগ এখনও পার্নান। তারা অপরের উস্কানীতে এখানে উপস্থিত হয়েছিলেন, কিন্তু ত'াদের প্রতি এরকম भ्वाविषात्र ना क्वादत जीएमत উप्पन्नारक नम्मान कः ब्राह्म ठनाउ कि ना प्रत कथा এकडे किन्छा कहा डेक्छि। একটি কথা করেক দিন থেকে শুন্তে পাদ্দি—খবরের কাগজের বিবৃতিতে দেখাছি এবং প্রধান মন্ত্রী महानद्र विन्पूष्य देश्त्राक्षी काषात्र बदलाहरू रव "Force can be met only by force" व्यर्थाः दलक्षरहाण बनक्षरहारणत न्याद्रा উপশीमेल केंद्ररण शरद। (A voice . ना, ना, ना) किन्दू राजद ন্বারা বে বলকে উপশমিত করা বেতে পারে, পরাজিত করা বেতে পারে এ তত্ত্ব যে আজকে আবিষ্কৃত হয়েছে তা নয়। আমি বল্জে চাই একথা যে বলের দ্বারা পরের বলকে ধনংস করা যেতে পাবে যদি তার পশ্চাতে জনমতের পূর্ণ সমর্থন থাকে। তা না হ'লে জনমতের বিরুদেধ কোন দলকে দমন করবার জনা যদি বল-প্ররোগ হয় তাহ'লে তাতে ধনংসেরই পথ প্রস্তুত হয়। আর সেই জনমতের সমর্থন না থাক্লে ত'ারা ওধারে বস,বার সুযোগ পেতেন না। কেন না যাদের বিরুদ্ধে তারা ছিলেন আজ স্বাধীনতা লাভের পরও তাদের হাতে আগে যা বল ছিল এবং এখন যা বল আছে সে বলের সজেগ তুলনা করেলে স্বাধীন ভারতের বল তুক্ত, সম্দ্রে বারিবিক্র মত। তাছাড়া আমি আশ্চযা বোধ করছি যে যীকে ভারতের আধ্নিক যুগের রাজ-নৈতিক গ্রু বলা যেতে পারে এবং মাননীয় ডক্টর প্রফ্লে চন্দ্র ঘোষ ধণার সহকারী এবং শিষ্য এবং যিনি রাজনীতির মধো নীতি এবং দশনি জুকিয়ের দিয়ের তাকে মার্কিডতি করতে চেয়েছেন। তিনি ডক্টর ঘোষের এ কথার সমর্থন কর্বেন কি না। কারণ কলিকাতার যখন দাঙ্গাহাঙ্গামা অত্যন্ত বেড়ে উঠেছিল এবং যখন আমাদের সম্প্রদায়ের জীবন বিপন্ন হয়েছিল তখন আমার স্যোগ হয়েছিল সোদপুর আশ্রমে সেই মহাস্মা গাস্থীর সক্ষে দারুলা উপল্যের ব্যবস্থাককে সান্ধাং করবার। তাকে আমি নিজের কানে বল্তে শ্রেছি বে বলপ্রয়োগের বারা দা≄ণাছা≄ণামার উপশম হ'তে পারে না, উচ্ছ্রখলতা দ্র করা যেতে পারে না। তিনি নিজের জীবন বিপন্ন কোরে কলিকাতার এসে, জনসাধারণের মধ্যে কাজ কোরে দারুগাহারুগামা উপশম কোরেছিলেন। আমি চ'ার সে কথা বিশ্বাস করি। ডক্টর প্রফ্লুলু ঘোষ অনেক ক্ষেত্রে বলেন এবং आमारमत राधारक हान रव स्वाबीनका এवर केक्ट्रबंबका এ म्राह्म सरका शार्थका कहा। आमता याता अधारन এসেছি তাদের কাকেও রাজনীতির প্রাথমিক স্কুলের ছাত্র বোলে মনে করবেন না। আমরাও জানি উ—ছ্ব্যলতা ও প্ৰাধীনতার মধো কি ভেদ আছে। আব্দর রহমন সিদ্দিকী সাহেব বলেছেন আম্বা মুসলমানেরা সংখ্যালঘু এবং আমানের মনে আশ্ঞকা আছে যে উচ্তখলভার স্ভি হ'লে স্বচেরে ছতিগ্রস্ত ছৰ আমরা। উ**জ**্ৰথলতাকে আমরা: ভয় করি: তাকে গুলিবর্বপের অ্বারা ঠেকান বাবে না, বরং তাকে ভালক্তাৰে নিমন্ত্ৰণ কোৱে আনা হবে। ইংরাজীতে ''tact'' বোলে একটা কথা আছে। বদি অন্যার কোরে থাকে এই বিভ্রমকারীরা, বলি তাদের বৃদ্ধিভ্রম হরে থাকে তাছ'লে সেক্ষা শক্তিমণ্ডলীর স্বীকার क्ब्र्एंट वक्क्षा कि? स्कन उनेबा व कथा न्वीकाद कन्द्रांट व्यक्किंट श्रदन? Tact का वहार जीएक মধ্যে ররেছে। আমি বল্লে পারি না যে জনমতের সম্থান ত'াদের পশ্চাতে নাই: কারণ কংগ্রেস দেশের

কাছ ক'রেছে এবং তার মার্ফান্ডেই দেশে শ্বাধীনতা এসে পেণীছেছে এবং এখনও সে বের্নান্ত শিখরে বসে আছে। সেই জন্য একথা বল্লে পারি না যে জন্মত তাদের সংগ্রু নাই; তব্ একথা বল্ল যে তাদের সে কার্যান্তংপরতা পেল কোথার? বলকে যদি বলের লারা দমন করতে হয় তবে যে বলের আরার দমন করা যাবে সেই বলের অনুরূপ বলের আরাই তাকে দমন করা টুচিত। যদি মুখিমেয় blackmarketeer বা কালাবাজারের দালাল বা এইর্শ জনকরেক লোকের উস্কানীতে যদি এই লোকগুলো এসে থাকে তবে তাদের উস্কানীতে কার একাই লোকগুলো এসে থাকে তবে তাদের উস্কানীতে কার লছ লছ লোক আস্তে পার্ত। আমি বল্ছি না যে তারা এলেই এখানে দালারে স্টি হ'ত; তা নয়। অনেক সময় আমরা বিজ্ঞোভ দমন করবার জন্য জনমতকে আমাদের চারিদিকে স্টি করবার চেন্টা ক'রেছি, এবং সফলতার সফোই সে কাজ করেছি। আজকে ত সভা হল্ছে মীর্জাপুর পার্কে এবং হাজরা পার্কে! কংগ্রেদ নেইবৃন্দ ত ইচ্ছা কর্নে বড় বড় অনেক সভা কর্তে পারতেন। তারা যে করেনি সেটা ভাল কাজ নয়। তাদের না করাটা একাণ্ড বড় তালাজালা এবং অন্যায় কাজ এই বিজ্ঞোভকারীরা কোথায় চলে যেত। ভবিষতে তারা যে নাঁতি অবলম্বন কর্বেন তার মতে যদি থাকে দমন নাঁতি তাহতে সেটা অতাণ্ড আশ্বেকার বিষয় হবে।

(Here the member having teached his time-limit resumed his seat.)

Mrs. E. M. RICKETTS: Mr. Speaker, Sir. although I may not have tought for freedom, I certainly know how to work for freedom and the happenings which we have seen around the Assembly during the last day or two can certainly have no place in the work for freedom. We as a nation cannot be tree unless every individual is free to come and go as he pleases. There would be no objection to demonstrations as long as they remained peaceful. In fact, if these demonstrations remained peaceful, it is very likely that some of us might even join the demonstrators. No Government can be terrorised into abandoning a measure. We have often heard that the pen is mightier than the sword. In these enlightened days it is still possible that negotiation and tact can be more productive of results than torce. There must always be give and take on both sides. The crowds outside the Assembly feel that they have placed us here in the legislature and we are now endeavouring to overlook their wishes. If they show by peaceful demonstrations that the repressive measures against which they are demonstrating are unnecessary, it is very likely that they would win much support to then opinion. The attitude towards a popular Government and a popular Minister should be quite different from what it was towards an alien power. History all over the world proves that during the period of transition it is necessary and essential to the avoidance of anarchy that a leader should be found who is worthy of trust and we must trust him all the way. Bengal has a new leader. It is up to all leaders of other parties to co-operate with him. It is they who have greater influence with their own followers and it is up to them to persuade those followers to act in such a way that the Minister who is in charge of maintaining law and order in this province should not be obliged to have resort to stringent measures. We all regret most succeeds the firing which has taken place, but I do feel that the responsibility for this must be placed on the leaders who have brought these young people here and not on any member of the Government.

Sir, with these words I oppose the adjournment motion.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I thank the honourable mover of this adjournment motion for affording this House an opportunity freely and frankly to discuss the regrettable happenings of yesterday. Sir, intervening in this debate I feel that we all have a heavy heart over what has happened yesterday. It was beyond our imagination that within such a short time of the first meeting of this Legislative Assembly in tree Bengal, such a deplorable state of affairs would come to be. From the bottom of my heart I send out my sympathy to the hereaved families who have suffered—suffered on account of a situation which it would be for all sensible men—for the Government as well as for the members of this House—to find out where the root cause of these incidents lies.

D. Start

Sir, Bengal has paid a very heavy price for getting peace. It was not very long ago that life in Bengal was abnormal-abnormal to the extent that a citizen could not stir out in ordinary life without fear of the assassin's knife. Sir, shortly after the installation of this Government in office under the leadership of Dr. Prafulla Chandra Ghosh and thanks to the untiring efforts of the father of the Indian nation Mahatma Gandhi, Calcutta got back its peace. Sir, we cannot afford to forget that the Congress paid heavily in order to get that peace. The Congress had to pay heavily a price—that price cannot be reckoned in any currency, that price is reckoned in the lives of several Congressmen who at the head of peace brigades sacrificed themselves, fell martyrs, in order to give back Calcutta her peace and an opportunity to the Government and our people to turn to the needs of development, progress, to see a happy and prosperous Bengal where Hindus or Muslims might forget in political and civic life their religion which they might pursue with devotion in their temples and mosques. Sir, those men through whose lives we paid the price to get the peace of Bengal were no other than several Congressmen whose names have been mentioned here in the press and platforms—everywhere. They were important Congress workers and office-bearers of the Bengal Provincial Congress Committee. Sir, I do not know of any political worker of their rank of the other parties, who have suddenly taken upon themselves to become the exponents of democracy and rights of the people, having made a sacrifice worth mentioning.

Sir, that hard-earned peace which was established after the installation of a free Government was lamentably disturbed on the 21st November, the very day when this House had the privilege of meeting. Sir, I do not wish to repeat the incidents of that day. The unhappy incidents of the 8th, 9th and 10th December are but a sequel to what had happened on the 21st November.

Sir, we have seen the practice in Europe of States exchanging their representatives to proclaim the mission of peace; we have seen diplomats embracing and hugging each other while they have been sharpening the knives for perpetrating the most netarious and barbarous wars history basever seen.

We were told, Sir, on the 21st November that a so-called demonstration would be coming in order to greet the new Assembly in this House. They would be hugging the Ministry because the unscripulous assassin has got to hug you before he stabs you in the back. Sir, we know from our ancient epics of this land the truit of wisdom of ages-things such as Dhritarastra's alingan. That was perhaps the netarious game that was brought out. I have not a word of blame to utter for these youthful Assembly members while they were coming to the Assembly. I have not a word of blame to utter against them. Sir, it is known that youth and students are very easily led away by their imagination. Youth and students have contributed magnificently to the cause of our freedom. Members, on this side of the House, know it to their bitter cost. There are very few on this side of the House who have not got their ways and leave the first side of the House who have not got their ways and leave the house who have not got their ways and leave the house who have not got their ways and leave the house who have not got their ways and leave the house who have not got their ways and leave the house who have not got their ways and leave the house who have not got their ways and leave the house who have not got their ways and leave the house who have not got their ways and leave the very few on this side of the House who have not got their near and dear ones, their brothers and children, youth and students of their own homestead, who have not taken brunt of the burden for the struggle of freedom on themselves. I therefore have not a word of blame for them. But at the same time if they fall under irresponsible and unscrupulous clutches, they are led to the path of the devil where the worst things can happen. After the 21st November there was a period of lull, and on the 8th December when this House met, suddenly a demonstration, not exclusively of students, but a demonstration of people with a sprinkling of students arrived. We accepted them as students. The honourable mover of this motion had conveniently forgotten that members on this side of the House had met those deputationists at the very gate. They met those deputationists,

they received their representatives, and the Hon'ble Chief Minister received them and talked to them. It was in recognition of this fact that any section of the people here in this country has the right to represent their grievances to members of the public who assemble in this temple of liberty. Sir, if there is any guarantee of safety in any free country, it is the legislature, it is the legislature of the elected people. Since legislatures came to be established in this land from British time up till today we have not a single occasion when anybody obstructed the way of the legislators from coming to this place. We pay our homage and offer our prayer to the temples of sectarian religious, but the only thing that binds the people as a nation, the only thing that makes us come together with a view to take upon themselves the responsibility for legislation is the legislature. For that we assemble in the sacred precincts of this legislature which can be styled as the temple of liberty. I am sorry to notice that the honourable mover had a lot of things to say, but he conveniently forgot even to mention one aspect of the thing-an aspect over which the honourable leader of the Opposition wanted the protection of the Home Minister only yesterday. He conveniently forgot to mention that by adopting the fascist methods the way of representatives was obstructed by some people and it is not known-we have to find out who are the people responsible for coercing the legislators for barring the passage. It is the precursor of this tendency which gave rise to Mussolini who marched with his hooligans and band of fascists to the Parliament in Milan and called it Monkey House fit to be dispersed, and installed the Fascist Raj. These jackals who are now howling in the name of liberty were—if I may style them—pseudo-democratic experts of totalitarian parties. But they need not forget the fate of Italy, the fate of Rome, the fate of those who obstructed the way of the legislators going to the Parhament They need not forget the fate of those Fascists in Europe. It is that Fascist method which they conveniently forget to condemn or Today the public has to be put on its guard. As the even to refer to it. Hon'ble the Chief Minister said we are now sitting on a volcano. the honourable mover of the motion will not expect the Chief Minister to divulge all the facts which he has in his possession, for the purpose of serving his masters whom the totalitarian parties used to serve as agents Therefore it we have installed a man in this first or fifth columnists Government to lead us, it is with the fullest trust and confidence that we have done so. For the purpose of protection of every homestead of our citizens, people want policemen to come with loaded pistols, with loaded guns. When the rioters were about, everyone was clamouring for policemen with loaded pistols, but no one was objecting that the policemen could not be trusted. You wanted precisely the same policemen to come and stand with loaded pistols in order to defend them. I would also like to remind them today that their spokesman and leader -I will quote an ex-leader of the Congress Assembly Party of this House during the British regime—had declared a certain party as public enemy No. 1. But to-day I am grieved to think what is it that makes him join hands with those who had been declared by my ex-leader as public enemy No. 1. Is it that he joins with them knowing the full implications of his declaration? Or is it because of a certificate that they have given that he has changed?

Sir, I commend to this House that this motion be rejected and 1 do so with the fullest sense of responsibility that this House, as the custodian of the liberty of our people, have got to face a situation and to fully arm this Government to secure the liberty of the people of this province.

Mr. G. C. D. WILKS: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would like to oppose the motion for adjournment. I would also like to express my regret at the unseemly incidents which we have seen round the precincts of this Assembly. The Government has acted in the only manner possible. Independence is not to be taken for licence. The public are entitled to voice their protests, but these protests must be voiced in a lawful manner. Law and order must

be enforced. I feel secure that the Hon'ble Home Minister was fully satisfied with the seriousness of the situation, and as a last resort only gave the necessary orders to overcome the situation to avoid further loss of life. I much regret that the honourable members of this House in the heat of speech have overlooked to express their sympathy for the untimely death of Shri Sisir Kumar Mandal of the Relief Workers Ambulance Corps. May I ask you, Sir, and through you the honourable members to rise in their seats and express their sympathy by observing silence for a minute, and further, Sir, may I ask you as Speaker of the House to sent expression of our sympathy to his bereaved parents in their terrible loss.

Sri. NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITY: মাননীয় প্শীকার মহাশর, এই মুলতুবী প্রস্তাব এবে আমাদের একটা প্রিধা দেওয়া হয়েছে বলে আমি মনে করি। আমাদের কোন কোন বন্ধু বলছিলেন বে "বিষ্কুত্ব ভনতার সম্মুখে আপনারা এগিয়ে গিয়ে কেন সন্তা-সমিতি করেননি? আপনারা লফ লোক নিয়ে দেখানে হাজির হাননি কেন? আপনাদের একটু ভুল হয়ে গিয়েছে।" আমরা এই মুলতুবী প্রস্তাবতি পেয়ে আমাদের বন্ধবা আমরা বলতে ঢাই। এটা এতই গ্রুত্র যে আমি লিখিতভাবে আমার নিজের অভিক্তা ও আমার নিজের মত দিতে চাই। গত দু-দিনের যা আমার অভিক্তা, সেটা আমি পড়ে শোনাছি।

গতকল্য আমি আমার বন্ধু ক্রীঈশ্বরচন্দ্র মাল, এম, এল, এ, এবং মেদিনীপুর জেলা কংগ্রেস কমিটির সম্পাদক ঐচার্চন্দ্র মহান্তি, এম, এল, এ, সহ একটি গাড়ীতে করিয়া এসেম্বিয়র অধিবেশনে যোগদান করিবার জনা আসি। আমাদের সংক্ষে ডাঃ বীরেন্দ্রনাথ বস্ছিলেন। আমাদের গাড়ী যখন পশ্চিমের দরওয়াজা পেরিয়ে উত্তরের গেটে যাওয়ার জনা উত্তর দিকে অগুসর হতে থাকে, তখন কয়েকজন যুবক গাড়ীটি ঘিরে स्टब्स अवर डेट्डॉकिएकारव गाड़ीरा केंत्रिणाहे एकस मातरण आतम्क करत। अकि शहरस गाड़ीत शास्त्र उन्तर উঠে পড়ে, একজন গাড়ীর চাবি কেড়ে নেয়, কয়েকজন ঈশ্বর বাব্ ও চার্ বাব্কে গালাগালি করতে খাকে। ৰীবেন বাব সামনে বসেছিলেন, তাকে চড় মারে এবং তার মাথার খন্দরের ট্রাপ কেড়ে নেয়: হৈ হৈ করে আমাদের পাড়ীর পিছনের কীচ ভেকে দিল, বীরেন বাব্কে আরও চড়-চাপড় মারতে থাকে এবং সকলকে গালাগালি করে। গাড়ীটি বীরেন বাব্র ছিল সেজন্য আমরা তার কাছে আরও দুঃখিত। কিছাম্বণ পরে অন্তাহ করে কয়েকজন পথ করে দিলে আমরা ফিরে যাই। দ্-একজন বলেন বটে যে "वापनाता हैका करता जिल्हा तराल पारतन।" किन्हु आमता स्टित यालग्नाहै निवापन तराल मरन करि। पर्ध আবাদবেন্দ্রনাথ প^ৰাজা, এম, এল, এ, আসিতীশচন্দ্র চক্রবর্তনী, এম, এল, এ, প্রীঅমদা প্রসাদ মণ্ডল, প্রীক্রেবচন্দ্র बालनाइ, डिकानावेलाल मात्र এवर जैमारामात्रम ठळवडी, अम. अन. अ. महामञ्जालाइ त्रार्क प्रमा हत्। उपाता अ **एक्ट** ना १९९६ थिए वाक्षा हन। এর প্রে দিনও আমি এসেম্বিয়তে প্রেশ করতে পারিন। আমি যখন পশ্চিম গেটে আসি তখন ঐ গেটে ১০।১২ জন লোক ছিলেন। তারা আমাকে ঢুকতে বাধা দেন। ত'াদের মধ্যে কেউ ছাত্র, কেউ বা মজদ্র ছিলেন। কেউ কেউ অন্য লোকও হতে পারেন, ত'াদের সম্বে।ধন করে বলি যে ''আপনারা কেন বাধা দিছেন?'' উত্তরে ত'রো বলেন যে ত'দের অনেক আপত্তি আছে। এই কালা আইন প্রত্যাহার করতে হবে, ডাঃ স্রেশ ব্যানার্জি আমাদের ট্রেড ইউনিয়ন কংগ্রেস কেঞে দেওয়ার **छच्छा कंद्रह. आ**मारमंद्र त्यानात्र, ७ माण्यिकाला एम६म्रा शत्क ना. त्र्लनाः এই वक्तर्यमण्डेक त्लाइटल हर्द्र, **छिटका** मिए इरव। आमि विक्त के रानाम, हेडगमित्र कथा उ आलाठना करत इरेड भारत। आमि आंदेड Special Powers Bill যেটাকে আপনারা Black Bill বলছেন সেটার जारजाञ्चा चार घरव ना। किन्छ आमात्र कथा चश्चाका करत्र जीरमत् खरनरक वरल डेरटेन य के विरलद व्याद्यान्ना आछहे हरत। व्यामि भूनहाह जीरमह वीन र सामाह काह श्यक कथा रनन् र साम हरत ना, কিন্তু তারা কিছ্তেই পথ করে দিলেন না. সকলে গেটের সম্মূখে শ্রে পড়েন, অগত্যা আমি কিরে গেলাম।

এখন পরিস্থিতিটি বিবেচনা করা যাক। এই আইন সভার সদস্যরা লছ লছ লোকের প্রতিনিধি হরে এসেছেন। এই প্রদেশের লছ লছ লোক চান যে আমরা এখানে জমারেত হয়ে আমাদের জান বৃদ্ধিমতে আইন প্রশারন, সংশোধন বা পরিবার্গন করি এবং দেশের কলাগছনক কার্যা করি। যদি কোন আইন অন্যায় বলে কোল শ্রেণীর লোকের নিকট বোধ হয়. তাহা হ'লে তাহা বাহাতে পরিবর্তনি, সংশোধন বা পরিবার্তনি হয় চক্ষনা যে সকল বৈধ পদ্মা রয়েছে তাহার মধ্যে যে কোন একটি বা স্বগ্রিছি গ্রহণ করতে পারেন। প্রথমতঃ আইন সভার তাহাদের সন্সাদের সাহায়ে সংশোধনী প্রশার ইত্যাদি দিয়া, ঐ আইন সন্সাদের সাহায়ের সংশোধনী প্রশার ইত্যাদি দিয়া, ঐ আইন সন্সাদের জনমতকে তাদের ইন্যানুশ্য বারক্ষা করতে পারেন। দ্বিতীয়তঃ সভা-সমিতি করে, বিবিস্থকতে উপারে জনমতকে তাদের

স্বশাধ বানতে পারেন। তৃতীরতঃ বিলের প্রণয়ন কর্তাদের গ্রেণ্ড আলাপ আলোচনা করে বিষয়্টির বৈধ্যা বৃধিয়ে উহা আবশাক্ষত পরিবর্ত্তন বা সংশোধন করতে পারেন। বর্ত্তমান কৈরে তারা ইক্ষা কয়েরে কংগ্রেস পালিয়ামেন্টারী বোর্ডে আপীল করতে পারেন। স্বর্ত্তমন্ত্রেয় ডাঃ রাজেন্দ্র প্রসাদ ঐ বোর্ডের প্রেসিটেটা। ন্যায়া বিষয় তার নিকট উপস্থিত করলে তাহা উপেন্ধিত হবে আতি বড় নিন্দ্ররাও অস্বীকার করবেন। পরিশেষে সামনে নিবর্গাচন আসছে। যারা এর বিরোধিতা করছেন তারা বলেন যে জনমত তাদের প্রেমেরে। যদি তাই হয় তাহলে ন্তন গড়গ্মেন্টের পুনঃ নিবর্গাচনে সংখ্যাধিক্য হয়ে এসে এই আইন সম্পর্কে ইক্ষামত বাবস্থা করতে পারেন। এইটি তাদের plank হতে পারে। এছাড়া যদি অন্য বাবস্থা হয় তবে তাহা ফ্যাসিন্ট মেখড বা পন্যা ছাড়া আর কিছু হতে পারে না। কিশেষতঃ আইন সভার সদস্যদিগকে না আসতে দেওয়া—এটা ফ্যাসিড্ম ছাড়া আর কিছুই নয়।

আমরা civil liberty বা ব্যক্তি হ্বাধানতা চাই, কিন্তু লাইসেন্স বা ফ্রাসিজম চাই না।
সদস্যদিপকৈ সভার না আসতে দেওয়া, দেশের সমূহ কাষ্যকৈ বন্ধ করে রাখা। এপ্লি ফ্রাসিজমের
রূপ বলে আমরা মনে করি। এই আইন সভার যারা এপেছেন, চাঁদের পিছনে জাঁবন ব্যাপা সাধনা, ত্যাপ
রয়েছে। ডাঃ প্রক্রেন্ড ঘোষ, ডাঃ স্বেল্ডল ব্যানাজা, চার্ডল্ড ভাগ্ডারী, ভূপতি মজ্মদার, যাদবেন্দ্র
নাথ পাঞা, চার্ডল্ড মহান্ডি, বিপিন বিহারী গাজগুলী, বালাপদ মুখাজা, ঈন্বর্চন্দ্র মাল, খপেন্দ্রমাথ
দাস্পুত, রজনীকাতে প্রমাণিক, শ্যামাপদ ভট্টাচার্যা, প্রথমাথ বন্দ্যাপাধারে, সূক্ষার দত্ত, অরমা প্রসাদ
চৌধ্বী, হেমতকুমার বস্তু, সতীশচন্দ্র চক্রবর্তী প্রভৃতি আরও কত গোকের নাম করব। এই আইন সভার
সন্সাগ্য গত হুণ বছর ধরে দেশের সেবা করে এসেছেন, এক বহুবার জেলে গেছেন এবং এখনও স্ত্যের
চন্যা, ন্যায়ের জন্য সম্পত ছতি স্বাকার করে কারাগারে যেতে প্রস্তুত আছেন। এমন কি প্রয়োজন ছালে
প্রাণ বিসভ্জনি দিতেও কুণ্ডিত হবেন না। দেশকে তাবা কারুর থেকে কম ভালবাসেন না। কাজেই
আইন স্ম্বন্ধে কাহারও কোন কিছু বক্রব্য থাকলে এগদের কাছে ধাঁর শান্ডভাবে আলাপ আলোচনা করা
যেতে পারে।

যাই হোক যেদকল ব্যাপার ঘটে গিয়েছে তম্ভন্য আমরা অত্যন্ত দৃঃখিত। বিশেষতঃ যে অম্বা প্রাণ একটি নিহত হয়েছে, তার ছতি অপ্রেণীয়। আমরা তার পরিবার পরিজনবণের প্রতি আন্তরিক সহান্ভুতি ও সমবেদনা প্রকাশ করছি, এবং গ্রুপমেণ্টকে অনুরোধ করি যে তার পরিবারদের জন্য আবেশ্যক্ষত আর্থিক সাহায্যের যেন ব্যবস্থা করেন অবশ্য যদি কোন দিন গ্রীরা সাহায্য চান। আর যার আহত হয়েছেন তাদের সম্বশ্বেও অন্রাপ বাবস্থা করা উচিত বলে আমি মনে করি। দ্বিতীয়তঃ এই আইনের আলোচনা এখন কিছ্দিনের জন্য বন্ধ রাখতে আমি গভূপমেণ্টকে অনুরোধ করি। রহস্যের বিষয় এই যে বর্তমানে যে আইন প্রচলিত আছে এবং যাহা মার্কা মাস প্যাশত চলবে তাহা সিলেই কমিটি व्याष्टेरनंत्र त्य नशरमाधन करतरहन जात व्यापका एउन दनगी शृज्ञाहर। मरन नाथरह घरन त्य है व्याप्टरनेत्र আওতার আমরা চলছি। এই আইনের কাঠিন্য সিলেউ কমিটি थाद्र भू छताः वर्जभान अर्तावल आहेरन यांच वालि श्वादीनला क्ष ना हय जरव अहे रव आहेन शिराव किमिष्ठि श्वादक স্থারিশ হয়ে পাশ হতে যাকে, তাতে তোভাছ্ম হবে না। তথাপি আমি গভপমেণ্টকে অনুরোধ করি যে এই বিল সম্বন্ধে আরও বিষেচনা করা হোক। ডাঃ রাজেন্দ্র প্রসাদ, কংগ্রেসের সভাপতি, তীর कारक बड़ा (शहन कहा हाक। हाः धाव वाध्नारमरमद्र निवालना बचाव क्रेस माही। सम्मीव सारिक हात्ठीव रहामिनिवरने निवालता दका कराव लगा पात्री। पश्चित सरवान वामारमव हावजीव बाराउँव প্রধান মন্ত্রী এবং বৈদেশিক সচিব। তিনি আন্তর্জাতিক পরিস্থিতি জানেন। আমি গ্রুণমেণ্টকে প্নরায় অন্রোধ করি যে তাদের কাছে এই বিলের কপি পাটিয়ে দেওয়া ঘোক। আন্তর্গতিক পরিস্থিতি ও कांत्रजीह स्वामिनिहरनद व्यवन्दा विरक्तना करत जाता जारमद म्हिन्जि मन्वया स्वन भाविरह सन्।

(Here red light was shown-indicating time-limit.)

One minute, Sir, কোন কোন বন্দু আমাদিপকে জনপণের কাছে যেতে বলেছেন। আমরা পরিকার করে বলে দিছি যে আমরা তাতে ভীত নই। আমরা প্রণ্থেন্টকে অনুরোধ করি যে আমাদিপকে সেই সুরোপ পেওরা ছোক। যে ব্যাধীনতা আমরা দীর্ঘকাল সাধনা করে, তপসা করে পেরেছি তাকে আমরা রক্ষা করতে চাই। এবং সমস্ত কথা আমরা জনসাধারণকে বলতে চাই।

(Here red light was again shown.)

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: On a point of order, Sir,...... have 15 minutes been over.

Mr. SPEAKER: I think so. However, I will ask him to finish it quickly.

Mr. NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITY: পশ্চিমবংশার জনগণকে আমরা জানি। তারা যুক্তি ও ন্যায়স্পাত কারো অন্তরের সংশা সাড়া দেবেন। তবে যে সকল লোক জোর করে অমতা হলতগত করতে চার, যারা ইংরেজের সংশা আমাদের লড়াইয়ের সময় এই প্লিশের সাছাযো আমাদিগকে ধরিরে দিয়েছিল এবং আমাদিগকে গ্লুডা বলে জনসমাজে প্রচার করেছিল, যারা ফ্যাসিন্ট উপারে বলপ্তর্ক অমতা হলতগত করতে চার তারা আমাদের কোন কথাই শ্নবে না। তারা গোলমাল করে—

(Here again red light was shown.)

Mr. SPEAKER: Order, order--

Mr. NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITY: তারা false issue বা মিখ্যা ধ্রা উঠিয়ে জনমতকে বিজ্ঞানত করে এই শিশ্ ব্যাধীনতাকে বিপল্ল করতে চায় এবং তার ভিতর থেকে তারা ক্ষমতায় এসে, এই দেশকে শাসন করতে চায়; এই দেশকে বিদেশীর তাবেদারে পরিণত করতে চায়। আমরা গবে মাত্র বৃটিশের অধানতা থেকে বেরিয়ে, কমিউনিউ রাশিয়ার জেজাড় ঘতে চাই না। কিম্বা ক্তু ক্তু দজের পেছনে গিয়ে এই শিশ্ ব্যাধানতাকে বিপল্ল করতে পারি না।

Mr. SPEAKER: Your time is up.

Sri BASANTALAL MURARKA: Mananiyo Sabhapatiji! Maen kuch kahoonga, jo parastao ap ke samne aya har maen uska birodh kerne ke liey khara huoa hun - Person ki ghatana har ke jab hum Assembly men arahey they, dekha ke pertek gate per bohat sey admi baithe hain, bohat admi kharey hain. Mujhko gate men perbesh kerne ke liey mana ker rahe hain Hum ne unsey prathana kiya -desh ke swathinata ke nam per prathana kiya—nagarik swathinata ke nam per prathana kiya, desh ke chune huwey prithinidhike nam per prathana kiya, ke hamko jane dijia. Parantu mery bat naheen suni aur hamko majboor hoker laot jana para. Us roz agar Police ka sundar babastha hota to ham assembly men akar is mahath udesh men bhag letev, parantu dusrey roz jab ham yahan aey to ham ne dekha ke Police ka sundar babastha har. Police sundar kam karti bai. Nagarikta ki raksha kerne ke hey pertek member ko undar janey men koshesh kerti hai jab ham ney assembly men purbesh kiya to dekha ke High Court ki taraf se pather a-rahi hai aur uski barsa horahi hai. Police agar aphe admiun ko raksha kerne ke liya saman naheen karti to ham logon ki bhi raksha naheen kersakti. Ham to apne Pradhan Mantriji ko dhanabad denge ke unke sundar babastha ke karan ham log assembly ka tanahada denge ke unke sahaar babasaha ke karah han log assimbly ka kam kersakey. 21 November ko jab hullar huwa—ham apke sath ghari men ja rahe they. Log kistarah se hamari ghari per toot parey aur kahney lagey ke isko maro yeh minister hai—hum ney kaha ke hum minister naheen hain. Kahney lage ke yeh minister ka admi hai is ko maro, Magar kuch student jo hamko jante they naheen bachate to ham aj is assembly men khare naheen hone patey. Is liey ham apko unrodh kerte hain ke yah Fascist (tundashahi aur Nazi (tundashahi ko pras-sarai mat dejey. Desh ka yeh sundar sashan jo chal raha hai wah chal naheen sakta agar ap inko pras-sarai denge. Jen logan ne admin ke mind ko kharab kiva hai wah desh ke sattroo hain. Agar aisa hoga to yeh sashan jo chal raha hai wah ulat jaiga aur Gundashahi raj hojaiga.

Mr. NIBHAPATI MAJHI: मन्तनीत्र Speaker महाणत्र, लठ ल्हे जित्तत्र स्क्रैमा शत शत क्षांति। अध्य जित्र स्वाम क्षेत्र शित्रदार सामाठ शांतित। श्रीत्रस्य द्वारण रुद्रका शांतित सामाज स्वाम स्वाम स्वाम स्वाम स्वाम सामाज स्वाम स्व

সাধে পরিষদের বাইরে দাঁড়িরে ছিলাম এবং প্রধেণ্ডর ছিলাম। করেকবার এবন অবস্থার পাঁড় যে সেখানে দাঁড়ান অসম্ভব। আজমণ পরিষদের ভিতর পরাণ্ড আসে: কিন্দু সেই সময় দেখি প্রিলদ কম্মকর্তারা সেই ইট-পাটকেলের ভিতর নিতীকভাবে দাঁড়িরে আছে। তখন সতাই এই রকম মনের ভিতর হারেছিল যে আজকের দিনের প্রলিশের ব্যবহার কতটা ভাল। আমরা সেই জিনিসটা উপলব্ধি করেছিলাম এবং পাশ খেকে যেসব ইট-পাটকেল এসে ভিতরে পড়িছিল সেগ্রিল তখন দেখেছিলাম যে খ্ব তীরভাবেই ভিতরে আসতে লাগলো। ইতিমধ্যে আমাদিগকে ভিতরে প্রবেশ করতে হয়। তারপরে আমরা দেখি গুলি চলেছে এবং করেকজন আহত হয়েছে। পরে সংবাদ পেলাম একজন নিখত হয়েছে। আজ সেই জনা এই প্রস্থাবের বিপক্ষে দাঁড়িয়েও এই কথা বলতে চাই যে কলকাতা নগরী আজ যেন একজনের নিছত সংবাদে প্রব বহুজনের আছত সংবাদে মন্দাছত হয়েছে। আজ তার পরিষারবর্গ যেরুপ দুখিত ও মন্দাছত সেইরুপভাবে সকলেই হয়েছেন। এ বিরয়ে আজ আলোচনা কবা পরিষদের প্রতাক সদস্যের কর্ত্বা বরেশ বরুদেধ,—

Mr. SPEAKER: সময় হয়ে গিয়েছে।

Mr. NISHAPATI MAJHI: এক মিনিউ, Sir, অতএব এই lathi-charge-এর বিরুদ্ধে, গুলি চলবার বিরুদ্ধে আমরা প্রবলভাবে প্রতিবাদ করছি। আজ বাংলাদেশ দ্বাধীন। আমরা যদি প্লিশের ব্যবহারকে এবং নিজেদের ব্যবহারকে ঠিক দ্বাধীনতার উপযোগী করে চুলতে না পারি, এবং আমাদের যেসমস্ত আজকে বিরোধী পদ্ধ, যাদের কথা শুন্লোম তাদের সাথে সতিলোরের মিলে মিশে এই রকমভাবে যাতে আর কেউ আহত বা নিহত না হয় তার ব্যবহৃহা না করতে পারি তাহলে স্তাই দুংথের বিষয় হবে। কিন্তু আবার আমি পরিশেষে বলছি—

(Here the member having reached his time-limit resumed his seat.)

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, yesterday in reply to a question of Mr. Khuda Bukhsh I promised to make a statement about the unhappy incidents of yesterday evening resulting in the death of Shri Sisir Mandal in the prime of his youth which is a matter for universal sorrow. Mr. Khuda Bukhsh complained of insufficient protection for members and wanted sufficient protection for them, and I promised to give them armed protection, and at that time I saw glimpse of joy through his eyes, but to-day when I read the first adjournment motion at about 12 o'clock—(Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh: We refused police escorts.) I simply rubbed my eyes. So overnight he has become wiser (Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh: That is not correct.) Yesterday he wanted Government trucks, the Home Department trucks, the most accursed Home Department trucks, not one truck, two trucks.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: On a point of order, Sir. The Hon'ble Minister is making a misstatement of facts. Is he speaking on this motion or is he giving us the promised statement?

Mr. SPEAKER: He is speaking on the motion; please don't interrupt.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: Everybody sees things not merely through the eyes, but through the mind as well, and Mr. Hashim's mind is different from mine. That is why truth differs, that is why the relativity of truth comes.

As a result of yesterday's incidents, besides the death of one young man, six men suffering from bullet injuries are in Medical College and Campbell Hospitals now undergoing treatment. Eighteen men suffering from other injuries entered the Medical College, of whom 17 men were treated and discharged. Among the Police six suffered injuries, of whom two were treated and discharged and four are in a hospital for treatment suffering from serious injuries. This morning I went to see wounded persons in the Medical College Hospital. I had the privilege of talking to them. Among them Mr. S. K. Datta, Bar-at-Law, was there suffering from bullet wound

in the thigh. One of them told me that Police took to firing as a last resort, and another gentleman said, "you have been lenient in dealing with the people who were throwing stones". This is from my personal experience. I went to the Medical College Hospital to-day. So when Mr. Khuda Bukhsh says while he was entering the Assembly he heard that indiscriminate shooting was taking place, I must say that truth coming from one truthful man to another truthful man generally deteriorates. He was not present there. He only heard hearsay evidence. Those persons who had sustained bullet wounds, naturally they would be angry with me. I thought I might hear some harsh words from them, but of the four persons who were there with bullet wounds, no one uttered one single harsh word. Two of them positively said that as a last resort Police had resorted to firing, and another gentleman said, "you have been rather lenient in dealing with these people". You say that wanton laths charge and indiscriminate shooting took place. Only one person was killed and of the 18 persons who went to the Medical College Hospital 17 persons were discharged at night after some first-aid treatment. To characterise this as wanton laths charge and indiscriminate shooting is, I should say, far from truth (Sri Jyoti Basu: Merciful shooting!)

Then I come to Mi. Jyoti Basu He was not here in the Assembly although he is an Assembly member. He was in the High Court. I do not know whether as General Officer Commanding he was leading the campaign, of course not from here.

8ri JYOTI BASU: On a point of order, Sir. Is the Hon'ble Chief Minister entitled to make these personal remarks? I hope you will stop him making such remarks.

Mr. SPEAKER: I will request the Prime Minister not to make such remarks.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: I bow to the Chair.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir, has he withdrawn the remark that I was leading the campaign as General Officer Commanding?

Mr. SPEAKER: He has complied with my request.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: When Mr. Jyoti Basu was giving me all sorts of adjectives, I did not utter a single word, and at that time in my mind I was thinking of the famous drama of an Austrian dramatist who in the mouth of a character in utter despair put in—

"When I compare myself with you, you appear as white, as spotlessly white, as a lily and I am as dark as the darkest night of the hell."

I am only compelled to say this in despair. I do say that the Police had to resort to firing as a last resort. I myself saw the throwing of brickbats—showers of brickbats, and I am glad that no member of this Assembly has been killed as a result of the brickbat throwing. Some of the motor cars have been damaged. Some of my fellow members saw that showers of brickbats were being thrown by the demonstrators. I have made a statement to the press late last night on the basis of the report I received. I appeal to my countrymen, specially the students, to persuade people to refrain from resorting to violence. Any attempt to physically blockade the House and prevent the members from entering the House is a negation of all liberties. I appeal in the name of all that I hold sacred to allow democracy to function and not make room for totalitarianism in this country, which some might like to have. Mr. Hashem has quoted Mahatma Gandhi against me. So long we were dubbed in as the rubber stamp and

Gramophone records of Mahatma Gandhi. (Mr. Abul Hashem: Even now we think so.) And then to quote Mahatma Gandhi against me is contradictory in terms. Mr. Hashem interprets Mahatma Gandhi as he likes, and I interpret Mahatma Gandhi with the light that God has given me. I have not to borrow it from anybody. All these days Mr. Basu has been quoting Dr. Rajendra Prasad, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru and Mahatma Gandhi. I only want that he will come to this side, and not merely that, he will be more loyal than the King himself! So I would say, "let us stop these quotations altogether in this Assembly". Everybody will interpret what Rajendra Prasad had said or what Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru has said according to the light that God has given him. Therefore it is no good quoting these things in this Assembly. (Sri Jyoti Basu: Is it very inconvenient to me. If I may tell you. I have had discussion with Dr. Rajendra Prasad and almost every day I hold discussion with him, and I have been with him almost everywhere in the political field during the last 25 years. So it may be inconvenient to Mr. Jyoti Basu but never inconvenient to me.

I appeal last of all in the name of democracy that we hold sacred to allow democracy to function and I say that there has not been wanton lathi charge and indiscriminate shooting. I am very sorry that one person has been killed and four others have been wounded. I am sincerely sorry that none of them was stone-throwers, but innocent people. Even the one man wounded by lathi charge who is in the hospital, I asked him "why did you go there?" He said, "I went to see the sight?" I said again, "why did you go there to see the sight?" Bo it was a sight-seeing. All the people in the Medical College Hospital are innocent people. They were not taking part in these things. So I am sincerely sorry that they were the people who suffered, but those people who did the mischievous things were careful not to suffer. (Sri Jyoti Basu: what about Sisir Mondal?) I have said that he was also an innocent man. It has been reported to me that a good deal of mischievous rumours have been spread to excite the passion of the public. May I appeal to the public not to believe in these rumours. I do appeal to all sections of the people not to exaggerate things but to try to see that democracy can function in this country through its legislature. And to preserve the dignity of the legislature is the common task of us all-

With these words I oppose the adjournment motion.

Mr. SPEAKER: As two hours have elapsed, no votes can be taken and the adjournment motion is therefore talked out.

COVERNMENT BILLS.

The Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Sir before the Hon'ble Minister replies to Mr. Siddiqi's speech on the third reading of the Bill, I wish to have it recorded that I am fundamentally opposed to retaining in any shape or form any reservation or communal electorate or special constituencies based on religion or community. As a labour representative I also notice that the various Chambers of Commerce and Trade have been given six seats as against only two seats given to labour in the city of Calcutta, labour numbering enormously more than the handful of traders and members of the various Chambers of Commerce. However, on the assurance and in the expectation that these provisions will be done away with when the comprehensive Bill is introduced before this House, and we will find provisions based on completely secular and democratic principles where communal electorates will be altogether a thing of the past, I do

not want to press this point now. I also notice, Sir, that contrary to the fundamental object of this Bill, namely, to abolish separate electorate in the Trade and Chamber of Commerce constituencies, separate electorate has been recognised by the adoption of a communal Chamber of Commerce based on religious communalism. I mention, for instanace, the Muslim Chamber of Commerce. I do not see any reason why communal electorate should have been introduced in this Bill (Mr. Abul Hashem: Is not the Marwari Chamber of ('ommerce a communal institution?) The Marwari Chamber of Commerce is a body to which I have also objected but to the Marwari Chamber of Commerce many Muslims also belong. It is not composed only of a religious community. I should suggest therefore that in the general constituencies, on the basis of religious constituencies there might have been at best reservation. At any rate, however, I hope that when the Bill comes as a comprehensive Bill all these special constituencies will disappear and we shall have a Corporation based on absolutely secular, democratic, non-communal electorates so that no special or communal electorates may be necessary. Subject to this I have lent my support to this Bill, and as a Labour man I feel that some injustice has been done. Although the numbers do not matter exactly; if traders and merchants have been given six seats, there is no reason for granting labour only Privileges should go on the basis of electorates and I hope the Hon'ble Minister will see to it that when the comprehensive Bill comes up before the House, he will present to us a measure completely free from any of the remnants of communal virus and that all such things as have been incorporated in this Bill are purely a temporary concession.

The motion of the Hon'ble Sri Annada Prosad Choudhury that the Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed, was then put and agreed to.

The West Bengal Criminal Amendment Bill, 1947.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, it is already half past seven, and many members feel that they have done a good day's job after coming to this Assembly. In that case if you insist that we should stay on after this, probably you will have our physical presence and not our mental presence. I think it will neither be conducive to the debate.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I endorse this point of view.

Mr. SPEAKER: The difficulty is that we are now adjourning till some day in January; and this Bill must be passed into law, for otherwise by the 1st of January the Ordinance expires. Moreover it will not take more than eight or ten minutes. So I would request the honourable members to have the patience to stay till then.

The Hen'ble Sri KALIPADA MOCKERJEE: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment Bill, 1947, as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration.

The motion was put and agreed to.

Clause 1.

The question that clause 1 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 2.

The question that clause 2 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

· Clause 3,

The question that clause 3 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 4.

The question that clause 4 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 5

The question that clause 5 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 6.

The question that clause 6 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 7.

The question that clause 7 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 8.

The question that clause 8 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

Clause 9.

The question that clause 9 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 10.

The question that clause 10 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 11.

The question that clause 11 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

Clause 11A.

The question that clause 11A do form part of the Bill was put and

Clause 12.

The question that clause 12 do form part of the Bill was then put and Bur -

Schedule.

The question that the Schedule do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Preamble.

The question that the Preamble do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

The Hon'ble 8ri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Criminal Law Amendment Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly be passed.

The motion was then put and agreed to.

Adibbrament.

The House was then adjourned at 7-35 p.m. till 3-45 p.m. on Monday. the 5th January 1948, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Monday, the 5th January, 1948, at 3-45 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Speaker (the Hon'ble Sri Iswar Das Jalan) in the Chair, 9 Hon'ble Ministers and 59 members.

Point of Privilege.

sri JYOTI BASU: On a point of privilege, Mr. Speaker, Sir, before you proceed with the business of the House. To-day also when we came we saw—and this seems to have become the usual practice in this Province—that about 300 or 400 armed policemen were either on guard at the gates or they were inside the compound. I drew your attention to this fact times without number, and I do not see why we should suffer this humiliation of having to walk through these armed minions of the Bengal Government. Will you please explain to us whether it was with your permission that they were there or the Bengal Government sent them here to terrorise the population of Bengal?

Mr. SPEAKER: In view of the past experience as to what had happened in this Assembly, it was obvious that we should have the necessary arrangements, so that similar incidents might not recur.

Sri JYOTI BASU: What is the past experience, Sir? People coming inside and beating up members? Is that the past experience?

Mr. SPEAKER: Past experience is known to everybody.

Sri JYOTI BASU: What is it we would like to know. I do not know anything about it.

Mr. SPEAKER: I think everybody knows what was the past experience. I do not think it is necessary for me to say that.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

Raising of Volunteer Corps.

- *10. Sri SHYAMAPADA BHATTACHARYYA: Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Home Department be pleased to state—
 - (a) whether Government intend to raise any volunteer corps in the Province; and
 - (b) whether Government have in contemplation any scheme for helping any volunteer organisations of similar nature which are in existence now?

MINISTER in charge of the HOME DEPARTMENT (the Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Chosh): (a) Yes.

(b) No.

Sri SHYAMATTAGA BHATTAGHARYYA: With reference to answer (a), I want to know the time when it will be given effect to?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. & CHOSH: It is being given effect to; it is in the process.

Sri SIBNATH BANKENTE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether he has any scheme about it?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: Yes; I have a scheme.

Sri SIBNATH BANERARE Will the Hon ble Minister be pleased to take the House into confidence about this scheme?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOCH: Not at this stage.

Sri JYOTI BASU: With reference to answer (b), will the Honble Minister be pleased to state whether he has any intention of winding up the Congress Non-Violent Volunteer Corps which has been formed under the legis of the Congress Organisation?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. GHOSH: You better ask the President of the Congress.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state, as an important member of the Congress and as a Minister of the Congress Government in this Province, whether he intends to wind up the Non-Violent Congress Volunteer Organisation?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: I am here as the Design of the House, and as the Member in charge of this Department. I am not responsible for what somebody else might do. Even the name is not given. So I do not know anything about it I cannot answer that question.

Shaik MUHAMMAD RAFIQUE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased's to state if members of all communities will be recruited to this valunteer corps?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: Yes, if they are suitable.

8ri JYOTI BA8U: With regard to answer (a), once more will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether he has a scheme whereby all volunteer organisations belonging to different parties will be wound up in this Province?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. GHOSH: That I do not know at this stage.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether as Home Minister he knows anything at all about winding up of the volunteer organisations?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: If you are to wind up your organisation, how am I to know that unless you inform me?

8ri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether he contemplates making illegal the volunteer organisations existing in this country?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. CHOSH: Not at the present moment.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: With reference to the answer given by the Hon ble Minister that he would be prepared to recruit members from all communities to the volunteer organisation provided they are suitable would he please give test of suitability?

The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. GHOSH: Just ordinary suitability of volunteers.

SA SYSTI BASU: They must be non-violent! DA

The Hon'ble Sri BHUPATI MAZUMDAR: In dealing with the com-

Revision of Pay Scales

*11. Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: (a) With reference to the reply given to the supplementary question (in which the Hon'ble Minister asked for notice) on the starred question No. 9 on 10th December, 1947, will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Finance Department be pleased to lay on the Table a copy of the report containing recommendations with regard to standardisation, rationalisation and simplification, of pay of Government servants?

(b) If the answer to (a) is in the negative, does the Hon'ble Minister propose to give a brief summary of the said recommendations?

MINISTER in charge of the FINANCE DEPARTMENT (the Hon'ble Sri Annada Prasad Chowdhury): (a) and (b) The question in regard to which notice was asked for was coucled in the following terms:—

"Will the Hon'ble Minister be fleated to state what are the recommendations of the Enquiry Committee."

I have ascertained that there was no enquiry committee as assumed in the question but there was an enquiry by a Special Officer appointed for the purpose. This officer und not submit any formal report but drew up certain tentative suggestions relating to adjustments in pay scales necessitated by the higher cost of hying. No useful purpose will be served by laying these tentative suggestions before the House because this Government are of the served by laying these tentative suggestions before the House because this Government are of the revision, standardisation and rationalisation of pay and pay scales in this Province should be based as far as practicable on the recommendations of the Central Pay Commission and action on these lines is being taken accordingly.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether action is being taken expeditiously?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: It is.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Is the Hon'ble Minister aware that the India Government has about 8 months back published its pay commission award and it is being given effect to in case of different Government servants in the India Government Departments?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: I am aware that the Pay Commission report was published, but I think that the views of various Governments are not in conformity with the Pay Commission's report.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: With reference to the reply given by the Hon'ble Minister that action is being taken expeditiously, will be bleased to state when Government propose to give effect to the report?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: From the 1st of April next.

Mr. SYCTI BASU: Will' the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether he intends to keep uniformity in the scales of pay of Bengal thovernment servants as related to the India Government servants?

The Han'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: As I have said that in my reply.

. Sri JYQT BASU: Has the enquiry been completed? If mot, when it will be completed?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: Which enquiry? I do not know.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Enquiry about what the scales are going to be for Bengal Government servants.

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: There is no question of any enquiry being made. It is to be adjusted with the Pay Commission's report.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether this adjustment has been made? If not, how long it will take?

The Hon'ble Shri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: It is being worked out.

Mr. JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state exactly as to how long this will take—one month, two months, three months?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: As long as it may be necessary to give effect to it on the 1st of April.

Special travelling allowance.

- *12. Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: (a) Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Finance Department be pleased to state whether it is a fact that Government have sanctioned special travelling allowance from the year 1944 to those Government servants whose pay is Rs.265 or above, besides their dearness allowance?
- (b) If so, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what are the reasons thereof?
- (c) Do the Government intend to extend the benefit of the said travelling allowance to the lower paid Government servants also?
 - (d) If not, why not?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PRASAD CHOWDHURY: (a) and (b) By an order No. 1267-F., dated 14th June, 1944, the Government of Undivided Bengal authorised all its employees who had been in service for at least one year and who did not draw dearness allowance under the rules then in force, to draw, once a year, both when proceeding on and returning from leave, travelling allowance at certain prescribed rate. This had the effect of limiting the concession to employees on pay of Rs.265 or above in Calcutta and or Rs.163 or above in the mufassil. The reason for the concession, as far as can be gathered from the papers on record, seems to have been desirability of encouraging hard worked officers to obtain periodical breaks from strenuous work during the war and its aftermath. The concession has been abolished by this Government with effect from the 1st November, 1947.

(c) and (d) Do not arise.

Adjournment Motion.

Bri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I had given notice of an adjournment motion on the inaction of the police when a procession in Shambazar was attacked with brickbats, lathis and revolvers. I find that consent has been refused. But I do not understand how you could do that on an important fact like this when the Government and its forces of law and order do not allow processionists to go about freely demonstrating against the black Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Basu, you cannot refer to that matter here, for whenever consent is refused there cannot be any mention of that matter in the House. This relates to a matter of day-to-day administration—the goondas doing something and the police activity or inactivity—and I do not think that it is such a matter as can be the subject matter of an adjournment motion. I should remind you, Mr. Basu, once again that I shall not permit matters to be discussed with regard to an adjournment motion in respect of which consent has been refused.

Sri JYOTI BASU: So this Fascist method of the Bengal Government will continue!

Mr. SPEAKER: Order, order. There is no Fascist method of the Bengal Government. If there is any fascism, there is fascism of the Speaker.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, the fascist method of the Bengal Government in not asking-

Mr. SPEAKER: Government has nothing to do with it. It is my discretion under which I have refused consent. There is no question of asking any reason as to why I have done so.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I am sorry, you did not understand me at all. I said that it was the police——

Mr. SPEAKER: Order, order. That matter is not a matter for discussion in this House at this stage.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, you misunderstood me. I was referring to the inactivity of the police when I said that—

Mr. SPEAKER: Order, order. Mr. Basu, you are out of order and you must resume your seat.

GOVERNMENT BILL

The West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, Sir, today I represent not only my party but I once again raise my voice of protest against this black Bill on behalf of all the left parties—the entire democratic peoples including Congressmen—who have outside this Chamber raised their voice of protest against this Bill. I know that those democratic Congressmen will not be allowed to speak in this House even if they condemn this Bill, because Sardar Vallavbhai Patel was brought to Bengal specifically for that purpose to gag that democratic opinion of the Congressmen. I protest against this Bill even as it has been reported by the Select Committee. In fact it has now become worse than what it was in some ways. It has not meant any substantial change, for instance the rule-making power has been added which was not there in the original Bill. This is what is called a new despotism. I need not dilate on the point that it is despotic and anti-democratic and against the finest traditions of the Congress. (A voice:

The Ghosh and his Ministers get annoyed time after time if I remind them of the past traditions of the Congress. But it is a fact that from the days of Jallianwala Bagh to those of Governor Burrows of recent memory the

Indian people were called upon to fight lawless laws and tyrannical edicts of the British Government. We were told that these were contrary to democracy and that when Congress would come to power their first act would be to repudiate such dastardly acts. However inconvenient it is to the Ministers if I quote them from their Congress manifesto about civil liberty, it is indeed a humiliating spectacle that Congress Ministers in every Province are vying with each other in following the British rulers, paying them the greatest respect by accepting in toto their savage laws. These in the case of ordinary men are called dishonesty and hypocrisy. But of course in the case of the great ones of the earth like Dr. Ghosh and his friends this volte face, this repudiation—entire repudiation—of the past will be explained by lofty religious principles.

Dr. Ghosh has been quoting from the "Fundamental Rights" as adopted by the Constituent Assembly but wrongly, I am afraid, in defence of his savage laws. But authoritarian as our new Constitution of India is, let me quote one or two things from it and demonstrate how it is a fabrication to say that law has not been violated. For instance, in that particular document it holds that "there shall be liberty for the subjects to exercise their right of speech, free expression of opinion, and free assembly, etc. No person should be deprived of his life or liberty without due process of law". Further, "the right to move the Supreme Court by appropriate means-by proceedings-for enforcement of any of the rights is guaranteed by this Charter'. Further, "the right to enforce those remedies such as habas corpus, mandamus, etc., shall not be suspended"—I entreat Dr. Ghosh to listen—"except in cases of rebellion or invasion or other grave emergencies". Where and what—I would ask Dr. Ghosh—is that grave emergencies". Where and what—I would ask Dr. Ghosh—is that grave emergency? Whose is the declaration to that effect? Because as far as I can read the Charter—the "Fundamental Rights"—unless a grave emergency is declared, it is impossible for any of the Provincial Governments or the Central Government to pass any such law which restricts the liberty of their subjects. The Bengal Ministry has already used the Special Powers Ordinance within three months against political leaders and parties like the Debrath Das Satva Gunta, Zuberi. R.C.P.I. and against individuals like Debnath Das, Satya Gupta, Zuberi, Dwaraka Nath Mitra, etc., who have been detained under the Special Powers Ordinance of Mr. Burrows contrary to what Dr. Ghosh said the other day that he would not use these powers against any political party or any political individual. It has been used against all classes, in spite of Dr. Ghosh's assurance, to suppress the legitimate activities of the working class. It is under this Ordinance that the Brooke Bond workers and the Sree Durga Cotton Mill workers were first arrested and then their houses Sree Durga Cotton Mill workers were nest arrested and their women and children maltreated were searched without warrant, and their women and children maltreated and dishonoured. But contrary to what Dr. Ghosh told us that it would be and dishonoured. used against goondas, over a thousand goondas have been released goondas who had been arrested under the Special Powers Ordinance. Illegal acquisition of arms cannot be prevented by laws because if this Special Powers Bill is passed into law it would not mean that revolvers would grow wings and would fly to Dr. Ghosh's bed room at Theatre Road. It is the job of the police to find out these illegal arms. I think Dr. Ghosh told us that they have got a list of the people who possess arms-this was said during the communal riots. Then why don't they arrest them? Why don't the police do their job? The citizens of Bengal are, on the other hand, asked to give up their liberties and these are indeed in jeopardy. The goondas also can be apprehended now by the ordinary process of law under the Goonda Act. If any arms are found with anybody, he can be produced in court, but Dr. Ghosh seems to have lost faith in the ordinary courts of justice and. therefore, even if he does not find any arms with anybody, he is going to detain them under this lawless law. Therefore, by putting forward these reasons the Ministers are merely trying to hoodwink the people and are deliberately lying. The people of Bengal have unequivocally shown their opposition to the Bill. Many Congressmen in Burdwan. Midnapore and Birbhum**3ri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA:** On a point of order, Sir. May, I have your order as to whether the use of the words "deliberately lying" is parliamentary or not regarding an honourable member of the House?

Mr. SPEAKER: No. I think it should not be used with regard to any member of the House. It should be withdrawn.

Sri JYOTI BASU: In view of what you have said, Mr. Speaker, I withdraw the word "lie" and substitute the word "untruth".

Dr. Ghosh held two meetings in Calcutta which is the metropolis—the political centre of West Bengal—but one was in Park Circus amongst the Muslims and, I think, Mr J. C. Gupta took him there, and another in Matiaburuz. He told the Muslims there that it was to save them that this Bill was being passed because the Hindus might fly at their throats and we were also told that he had been greeted by the Muslim people who were there. But when he goes to the Hindus he says "I need special powers because Pakistan and the Muslims may attack West Bengal". Wonderful indeed this non-violent Congressman's explanation of things! But after Sardar Patel's speech the Muslims must have realised the truth. We have always held and we hold today also that this Bill is being passed against the workers, kisans and the people's struggle for life and liberty.

All glory to Bengal which did not allow this black Bill to go through without a protest. Pandit Jawarharlal Nehru said that in U.P. and Bombay and some other Provinces of India such black Bills have been passed and, therefore, Dr. Ghosh would pass it here, but we may tell all the Congress leaders that Bengal is made of different stuff. Bengal does not allow such black Bills to be passed without a demonstration of its just anger and opposition. Therefore, we have found that Bengal has really won the struggle. I know that by mere votes this Bill may be passed by this Assembly into an Act, but Bengal has passed the supreme test because not only the armed police have been brought inside the Assembly and outside, not only have their revolvers and guns been used against the people, not only have tear-gas bombs been let loose against the people, but we have found that Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru and Sardar Patel have been brought to Bengal because the Ministers here could not pass this Bill on its merits. That is the moral victory of the people of Bengal who have resisted this Bill tooth and nail-this Bill against which the Congress has fought for the last forty years. Therefore, today Bengal, the people of Bengal, the ordinary humanity of Bengal, carry forward the traditions of the Congress also—if I may remind the Hon'ble Ministers. Therefore, we find that fighting, bleeding, thinking Bengal has once again given a lead to India and we invite other Provinces like Bombay and U. P. to follow suit. Even today reports which have poured in so far show that 92,000 workers are on strike in Calcutta. Howrah and the 23-Parganas. In Burdwan there is a complete reneral strike where even the Congress leaders have associated themselves with the protest against this black Bill—this in spite of the order of non-folent Congress leaders to the people to resist the strike by all means, and their goodas have acted accordingly. Revolvers, lathis, daggers have been used against peaceful processions. Soda water bottles have not been spared either and, in fact, the Congress volunteers were not alone by themselves, but we have found that the police gave them all aid. They have burnt a few of our Union offices. They have beaten up a few of the Union workers forty years. Therefore, today Bengal, the people of Bengal, the ordinary few of our Union offices. They have beaten up a few of the Union workers and the police have acted by arresting the people who were beaten up. The police have acted-we have seen with our own eyes-by giving lathis to the volunteers who were trying to beat up the Union workers. We did not ask the workers to picket in front of the mill gates in view specially of Sardar Batel's speech the other day because that speech, if I can judge it rightly, gives a clear call for communal warfare in this country and that truly falls under the Special Powers Ordinance because the peace and stability of the Province have been threatened thereby. It is a clarion call to the Hindus. Dr. Syamaprasad Mookerjee's propaganda has been done by Sardar Patel,

Deputy Premier of our fair land. This was not all because we found that some Congressmen themselves were standing all over the place directing their non-violent volunteers to use lathis and violence on the peaceful processions.

I am, of course, glad that after this protest against this repressive law, for once the eyes of the people are being opened to what really the Congress leaders are going to do in our country because sometimes it takes very long—history has shown it takes very long—tor the people to understand what their leaders are going to do with them. But there are times which move very fast; acts which are perpetrated by Governments which open the eyes of the people and show the leaders in their true colours. This is what has happened today in Calcutta and around Calcutta and the working classes and people in general have understood what their leaders are going to do. I know in some places the strike has been successfully smashed by the Congress with the help of their armed police, but the eyes of the people have been opened. I may remind Dr Ghosh that he need not forget the famous saying of Lincoln "You cannot fool all people for all time. You can fool some people for some time".

Mr. Speaker, therefore, I say that one lesson the people are surely going to draw from this, and that is that as long as this repressive policy is going to be pursued by the present Ministry, nothing can happen for the benefit of the people. Now, Sir, we know it has been made very clear to us why this Bill is being passed into law in spite of the universal indignation against this Bill-because the Congress leaders today do not want to do any good to the people. We know they say "we are an infant State. We need some time before we can do anything for the people" But they did not require any time to pass Acts by which strikes are going to be made illegal. They did not require any time to pass laws whereby the Excess Profits Taxes have been abolished. They did not and do not require any time to announce in the press that they are going to give compensation to the zemindars if ever they abolish the zemindary system. Sir, for all these things they did not require any time. But they require time when laws are to be passed against black-marketers. But they do not require any time to arrest workers and terrorise their women and children under a Special Ordinance, but for black-marketers, some of whom are friends of the Ministers, they have so much regard that they do not dare to keep them under arrest without the process of law. The other day some tamarind seeds were found together with some foodstuffs, but that gentleman, that black-marketer, cannot be proceeded against because there was not sufficient proof. The tamarind seeds were found by the side of the foodstuffs; they were not found inside the foodstuffs. Therefore the law does not permit them to take these blackmarketers to the gallows or to arrest them without any warrant. But as far as the workers are concerned, no proof is required. Workers are taken to the jail and kept in detention. They search the houses of workers without any wariant. No proofs are necessary, and we are told that it is for the safety of the State. Mr. Speaker, therefore, it is all nonsense and humbuggism for these gentlemen sitting opposite to say that because they want to benefit the people therefore they are passing this Bill against the provisions of which we have fought for the last 40 years. I know that people are not naive enough today to believe in such nonsense. I know they have seen through the non-violent talks of these gentlemen. They pretend they are non-violent. They are behaving like the greatest fascists that I have ever seen. Mr. Speaker, I know for many years they have been practising non-violence as far as the rich are concerned, as far as the factory owners are concerned, as far as the Britishers are concerned, but when they come to deal with their own men outside the Assembly we have seen that without the slightest warning their police fire on them. These are the gentlemen who talk about non-violence. Let them say that they are no longer non-violent. Let them say that plainly, instead of putting on a non-violent air and trying to hoodwink the people saying "We are a saintly people. We are doing all for your good though we may drive in huge

cars". Why don't they plainly say "We are autocrats. Because you have elected us you must stand by what we do?" Sardar Patel we can understand, because he said that violence is going to be met by violence. We understand that sort of language, that plain and simple language, but these hypocritical talks of non-violence the workers do not understand. I know after what has happened today people will have no other alternative but to realise that the Congress leaders will not stand by them. I know that democratic Congressmen are also understanding what these gentlemen sitting on their thrones are trying to do by stifling public opposition. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, even at this stage I say that the Bill ought to be thrown out. I know I am talking to deaf people impervious to all sense of decency, men who have lost all faith in the people; and therefore they have gained a new faith in the tear gas, in the rifle, in the police because policemen have become patriots. They are wearing Gandhi caps; they are hoisting the tri-colour flag and we have seen that policemen have been helping some of these Congress volunteers to break strikes. Such a popular leader like Dr. Ghosh could not address a single meeting in any of the parks of Calcutta. He had to go with Mr. J. C. Gupta to Park Circus to address the poor Muhammadans and tell them to support the Bill. (Mr. J. C. Gupta: What is the grievance?) People are saying that there is a game of some Congress leaders against Dr. Ghosh. Anyhow people have understand all that it means If he is a resulter all the interest all that it means If he is a resulter all that it means If he is a resulter all that it means If he is a resulter all that it is a resulter all the re stood all that it means. If he is so popular as he makes out he should address meetings in the other parks of Calcutta. Mr. Speaker, I know that today by their votes they may try to pass this Bill, and Sardar Patel has given them his blessings, but I am sure the people of Bengal will not tolerate it and will see to it that democratic opinion shall prevail in this country and fascist terror will cease to exist.

Mr. SPEAKER: Amendments Nos. 1 to 3 are out of order. Then comes Mr. Rahman's amendment No. 4.

Mr. A. F. M. ABDUR RAHMAN: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, as reported by the Select Committee be recommitted to the same Select Committee with instructions to submit their report by the 15th January, 1948.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, this Bill was referred to a Select Committee which has suggested certain amendments and modifications, and it has certainly emerged out of the Select Committee shorn of some of its ugly, obnoxious and unsavoury features.

The most important concession perhaps that the Select Committee was able to make was dropping of certain conditions imposed on the press, but, Sir, leaders of public opinion both inside and outside this House still maintain that the Bill still remains substantially what it was. Some of them even go so far as to say that it has come out of the Select Committee worse in many respects; and by way of example they mention the introduction by the Select Committee of the rule-making power. Sir, I was in the Select Committee, and it is our honest conviction that the particular clause has become vague and lends itself to be construed in a manner that was not desired by the Select Committee. Sir, in the House members are guided by party whips but not so, Sir, in a Select Committee. There they open out their hearts and they take part in the discussions with an open mind and are more ready to hear other men's views than it is possible for them to do in this House. From the number of amendments—and I find as many as 101 amendments have been tabled on this Bill-it would appear that the Bill is still controversial in nature. In fact, Sir, it is obvious that in many particulars it is controversial. Sir, we shall have to view this matter dispassionately and not consider it as a party question. If we proceed to consider it, Sir. as a party question, then it is obvious that this side of the House will never be able to contribute its mite in the improvement of the Bill in collaboration with the Government party which has such an over-whelming majority that no response will come from there. That will be

only taking advantage of the depleted strength of the Opposition in this House, but if we are really serious about the Bill, we must be allowed to make suggestions and those suggestions should be dealt with on their merits and not as party questions. It is said that similar Acts have been passed in other Provinces in India and therefore it ought not to be objected to in Bengal. Sir, is this argument sound? The people of Bengal have shown their determination to get together and maintain peace so as to give the Government a chance to put through their constructive programme. In other parts of India there is communal tension which gives rise to unforeseen cataclysms and retrogression, but in this part of India, in West Bengal, there is no such thing. In order therefore to see whether the sweeping provisions are justified or not, we must have to appraise whether independence has so upset the morale of the people of Bengal as to encourage licensing them. But there are few signs of this as far as we can see, though the Prime Minister may have more facts in his possession. It is absolutely for this that we should like to examine, re-examine and scrutinise the Bill in the atmosphere of open-hearted sympathy in the Select Committee and provide effective safeguards against any possible abuse of the powers by overzealous officers. This is very important, Sir Within this short time several instances of these powers having been used against Muslims have occurred in my district of Murshidabad. Muslim leaders were detained there under the Ordinance but on representations to the Hon'ble the Prime Minister most of them have been released. That shows that their detention was ordered on such frivolous grounds as could not stand up to the scrutiny of the Hon ble the Prime Minister. Sir, this is not the time nor the occasion for dwelling at length on those unfortunate occurrences. If Government do not lay down a policy of justice and equity and make discrimination against any community under preconceived bias or suspicion, if Government do not take to task the overzealous officers and deal adequately with them, we genuinely apprehend that these powers will be utilised against innocent and law-abiding Muslims of West Bengal. We fear Muslims will be arrested on mere suspicion of officers whenever there is an occasion of tension between the Indian Union and Pakistan. We would therefore wish the Bill to be re-examined once again in the light of the suggestions made in the numerous public meetings and in the light of recent happenings and would therefore request the Hon'ble the Prime Minister through you, Sir, to agree to recommittal.

Sri JYOTI BASE: Mr. Speaker, Sir, as it is evident that this Bill is now going to be taken up and that the Ministers will try to pass it into law. I would entreat them through you, Sir, at least as it has been recommended here, that it be referred for public opinion once again because public opinion has really not been elicited—

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Basu, you are out of order in referring it back to eliciting public opinion. The present amendment is for recommittal to the Select Committee.

Sri JYOTI BASU: I mean its recommittal to the Select Committee even when I say that it be referred to public opinion for if it is referred back to the Select Committee, it would certainly mean that the public would want the Ministers to come to them and address them in the proper manner so that in the mean time when the Select Committee sits down and does its work, the public will also have a chance to go through this Bill with the Ministers and other members of the Government party. If that is done, the Ministers will be able to find out public opinion about the Bill in spite of the fact that lakhs of people came to see Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru and Sardar Patel. Believe me, Sir, when I say that such meetings do not go to support the black Bill that is now before us today. One of the members of this House who has spoken before me just now has become doubtful about the purposes of this Bill. By his sheer experience he has become aware of the fact that this Bill is not what it purports to be. Therefore, there

weald be no harm if, whilst it is referred back to the Select Committee for reconsideration—especially with regard to the rule-making power which is a new kind of despotism brought into the Bill—the public are in the mean time given a chance to express their opinion. And then I am sure the Ministry would only be doing the right thing. Of course there is nothing to prevent them from getting this Bill passed into an Act in spite of all that we may say and do here, but I would entreat them to think once again as to whether it is advisable at this stage to proceed with this Bill. They always plead that this is an infant State, but people have rather become afraid after having had experience of what this infant can do in the way of violence and such other repressive acts. Sir, till the infant becomes a little older—say, by fifteen days or one month—while the infant learns how to walk, the public may be taken into confidence and even the voice of hundreds of Congressmen might also be heard because even the Bengal Provincial Congress Committee's permission was not sought before the Bill was brought in this House—(Sii Nhareende Dutt-Maremore Are you briefed by the B.P.C.C.?) I know the difficulties of some of the Congressmen who are here who might have to pass the Bill because of the edict of Sardar Patel.

Now, Sir, even if the Ministers go to the public with this Bill, there would not be any harm done at all. On the other hand if they do not listen to what this amendment purports to do, then it would only mean that today the mask under which the Congress leaders or the Congress Ministers are hiding their faces would have fallen and people would get a glimpse at the real face of the Ministers who are ruling Bengal today. They even plead that they are really democratic. As people have voted for them therefore for five years they have to endure them for the people's job is to merely vote once in five years and after that they must withstand all sorts of repression and all sorts of fascist barbarism. In this connection, however, I can refer back Dr. Ghosh to the Congress election manifesto. But I do not know why the Congress Ministers are treating that manifesto as a scrap of paper. It was on that manifesto that Congressmen were elected to the Assembly, but now within three months they are violating it. Even when this State of ours has not reached its boyhood, they are violating that and they are carrying on measures against the working class, against the kisans, against the people in general, telling the Civil Supply clerks, for instance, that they will have to be retrenched and nothing can be done. "Ours is an infant State and therefore what can we do for you?" "You have to wait a little," they say. Your thirty thousand people we have to starve with their women and children. You have to wait till we get some American loan, or till the British give us back some of ours money which are in their banks. But which they dare not ask because they are having British advisers in their army and for other reasons. Therefore the people have to wait. We are told they have to wait for three years because nothing can be done now. Sardar Patel made it very clear. Therefore until our State grows three years old we have not only to stand those honourable gentlemen sitting opposite but we have to endure the measures which they are perpetrating against the common people of Bengal. Sir, I would urge upon the Ministers once again to listen to the voice of reason, to listen to the cry of the people of Bengal against this Bill, and once again I would also appeal to the members of the Select Committee since one of them feels that it should be referred back to them. I read that it should be referred back to the members of the Select Committee which took very expert advice before it brought forward this Bill. It called the D. C., Detective Department; they called two i.c.s. officers to advise them as to how to maintain law and order in Bengal. It tried to find out the lacuna in the ordinary process of law whereby they needed this fascist law, or this lawless law as we ordinarily call it, in order to gag the Press, to gag public meetings, to gag public expression of opinion. But in spite of that expert opinion, I think the best experts are our people, the people who have elected the Ministers to power, the people who have sacrificed, who have been inside



the British jails so many years—(The Hon'ble Sri Bhupati Mazumdar; They are here.) I know they are there, but they have become turncoats somehow or other within these three months. If the infant is such I do not know what is going to happen when it is three years old. This is not the first time in the history that this has happened. This happened after the French Revolution. People who had talked of Liberty and Fraternity and Equality very soon turned a complete somersault. Therefore the Congress Ministers representing moneyed interest of the country have done nothing more, nothing less, but even then there is some point in the reconsideration in this Bill.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: আবদ্র রহমান সাহেব যে সংশোধনী প্রকাব উপস্থিত করেছেন আমি তার বিরোধিতা কর্ছি। এর বেশী বলে আমি আপনাদের সময় নিতে চাই না। এই সংশোধনী প্রকাব আপনারা অগ্রাহা কর্ন এই আমি আপনাদের কাছে বল্ছি।

The motion of Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman that the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, as reported by the Select Committee, be recommitted to the same Select Committee with instructions to submit their report by the 15th January, 1948, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYES-13.

Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti, Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr. Mohammad Sharif Khan, Mr. Molia Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Muhammad Idris, Mr. Muhammad Qumruddin, Mr. Musharrufi Hossain, Nawab, Khan Bahadur Zaman, Mr. A. M. A.

NOES-50.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Mr. Bibnath.
Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Banerji, The Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhandari, The Hon'ble Shri Charu Chandra.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Choudhury, The Hon'ble Shri Annada Presad.
Das, Miss Bina.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das, Mr. Kanalia.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sekumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Qanguil, Mr. Bepin Behari,
Qayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Bheds, Mr. A. Bimai Comar.

Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maitl, Mr. Nishapati.
Majh, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Maillok, Mr. Ashutoeh.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Murarka, Mr. Basantial.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Piatel, Mr. R. E.
Poddar, Nr. Anandilai.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Nr. Jajneewar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Nr. Bimai Chandra.
Wilks, Nr. C. C. D.

The Ayes being 13 and the Foes 50, the motion was lost.

The motion of the Hon'ble Dr. Prafulla Chandra Ghosh that the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-48.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Sanerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Sanerji, The Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhandari, The Hon'ble Shri Charu Chandra.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Boee, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Choudhury, The Hon'ble Shri Annada Prosad.
Das, Miss Bina.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Das, Mr. Kanalial.
De, Shri Kanai Lal.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Sepin Beharl.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Chose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. A. Elmai Comar.

Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Cheru Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majini, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mailick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Murrarka, Mr. Basantiai.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar,
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Platel, Mr. R. E.
Poddar, Mr. Anandilai.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta,
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar,
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Simha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.
Wilks, Mr. Bimai Chandra.
Wilks, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

NOES-2

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

The Ayes being 48 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried.

(Clause 1.)

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that the following proviso be added to clause $I(\mathcal{J})$, namely:—

"Provided that such provision or provisions shall not remain in force in any area for more than seven days on the strength of any such notification."

Sir, I have given notice of this amendment because I feel that this Bill should not any day become the law of our land. But since the Ministers are bent on getting it through by sheer majority, I want in this proviso to limit the operations of this Bill, whereby, if on any area this Bill is imposed, it shall remain in force only for seven days and after that the effect of this Bill on that area will expire. I am sure that my meaning is clear because, as the Hon'ble Ministers wish to point out that in ordinary times-that is their plea at least—the provisions of this Bill will not be used unless there is a grave emergency threatening the State. Therefore, if this is true, then surely they should accept the proviso which I bring before them that if in any particular area they feel that life is insecure, that the safety of the State is insecure, then they may apply it since they are bent on applying it, but enforce it there only for seven days so that after that it may expire and you may declare that it will not apply there because, as I pointed out formerly in one of my speeches, even in the fundamental rights which are declared by the Constituent Assembly it has been provided that the liberty of the subjects, liberty of free speech and expression, meetings and so forth shall not be interfered with unless there is a grave emergency and unless there is a declaration to that effect. Therefore it will be in keeping with the provisions of the Charter, the Charter of Liberty as it is claimed by the Congress leaders, if this proviso is accepted. If it is not accepted, Sir, then my original contention would hold true that really the Ministers have some other purpose in mind in bringing forward such drastic laws. Then we would feel that they are bringing this Bill in order that it may become the ordinary law of Bengal and in order that through the operation of the provisions of this Bill they may suppress all movements which the ordinary people—whether it be clerks, whether it be students, whether it be workers or kisans—may launch in order to rebuild our country on a new basis, in order to light once again the lamp of civilisation in Bengal. But I am afraid that the way in which the Ministers are behaving they will not allow this. Therefore, I would urge upon the members of this Assembly to ponder over the matter and if they are also bent on supporting this Bill as it is before us, then at least limit its operation, limit the time for which this is going to operate in a particular area or a particular place. If they do that, then at least their bona fides will have been established at least to a certain extent, but unless they do that, then I am afraid the real intention in spite of their protestations—

Mr. SPEAKER: Have you finished?

Mr. JYOTI BASU: No. Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER: It is prayer time. The House stands adjourned for 15 minutes for prayer.

(The House was then adjourned for 15 minutes.)

(After adjournment.)

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes, Mr. Basu.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, Sir, to conclude what I had to say on this proviso I would urge upon the Ministers for the last time to consider that in many public meetings which have been held in and around Calcutta, in the districts and in all other places in Bengal, even some of the Congressmen have objected to the provisions of this Bill as being antidemocratic and unnecessary in the circumstances in which we live today. I would say that at least the damage which is being done to the fair name of Bengal shall be salvaged to a certain extent if my proviso is accepted. It will not harm the Government. They can bring into operation the provisions of this Bill with this proviso and every time it can be used, if it is necessary at all. We feel that the experience of the last three months has shown us that really this Bill is not necessary, but the Ministers think that it is necessary. So let us have a trial. If they do feel at particular times that the State is being endangered by somebody or other, they can in that particular area enforce the provisions of this Bill and after seven days they may withdraw them. Then, I think, after a fair trial they themselves as well as the people would understand whether it is really necessary or not. The people are being told that this is necessary today all of a sudden although the emergency is not being described for the people.

Mr. SPEAKER: Will you kindly not repeat what you have already said?

Sri JYOTI BASU: I shall of course try to follow your advice but it is a bit difficult because this Bill is so vile and the provisions are such that some repetitions would be necessary in order to emphasise my point and I have already pointed out that the Ministers are not only impervious to all that the public are saying but they have also become deaf.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: But the repetition of the arguments is prohibited. Therefore you cannot repeat them.

Sri JYOTI BASU: I trust that the Home Minister by now must have read the Bill—at the beginning when he first placed it before the House he



field not even read the Bill. Therefore I think some repetition would not have him. However, I have explained my point with regard to my proviso which I have asked the Hon'ble Minister to accept.

MY. SPEAKER: Mr. Mudassir Hossain, your second proviso is out of order but the first proviso is in order.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Why this is not in order?

Mr. SPEAKER: Because section 82(3) of the Government of India Act requires the sanction of the Governor before it can be taken up.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: In that event I cannot question your ruling.

Sir, 1 beg to move that the following proviso be added to clause 1(3), namely:—

"Provided that before the issue of notification referred to above directing that all or any of the provisions of this Act shall come into operation in the whole or any part of the Province of West Bengal, the Provincial Legislature passes a resolution to the same effect."

Sir, it is said that Congress Assemblies are havens of democracy. Wherever we attend a Congress Assembly, it is said there—democracy, democracy, democracy. Always we hear democracy, democracy and nothing else. In the Quoran it is stated what will be the state of heaven. It is said there that whenever you go to heaven, only one sound reaches your ear, and what is that? Aslam, Aslam, meaning peace, peace, peace, and nothing else. Whenever you go, wherever you attend any Congress Assembly outside this legislature, you always hear democracy, democracy, democracy. But, Sir, in the proceedings which have been instituted in this legislature, I see and I find that our Ministers and the Congress party are acting against all principles of democracy. The other day, they were crying for democracy and the nomination was abolished in one stage-nomination in the District Boards, nomination in the Union Boards and nomination in the Municipalities and other self-governing institutions. Then, Sir, they have now come forward with a Bill which is an utter negation of all democratic principles (Cries of "hear, hear"). Because, Sir, in this Bill it is said that provisions of this Act shall come into operation when a certain area will be notified and declared as disturbed area by a notification in the official gazette. Sir, who is to judge as to whether a certain area is disturbed? If you are a democratic man, if you follow democratic principles, then I say that before you issue a notification, you must consult the Assembly and pass a resolution to the effect that an emergency has occurred or exists in a certain area and, therefore, application of the provisions of this Act is necessary to ensure safety of the State and to prevent all subversive activities. Therefore, I have added that before you issue a notification on the autocratic principle, on the autocratic determination of the Minister or any other body, you must consult the members, you must take this House into confidence. I do not ask any other person to be taken into your confidence, but I ask you to do this that before you issue a notification, you must take this House into confidence, place before it the facts of the case, and ask this House to pass a resolution to this effect that a certain area is disturbed and that the application of the provisions of this Act has become absolutely necessary for the safety of the State. I have added these things to the clause, namely, "Provided that before the issue of notification referred to above directing that all or any of the provisions of this Act shall come into operation in the whole or any part of the Province of West Bengal, the Provincial Legislature passes a resolution to the same effect". That is all that I have to say. If you have to say. If you aboont this, it will be a democratic act. Unless you accede to accept this

amendment, you will be guilty of arbitrary act, undemocratic act. Therefore, Sir, for your own safety, in the interest of your own institution—that is, the Congress—and in keeping in line with all democratic principles, I hope the Hon'ble the Chief Minister will accept this amendment.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sii, I beg to move that after clause 1(3) the following proviso be added, namely:—

"Provided that the Provincial Government, before the issue of notification extending the application of this Act, shall consult the Provincial Legislature."

Sir, I find that my amendment is almost identical with the amendment that you have allowed to be moved by the honourable member who last spoke. The opposition to this Bill rests on the suspicion in the public mind of the permanent officials. There can be nothing wrong theoretically in aiming one's own Government with powers, specially when it is a popular Government depending on the people for support But, Sir, this Government is Ministerial Government and not the permanent officials who need no popular support and neither are they directly answerable to the people. Arming popular Governments with powers becomes in many instances tantamount to arming these permanent officials, record of some of whom in wielding these extraordinary powers is none too clean. As an instance, I shall mention the unfortunate district of Murshidabad where Muslim leaders have been arrested on mere suspicion, on mere whims of certain officials. When representation was made to the Hon'ble Prime Minister about their detention, he immediately set them at liberty. This proves conclusively that they were detained on frivolous grounds on the whims and caprices of officials who take delight in putting people in detention when they are armed with these extraordinary powers. Sir, we have seen down the ages in history that with the advent of independence people are apt at times to confuse liberty with licence and generally get indisciplined, and in this period of transition a situation might develop which would compel the Government to deal drastically with the offenders and Government should for those occasions and those occasions only have sufficient powers to effectively deal with the situation. But what we want to ensure, Sir, is that before the provisions of this Bill are applied, we must be sure that an emergency has, in fact, arisen or a situation has, in fact, developed calling for the use of these extraordinary powers. This is the place of Parliament and Government should take it into confidence and seek its approval before declaring any part of this Province disturbed. We would then know that the use of those powers in a certain part of the country is justified and that would disarm all criticisms in the whole country, and everybody will co-operate with Government in combating the situation that may necessitate the promulgation of this order.

With these words, Sir, I commend my amendment to the acceptance of the House.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that the following proviso be added to clause 1(3), namely:--

"Provided that the Provincial Government shall state in such notification the reason for invoking any provision or provisions of this Act"

Sir, as I have stated earlier in my first speech, I think that it is the intention of any democratic civilised Government that if they wish to minimise or take away or restrict the liberty of the subjects or of the press, then it is necessary that they should state why it is so necessary. Now, we all know that if really a grave emergency like a war arises, then it may be necessary to pass certain extraordinary ordinances or laws for the time being. But unfortunately today we are discussing the provisions of a Bill which does not anywhere in the body of the Bill provide as to why this Act is going to be applied to the whole or to any part of the Province of West 12

Bengal. Now it is not sufficient for the Ministers just to say one fine morning that they feel troubles are brewing in an area which necessitate the operation of this particular clause or the operation of this particular Act. It is not sufficient in a democratic country merely to say so. It is necessary that Government should in such a case at least tell the people why and how an emergency has arisen. They should tell the people about whose welfare they are so loud in their protestations, about whose benefit they have been talking to us so long, about doing good to whom they have expressed their opinion on the platform and through the Press: the very same people would like to know as to how an emergency has arisen, who are the enemies who are threatening the safety of the State. If clear and simple reasons are shown and the people are told who are their enemies and therefore for the safety of the State, for the safety of the people of West Bengal, if they say, "you stand on guard," "defend your mother country," then I am sure the people in such an emergency would support the Ministry and their Bill even if there are certain clauses in the Bill which are so drastically undemocratic. Therefore I recommend for the acceptance of the House the proviso which I have given notice of so that at least before such a notification is issued the reasons are stated in clear and simple language instead of just saying that an emergency has arisen and such like things in order to hoodwink the people and give wide powers to the executive so that enybody can be arrested under that notification, because we are not told as to what is the emergency and why such powers are necessary. Therefore I am sure that at least this amendment will receive the consideration of the Government. Even if it does not, I am afraid that I feel bound to recommend it to the House for its acceptance.

Sir, I beg also to move that in clause 1(4), line 2, for the words "one year" the words "three months" be substituted.

Sir, 1 beg further to move that in clause 1(4), line 6, for the words "one year", the words "three months" be substituted.

Now, here also I would like to point out that even if the Ministers feel it a point of honour that this Bill, however fascist in content, must go through this House then at least they can limit it to a period of three months. Thereafter it is said that "provided that if and so often as a resolution in that behalf is, before the date on which under this sub-section it would otherwise have ceased to operate, passed by the Provincial Legislature, it shall continue in force for a further period of one year from such date". Here also they can substitute three months because that would be sufficient for they can re-enact it again if they think it necessary. Therefore I recommend that, instead of one year three months be substituted. For one year is too long a time because for one year we do not expect our liberty to be so shackled. We expect within one year the completest freedom and liberty in our country. But if for three months it is necessary to give it a trial, then the Ministers can accept this amendment which I have asked them to accept although as far as I am concerned it does not make much of a difference because an Act which is undemocratic in principle continues to be undemocratic whether it continues for three months or for one year. In any case the Congress Ministers here have to the world outside blackened their faces, blackened the face of the Congress, but still in order to limit its scope I say that my amendment should be accepted in all good faith. Because unless even that is done, then we would feel that this talk about an emergency and therefore the necessity for such a clause and such a Bill would really mean nothing. Really it would mean that the people are being told that while an emergency has arisen, it may or will continue for one year and thereafter. I am sure that thereby we are in the process of establishing a police state in Bengal. So I am waiting to see whether this amendment of mine will be accepted by the Hon'ble the Prime Minister on the other eide.

Sri J. C. GUPTA: Mr. Speaker, I beg to move that in clause 1/4), line 2, the words "and so often as" be omitted.

I need only say that though it is a legal drafting language, nome beve doubted whether these words may not be intended to prolong the legislation so often as—I mean whenever they want to do so—by one year. That is not the intention and therefore I am proposing this in order to remove any doubt that may exist in the mind of anybody.

The Hen'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: 海天子体, 株、火子県 त्रश्नावनी अन्तर वेपीनक करतान, एकी वामि शर्म कर्राव श्रवर House कर कर्म वनरताय महाहि। आह अन्त स्व नतन्त नरामाधनी श्रम्ताव श्रीवृत्त स्वाहि कर, व्यावस्थित ह्याप्तन नाहरू , स्थास्त्रक नाहरू हेर्नान्स्त स्टब्स्न, ता आमि शर्ग कर हत शांत्र मा। अस औ House अन्द्रताथ कहा चि जाननात साहरूर द्वन क्षेत्रांत शहन कहा ना हहा। अह विह्दान्य जारिस ६३**०कि कहा** বল,ছি। প্রথম বে একটি কথা লেখা আছে ৭ দিনের বেশী notification থাকাৰে না, এ কেবল এই বিলকে অকেজো বা হাস্যাস্পদ করার মতলবে। ত'ারা চান না যে এই বিজ্ঞা পাশ হোক। চাই **এখা** अपन किए provisions प्रदेश शाक, गाएक और विवक्ती व्यक्त शाक शाक व हेरफरना निरहरे এ की amendment धाना शहरह। घठतव चापि चन्द्राध कहाहि, और जब नरागधनी अन्जाव वशहा ছোক। MINITE WIT potification ন্দিতে—মুদাস,সির হোসেন ও খেলোবস সাহেবের প্রস্তাব বিভিন্ন হলেও এক---ভিন্ন ভাষার মার। এ'দের क्रमात परक रव रकाम notification मिरल बरन बरनात शारामिक अक्रमानिक क्रान्टिए हान। अथन emergency **ध**द्र न situation উপস্থিত रल: গ্রহণ্ট্রের consent এসেম্বির ঢাকা হল এবং আমরা ৭ দিন ১০ দিনের জন্য এসেম্বির ভাক্লাম। তার মধ্যে যা কিছ হবার হরে গেল। তখন বলা হল, গুরুতর অন্যার হলে পেছে। এখন notification সেওয়া হোক। অতএব আমি এই সব সংশোধনী প্রস্তাব গ্রহণ করতে পারি না। তাই আমি বীযুক্ত জে, সি, গ্রেতর সংশোধনী প্রস্তাব ছাড়া অন্য সব প্রস্তাবের সম্পূর্ণ বিরোধিতা কর্মীছ। আরু তিন মালের প্রস্তাব या याना राह्नार, ठा प्रमण्ड विवाधिक वाकरका कहा,वाह कना कहा राह्नार। छारे प्रकाहित वाहि विद्धारिका क्र हि।

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that the following proviso be added to clause 1(3), namely:—

"Provided that such provision or provisions shall not remain in force in any area for more than seven days on the strength of any such notification".

was then put and lost.

The motion of Mr Mudassir Hossain that the following proviso be added to clause 1(3), namely:—

"Provided that before the issue of notification referred to above directing that all or any of the provisions of this Act shall come into operation in the whole or any part of the Province of West Bengal, the Provincial Legislature passes a resolution to the same effect".

was then put and a division taken with the following result :--

AYES-8.

Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Musharrufi Hossain, Nawab Khar Bahadur.

NOE8-48.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerje, Shri Susii Kumar. Banerji, The Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra. Barnan, The Hen'ble Shri Mohini Mehan. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhandari, The Hon'ble Shri Charu Chandra. Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Choudhury, The Hon'ble Shri Annada Proced. Das, Miss Bina.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Das, Mr. Kanailal.
De, Shri Kanail Lai,
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haidar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti. Mr. Nikunia Behari.

Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Maliok, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Murarka, Mr. Basantial.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.

The Ayes being 8 and the Noes 43, the motion was lost.

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that after clause 1(3) the following proviso be added, namely:—

"Provided that the Provincial Government before the issue of notification extending the application of this Act, shall consult the Provincial Legislature",

was then put and lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that the following proviso be added to clause $1(\beta)$, namely —

"Provided that the Provincial Government shall state in such notification the reasons for invoking any provision or provisions of this Act",

was then put and a division called

Mr. SPEAKER: Under the regulation which I have already circulated today there is a provision that instead of taking votes as provided in rule 3(b) I can call on the Ayes or the Noes to rise in their seats. In pursuance to this regulation as many as are of that opinion will rise in their seats. (8 members rose in their seats.) As many as are of a contrary opinion will now rise in their seats. (41 members rose in their seats)

AYE8.

Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Molla Md. Abdul Halim. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Musharruff Hossain, Nawab Khan Bahadur.

The Aves being 8 and Noes 41, the motion was lost,

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, where is this rule by which we are asked to rise in our seats?

Mr. SPEAKER: It has been circulated today. It is not in our present rules. There are certain regulations which have been circulated today.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: What is the division, Sir?

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Is there any precedent for that in any Parliament of the world? You are following the precedents of the British Parliament. You are imitating them when votes are taken, as to how many voted for and how many voted against.

Mr. SPEAKER: Here are the regulations. As there was an overwhelming majority of members against the motion I declared that the Noes have it.

Sri JYOTI BASU: On a point of privilege, Sir. All of a sudden these provisions have been circulated to us. I do not know why, because for the last so many months since we have come to this Assembly I have never gone through that procedure here. I do not know why these are being invoked. Is there any great emergency?

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Basu, these regulations have been in force since the very inception of this House. If necessary, they can be used.

Sri JYOTI BASU: But they have never been used. What is the necessity now? Is there any emergency?

Mr. SPEAKER: That is a matter within my discretion.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: Sir, it has been the custom in a division that the names of individual members voting for or against are recorded in the proceedings. Now under this new rule I want to know whether the names of members voting for or against the motion will also be recorded in the same manner in the proceedings.

Mr. SPEAKER: Well, the names of those persons who have voted for the motion have been recorded, but those who have voted against the motion are in an overwhelming majority and therefore their names need not be recorded

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 1(1), line 2, for the words "one year" the words "three months" be substituted, was then put and a division called

When the Division bell was ringing)

Sri JYOTI BASU: On a point of privilege, Sir Is there no other way of saving time?

Mr. SPEAKER: I am sorry, I have got no other provision for saving time

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sn., when a state of emergency has arisen and the enemy is at our gate, I think we should do away with these divisions!

Those who were in favour of the motion were asked to rise in their seats. (8 members rose in their seats). Those who were against the motion were also asked to rise in their seats. (41 members rose in their seats.)

AYES.

Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmın, Mr. Ratanlal. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Molla Md. Abdul Halim. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Musharrufi Hossain, Nawab Khan Bahadur.

The Δ yes being 8 and Noes 41, the motion was lost.

Sri JYOTI BASU: On a point of privilege, Sri In our regulations there is a rule wherein I find that when a division is called the Speaker will order the lobbies to be cleared and the Secretary will ring the bell. But the lobby has not been cleared.

Mr. SPEAKER: Well, that is not necessary if I ask the members to rise in their seats.

Sri JYOTI BASU: But the order is there in paragraph 1. It definitely says that when a division is called, the Speaker must ask the lobbies to be cleared.

Mr. SPEAKER: But when there is no recording of votes in the lobbies, there is no necessity of clearing them.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 1(4), line 6, for the words "one year" the words "three months" be substituted, was then put and a division called.

Those in favour of the motion rose in their seats. (8 members rose in their seats.) Those against the motion then rose in their seats. (41 members rose in their seats.)

AYES.

Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Molla Md. Abdul Halim. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Musharruff Hossain, Nawab Khan Bahadur.

The Ayes being 8 and the Noes 41, the motion was lost.

The motion of Sri J. C. Gupta that in clause 1(4), line 2, the words "and so often as" be omitted, was then put and agreed to.

The question that clause 1, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-41.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar. Hon'ble Dr. The Suresh Banerji, Chandra. Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhandari, The Hon'ble Shri Charu Chandra. Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Choudhury, The Hon'ble Shri Annada Prosad Das, Miss Bina. Das, Mr. Radha Nath. Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath. Das, Mr. Kanallal. De, Shri Kanal Lal. De, Shri Kanai wai. Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath. Dutta, Mr. Sukumar. Ganguii, Mr. Bepin Behari.

Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Chose, Mr. A. K.
Chose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Malti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mailick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mokerji, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Murarka, Mr. Basantlai.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.

NOE8-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti,

Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai.

The Aves being 41 and the Noes 2, the motion was agreed to.

(When clause 2 was called.)

Point of order.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, before you go to clause 2, I rise on a point of order. This clause purports to legislate in respect of illegal acquisition, possession or use of arms, ammunition or military stores as defined in the Indian Arms Act, 1878, and explosive substances as defined in the Explosive Substances Act, 1908. I submit that these are Central subjects and not included in the Provincial Legislative List nor in the Concurrent Legislative List in the Government of India Act, 1935. These are exclusively Central subjects, and as such, it is not within the competence of this Legislature to enact in respect of these subjects. It may be conveniently argued that valid and legal possession of these articles is Central subject and illegal possession of these things comes within the purview of the Province. And it may also be argued that as these thir tend to disrupt public order, and as public order is a Provincial sub

No. 1 of List II, it can be included. But I feel, Sir, that it cannot be included, because if it did, the 1935 Act would not have given it in the exclusively Federal List, the numbers being 29 and 30 of the Federal List. If they felt that these things could be included in "Public Order", they could have said so in the Act. And I feel, Sir, that the Centre has also not delegated any power to the Province to legislate on these exclusively Central subjects. Sir, I want your ruling on this.

Sri J. C. CUPTA: Sir, the point of order raised by the honourable member has ignored, though he has referred to it, that "public order" is within the Provincial list. Lest there may be any confusion on the point you will notice that there is an official amendment to the preamble, I mean amendment No. 94, viz, "that in the preamble, line I, after the words, 'special provision for' the words 'the maintenance of public order by' be inserted". This has been done as a matter of abundant caution as they say in the legal term. Now, Sir, what we have been legislating for is not regarding possession and use of arms under the Arms Act. Here in the interest of public order, in the interest of communal harmony we are trying to prevent the acquisition of and helping in procuring arms, helping in purchase and distribution of arms. It is entirely a preventive step that has been taken. It is not a substantive legislation regarding arms and ammunitions. And having regard to these facts I hope you, Sir, will agree that the provision is in order.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I rise to speak a few words on the point of order raised by the honourable member. The question is this in deciding whether it is within the competence of the Provincial Legislature to enact on a subject which seemingly encroaches on a subject mentioned in the List No. I of the Government of India Act we shall have first of all to find out whether the main purpose of the legislation is to encroach on the Central subject. Well, Sir, the opinion of distinguished lawyers and of the highest Courts is that it is quite within the competence of the Provincial Legislature to enact on a subject mentioned in List No. II, even if it incidentally encroached on the Central list. I mention a specific case, namely, 74 Indian Appeals 23 C(43). I mention this case where it has been held that incidental encroachment on the rights given to the Centre under List No. I, is permitted where the pith and substance of the legislation telates to a Provincial subject under the Government of India Act. That is my first point

My second point would be that so far as this legislation is concerned it does not come within the province of item 29 of list No. I, because in that item, Sir, you will find only "arms, firearms and ammunitions". As you will find from the provisions of this Bill, the scope of this Bill is much wider than merely regulating the use of arms, firearms or ammunitions. It is mainly concerned with the maintenance of law and order, maintenance of public safety and such other purposes as are mentioned in the preamble, for instance, the action of goondas, and so on. All these are incidental and correlated with the main subject, namely, the maintenance of public order which, I submit, is a distinctly provincial subject mentioned in List No. II of the 7th Schedule to the Government of India Act.

Then, Sir, thirdly, I would refer you to another case—the 1944 Federal Court Report IC(17)—If you go through that report you will find the term "public order" also means public safety. That has been held by the Federal Court. So if public order has been permitted to be included in the Provincial list under the Government of India Act, that also includes under the authority of no less a Court than the Federal Court of India the maintenance of public safety which is the purpose specifically mentioned in the preamble of this Bill.

Having in view the decisions of these courts and also the interpretation of iven to them by eminent lawyers, may I submit that the point of order ised by the honourable member opposite is really irrelevant and does not

apply so far as this Bill is concerned. My submission to you, therefore, would be that this Bill comes within the purview of the Provincial Legislative list and does not encroach on the Central subject. Even if it does so incidentally, it does not in any way vitiate this Bill so far as the Provincial Legislature is concerned.

8ri JYOTI BASU: On a point of order, Sir, with regard to the same subject.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sn, will be speak on the same point of order? If he speaks on a different point of order, we might have your ruling on the first point of order first.

Sri JYOTI BASU: I shall speak on the same point of order Sir, it is true that public order or public safety, whatever it may be called, is a Provincial subject and the Provinces can legislate on that. But the point to remember is that when this Bill first came before the Assembly, these specific points which have been raised by Mr. Khuda Bukhsh were not there, that is, acquisition of illegal arms, goondas, and so on-these things were not there. They were specifically included by the Select Committee after public criticism was made of the Bill, and, therefore, this is certainly not an incidental encroachment upon the Central subjects by the Province These are—if you take them severally, each one by itself—a subject matter of legislation. Central subjects have been encroached upon especially when the question of aims is raised, and therefore it is no good saying that the main purpose is public order or public safety in this Bill. Were it so, then, Sir, I maintain that it would not be necessary for the Select Committee to specifically specify these particular things which are here before us today when the Bill has come up. And as Mr J C Gupta has pointed out that they have understood their mistake, therefore subsequently an amendment has been brought forward. But whether the amendment is there or not, I agree with Mr. Khuda Bukhsh that "arms" is certainly a Central subject and cannot be dealt with by the Provincial Government.

Mr. 8PEAKER: As this has raised a question of some importance I will give my ruling on this point tomorrow. The time for adjournment is near and so I adjourn the House now till 3-45 p.m. tomorrow.

Adjournment,

The House was accordingly adjourned at 6-42 p.m. till 3-45 p.m. on Tuesday, the 6th January, 1948, at the Assembly House, Calcutta

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Tuesday, the 6th January, 1948, at 3-45 p.m

Present:

Mr. Speaker (the Hon'ble Sir Iswar Das Jalax) in the Chair, 9 Hon'ble Ministers and 59 members.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

Scarcity of milk in the Province.

- *13. 8ri ANANDILALL PODDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Agriculture and Fisheries Department be pleased to state
 - (i) whether he is aware of the great scarcity of milk-supply throughout the whole Province, and
 - (ii) what steps have been or are proposed to be taken to improve the situation?

MINISTER in charge of the ACRICULTURE and FISHERIES DEPARTMENT (the Hon'ble Sri Hem Chandra Naskar): (ℓ) Yes.

- (a) The improvement of milk-supply must necessarily be a long-term measure. No improvement would be possible without improving the milk yield of our cows, which is very poor at present and which must take a long time to achieve. The following are being done to improve milk production:—
 - (a) A scheme to improve cattle by the use of better bulls is already in force in selected areas of each district. The bulls have been supplied by Government. The Government is also paying a mouthly allowance for the maintenance of such bulls. At present 700 bulls are at stud and it is proposed to increase their number to 2,700 during the next three years.
 - (b) In order to improve the feeding of cattle and thereby their milk production, Government has a scheme for the distribution of fodder seeds at subsidised rates so that growing of fodder crops may become popular.
 - (c) A Central Livestock Research-cum-Breeding station is being established at Haringhata, near Kanchrapara, with a view to breed better bulls for supply to villages and to tackle the research problems concerning livestock in this Province.
 - (d) At present many cows, still in their prime, are prematurely slaughtered and thus lost to the country. The Government is establishing a dry cows salvage farm to demonstrate the method of saving such cattle.
 - (e) The question of imposing a qualitative restriction on slaughter of cattle is under consideration.
 - (f) Experiments are also being made in artificial insemination for providing better breed of cattle with some success.

Sri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state, with reference to answer (i), if he has made any estimate of the requirements of milk for the province as a whole as also of the supplies now available?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: There was no scheme of the past Government. We are considering about this and we are looking into the matter.

Sri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: I am sorry, I do not know if I was sufficiently intelligible. I wanted to know it the Hon'ble Minister has any estimate of the total requirements of milk for this province and also of the supplies now available.

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: Government has gone through the matter and if the honourable member wants it, I can give him the exact information.

Shaikh MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Is the Hon'ble Minister aware that the average low milk yield of the cow is due to the climatic condition in Bengal?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: I want notice.

Shaikh MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: ('an the Hon'ble Minister give us information as to whether any scheme of importing up-country cows is contemplated?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: Yes.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state as to how many bulls would be required for the province of West Bengal for supplying sufficient milk to the people? (Laughter.)

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: I want notice.

Sri JYOTI BASU: I do not know it my question was understood. Of course, cows have to give milk but bulls are also necessary. He says that 2,700 bulls would be supplied, but my question is how many bulls would be required to supply sufficient milk to the people of West Bengal.

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: It has not yet been worked out.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Is there any statistics at all about the requirement of milk in our province?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: I cannot say off-hand. I have already told another honourable member that if he wants the exact quantity which is required for this province, I can give him the information afterwards.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Then without the exact statistics, how is it possible to mention figures which have been mentioned about the requirements for our country?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: It is an attempt as a part of the programme.

sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহাশয় দরা করে বলবেন কি যে এই ২,৭০০ Bull তিনি কোনখান থেকে আনতে প্রস্তাব করেছেন?

The Hen'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR : হরির.লা, সিরি এবং অন্যান্য জারুগা বেখানে ভাল ভাল বীড় পাওরা বার সেখান থেকে। Sri BIMAL SHANDRA SINHA: বাননীয় বস্থী করাণর করা করে বলবেন কি এখন পাস্পাবের অর্ড্রেকাংশ এবং সিন্ধে, বেখান খেকে এইসব বাড় আসতো পাকিকানে চক্রেবাওরার ঐসব বাড় আনতে অস্বিধা হবে কিনাং

The Hen'ble sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: না, প্রত্থ পাজাবেও পাওরা বেতে পারে ধবং আমামের বিহার থেকেও পাওরা বেতে পারে।

Sri JYOTI BASU: With regard to answer (b) that the Government has a scheme for the distribution of fodder seeds, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether that scheme is in operation yet?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: Not yet.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what is the basis on which this figure of 2,700 has been arrived at and what would be the capacity of each of the stud bulls?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: We are considering the matter and it was estimated that 2,700 bulls would be necessary.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: With reference to answer (b) that fodder seeds at subsidised rates are being supplied, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state to whom these are being supplied?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: To growers of the villages

Srj NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether additional provision has been made for land on which those seeds are to be utilised?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: The lands are there already

Sri JYOTI BASU: With regard to answer (b) once again, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state by which particular date or month this scheme is going to be put into operation?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: It is very difficult to say ,but we will try to do it very soon.

· Sri JYOTI BASU: With regard to answer (c) that a Central Livestock Research-cum-Breeding Station is being established, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether it has been established or when it will be established?

The Hon'ble 8ri HEM CHANDRA NA8KAR: It has been already established.

় Shri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহাশয় দয়া করে বলবেন কি আমাদের এখানে cattle census নেওয়া হয়েছে কিনা, এবং হয়ে খাকলে তাতে কত shortage আছে সে সম্বন্ধে মন্ত্রী মহাশয়ের কোন ধারণা আছে কিনা?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: নেওয়া হয় নাই— নেওয়া হছে। আর cattle বলতে কি সমস্ত ভিনিষ, না, থালি গরু আর যাড়ণ

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what is the average life of a stud-bull for stud purposes?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: About 20 or 22 years.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: বাননীর মন্ত্রী মহাশর পরা করে বলবেন কি ত'ার কোন ঘবর আছে কিনা যে বাংলাদেশে stud bull এবং bullocks প্রের্থ পাকিস্থানে চালান হয়ে বাছে:

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: আমি বৰর পেরেছি। দ্ই-এক জারুগা থেকে বরুবের যেত এবং এখনও সেই সব হাট থেকে বাজে।

sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহাশের দয়া করে বলবেন কি মান্তের অক্পতা থাকার ফলে তিনি এই রকম চালান বন্ধ করবার ব্যবস্থা অবলম্বন করতে প্রস্তৃত আছেন?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: সে বিষয়ে আমরা বিবেচনা করছি। আমাদের পাশের বিহার, বিহারের সেই হাট যদি আমরা বন্দ করি তাহ'লে বিহার থেকে অন্য জায়গায় গিয়ে সেই হাট বসান হবে। কাজেই আমরা বিবেচনা করছি। সেখানকার ম্যাজিন্টেট মহোদয়কে লেখা হয়েছে যে বাস্তবিক আমাদের অকন্দর্শণ্য গরু চালান যাছে না, কাজের গরু চালান যাছে?

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: With reference to answer (f), will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state how many centres exist for experiments in artificial insemination for providing better breed of cattle?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: Belgachia Veterinary College.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Is there any other college?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: There are n_0 other colleges in existence.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: What is the result of such experiments and what is the number of cattle as a result of artificial insemination?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: I cannot say that off-hand but I want notice.

আমি এখন বলতে পারছি না। নোটিশ দিলে অনুসংখান করে বলতে পারি। তবে বেলেঘাটায় একটা Centre হয়েছিল এবং সে Centre আমি Open করেছিলাম। সেখানে যে কাড় হয়েছিল, তা Eighty per cent auccessful হয়েছিল। এবং যে বাছার হয়েছিল সে খ্র ভাল বাছার হয়েছিল। সাধারণতঃ গরা পাঁচ-ছয় মাস দুখ দেয়। কিন্তু সেখানে সাত মাস হয়ে গেছে, আড় আট মাসে এখনও ভাল দুখে দিছে।

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহাশয় নয়া করে বলবেন কি fodder cropএর জন্য যে seed বিলি করা হবে তারজন্য ভিন্ন ইউনিয়নের এধাকায় বিশেষ কোন জমির বন্দোবস্ত করা গভর্গমৈন্টের schemeএর ভেতর রয়েছে কিনা—যাতে করে food cropএর জমি fodder cropএর জন্য ব্যবহৃত না হয়।

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: জমি আমাদের হাতে নয়। তবে যেগব উটু ডাঙ্গা জমিতে সাধারণত: লোকে fodder তৈরী করে সেই সব জমিতে তারা fodder করবে।

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR. যে সমস্ত ডাঞ্চা জমি এবং প্রাণ জ্ঞার ভিটায় অবিকাংশ জমিদারের দয়ার ফলে ঘুঘু চরছে, তারা সেখানে fodder করতে দেবেন কিনা, তার কি বাবস্থা গভপন্দেও করেছেন?

The Hen'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR · গ্রামের লোকেরা সে ব্যবস্থা কববে ৷

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR : ডাঞ্চা অমিণ্রো দখলে এনে সেখানে fodder crop করবার জ্নী গ্রামের লোকদের হাতে ছেড়ে দেওয়া গভণ্মেন্টের policy, না, ৫ সম্বন্ধে কোন নীতি নিম্বারণ করা হবে?

The Hen'ble Sri HEM GHANDRA NASKAR: বৃদ্ধি সাধারণ লোকে বাধা পান, তখন জানালে প্রপৃথিক্ট সে বিষয় বিকেনা করবেন।

\$ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: মাননীর মন্ত্রী বছালর দরা করে বলবেন কি পশ্চিমবক্সা প্রদেশের দুই সহস্রাধিক বিভিন্ন ইউনিরন এলাকায় কৃষক সাধারণের পতিত ডাক্সা জামতে ঠিক এইডাবে এখনই পোচারণের জন্য fodder erop এর ব্যবস্থা করতে গ্রুপমেণ্টের অনুমতি রয়েছে কিনাং

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: আমাদের মাত্র চার মাস গভর্থমেণ্ট চলহে। স্ত্রাং এবিষয়ে আমার পছে এখনই কিছু বলা সম্ভব নয়।

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহোদ্য় অন্ত্রহ করে বলবেন কি এই যে stud bullগুলি বিভিন্ন জায়গায় রয়েছে এগুলি কি Agricultural Departmentএব তত্ত্বাবধানে রয়েছে, না তার জন্য বিশেষ veterinary অধ্যক্ষ্ নিয়োগ করা হয়েছে।

The Hen'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: এণ্লো গ্রাম্য umon boardsৰ অধীনে রাখা হয় এবং রাখার জনা খরচের টাকা দেওয়া হয়।

Sri JYOTI BASU: With reference to answer (f) in view of the fact that he is pleased with the result of artificial insemination for providing better breed of cattle, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether he intends to open up other centres for the same purpose?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: We intend to $-\mathrm{do}-\mathrm{the}$ same.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Is the Hon'ble Minister aware that cow slaughter is 50 per cent of what it used to be six months back?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: I think it is not exactly 50 per cent

Shark MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: What is the percentage that the Hon'ble Minister thinks?

The Hon'ble 8ri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: I cannot say off-hand.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Is the Hon'ble Minister aware that even hides of fallen animals—by "fallen animal" I mean an animal which dies a natural death—are not collected in West Bengal?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: Yes

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: In reply to question (f) the Hon'ble Minister has stated that he has tried artificial insemination and at has met with success. In view of that statement, does the Hon'ble Minister still think that 2,700 more stud-bulls will be necessary—I mean they need not go on with the establishment of new centres for artificial insemination?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: We are trying

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what will be the cost of artificial insemination as compared with rearing by stud-bull?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: I cannot say that off-hand. .

Supply of stud-bulls to union boards and municipalities.

*14. Sri ANANDILALL PODDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Agriculture and Fisheries Department be pleased to state

what measures have been taken or are proposed to be taken to see that sufficient number of stud-bulls of suitable breed are supplied and properly maintained in—

- (1) union boards, and
- (11) the municipal areas of West Bengal?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: The Government has already got a scheme in operation for the improvement of cattle in this Province. Under this scheme compact blocks of contiguous villages have been selected for concerted breeding operations. In these blocks all scrub bulls have been castrated and improved bulls—of Hariana breed in plains and of Siri breed in the hilly portions of Darjeeling district—have been supplied at Government cost. Government also pays a monthly allowance of Rs.15 for the maintenance of such bulls. In all 700 such bulls are at stud in different parts of the Province at present. It is proposed to increase this number to 2,700 by the distribution of more bulls during the next three years.

As the bulk of our cattle are in rural areas, and as it was not the last Government's policy to encourage the maintenance of cattle in urban areas, bulls have not been supplied for stud in municipal areas. The question of providing stud-bulls in municipal areas also is under examination. The existing animals are all at stud in rural areas.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state on what facts and figures these figures—700 and 2,700 bulls—have been worked out?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: We have got 700 bulls.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what is the basis for working out the figure of 2,700?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: We consider that we should purchase 2,700 bulls for supplying to the rural areas

Sri JYOTI BASU: I do not think my question has been understood by the Hon'ble Minister. Will he be pleased to state how this particular figure of 2,700 has been arrived at and not say, 5,000 for the next three years?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: I have seen from Government records that we have to purchase 2,700 stud-bulls

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহোদর অন্ত্রহ করে বলবেন কি এই যে থরচ পনের টাকা করে ধার্যা হয়েছে, এ কি সমস্ত মিলিয়ে না প্রতিটি bullএ র পিছ্ এবং এ স্কর্ণের কোন allowance বাড়াবার আবেদন পেরেছেন কি?

The Hen'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: উপস্তিত কোন কিছু পাওয়া যায়নি। Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: এ সম্বন্ধে কোন আবেদন আসেনি কিছু আসবাব সম্ভাবনা আহে কি॰

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: তा এখন वहा श्रम्बद नहा

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state if the figure of 2,700 which we find was an estimate which was made long before the partition of Bengal?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: We will try to supply as many bulls as possible.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Will the Hon'ble Minister contradict me if I say that this figure of 2,700 was arrived at before the 15th of August, 1947?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: We want larger number of bulls

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what is the total figure for the whole of East and West Bengal?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: I cannot say off-hand. I ask for notice.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state if West Bengal requires more stud-bulls than East Bengal?

The Hon'ble Sri HEM CHANDRA NASKAR: That is not our concern.

Points of Privilege.

Selection of the Leader of Opposition.

- **Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR:** On a point of privilege, Sir. The House has always known definitely who is the Leader of the Opposition from time to time. May we know which honourable member on the other side is to be regarded by us as the Leader of the Opposition? We are very uncertain about it.
- Mr. SPEAKER: The office has not yet received any intimation about the selection of the Leader of the Opposition, and I think it is desirable that some Leader of the Opposition should be fixed so that we can deal with the Opposition through him.
- **8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR:** Sir, do we understand that the Opposition has been functioning without any leader and may we know whether you have taken any steps to find out on your own antitative as to whether there is anyone acceptable as the Leader of the Opposition?
- Mr. SPEAKER: No. We have written to Mr. Abdur Rahman to let us have the names of the members of his party as also the name of the leader of his party.
- Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: On what basis, Sir, you have addressed Mr. Abdur Rahman—as the Secretary or as temporary leader of his party?
- Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Abdur Rahman wrote to us that his party should be allotted a room and on that basis he was written to.

Entry of Members and Visitors.

- Sri SIBNATH BANERJEE: On a point of privilege, Sir Yesterday two friends of my party came to see me in the Assembly House but they were not allowed to come in, rather they were treated very discourteously. Sir, we are not prisoners in a jail, but even in jails we are better treated than this. Those persons were treated very shabbily. Will you kindly enquire into the matter so that recurrence of such things is made impossible in future?
- Mr. SPEAKER: I do not know what the circumstances were, and I would request Mr. Banerjee to furnish me with further particulars so that I may enquire into the matter.

Leader of the Opposition.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: May I ask through you, Sir, the other side to state as to who is the Leader of the Opposition or whether anybody has been elected as yet?

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Sir, on the first day of this session Mr. Abdur Rahman made our position very clear. We have not yet decided whether we will sit in opposition. We are sitting here only by way of courtesy to help our friends on the other side. We are here to assist our friends over there so that the people might not say that this is a tame affair. At present it will not be possible for us to give any indication as to whether we will act as a party in opposition or whether we will merge with any other party. Till we have decided that we cannot say whether we will sit in opposition. As soon as we have decided and elected a leader we will inform you.

Entry of Members and Visitors.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I raised a similar point yesterday as has been raised by Mr. Banerjee And now I find from my personal experience and the experience of my friends that since the House is surrounded by armed guards-and I do not know how long it will continue-it has become a source of trouble to us. It is extremely difficult for our friends to get in if they wish to come to the visitors' gallery, and we have to come out personally beforehand and hand over the cards to them and escort them back here. This procedure is extremely complicated for the members because none of the clerks of the Assembly stays at the gate. Today I had left my card behind, and when I came to the Assembly in the afternoon I was stopped at the gate. First I was stopped near the High Court-I was coming down from the High Court—and when I could persuade the Sergeant to let me in he let me in, but he said that without the permission of the Speaker or unless somebody identified me he could not let me in They are such blockheads that I could not explain to them how I could not be identified by the Speaker or anybody else at that place You, Sir, cannot be expected to be at the gate to identity us. Then anyhow I came to the gate and I was stopped again. But fortunately the Sergeant there asked me where was my card. I said I had left it behind. He did not stop me fortunately, and so I could come in Sir, this is very troublesome and extremely humiliating to us. First of all, so much arms' show is not necessary at all Nobody is coming to attack this Assembly Secondly, Sir, you should make some arrangement, if you can, by which we do not have to pass through these humiliating conditions.

Mr. SPEAKER: I understand that there has been some inconvenience caused to honourable members to get an. In any event I will take such steps as will be necessary to ensure that no trouble occurs and the members and visitors may come in.

Point of Order.

Sri JYOTI BASU: I think today you will give your opinion on the point of order that was raised yesterday, but before you do so I should first like in one or two minutes to make a point which has arisen in my mind so that it would be easier and more helpful for you to give your decision.

Mr. SPEAKER: Well, though my friend spoke on this subject yesterday, if he can give me in as few words as possible as to what he has to say, I shall consider it just now.

Sri JYOTI BASU: I shall be very brief, Sir. First of all I feel that whatever was said yesterday, that of course you will take into consideration, but apart from that you will also take into consideration how in this Bill

the Provincial Legislature is usurping-not only usurping the authority of the Centre but in fact going beyond that, that is, what the Centre has not done, the Provincial Legislature seeks to do. As you will see, if I may illustrate my point, in the Arms Act it is provided that if somebody is in possession of arms illegally, he shall be guilty of an offence, but the Arms Act does not provide that if somebody organises and further helps the illegal acquisition and possession of arms, then he shall commit an offence. But the Provincial Legislature seeks to pass a Bill a very important provision of which is that if somebody organises and helps the illegal possession and acquisition of arms, then he shall be guilty of an offence and, as such, then what the province could have done was to have referred the matter to the Centre and they could have thereby, if they wanted to, put in a clause in the Arms Act or done some such thing. But the Provincial Legislature has no power whatsoever to do such a thing. Because this point was not raised vesterday so I am raising it today, and this is not a single matter at all. This is one amongst the two or three or four purposes which have been cited in the Bill—the purposes for which the Bill is being sought to be passed. Amongst one of those is the illegal acquisition and possession of arms and therefore my point is that this is ultra vires and should be thrown out. Lastly for the satisfaction of yourself I would urge upon you that if it is difficult for you to arrive at some definite opinion then the Advocate-General he called upon to come and address the House.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr. Speaker, since you have allowed a tresh point to be brought before the House I would point out that the point just cited by my honourable friend opposite does not hold good for the simple reason that although the Arms Act may be a Central subject nonetheless the province cannot be made a base for gun-running which affects the maintenance of law and order in this province and in so far as for the purpose of maintenance of law and order this legislature has to legislate it is perfectly within the competence of this legislature to take adequate measures in order to stop gun-running. It the possession of arms illegally has been made an offence under the Arms Act, the men found in possession of arms may be found guilty and convicted by a court but those who would organise the illegal acquisition of arms could manage to get away with it, and what this new legislation aims at doing is to provide for making that an offence, to organise for, to abet further and do other things necessary for the illegal acquisition of aims an offence and thereby the mischief-maker would come under the mischief of the penal clause provided in this Bill. Therefore, there is absolutely nothing which is beyond the competence of this House regarding this matter.

We all know, Sir, for instance, the railways are a Central subject but the Provincial Government can certainly take adequate steps and the legislature can legislate with a view to effectively stop railway robberies and such other crimes which may be carried on in that connection. It cannot be pleaded that because the railway is a Central subject, therefore all the highwaymen's job can go on uninterrupted so far as railway robberies and such other avocations are concerned. Similarly, in this very dangerous time the Provincial Government is faced with the problem of effectively checking gunrunning as an integral part of its duties to maintain law and order. The Provincial Government has come up before the legislature and the legislature has to legislate on those points in order to give the necessary powers which fall absolutely under its competence. I therefore submit that the objections raised by my honourable friend opposite have no substance behind them and ought to be ruled out.

Ruling of Mr. Speaker on the point of order raised by Mr. Khuda Bukhsh on 5th January, 1948.

Mr. SPEAKER: Yesterday Mr. Khuda Bukhsh raised this point of order in connection with clause 2 of the West Bengal Special Powers Bill and the 13

point of order was that clause 2 purported to legislate in respect of illegal acquisition, possession or use of arms, ammunition or military stores as defined in the Indian Arms Act, 1878, and Explosive Substances Act, 1908, and because in item Nos. 29 and 30 of List I of Seventh Schedule of the Government of India Act, arms, firearms, ammunition and explosives are within the exclusive power of the Dominion Legislature, it is not within the competence of this legislature to enact in respect of such matters.

In the Seventh Schedule of the Government of India Act there are three lists dealing with the powers of the different legislatures. List I deals with the powers which are exclusively possessed by the Dominion Legislature. List II mentions the subjects which are within the exclusive jurisdiction of Provincial Legislatures and List III deals with the subjects over which there are concurrent powers both of the Dominion as well as of the Provincial Legislatures. Item I of List II which deals with the subjects over which the Provincial Legislature has exclusive jurisdiction mentions inter alia the following subjects:—

"Public order (but not including the use of His Majesty's naval, military or air forces in aid of civil power); preventive detention for reason connected with the maintenance of public order; persons subjected to such detention."

In order to decide as to whether the provisions in section 2 of the Bill under consideration so far as it deals with arms, ammunition or military stores or explosives are within the competence of the Provincial Legislature or not, it is necessary to find out as to whether the provisions objected to come within this item No. 1 or not. The object of the Bill in question as defined in its preamble in respect of which also an amendment is before the House shows that the main object of the Bill is the maintenance of public order. The definition of subversive act as given in sub-clause (7) of clause 2 means any act which is intended or is likely inter alia to organise further or help the illegal acquisition, possession or use of arms, ammunition or military stores or explosive substances. The Bill further provides for punishment in cases of such subversive acts, detention with a view to prevent such subversive acts and certain other restrictions regarding loud speakers, megaphones, etc. It is quite obvious that it is not desired by this Bill to directly legislate in respect of arms, ammunition or military stores and explosives. purpose is only the maintenance of public order and to provide for detention which is really included in the Provincial List.

Even if incidentally it may be stated that these provisions encroach upon the Dominion subjects, the trend of all authorities is that it is necessary to ascertain the pith and substance or true nature and character of the legislation and that even if it incidentally encroaches upon the Central subjects, It is within the competence of the Provincial Legislature to legislate—vide Law Reports, Indian Appeals, Vol. 74, Part I, page 23. Public order includes public safety or interest has been held in Federal Law Journal Reports at page 151 and page 163 and it makes the scope of public order still wider.

I am therefore of opinion that the point of order raised by Mr. Khuda Bukhsh is not maintainable.

COVERNMENT BILL.

The West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947.

Clause 2.

Sri JYOTI BASU: I move that in clause 2(I), line 2, the words "or any other thing" be omitted.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, the purpose of my amendment is that if the Gevernment wish to enact any law they must be very definite and clear as to the

definitions of things instead of leaving them vague as it has been done here. Here it says, "essential commodity" means food, water, fuel, light, power or any other thing essential for the existence of the community, etc. this may mean that the Government may take it into its head to call anything it likes under the sun essential, not necessarily things even of the nature of water, fuel, light, power, etc. For instance, it may be said that cigarettes or bires or cloths or jute are essential things. The result would be an unrestricted scope for preventing all sympathetic or political strikes in any industry, because this essential commodity is referred later on in sub-clause (7)(f) wherein it says "to impede, delay or restrict any work or any means of transport or locomotion necessary for the supply or distribution of any essential commodity except in furtherance of an industrial dispute" would be a subversive act. Now, in a particular industry—I have taken an extreme example-like biri, there may be some sort of strike or the work may be impeded or hampered. The Government may turn round and say this is an essential commodity and as such the strike is illegal. Therefore I have specially taken this extreme example in order to illustrate my point. In any case Government cannot be given on principle any such rights whereby it can at its sweet will interpret things according to its likes and dislikes. It is first bad in principle and in law, especially a law which is lawless. Secondly, if it is a question which is often raised of trusting a popular Government we say that it is impossible to trust a Government popular or otherwise if that Government has no faith in an open trial or in the course of the land, because this Government has, all of a sudden after the 15th August, forgotten and, in fact, repudiated the principle that a man is innocent till he is proved guilty. That is what we learnt in our text-books and that is what Anglo-Saxon jurisprudence has taught us by which we are guided here, but unfortunately this Government has given the go-bye to that principle, and accepted very undemocratic principles. Therefore it is no good asking us to give a trial to the Government having faith in the Ministers and their permanent officials, because we know that if any loophole is there in law then as far as the Government is concerned if the Government takes the attitude which it has taken today, then it would mean that people would have to suffer in every case, because though we know that everybody is equal before law, but unfortunately we find in the courts in the ordinary day to day life everybody is not equal in the eyes of law, and we find that although law is the same-

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Sir, I rise on a point of order. He is talking irrelevantly.

Sri JYOTI BASU: In point of fact, Sir, all people are not equal in the eyes of law. It depends sometimes on the Magistrate or the Judge, as to how he has been brought up, what has been his education, what is the political situation in the country and so on and so forth. Therefore I would ask the Government to acept my amendment and delete those words "or any other thing" and if necessary they could have given an exhaustive list by defining what are essential commodities. That would have saved Government taking the trouble of having to ask us all the time to have faith in their officials and their judgment. The best thing for them would be to accept this amendment and make the list exhaustive if so necessary.

Sir, I then beg to move-

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: On a point of information, Sir. Is it your ruling that all the motions should be moved and discussion should follow?

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes.

8ri JYOTI BABU: Sir, I beg to move that the following proviso be added to clause 2(5), namely:—

"Provided that such order sahll be endorsed in writing by the Minister in charge of the Home Department within seven days of making thereof."

Sir, this is a very simple point, and that is that any such order, it it is to be guarded at all from being oppressive on the citizen, then at least it it has the sanction of the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Home Department then there is some sort of a guarantee, although not much of a guarantee. Therefore my point over here is there should be this provise and if it is accepted—I know it will not be accepted but still I want to make this point—that would mean that Government would prove its bona fides. Dr. Ghosh told us that in each case he would very carefully go through all the cases of the accused persons under this Act when it is promulgated. Therefore, Sir, I have given this amendment in order to test that bona fides, and that is my sole purpose in doing so.

Mr. SPEAKER: Amendment No. 13 is out of order. Then comes amendment No. 14 of Mr. Jyoti Basu.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that the following proviso be added to clause 2(7)(a)(a), namely: -

"Provided that no agitation, movement or political or other activity shall be deemed to have been intended or likely to endanger the safety or the stability of the province unless such agitation, movement or political or other activity, endangers communal harmony or encourages external aggression."

Sir, here the purpose of this amendment again is to test the bona fides of the Government, because we have been told times without number here in this Assembly and outside through the press that special powers are necessary for the Government, for this infant Government as we are told, for preserving communal harmony. because whatever we may think Dr. Ghosh thinks that the heroic police of Bengal who have not changed since 15th August have done a wonderful piece of work in restoring communal harmony and hence they should be given some more powers because we are told that because of the lack of power riots took place in Bengal. If the police had some more powers then such riots would not have taken place. That is why the police is being given more powers. That is what Dr. Ghosh told us here in this Assembly and he has been telling us in the press. In this matter of course our experience is quite different. Either they were inactive or they had participated in the riots, participated in the looting. (Mr. Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar: They were your allies before!) Sir. Mr. Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar does not like my remarks about the police. I do not know why, but there must be some purpose for his trying to interrupt me. His newly found friendship with the police is really difficult to understand. Therefore, Sir. as I was saying however much Dr. Ghosh or his Ministers may have become fond of the police and however child-like and infant-like he is putting his trust in the police, because everything is infantlike with them, we feel that the police should not be given these powers, but I am not going into that at the moment. My point is that if you wish to have this clause then at least it should be restricted in its scope, and you must specifically state as to why this is necessary, because, for instance, if you say, as my amendment purported to do, that you wish to preserve communal harmony, then of course working class movement or kisan movement or the movement of other sections of the people will not fall under this. But if that is not done then unfortunately what will happen is that all sorts of movements will come under its scope. Therefore I think it should be restricted in the manner that I have proposed here. Similarly the second point of my amendment is this that it has been made out to the Hindus at

least that Pakistan may, I understand, attack us: there is this danger. If that is so, then it should be specifically stated in this Bill. That is, if there is a fear of external aggression from any quarter then this clause should be invoked. If that is there——

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: That is a Central subject, even if Pakistan would be kind enough to intimate their desire beforehand to you.

Sri JYOTI BASU: If that is there, then I am sure it will not be used for any purpose other than what has always been stated by the Government. Unless these two amendments of mine are accepted, namely, that it will be used for preserving the satety and stability of the province for preserving communal harmony and against external aggression, what will happen is that any police official, even a police sub-inspector, would interpret it according to his education and his own likes and dislikes, and it would mean that even legitimate activities would be interpreted in a manner whereby a man or a section of the people, workers, kisans and clerks, in their ordinary day to day trade union activities—their organized movements for bread, for cloth and so on—could be brought under this Act. Therefore I think that it what the Government is telling us is true, then the best thing would be to accept my amendment.

Lastly, Sir, why always this point is made out that this Bill or this clause seeks to ensure the safety and stability of the province and that it relates to saving the State from the attack of Pakistan, because if any external State like Pakistan wishes to attack West Bengal then it would mean that there would be a state of war. it would mean that you would have to arm the people and have your own volunteer corps. You cannot in that case depend on the ordinary police—the police who have shown a fine record of their service during many years past and who are continuing today even after the 15th of August - You will have to depend on the people and trust them and tell them that they will have to defend with arms in hand their own country. Unless that is done, it is no good just passing a Bill like this and saying merely that "we shall preserve the safety and stability of the province". I may say that these things are not true things,these things which are being trotted out from the press and the platform by Government spokesmen are not correct. There are some other things, and naturally these people in general suspect that the game is something else, and therefore if these amendments are accepted then at least the people will feel that now it is so restricted in scope in conformity with what the Ministers have been saying that we can give this power for a certain time to the Ministers or the Government at least for two or three months. But if these are not accepted and left as they are, then it would mean something else, as we have seen it to mean that ordinary workers of the Broke Bond Company were arrested under the Special Powers Ordinance and we have seen it everywhere. Dr. Ghosh might not know that the workers of the Brooke Bond Company were arrested, for it is not possible for him to know that. Therefore it is no good telling us, "I shall look into every paper and do everything. It is not possible, for as Prime Minister he has got to do many other things. The police will give him the report and it is possible for the police to construe the report in such a manner that they can give trouble to ordinary citizens if they try to organize their trade unions, their kisan sabhas and their ordinary lives for fighting for bread and cloth.

Mr. SPEAKER: Next amendment, Mr. Basu.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that clause 2(7)(d) be omitted.

Sir, this is a very dangerous clause as it has been introduced, because if I read it carefully the meaning would be quite clear. It says: "to prejudice the recruiting of, or the attendance of persons for service in, any

police force or fire brigade or any other body of persons entered, enrolled or engaged as public servants or to tamper with the loyalty of such persons". It is all comprehensive.

Now this is a clause under which will come anything, for instance, if I may give an example, now about 30 to 50 thousand clerks of the Civil Supplies Department may have to lose their jobs because the Government of our country does not take the responsibility to tell these clerks that it is the job of the Government to provide them with work. It is the Government's business to provide them with work and food, but they do not take that responsibility. The Government on the other hand, takes upon itself the responsibility of telling these 30,000 clerks that they shall have to lose their jobs by a particular date, and when the clerks come and ask how they can live, how they can get work anywhere in Bengal or in India today, they are told that "Government is not a charitable institution. You can go and look for yourself, we don't care for you". Sir, Government is going to pass a legislation like this Bill which is called a "Black Bill" by which they are going to restrict the liberty of the citizens; they are going to take away the last weapon of the workers, that is, strikes. Government will do it but Government will not take the responsibility of feeding them. Therefore if the Civil Supplies Department clerks turn round and say that "on a parti-cular day we shall go on strike for two or three hours" and if we encourage them and sympathise with them, then we may be told that we are tampering with the loyalty of such persons. [Sri J. C. Gurta: See (f).] It is no good Mr. J. C. Gupta referring to (f). I shall come to that subsequently. This is not a question of industrial disputes at all, because there is no legislation protecting the workers. Supposing in some factory a worker is maltreated by his officer or he is physically assaulted by his officer, what will happen in that case? The workers have no other redress than to go on strike as a protest. They would be told, here there was no question of industrial disputes and it was illegal and the people were tampering with the lovalty of such persons. Therefore, Government servants specially would find it impossible to make any sort of protest and the Government would have them completely in their grip. If the Government today want slave labour, then alone they can pass this clause, otherwise, as I have suggested, they should, immediately, forthwith delete this clause. It is not necessary at all. It is vicious and the Government know how it will be applied in particular to all Government servants who come within the scope of this particular clause. This has nothing to do with communal harmony, nothing to do with external aggression and nothing to do with goondaism, and therefore if those purposes are to be served I do not see why this clause should remain on the statute book.

Mr. SPEAKER: Next amendment, Sri Jyoti Basu.

Sri JYOTI BASU: I move that clause 2(7)(e) be omitted.

Now, this is another one of those clauses under which all people's movements can be suppressed, all legitimate criticism of the Government can be banned. This is one of the most authoritarian clauses that is there in this Bill. It says—mark the wording—"to cause fear or alarm to the public or to any section of the public". Now, what can the interpretation of this be in a court of law. If, for instance, I go out in a huge procession with flags and festoons protesting against the economic policy of the Government or if I demonstrate for better wages, for better housing facilities, for more dearness allowance, then the Government officials might turn round and say, "Well, you are causing thereby fear and alarm amongst the public or amongst a section of the public, that is, some Government people. You are causing them alarm and therefore you come within the purview of this section, section 2(7)(e)", and thus I may be imprisoned for five years. This is the purpose of this clause.

Now, the Government may say, "this is not going to happen; we are popular Ministers, why should this happen?". But there again I need not reply to that question because there is no question of popular Ministers. We know that such things have taken place, such interpretations have been put on this clause—to cause fear and alarm. For instance, in Rangpur—that was during the previous Government, the ordinance of Mr. Suhrawardy—with the same provision as this law and under a similar clause—one Sudhir Mukherjee who had delivered a speech publicly criticising the District Magistrate there and saying that his policy was such that—

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: May I rise on a point of order? I accept the deletion of clause 2(7)(e). So Mr. Basu need not speak on it any more.

Mr. SPEAKER: In view of the acceptance there is no use speaking on that.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, my amendment is on the same subject and since the Hon'ble Minister is for the acceptance of the deletion there is no need for me to move my amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER: Amendment No. 18. Mr. Basu

8ri JYOTI BASU: I beg to move that clause 2(7)(f) be omitted.

Now, here too, as I have pointed out with reference to a previous amendment, that is, 2(7)(d), a similar interpretation would be put. Of course, 1 know that in the Select Committee it has been so framed that any dispute which comes under the Industrial Disputes Act will not come under this particular clause, but the point is that this clause includes the words "to impede, delay or restrict any work or any means of transport or locomotion necessary for the supply or distribution of any essential commodity. What do they mean? They mean that I cannot even under protest, which is my birthright, if I have no other remedy, go on a protest strike. Just as a few days back the Civil Supplies Department clerks went on a strike-a pendown strike for two hours or three hours. Under this clause you cannot go on a similar strike. If there are certain wrongs done by the Government, if for instance, the people are fired upon by the Government police, then the people have no right to go on strike in protest. That is what this particular clause purports to do and no right-thinking man, no free citizen can accept this, because we feel that as yet Kisan-Mazdoor ray has not been established by the Congress. We are far from that raj and therefore even at this stage when at every point, all along the line, everywhere in India we find the Congress leadership compromising with the British as well as with our own rich people, the capitalists, it is quite impossible for us to accept this because hundreds and thousands and millions of people would have to register their protest by political strikes, sympathetic strikes and so on. We remember that when the All-India Postal Workers went on strike and the Government wanted to suppress them by force of arms, the working class of India demonstrated their just anger and indignation by staging a general strike all over India and many lakhs of Indian working class that day demonstrated their solidarity with the striking postal employees. But today it would be impossible to do that if this clause is there because they would be told that their strike does not come under the Industrial Disputes Act. Therefore, Sir, the real purpose of this Bill, as I have been pointing out clause after clause, is something else than what the Government are trying to tell the people to hoodwink them. This sub-clause (f) will restrict all strikes by the working class and the working class will have to go on strikes which do not come under the Industrial Disputes Act, because there is no other way out as the Government are compromising at every stage with the rich. They are passing laws whereby Excess Profits Tax has been abolished. They are giving concession to the capitalists, but there is no bill in India which says that the minimum wage of the workers will be such and such. Under this condition the working class will have to go on strike again and again. Kisans will not be given land; tillers will have no land; the zamindari system will not be abolished; even if there is some sort of abolition, then compensation will be paid and the ordinary kisan will have to pay the compensation. Therefore, the kisans also will have to take action in protest, otherwise it will be impossible for them to get anything, just remaining idle. But this clause has been introduced to prevent them from their legitimate activities, either trade unionism or anything else.

Therefore, Sir, this should be deleted, as I have pointed out.

8ri AMAR KRISHNA GHOSH: Sir, I beg to move a short-notice amendment that to sub-clause (7)(a)(i) of clause 2, the following explanation be added, namely:—

"Explanation: Acts bona fide indicating disapprobation of the policy or measures of the Government with a view to obtain their alteration by lawful means shall not be deemed to be acts which are intended or are likely to endanger the safety or stability of the Province."

 $\mbox{Mr. 8PEAKER:}\ \mbox{Those who wish to participate in the discussion may now do so.}$

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am sorry that I have to intervene in this discussion on the objection put forward by my honourable friend opposite. He objected to the inclusion of the words "any other thing", but he omitted to notice that it is "any other thing essential to the life of the community". I do not see what smister motive Government could have or what sinister use might be made by Government of this power where Government would be empowered to take steps to ensure that any other thing essential to the life of the community, its supply and organisation might be maintained. In these days of extraordinary difficulties we know from time to time quite a number of things essential to the life of the community become very much endangered and on such illings Government have got to take steps and see that those things are maintained It is therefore a residuary clause which, as a lawyer of profession, my honourable friend opposite ought to know. (Sri Jyon Byst: Lawyer of education and not of profession.) That is a matter of choice. Therefore that residuary clause might be retained there. If my honourable triend proposes not to recognise this fundamental principle, and is pleased to abjure the honourable profession of law which I attributed to him, I have no objection, but I may point out that it is an essential thing and there could possibly be no reasonable objection to it.

Another thing. Much was made by my honourable friend about clause 2(7)(a)(n) where he wishes to retain "communal harmony" and "external" aggression" within the purview of the clause, but he seems-I do not know whether he is conscious of it—to exempt all manner of attempts at internal sabotage or internal armed rebellion or fifth columnist activities, prevention of which is essential for the stability of the State. I do not know what possible objection he could have to bring in these points under this clause, because this Bill, as has been pointed out by some critics outside—qualified critics outside-intends to provide for checking and stopping crimes at the stage of preparation. And unless you can nip the attempts at the stage of preparation, it is no good your providing for these laws at all. In the ordinary courts of law the criminal would come after he has completed his criminal acts, and there on proof he may be convicted and serve a term of sentence. But, Sir, where the security, safety and stability of the entire State is concerned on which depend the civil liberties of each and every individual citizen, there it is essential that Government should see that preparation of subversive activities with a view to subvert a people's State, a Democratic State, is dealt with effectively. I do not see. Sir, why there

should be such solicitude on the part of my honourable friend in exempting attempts at internal armed rebellion or fifth columnist activities or other sinister things from the purview of this law. What will happen if, as my honourable friend has said, there is an armed invasion? We do not know whether there may be any armed invasion or there may not. We have no hostile intention towards any State, but, Sir, we should see that our State is so prepared that any power daring to attack it may be stemmed, may be effectively dealt with. This is the duty of the Central Government and the entire people of this Union of India will stand by the Central Government. It is the duty of our Provincial Government to see that nothing is allowed to be done on the soil of this Province which will in any way further external aggression or any other thing that would come within the mischief of this Act. I can assure my honourable friend that if at all and when the next war breaks out, those who will join the people's war on the other side of the barricade will be dealt with in a manner-a manner in which we shall try to learn lessons from the historic example of Soviet Russia and from no other country. These laws will be inadequate at that time. In order to provide contingencies that may arise, in order to see that people are not encouraged to go to that extreme limit of jeopardising the safety and stability of the State, we have to provide for nipping them in the bud. That is all this clause seeks to do. I do not know why my triend had not even for a moment mentioned fifth columnist activities, internal armed rebellion or such like things. Was the omission consciously done? Was be innocent of such things taking place in modern times. It is beyond my comprehension.

Another point, Sir. A thing quite harmless in itself today may become very dangerous tomorrow. It is absolutely a matter of no concern for a few scraps of steel or iron lying here and there and even railings round the parks. But, Sir, in a time of rioting suddenly these things might be converted into weapons and these things might have to be controlled and dealt with. So if a thing assumes an importance under a certain set of circumstances, then in the light of the altered circumstances Government has to provide for that accordingly. I do not see any reason why my triends should be so unxious to promote strikes in essential services Sir. in essential services. particularly Government 111 services those who are employed comparatively more fortunate than the people. They find then living out of the revenues of the State; they are paid by the State. There are many people who are begging, who are starving, who have suffered the worst in famine; they were striving for the improvement of their standard of living. They are not expected to be unmindful of the average standard of our people and they may not be so foolhardly as to rush into strikes even if the August body with which my friend associates himself gives a call for that I do not see why there is hurry for that. If all people can suffer why some people cannot suffer and have a share in patriotism and national efforts? Sir, much is made about these Civil Supply employees We all feel sorry that people should lose their means of livelihood. Sir, during the years of war many people were enjoying enormous income through blackmarketing-through insidious channels money was going to their pockets. They have been deprived of that means of livelihood. In the Central Legislature it was announced that some of these people of their tribe were receiving Rs. 13,000 per month. If they lose that means of livelihood, we are sorry for that. They have got to suffer. I am amazed at this solicitude for strikes. My friends were not the victims of the atrocious police who are today villified by them. The same police were their allies during the war period. We have read all the newspapers, but I did not hear anywhere my honourable friend Mr. Jyoti Baso or his friends ever giving a call for strikes when 50 lakhs of people perished in the streets of Calcutta. Sir, today when it is the nation to be stabbed in the back, all the solicitude comes up well into the heart of my friend for the Civil Supplies employees. We know all these various things are there. I did not intend in any way to be drawn into arguments of this kind. My honourable friend has chosen to

estion the bone fide of the Government: Dr. Check, the I'rime Minister, did give us some fear and alarm by accepting some amendment of my friend. I do not know what are the benefits to be derived therefrom that has led him to do so. I do not propose to emulate my friend in questioning the bona fides of tried and well-known leaders. I did not question him, and I did not question the bona fides of my friend which is well knowmand need no comment in this unfortunate land of Bengal. In such circumstances I think it is nothing but a frivolous attempt by him to mislead the House and the public by cheap clap-trap terms. If he thinks that the provisions of this Bill ought to have been worked out in a different manner, if he thinks it is such a heinous thing, a vicious thing, an abominable thing, if he is convinced of the mala. fides of this Government, then he is free to accept the lead of his honourable leader who is an ex-leader of the Congress Parliamentary party of this House and go before his constituency and seek re-election. We refuse to oblige his tribe and his honourable leader and we refuse to resign. When the Congress thought of resigning, the Congress did resign and go to prison when my friend joned hands with the police against his nation. Sir, today when the same police is under the orders and command of their own people, if criminals have a right to live and earn their living, those unfortunate pitiables who serve the Government as police and earn their miserable existence may be equally granted the same commiseration. It is a wholly degraded people which has to be rebuilt and the country has to be rebuilt anew. I therefore suggest that my friend need not talk frequently about the bona fides of others-

Sri JYOTI BASU: About you too!

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Yes, that is very well known. I think my friend hardly needs this comment, because we are seeing it from day to day and we see at different times and through these amendments that there has been an attempt somehow to exempt certain types of crime. I do not know what apprehension actuated him to do so and therefore I suggest that all these amendments should be rejected by the House except the one which the Hon'ble the Prime Minister has already announced as having been accepted. I therefore commend all the amendments for the rejection by the House.

(The House was adjourned for fitteen minutes at this stage.)

(After adjournment.)

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: যে সংশোধনী পুস্থাৰ আমি গুড়িশ কৰেটি ড। বাদে আৰু সময় পুঞোৰেৰ আমি বিলোধিত। কর্ছি। এ বিষয়ে আমাৰ আৰু বলবাৰ কিছু নাই।

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 2(1), line 2, the words "or any other thing" be omitted was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYES-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Banerji, the Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra.
Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhandari, the Hon'ble Shri Charu Chandra.
Bhattaoharya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chandrayry, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chandrayry, the Hon'ble Shri Annada Presad.
Presad.
Bas, Mr. Radha Nath.

Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Das, Mr. Kanail Lal.
De, Shri Kanail Lal.
Delui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghese, Mr. A. K.
Ghese, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Shosh, the Hen'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chendra.
Mahatty, Mr. Chruru Chendra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Betteri.

100

Majumdar, the Hen'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Maillok, Mr. Ashutesh.
Mandai, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandai, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandai, Shri Brakubehari.
Mandai, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Murarka, Mr. Basantiai.
Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.

Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath. Pentony, Mr. L. R. Platel, Mr. R. E. Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta. Ray, the Hon'bis Shri Kamai Krishna. Rioketts, Mrs. E. M. Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath. Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra. Wilks, Mr. G. C. D.

The Ayes being 2, and the Noes 44, the motion was lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that the following proviso be added to clause 2(5) namely:—

"Provided that such order shall be endorsed in writing by the Ministerin-charge of the Home Department within seven days of making thereof."

was then put and lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that the following proviso be added to clause 2(7)(a)(n), namely:—

"Provided that no agitation, movement or political or other activity shall be deemed to have been intended or likely to endanger the safety or the stability of the province unless such agitation, movement or political or other activity endangers communal harmony or encourages external aggression."

was then put and lost

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that clause 2(7)(d) be omitted was then put and lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that clause 2(7)(c) be omitted was then put and agreed to

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that clause 2(7)(f) be omitted was then put and lost

The motion of Sri Amai Krishna Ghosh that to sub-clause (7)(a)(ii) of clause 2 the following explanation be added namely:---

"Explanation: Acts bona fide indicating disapprobation of the policy or measures of the Government with a view to obtain their alteration by lawful means shall not be deemed to be acts which are intended or are likely to endanger the safety or stability of the Province."

was then put and agreed to

The question that clause 2, as amended, do form part of the Bill, was then put and a division taken with the following result: -

AYE8-41.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar. Banerji, the Hon'ble Chandra. Hon'ble Mehini Barman, the Sri Mohan. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhandari, the Hon'ble S Charu Shri Chandra. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra the Hon'ble Sri Annada Choudhury, Proced. Das, Mr. Radha Nath. Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath. Das, Mr. Kanailel. De, Shri Kanai Lai. si, Mr. Harondra Wath.

Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Maxumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghesh, the Hen'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari,
Majin, Mr. Nikunja Behari,
Majin, Mr. Nishapati.
Majin, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Maitiok, Mr. Ashatosh.
Mailiok, Mr. Ashatosh.
Mandal, Shri Bankubshari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, the Hon'ble Shri Kaliphda.
Murkterji, Nr. Distrandra Rarayan.

Murarka, Mr. Basantalal. Maskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar. Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra. Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath. Penteny, Mr. L. R. Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta. Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna. Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath. Wilks, Mr. G. C. D.

NOES-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai.

The Ayes being 41 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried.

Clause 3.

The question that clause 3 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 4.

Mr. SPEAKER: The amendment of Mr. Jyoti Basu to clause 4 is out of order.

The question that clause 4 do form part of the Bill, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-41.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath, Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar. Banerji, the Hon'ble Surash Chandra. Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhandari, the Hon'bie 8hri Charu Chandra. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakrabarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri A Choudhury, Shri Annada Prosad. Das, Mr. Radha Nath. Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath. Das, Mr. Kanailal. De, Shri Kanai Lal. Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath. Dutta, Mr. Sukumar. Dutti-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu. Gayen, Mr. Arabinda. Ghose, Mr. A. K. Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.

Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mailick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Murarka, Mr. Basantial.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.

NOES-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai,

The Ayes being 41 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried

Clause 5.

Mr. SPEAKER: Amendment No. 20 is out of order.

Point of Order.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: On a point of order, Sir.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, we have been noticing that any amendment to the effect that a clause be omitted is ruled by you as out of order. You might remember that when the Calcutta Municipal (Amendment) Bill was before this House, an amendment from the Government side moved by the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Bill to the effect that a particular clause be deleted x.on the body of the Bill was ruled by you, Sir, as out of order. At that time I brought to your notice that I have doubts in my mind whether such amendments are in order or out of order. Sir, I beg to refer you to that question at this time, not because I hold any brief from the honourable mover

of the amendment, but because I feel that it is the fundamental right of the members of the House which is encroached upon when such an amendment is ruled out of order. My argument is that if you refer to May, 13th Edition, page 404, you will find that "amendments may be made in every part of the Bill, whether in the clauses, the preamble and the schedules: clauses may be omitted and new clauses and schedules may be added." It has been distinctly laid own by Sir Erskine May that clauses may be omitted, and it clauses may be omitted, I think our right exists that a clause or a subclause can be omitted from the body of the Bill. So far as this House is concerned, our amendments are covered by rule 36 subject to rules 53 and 59. Well, there, Sn, it has been laid down that an amendment which has the effect of a negative vote is to be ruled out of order. Now, Sir, I shall try If it is interto explain what is the scope of the words "negative vote" preted in this way that any amendment which seeks to delete a clause has the effect of a negative vote, then we are landed in a morass of difficulties. So, before trying to interpret the rules themselves I would draw your attention to the regulations issued by you. I would refer you to-page II of your regulations where you have specified Form 3B giving the details of the forms of amendments and if you refer to the first form of amendment, it has been specifically said by you that we can move an ameadment to the effect that such and such clauses be omitted, and by your words I can support the argument which I am producing here.

Then, Sir, what is the meaning of the term "negative vote"? A motion has been made that the Bill be taken into consideration. If you read passages from May, 13th and 14th Editions, then you will find that what is really under consideration of the House is not the sub-parts of the Bill but the second main motion that the Bill be taken into consideration and what would have the effect of a negative vote is not whether a certain clause be deleted or a sub-clause be deleted but whether the main motion that the Bill be taken into consideration a deleted. As regards amendments, May has made clear the objects of an amendment according to bim, the object of an amendment is to improve the language of the Bill, to make it more understandable and to suggest on alternate picture. But how can you realise what is my alternate picture unless you allow my amendments as a whole? Therefore what is really under consideration of the House is not whether every clause and every sub-clause of the Bill should form part of it but the second motion that the Bill be taken into consideration and any amendment which will have a negative effect is the deletion of that main motion and not any amendment which seeks to delete any clause or subclause of the Bill. If you refer to the proceedings of the House of Commons you will find that it has been agreed there that every amendment has to be regarded as a motion. If you suggest an alternate picture, that is in a way a limited negation. If you refer to page 385 of May, 14th Edition, you will find there that it is permissible to move an amendment suggesting an alternate picture, and the House has to make a preliminary choice between the picture presented by the original motion and that by the amendment. Now, Sir, in a way the amendment to the original motion may be regarded as having a negative effect by implication, and if that is accepted by way of implication, then every amendment has the effect of a negative vote, and if that be so, all amendments should be ruled out of order, and that becomes an impossible position. If you refer to the proceedings of the House of Commons you will find that every amendment is regarded as a motion. If you take it to its logical conclusion, you will find that the right exists there even to mutilate the main motion through an amendment. When a motion is put like this: "that such and such a clause do form part of the Bill, and when a member moves that all the words after "that" may be deleted from the motion, the original motion stands mutilated. In this connection I can refer you to a number of instances in the House of Commons and of Lords. For instance, on the 19th June, 1882 the House struck out all the words relating to an amendment and the original motion was thus left to the initial word "that". Sir, I can multiply a number of instances, but I do not wish to do that. If these rights are allowed and if we are to move such multilating amendments then that would also have the effect of a negative vote. I would therefore appeal to you to take the question as a whole and grant us the right to move deletion of a clause because after all the deletion of a clause has not really the effect of a negative vote. But, Sir, if this right is encroached upon, then it would be impossible even to move a consequential amendment. I think that would be a difficult position. In that case it would be impossible for us to improve a Bill if in doing that we have to move consequential amendments.

With these words, Sir, I appeal to you to reconsider your decision and to rule that an amendment to delete a clause is not, in itself, out of order.

sri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Mr. Speaker, Sir, may I say just a few words on the point of order raised by my honourable friend, Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha. Section 42(2) of our Assembly Procedure Rules says that an amendment may not be moved which has merely the effect of a negative vote. If that is interpreted in too technical a manner, you have the authority to rule out even amendments to sub-clauses of Bills; you can do whatever you like under that rule. But, Sir, we must go by the procedure both of this House and elsewhere. Now, Sir, I shall agree that if you were to follow the British practice, you may rule out amendments which propose to omit clauses because in the fourteenth edition of May, on page 539, amendments which are to be treated as out of order are detailed thus:—

- if firstly it is inconsistent with the provisions of the Bill which have already been considered by the House;
- (2) if its effect would be to negative the Bill; or
- (3) it it proposes to leave out the only effective clause of the Bill.

And you may argue, Sir, that since it is leaving out the only effective clause of the Bill, you are, therefore, right in ruling such amendments out of order and that the same rule also applies to committees. Because on pages 522-23 in regard to rule 6, May says: An amendment to leave out a clause is not in order as the proper course is to vote against the clause standing part of the Bill. Consequently it is out of order to propose to leave out any other effective words of the clause or the words upon which the rest of the clause is dependent or to offer any of the amendments which is equivalent to a direct negative of the clause. Now, that also might support the contention to leave such amendments or to rule such amendments as out of order. But as I say, Sir, there is no reason why we should be guided by May or the strict interpretation of rule 42 because if you take the American practice, you will find (vide American Laws by Roberts) that amendments to leave out the whole clause are admitted. We have no definite rules either way—whether it shall be accepted or it shall not be accepted. It you go by the British procedure you might be right in holding that such amendments will be out of order. But as I suggested, if you go by the American procedure, there is nothing to debar you from accepting such amendments. The question arises as to what we should do. As I said, if you go by strict interpretation of our Assembly Procedure Rules, you may rule out many other amendments which are amendments to sub-clauses but I submit that we should treat these things very liberally. As has been pointed out by my honourable friend, in the forms which you have sanctioned for giving notice of amendments, No. 1 is that the clause be omitted. You might hold that the intention was to admit only amendments to subclauses and not to the whole clause. But if that was the intention, there should have been a definite instruction to that effect but no such definite instruction has been given on the amendment forms. So, it cannot now be argued that this was meant only for amendment to sub-clauses only and not to the whole clause.

I submit, therefore, that you can by accepting the precedents of certain countries rule out such amendments, but, at the same time, there are practices in other countries which show that such amendments may be accepted. Now, it is for you to decide the way in which we should work in this Assembly and, I believe, it would be to the advantage of the proper functioning of our parliamentary work here if such amendments were to be allowed because it will obviate at least one difficulty, viz., that if a Government were to think later on in connection with a Bill to omit a certain clause, then the only way that could be done would be to have that clause defeated in the Assembly because they could not move an amendment to the effect that the clause be omitted. If that cannot be done, the only way to get rid of the clause would be to defeat the clause by a vote in the House which would be a very bad thing. For a Government would have to invite defeats for such technical reasons—later on it may be construed that Government have suffered so many defeats. I do not think Government should be placed in that awkward position. Therefore, I submit that you should accept the suggestion of my honourable friend Mr. Sinha.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Mr. Speaker, I wish to speak on this point.

Sir, my friends here have objected that the Speaker has any right in disallowing this amendment. I think, Sir, he is quite within his right to disallow this amendment because ours is a new State and ours is a new Parliament and in order to regulate the procedure of this Assembly, certain rules have been framed by the Speaker and we must guide ourselves according to the rules which have been thus trained

Sir, we need not look to the authorities, to the precedents which have been cited by my learned friend on the other side, e.g., Mr. Sinha. He has cited examples, precedents and rulings of the House of Commons and Mr. Ghose has cited examples and American precedents. But what does that show? In spite of these precedents, in spite of these rulings, certain rules have been framed by the Honble Speaker and we must be guided and our procedure in this Assembly must be guided by those rules and no others.

Now, Sir, the point before the House is whether the rule is this that an amendment may not be moved which has merely the effect of a negative vote. Mr. Jyoti Basu has proposed to move that clause 5 be omitted. What is the effect of that amendment? The effect of the amendment is a negative vote. If the Hon'ble Speaker puts the question before the House whether this clause should form part of the Bill and he asks for an opinion of the Assembly on this point and a decision is given by the Assembly by certain members saying "Aye" and certain members saying "No" and if the "Noes" be passed, then the amendment is lost. Therefore, Sir, I beg to submit that it is altogether a negative vote. So, my contention is that the Hon'ble Speaker is quite right in disallowing the amendment. Now, the question will be put before the House whether this clause will form part of the Bill or not and if my friend proposes to answer in the negative, he may do so, but that does not matter—this will have the same effect as the amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER: I have heard the point of order and the arguments in its favour and also against it. In this House we have been following the practice that such amendments have been ruled out of order, and with regard to this Bill also I have followed that practice and at present I am not prepared to rule that practice as out of order.

Moreover, I find that we have been following the British precedents and not the American precedents. So far as the British precedents are concerned, at page 522 of May's Parliamentary Practice, 14th Edition, it is clearly stated that an amendment to leave out a clause is not in order. The reference to the 13th Edition refers to a Committee of the whole House and not to the House itself.

At the same time, the point which has been raised by the honourable members in this House is a point of general interest and I would like to go into the precedents and find out what the correct practice should be in such cases.

So far as embarrassment to the Government is concerned, I am not to take note of it unless and until the procedure is justified by the rules or by convention. So far as the present is concerned, I think it is advisable for me to tollow the practice which has been consistently followed in this House. So far as the regulation of the Speaker is concerned, it relates to sub-clauses, I understand, but, in any event, I would like to examine the whole position myself with reference to all the authorities. With these words for the time being I rule out the point of order and proceed with the Bill.

The question that clause 5 do form part of the Bill, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-41.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar. Hon'ble Dr. Banerji, the Suresh Chandra. Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhandari, the Hon'ble S Chandra. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri A Shri Annada Prosad. Das, Mr. Radha Nath. Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath. Das, Mr. Kanallal. De, Shri Kanallal Lal. Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath. Dutta, Mr. Sukumar. Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu. Gayen, Mr. Arabinda. Ghose, Mr. A. K. Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.

Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Malti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majmidar, the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mallick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Murarka, Mr. Basantial.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

NOES-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai.

The Ayes being 41 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried.

Clause 6.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I move that in clause 6(1), line 1, after the words "do any act" the words "in the course of communal riots or for encouraging external aggression" be inserted.

At the very outset I made the point while speaking on this Bill that it has not only violated all canons of justice but it has violated the cherished principle of the Congress for which the Congress tought for the last 40 years. I made out that this Bill has also violated the fundamental rights charter as accepted by the Constituent Assembly, and therefore Dr. Ghosh was quite right when yesterday he pointed out that my amendments to him did not mean much. I was opposed to the Bill as such—the Bill from first to last. That is quite correct in a sense, because as I have said it is rather difficult to choose clauses and sub-clauses when I am certainly against the passage of this Bill into law. But even then I had pointed out that to make it as ineffective as possible as far as the people's struggles were concerned I have been moving my amendments, and as such whilst moving this amendment the question may be raised as to why when there is a question of sabotage I raise this point of communal harmony and external aggression. It is because I feel that although it sounds very alarming and dangerous.

the word "sabotage", in fact throughout the whole Bill and especially in this particular clause 2 and its sub-clauses, is related to the same subject-matter and therefore whether it is sabotage, prejudicial act, whatever it is, this amendment should be inserted everywhere. That is, if anybody does any act to further the cause of communal riots or external aggression, then he shall be liable. People would then understand, people would realise that what Government have been saying they will stand up to now when the Bill is being passed. Otherwise the three clauses and sub-clauses which have nothing to do with communal riots and external aggression, which have nothing to do in fact with public order but which have rather everything to do with suppression of people's liberties—if Government do not accept my amendment—will lead people to feel that Government is saying one thing but behaving in a quite different manner. Therefore I would urge upon them to accept my amendments as I have placed them before the House.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 6(I), line 1, after the words "do any act" the words "in the course of communal riots or for encouraging external aggression" be inserted, was then put and lost.

(After Mr. Speaker declared twice that "the Noes have it", Sri Jyoti Basu called for a division, which was not allowed!)

Sri JYOTI BASU: On a point of privilege, Sir. I stood up as soon as you uttered "the Noes have it", and I stand on my right.

Mr. SPEAKER: But there was no voice when I first said "the Noes have it".

Sri JYOTI BASU: As it was a single voice of mine you might not have heard it. And my point of privilege again is, is it necessary that you should hear when I say "Yes" or "No"?

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes, it is necessary.

The question that clause 6 do form part of the Bill, was then put and a division called:—

AYES-38.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar. Banerji, the Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra. the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan. Basu, Mr. Hemania Kumar Bhandari, the Hon'ble Shri Charu Chandra. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Das, Mr. Kanailai.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta Mr. Scheman Prosad. Dutta, Mr. Sukumar. Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu. Gayen, Mr. Arabinda. Ghose, Mr. A. K.

Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Beharl.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Majumdar, the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mailick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.

NOE8-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

14

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

The Ayes being 38 and the Noes 2 the motion was carried.

Clause 7.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 7(2), in lines 2 and 3, after the words "prejudicial report" the words "which is intended and likely to disturb communal harmony or encourage external aggression" be inserted.

Sir, I have already pointed out that I do not accept the definition of "subversive act" as it has been given here, nor do I accept the question of "prejudicial report" as has been defined in this Bill. And therefore in order again to limit the operation of this particular clause I would suggest that if my amendment is accepted, then it would mean that what the Government say they are carrying out in practice. Otherwise it means here that authors, editors, printers and publishers are made to suffer for anything they write or do. As we all know, Sir, the freedom of authors and editors is one of the most important things in any free country, but unfortunately under the veneer of danger to the country this particular clause is being introduced whereby for writing or doing anything these people may have to suffer. And therefore—Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, there is a lot of noise going on and will you kindly control those gentlemen?—as I was saying, if this Government does not want to ride roughshod over the democratic conscience of our country, then it is only logical that my amendment should be accepted and that it should not meet the fate of other amendments because the Prime Minister has so often tried to say in the press that he is certainly not against authors, editors, printers and so on, but, all the same, these people will be subjected to the new tyranny that is being introduced through this Bill. Therefore, I make bold to say here that as a class these people will certainly object to this particular clause; they have felt during so many years now that it is impossible in any country to carry on the work of authors and editors unless they have the completest freedom. But that freedom is being sought to be taken away by this particular clause by this Government which claims to be a popular Government Therefore, I think that, even though the Hon'ble Minister may not accept this amendment, the outside world would know-I feel it a duty to say so-would realise what is being sought to be done.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: I rise on a point of order. This is irrelevant. What others will think of it is not relevant. The point is whether the amendment should be accepted or not

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: It is a point of order?

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: It is a point of order. I want a ruling on the point.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: It is no point of order.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Therefore, it is no use just pointing out that by this clause a prejudicial report is being sought to be shut out because prejudicial report may mean anything. Even if you use the word "subversive", it does not make any change, as I have pointed out earlier, because the words "subversive", "prejudicial", "sabotage" do not mean anything in the context of a Bill which in itself is tyrannical and anti-democratic. Therefore, I think that if it is qualified even to a certain extent, it may have certain meaning. Of course, we shall be compelled to say what we have been saying from the very beginning that we doubt the bona fides of this Government and the bona fides of the people who are seeking to rush through this Bill in this Assembly.

So, in conclusion, I would again urge upon this House to accept my amendment.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: আবি এই সংশোধনী পুজাবের বিবোধিত। করছি ; কোন বক্তা আবি করতে চাই দা। Mr. ABUL HASHEM: Sir, I rise on a point of privilege. I find that the Hon'ble Chief Minister—the mover of this Bill—when clause by clause is being discussed, is simply rising in his seat and saying. "I simply oppose". I feel that it is the right and privilege of this House that, when a serious question of amendment is raised and when a serious point is made by a gentleman moving an amendment, they should get clear clarification of the point of view of the mover because, Sir, it is not infrequently the case that in a controversy regarding interpretation of a particular Bill or a clause in a court of law, the mover or some responsible person is quoted.

Since the Hon'ble Minister has more than once declared that the main purpose of introducing this Bill is to prevent communal riot and all these things and this particular amendment refers specifically to that point, we expected that he should clarify the point and explain why the amendment is not accepted and how it does not contradict his profession—what he declares in the press so often. I know that he cannot be compelled by law or rule to make any speech, but at least courtesy demands that he should clarify his point and make his position absolutely clear and convince us how even without accepting the amendments the Bill remains confined to the purposes that he so often declares in the press and as stated in the Assembly.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The Chair does not object to it—If anybody moves any amendment, and no reply is given by the Hon'ble Minister, I have nothing to do with it

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: There is no question of imendment. I said it clearly that even by rule of law you cannot compel any member to speak. Still it is the custom of the House and courtesy demands that he should explain his position. Therefore, you can help us in this matter. On our behalt you can request the Hon'ble. Minister. The point is serious and affects the fundamental purposes of the Bill. Therefore, we request through you the Hon'ble Premier to clarify the position instead of following the golden principle of silence and simply saying. "I do not accept it".

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I cannot compel the Chief Minister to say something. If he does not say anything, the Chair is helpless

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: I do not know whether the honourable member was present. The same arguments with regard to external aggression and so forth were repeated by the honourable mover of this amendment earlier and they have been replied to and I think the time of the House is precious enough not to be wasted by repetition of arguments and replies. Therefore, I think that the question of privilege which has been raised by the honourable member is without substance and it has been replied to.

Sri JYOTI BASU: The Prime Minister did not reply to any of my amendments.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: It is not the Chair's business to compel him to say something.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: I admit the Speaker cannot compel him. All I say is that you kindly request him on our behalf

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 7(2), in lines 2 and 3, after the words "prejudicial report" the words "which is intended and likely to disturb communal harmony or encourage external aggression" be inserted, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYES-6.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

NOES-38.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar.
Banerji, the Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra.
Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhandari, the Hon'ble Shri Charu Chandra.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada Prosad.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das, Mr. Kanailal.
De, Shri Kanail Lal.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.

Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maltl, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majin, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Maj, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath,
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.

The Aves being 8 and the Noes 38, the motion was lost.

The question that clause 7 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to

Clause 8.

8ri JYOTI BASU: I move that in clause 8(I), lines 3 and 4, after the words "prejudicial report" the words "which is intended and likely to disturb communal peace or encourage external aggression" be inserted.

This particular clause provided for the proscription and so on of certain documents. Now we find that after a lot of noise had been raised in the press and elsewhere, editors and newspapers have been exempted now after the Bill has come up before us from the Select Committee. Of course there was a purpose for this. The purpose was to get the support of newspapers for this Bill. But that purpose tailed as far as I can see, because most of the papers in Bengal except the "Hindusthan Standard" and "Ananda Bazar Patrika" were against this Bill, and they wrote editorials to that effect. So editors have been left out of the mischief of law and I believe one of the important editors at a particular conference held by the Home Minister exclaimed in alarm, "if somebody writes something from a particular document which is found with me I shall have to undergo five years' rigorous imprisonment. This sounds fantastic." After this the editors have been left out, but all the same the poor authors, printers, publishers and so on-they come under this particular clause. I do not see why it editors could have been left out, these authors, printers and so on were not left out, even if those documents were not newspapers. Pamphlets may be published by a particular author. I do not see why he has to undergo all the rigorous of this barbaric law whereas the editors, for fear, have been given the exemption. Now what would this mean? In fact, it would mean that Government through any of its officers can ask any author to deliver a document or any copy thereof to any officer specified in the order. Now it often happens- (At this stage the blue light was lit) The blue light so soon! After how long has the blue light been lit, Sir

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: After three minutes.

Sri JYOTI BASU: As I was saying, Sir, it often happens and it has happened with newspapers that hundreds of people give them secret documents containing important news about the working of the different departments of Government, but if the author has to tell the particular officer as to who gave him this document then I do not see how many books,

pamphlets, leaflets and so on can be freely published. It is the right of any citizen, any author, printer or publisher, to publish things which he gets from others as long as these documents do not encourage communal aggression or disharmony. As long as they do not preach the violent overthrow of the Government, he has every right in any civilized country to publish whatever he likes. But unfortunately our Government are playing a different role altogether—I do not know why--and yet they call themselves a popular Government. It is amazing 1 know that they are sitting here by the people's votes and I also know that for some time more they will continue to remain on the Treasury benches, but at least they should do some justice to the people who elected them, they should do justice to the election manifesto of the Congress under which they have been elected and in which the freedom of the Press was guaranteed, but today that freedom of authors and publishers which was guaranteed has been taken away. I cannot publish what I write, even though it may not go against the Government. Even if it goes against a particular officer or any individual, my book or my pamphlet may be proscribed, and I may be asked to provide them with a document which I have got from some body who in all good faith might have given it to me. So I suggest that my amendment be accepted.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: I think my honourable friend's fears are justified. He might come under the mischief of this law. Not long ago a paper which is run by the organisation which belongs to my friend's party declared. "Let Subhas come back to India. he will receive not garlands but bullets." I am certain if that habit is persisted in, then it will definitely come under the mischief of this law and therefore there is justified reason for his apprehension. But, Sn. I am afraid Government cannot oblige him: Government cannot oblige criminals who want that type of liberty and that type of back-stabbing. Government cannot oblige those who have been adopting, as we have witnessed, demonrac practices, and it is for them to decide whether or not they would obstruct by Fascist methods or to act just like my honograble friend who is trying constitutionally to obstruct the passage of this legislation in this House We join with him in this kind of constitutional obstruction but we raise objection to what seems according to our judgment undue evasion. If licence and liberty is asked for with a view to perpetrate that type of hemous crimes perpetrated with impunity under the British regime by the organisation of my honourable friend, then I am atraid it would be too much for my honourable friend to get away with impunity. So his apprehensions in the light of past experience may be justified, but it is for the Government whether they will oblige lam or not

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: 'ब'गि १डे **ज**्रानास**नी** প্রস্তাবের বিবেশ্চিত কর্নছ ।

The motion of Sir Jvoti Basu that in clause 8(1), lines 3 and 4, after the words "providicial report" the words "which is intended and likely to disturb communal peace or encourage external aggression" be inserted, was then put and a division taken, with the following result :--

AYE8 -2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

1948.]

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

NOES -38.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar. Banerji, the Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra. Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhandari, the Hon'ble Shri Chandra.

Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada Prosad. Das, Mr. Radha Nath. Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath. Das, Mr. Kanailal.

De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Qayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Chose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haidar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majini, Mr. Nishapati.
Majundar, the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.

Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandai, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandai, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandai, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mokerji, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Ayes being 2 and the Noes 38, the motion was lost.

Sri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to move that the explanation to sub-clause (1) of clause 8 be omitted.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I accept the amendment.

The motion of Sri Amar Krishna Ghosh that the explanation to subclause (1) of clause 8 be omitted was then put and agreed to

The question that clause 8, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYES-38.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar. The Hon'ble Banerji, Dr. Suresh Chandra. arman. The Barman, Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhandari, The Hon'ble S Shri Charu Chandra. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Choudhury, The Hon'ble Shri A Choudhury, Shri Annada Presad. Das, Mr. Radha Nath. Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath. Das, Mr. Kanallal. De, Shri Kanal Lai Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath. Dutta, Mr. Sukumar. Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu. Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.

Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.

NOES-2.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

The Ayes being 38 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried

Clause 9.

Mr. SPEAKER: Amendment No. 26 is out of order

8ri AMAR KRISHNA GHOSH: Sir, I beg to move that after clause 9, the following explanation be added, namely:—

"Explanation: In this Chapter 'document' includes gramophone records, sound tracks and any other articles on which sounds have been recorded with a view to their subsequent reproduction."

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I accept this amendment.

The motion of Sri Amar Krishna Ghosh that after clause 9, the following explanation be added, namely:—

"Explanation: In this Chapter 'document' includes gramophone records, sound tracks and any other articles on which sounds have been recorded with a view to their subsequent reproduction."

was then put and a division taken with the following results:-

AYES-39.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerji, Shri Susii Kumar. Banerji, the Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra. Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhandari, the Hon'ble Shri Charu Chandra.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada Prosad.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Das, Mr. Kanai Lai.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. A. Bimai Comar.

Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Gupta, Mr. J. C. Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand. Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra. Malti, Mr. Nikunja Behari. Majhi, Mr. Nishapati. Majumdar, the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati. Mal, Mr. Iswar Chandra. Malick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Malick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Maskar Shri Ardhendu Sakhar. Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar. Naskar, Hon'ble Shri Ham the Chandra. Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath. Pentony, Mr. L. R. Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta. Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna. Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra,

NOE8---2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

The Ayes being 39 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried

The question that clause 9, as amended, do form part of the Bill, was then put and a division taken with the following result

AYE8-40.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Banerji, the Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra.
Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar,
Bhandari, the Hon'ble Shri Charu Chandra.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada Prasad.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Das, Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Das, Mr. Kanail Lal.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.

Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Matti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, the Hen'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mailok, Mr. Ashutoeh,
Mandai, Shri, Bankubehari.
Mandai, Shri, Bankubehari.
Mandai, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Moskerji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.

NOES-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai.

The Ayes being 40 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried

Clause 10.

The question that clause 10 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 11.

The question that clause 11 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 12.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that the following proviso be added to clause 12, namely:—

"Provided that any person or persons aggrieved by such use of force by any police officer may, by a petition before a Magistrate with first class powers, demand judicial inquiry or otherwise challenge the conduct of the police officer concerned."

Sir, here of course the heading is in this clause—"use of force to stop looting"—and special powers have been given to the police to the extent of causing death. Now this may sound all right as far as it goes, but again the point arises as to who are those policemen: what is the kind of authority to whom I am giving this absolute power even to the causing of death. Here again, as I have said previously times without number we cannot have any faith in the police who have not changed since the 15th of August last. I do not know whether the Congress leaders have through their non-violent efforts changed the mental outlook of these policemen. But we find in practice that they have not changed at all and therefore it is a very relevant point that if power has been transferred to the leaders in India, then the whole organisation, as it existed during the British Imperialist times, should have been smashed to pieces and new people put in charge of every department—the personnel of the army, of the police and of the civil services and so on should have been changed outright for otherwise we know that it is impossible for the State to function The State functions through its police, through its officers. If those officers have been trained up in a particular way, then however much I may try to change their hearts, it is not possible and history has shown us that they should be replaced. Therefore wherever a new State has been established after a successful fight against Imperialism and Feudalism, always the rulers of the new State have driven out the old officers and put in new people full of patriotism in their places. But we find that our Government has not done so. In point of fact our Government has done the Congress leaders and Congressmen, as such, those are the people like Mr. S. N. Chatterjee, who are being put into power again. Of course, in India they may say things are different because here by our mantra of nonviolence we shall change their hearts. But as far as these people are concerned we find that the hearts of the policemen and of the officials have not changed. Therefore I am unwilling to give them this supreme authority of shooting people.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, I find there is not much time and therefore I conclude with these words that the necessity of this motion is so obvious that Government will be willing to accept it.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr. Speaker, Sii, this amendment relates to a clause which provides for checking of looting when it is going on. During the last Calcuttariots it has been our experience that often looting had gone on and the ordinary police sentry with firearms had remained standing there without intervening in any manner and looting had continued. One reason has been, it is said, that the police posted on duty there could not fire in order to check looting unless some orders were given by the higher officer. In this particular clause power is being given to the police officers to fire when within their view looting is going on. Then they can stop that looting by any amount of necessary force including, if necessary, taking life by shooting in order to stop

looting which is going on within their view and it would be no justification for a policeman to say, "I stood and watched looting actually in progress but I could not fire because there was no superior officer to pass the order on me". A police officer who may be in sympathy with the miscreants might attack those unfortunate people in order to aid and abet the looters. It is therefore a duty imposed on the police to use necessary force including the utmost force and taking hite by shooting in order to stop looting effectively. Sir, from our previous and recent experience we can say that in different parts of Bengal really the police being present there and taking steps have succeeded in stopping looting. The House must also realise that if a police officer in bad taith fires and takes lite by shooting or uses lesser force and it is found that he did so when looting was taking place within his view, that police officer will immediately come under the operation of this law and will have to take the penulty to abuse of powers.

Sir, the clause has laid down, when looting is taking place within his view-

Sri JYOTI BASU: On a point of order. Does the honourable member know what he is talking about? He has not read the amendment

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: He has not read the amendment. He does not understand what the amendment aims at

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr Speaker, I am afraid the honourable member opposite is getting confused because he finds the grounds shifting from under his feet. Therefore, I may make short work with that remark about his frivolous point of order

Sir, it is also provided that when shooting or any such use of force has taken place, there will be a judicial enquiry. After that there can be an enquiry to show and prove whether actually looting has taken place or not. It no looting took place, then no use of force would be justified. I, therefore, suggest that if the police is fettered, then looting within his view may go on with impunity and that is what this clause seeks to provide for.

8ri J. C. CUPTA: This amendment would not be necessary because it is well known that it in Calcutta death occurs, there is an enquiry in the Coroner's court and if in the motissil any death takes place, at once the firing has got to be reported by telegram and a magisterial enquiry takes place under the ordinary law.

The motion of 811 Jyoti Basii that the following proviso be added: to clause 12, namely -

"Provided that any person or persons aggreed by such use of force by any police officer may, by a petition before a Magistrate with first class powers, demand judicial inquity or otherwise challenge the conduct of the police officer concerned.",

was then put and a division taken with the following result: -

AYE8-9.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti Brahmin, Mr. Ratanlal. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

NOE8-39.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar. Banerji, the Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra. Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan,

Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhandari, the Hon'ble Shri Charus Chandra. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chekravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Cheudhury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada
Presad.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Das, Mr. Kanailai.
De, Shri Karai Lai.
Doiul, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Chose, Mr. A. K.
Chose, Mr. A. K.
Chose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Choch, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.

Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Beheri.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, the Hon'ble Sivi Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra,
Mailiok, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mookerji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.

The Ayes being 9 and the Noes 39, the motion was lost.

The question that clause 12 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Adjournment.

The House was then adjourned at 7-38 p.m. till 3-45 p.m. on Thursday, the 8th January, 1948, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Thursday, the 8th January, 1948, at 3-45 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Speaker (the Hon'ble Shri Iswar Das Jalan) in the Chair, 8 Hon'ble Ministers and 55 members.

Oath.

Mr. SPEAKER: Members who desire to take the oath should now take the oath.

The following member then took the oath:-

Dr Bidhan Chandra Roy

Election to Board of Industries.

Mr. SPEAKER: Honourable members are aware that two members are to be elected to the Board of Industries by the West Bengal Legislative Assembly Accordingly the nominations of Shri Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar and of Mr. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi were duly received and those nominations have been held valid after scrutiny. I declare that Shri Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar and Mr. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi are duly elected to the Board of Industries.

COVERNMENT BILL.

The West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, as reported by the Select

Clause 13.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that the following proviso be added to clause 13(I), namely:—-

"Provided that no such order shall remain in force for a period exceeding seven days from the time of serving thereof",

Mr. Speaker, there have been occasions in Bengal when due to communal disturbances and nots it was necessary to impose curfew order, but it must be remembered that the common experience of all of us has been that it was mainly either the mactivity or the direct participation of the Police in the riots which culminated in the shackling of our liberties. Had the C. I. D. and the Police acted in a way they usually act in hunting down patriots, trade unionists and so on, they with all their inefficiency would certainly have found out the criminal elements and we would have been spared this shackling of our liberties and the hand of repression. I do not see why people must suffer because of the inactivity, the criminal negligence of the Police. The Government instead of reprimanding the Police and C. I. D. because they failed in their duty is investing them with extraordinary powers. Therefore I suggest in my amendment for a time-limit of seven days after which the order should automatically lapse. If necessary this order can be renewed at will. Otherwise Government would in a very authoritarian way continue to impose curfew orders for as many days as they think necessary, and what they may think necessary, we may not think that to be necessary at all. Of course I have given my amendment,

because I feel that an amendment must be given in order to make this Bill as ineffective as possible. Therefore I suggest that if Government is serious about what it says in the press and the platform, they should accept my amendment and the people should not be told every time that this power to impose curfew or any other thing is necessary, as was found from experience, and so by special legislation the powers shall be incorporated into this Bill. I feel, as I have said and I repeat that once more, that for the sins of the Police, the citizens should not be made to suffer. Mr. Speaker, in this connection of course the Hon'ble Minister who does not think it necessary to reply to any of the amendments as to why he does not accept most of my amendments, may think that I am talking against curfew which was usually imposed in Bengal during riots, but the point is I feel that this Bill is certainly not going to be used in order to curb riots; it will definitely be used to curb working class and kisan movements. That is why I have brought this amendment and I feel that at least we are entitled to have replies from the Chief Minister 1 do not see why he is so pressed for time. There is not much of an opposition. He might, instead of rushing through this Bill in this manner, give at least some replies to our amendments, because I feel that in this House that has been the practice as was pointed out yesterday by another honourable member. So at least we would know from him as to whether he has revised his opinion now with regard to this Bill or about the purpose of the Bill, because I find reading all the newspapers up-to-date that the statements made by the Minister there and the statements inside the Assembly do not tally at all with what is being done through this particular Bill, and that is why in many of my amendments which deal with this particular subject I state that if they want special power then let that special power be only with a view to maintaining communal harmony and peace and safety and stability of the Province from external aggression But no answer is forthcoming as yet from the Chief Minister. I would suggest that at least he should have the courtesy to give us some answer

Sir, I beg to move that in clause 13(2), line 3, for the word "year" the word 'month" be substituted

With regard to this I have not much to say except that, in view of what I have just said as regards clause 13(I), it punishment is going to be given at all, then it should be limited to one month and not extend to one year as has been sought to be done here, because we have also been told that this Act may not be necessary at all after one year. So let us at least see within the body of this Bill that this particular purpose is being ratified. On the other hand if we find that punishments are to be given for three or five years, it would mean what I have been saving all along that the Ministers say one thing and do another which seems to be their usual practice now-a-days after the 15th of August.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: নৃতি সংশোধনী প্রস্তাব উষ্ত জ্যোতি বস্ উপন্দিত করেছেন। তিনি তার বক্তার প্রথমেই বলেছেন যে বিলটি যাতে কাষাকিরীকরতে না পারা বার, তাব জনাই লিনি এই সমস্ত সংশোধনী প্রস্তাব এনেছেন। কাজেই তার এই দৃটি প্রস্তাবেও সেই মহুং উদ্দেশ্যই রয়েছে। একটি প্রস্তাবে দিয়েছেন যাতে ৭ দিনের মধ্যেই নাকচ হয়ে যায়—এটা হছে ২৯ নম্বর amendment. তার একটা হছে এক বছরের বদলে এক মাস সাজা। অর্থাং অপকম্মা করে শাস্তি এড়িয়ে যাওয়া, যাতে বিলটি কোন রক্মে কাষ্যাকিরী না হয়। এই ' দুইটি সংশোধনী প্রস্তাবেরই আমি বিরোধিতা করেছি।

The motion of Sn Jyoti Basu that the following proviso be added to clause 13(I), namely:—

"Provided that no such order shall remain in force for a period exceeding seven days from the time of serving thereof".

was then put and a Division called, with the following result:-

AYES-2.

NOE8-43.

Bandopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar.
Banerji, the Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra.
Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattacharaya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada Prosad.
Das, Miss Bina.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Dass, Mr. Radha Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailal.
De, Shri Kanai Lal.
Dolul, Mr. Harendranath.
Dutt-Mazumar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. A. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.

Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Niskunja Behari.
Majin, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mallick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandai, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandai, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandai, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendranath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Aves being 2 and Noes 43, the motion was lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 13(2), line 3, for the word "year" the word "month" he substituted, was then put and lost.

The question that clause 13 do form part of the Bill was then put and a division taken with the following result —

AYES-40.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar.
Banerji, the Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra.
Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini
Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada
Prosad.
Das, Miss Bina.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailal.
De, Shri Kanai Lal.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Canguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Cayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Chose, Mr. A. K.
Chose, Mr. Bimal Comar.

Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Malumdar, the Hon'ble Shri Shupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mallick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandai, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandai, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra.
Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.

NOES-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

The Ayes being 40 and the Noes 2, the motion was agreed to.

Clause 14.

871 JYOTI BASU: Su, I beg to move that in claues 14, lines 4 and 5, for the words "of or above the rank of a head constable" the words "in charge for the time being" be substituted.

This, of course, is not a vital amendment. The only point I wish to make out is that this constable—head constable or whoever be in charge of the police station for the time being—should be substituted because otherwise it may mean any constable or anyone above the rank of a head constable. So, that is the only purpose of my amendment.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 14, lines 4 and 5, for the words "of or above the rank of a head constable" the words "in charge for the time being" be substituted, was then put and lost.

The question that clause 14 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 15.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, 1 beg to move that in clause 15(3), line 2, after the word "force" the words "short of opening fire" be inserted.

Sir, it is surely not the intention of the Government that they would authorise any police officer to use such force even to the extent of shooting to secure compliance of orders made under this section. I, therefore, suggest that we say so in so many words so that there may not be any misapprehension in the minds of the police when they are called upon to effect compliance of these orders. We have seen the police are to open fire. We have seen the police opening fire in the Assembly on the defenceless crowd. For that I think the need for my amendment is obvious and the Hon'ble Home Minister will see the need of it and accept it.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: আমি খোদাবঙ্গ সাহেবের এই সংলোধনা প্রস্তাবের বিরোধিতা করছি। কারণ এই হাউসে আমাদের সামনে প্লিমের নিজ্জিরতার, সামপ্রদায়িক দাশাহাজ্ঞামা বন্ধ করার জন্য প্লিশ প্লি করেনি, এ অভিযোগ অনেকে করেছেন; এবং বছুবার বন্ধু কেথাও বলেছেন যে প্লিশ দাড়িয়ে রয়েছে অথচ কাজ করেনি। আমরা এরকম কয়া বাল না যে গ্লি করেছেই হবে। যদি নিতান্ত প্রয়োজন হয়, সাম্প্রদায়িক শান্তি বজায় রাখিবার জন্য শেকার সময় গ্লি করেবে না'', একথা বলা ত অনুচিত হবে। কাজেই সাম্প্রদায়িক শান্তি বজায় রাখতে হবে এবং তার জন্য যদি প্রয়াজন হয় প্লি করা হবে। তবে প্রয়োজন না হলে গ্লি কবা হবে না এটা অবশ্য ঠিক। কিন্তু প্রয়োজন গলেও যে গ্লি করা হবে না এটা আগে থেকে যদি নিদ্দেশ করে দেওয়া হয়, তাছ'লে হয়তো ফের একদিন খোদাবঙ্গ সাহেবই বলবেন যে, ''আমাব উপর জুলুম, অত্যাচাব চলেছে- প্লিশ সেখানে দাড়িয়ে ছিল, অথচ গ্লি করেনি''। এই অবক্যায় আমাকে বলতে হছে যে, তখন এব প্রতিবিধান করারও সময় থাকবে না। কাজেই আমি মনে করি এই প্রস্তাব যা আছে তাই থাকা ডচিত। মিঃ খোদাবঙ্গর সংশোধনী প্রস্তাব অগ্রাছ্য করা ছোক। আমি এব বিরোধিতা করিছি।

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that in clause 15(3), line 2, after the word "force" the words "short of opening fire" be inserted, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYES-12.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai. Husan Ara Bagum. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr. Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Muhammad Siddique, Dr. Byed. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

NOE8-41.

Bandopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar.
Banerji, the Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra.
Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini
Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Sayamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada
Prosad.
Das, Miss Bina.
Das, Mr. Kanalial.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.

Dolul, Mr. Harendranath.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Chose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.

Mallick, Mr. Ashutosh. 開新組制, Mr. Annadaprasad. Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad. Maskerjee, (Re Hon'ble Shri Kalipada. Maskar, Shri, Ardhendu Sekhar. Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra. Panja, Mr. Jadabendranath.

Penteny, Mr. L. R. Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta. Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna. Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra. Roy, Mr. Jajneswar. Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Ayes being 12 and the Noes 41, the motion was lost.

The question that clause 15 do form part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 16

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Sir, I beg to move that for clause 16(I), the following be substituted, namely:—

"The Provincial Government if after making such enquiry as it thinks fit is satisfied that reasonable ground exists for taking action under this Act with respect to any particular person with a view to prevent him from doing any subversive act may arrest such a person and produce him before Chief Presidency Magistrate, if in Calcutta and District Magistrate outside Calcutta and forward all relevant papers to such Magistrate. The Magistrate after examining the papers as also the person and the officer conducting the enquiry may order—"

Sir, may I move all the amendments standing in my name? That will make the meaning clear

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: I also move that in clause 16(I), for item (a), the following be substituted, namely:—

"(a) directing that he be detained if in the opinion of the Magistrate such subversive act cannot be otherwise prevented;".

Then, Sir, there is a printing mistake in amendment No. 43. It should be "review" and not "renew".

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: What about amendment No. 379

8ri BIMAL GOMAR CHOSE: Sir, we cannot follow what amendments he is moving.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr Mudassir Hossain, will you kindly move all the amendments that you wish to move?

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Yes, Sir. Then I move that in clause 16(I), item (a) be omitted.

I also move that in clause 16(I), for item (a), the following be substituted, namely—

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: On a point of order, Sir. Amendment No. 37 proposes to remove clause 16(I), item (a). Amendment No. 39 wants to substitute something for item (a). Then it should be a new insertion and not substitution. So I would urge upon you, Sir, to rule out either amendment No. 37 or amendment No. 39 Both cannot be in order.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: The point which has been raised is out of order (Laughter).

Mr. SPEAKER: So far as amendment No. 37 is concerned, it relates to the omission of a part of the clause and not of the entire clause, and therefore it is in order.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, I think I have not been able to make myself clear. The point is that if amendment No. 37 is in order, then the wording of amendment No. 39 should be changed to mean that a new sub-clause should be inserted, and the use of the word "substituted" cannot be made.

Mr. SPEAKER: Both are in order—one directly and the other indirectly.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Sir, I move that in clause 16(1), for item (a), the following be substituted, namely:—

"(a) directing that he be detained if in the opinion of the Magistrate such subversive act cannot be otherwise prevented:"

I also move that after clause 16(6), the following new sub-clause be added, namely:—

"(7) The High Court may review the orders made under this section and pass such order as it thinks fit."

As I have said, Sir, there is a printing mistake. It should be "review" and not "renew".

Sir, the charge which has been brought against this Bill is that it is empowering the police to detain any person without any crime or without any semblance of a crime. That is going to be an enactment which is most undemocratic, and the world has never seen any such undemocratic Act being enacted by any legislature in any democratic country. Here the Congress Government is now in power and the Congress has always professed that it is the most democratic institution and has even said that the Congress is the heaven of democracy. In such circumstances it seems rather a tragedy, an irony of fate, that our Congress Government is arming itself with the most arbitrary powers.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: It gives less power than your Special Powers Ordinance.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: It is not the Special Powers Ordinance that we are discussing here. You have changed its name; it is the Security Bill which you have introduced and under the name of security you are taking all these drastic powers which the previous Government did not.

What I propose, Sir, is that if the provincial Government, after making such enquiry as it thinks fit, is satisfied that an emergency exists and that the peace and tranquillity of the province cannot be maintained otherwise than by the detention of a certain person, let it arrest the person and produce him before a Presidency or a District Magistrate and District Magistrates are all judicial officers who will scrutinise the evidence of the police officer who has recommended for the detention of the person or persons. The Presidency or District Magistrate shall examine the person arrested and, if after examining the enquiring officer and the relevant papers, is satisfied that an emergency exists and that the subversive act cannot be prevented otherwise than by the detention of the person, then he can be detained. But if the clause be retained as it is, it will mean that the Act will empower an ordinary police officer to arrest a person and put him in jail for an indefinite period without bringing against him any charge, without allowing a judicial officer to go into the merits of the case by examining the charges brought against him. Therefore, Sir, what I propose is that if the Government is informed that a state of emergency exists and that a certain person is committing an overt act as would lead to the destruction of peace and tranquillity of the province, then the Government ought to have an enquiry made by a responsible officer, and if after making an enquiry Government is satisfied that it is necessary to arrest and detain such a person, Government can at once arrest and produce him before a Presidency or a District Magistrate who is its own officer, and he will scrutinise and examine all the relevant papers as also take the

evidence of the accused person and if after examination he comes to the conclusion that it is necessary in the interest of the State, for the preservation of its peace and tranquillity and harmony, to detain him, he may make such an order. In order further to safeguard the liberty of the subject, I have provided that that order may be reviewed by the Hon'ble the High Court. Sir, it is a well known fact that the independence and liberty of a State is not worth purchasing unless you have an independent judiciary and an independent High Court in which the people of the State may have confidence. I pray therefore that the Hon ble the Prime Minister who is a great Congress man and a leader of the Congress will be pleased to accept my proposal, for the provision which you have made in the Bill is subversive of all liberty of the people. It destroys the civil liberty, and it is the main clause which is most objectionable. Sir, while I propose to let it remain on the statute book. I create by my amendments some safeguards and I appeal to my Prime Minister to calmly consider what he thinks of them. Will be arm a police officer to arrest any person and after ordering his detention forward the relevant papers? You have got your judiciary to see whether a person should be imprisoned. So that is not proper.

With these words, Sir, I commend my motions to the acceptance of the House, and I hope the Houble the Prime Minister will kindly see his way to accept them

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr Khuda Bukhsh, you can move all your amendments.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I think it will not be necessary to 60 so, for if the amendments of Mr. Mudassir Hossain fall through, it will be necessary for me to move my amendments. I do not know whether Government will now reply to Mr. Mudassir Hossain's speech

Mr. SPEAKER: No. It is better for you to move your amendments.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I move that in clause 16(I), line 1, for the words "the Provincial Government" the words "the Minister-in-charge of the Home Department—be substituted.

Sir, I beg to move further that the following proviso be added to clause 16(I)(c), namely:—

"Provided that the Provincial Government shall defray all expenses incurred in connection with the compliance of this sub-section."

Also, I beg to move that in clause 16(D(e)), line 4, after the words "communication with other persons" the words "except those that are deemed to be privileged communications" be inserted.

Sir, the Provincial Government is one thing and the Hon'ble the Home Minister is another. What we are willing to trust the Hon'ble Home Minister with, we feel we cannot trust the Provincial Government with. Here, Sir, our party would insist that the Hon'ble Home Minister should be personally satisfied before an order under section 16 issues. Sir, this is the section that causes the most misgiving in public mind and I have cited before in this House that there had been occasions when powers under this section or similar powers under the Ordinance that is now in force have been abused in my district where innocent Muslims have been detained and that after three days or probably earlier when representations were made to the Hon'ble Home Minister they were set at liberty. That proves my contention that at least the Hon'ble Prime Minister is more responsible in seeing that the orders under section 16 are not abused than officers and the executive that he has under him.

Sir, it has almost become proverbial that immediately before the Budget Session there is a crop of arrests under similar sections of the law. We are all afraid that now that we have won independence we do not want that the police and the department that is known as "Special Branch" or "Intelligence Branch" should behave in a manner that they used to do 15

under the British rule. We want an assurance from the Hon'ble Prime Minister that not only he will accept this amendment proposed by me but that in all these cases he will personally satisfy himself before an order under all the provisions of section 16 issues from the Government.

Sir, section 16(I)(c) provides that a person on whom an order has been served under this section may be required to reside or remain in such place or within such area in West Bengal as may be specified in the order and if he is not already there to proceed to that place or area within such time as may be specified in the order. Sir, this is the sub-clause that causes the most apprehension. This entire clause strikes at the very root of personal liberty and freedom, but when we are willing to arm Government with powers to detain under exceptional circumstances we also want that provisions should be made that will cause least hardship to the detainees. Sir, here the Government when making such an order has not specified whether Government would be willing to defray all the expenses that would be incurred in connection with the compliance of this order. Even the British Government used to pay compensation and used to defray all expenses occasioned in connection with orders served upon persons under similar ordinances and similar special powers. Here we also want that the Government should make a categorical statement that it would also defray all expenses incurred in connection with orders made under this section. I hope it is not too much to ask a Congress Government that it would oblige a person detained away from home to find his own expenses in connection with his stay there during his externment.

Sir, about communication, it is so obvious that I need not make any speech about this because I think a privileged communication has also been deemed as a privilege and here we want to make this explicit provision that privileged communications do not come within the mischief of this section.

With these words I commend all my motions to the acceptance of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Jyoti Basu, you move all your amendments together.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Then I will require more time than five minutes move that in clause 16(I), line 5, after the words "doing any subversive act" the words "which is likely to undermine communal harmony or encourage external aggression" be inserted.

I move that in clause 16(I), item (a) be omitted.

I move that in clause 16(6), line 4, for the word "years" the word "months" be substituted

Mr. Speaker, by this clause 16 the Government takes upon itself the role of Prosecutor, Judge and Jury No citizen can relinquish his sacred and basic right to defend himself in a court of law, specially when accused of a heinous crime, the crime of going against his country and his people. A popular Government worth the name need not fear public trial. What has it got to hide from the people I do not see. We must be eternally vigilant and we refuse to mortgage our life and liberty to a Sub-Inspector or even to the Prime Minister. An honourable member before me said if the Home Minister signs something for the detention without trial, then it may be possible for some of us to submit to that order, but I say no citizen in this country shall ever mortgage his liberty to be tried in a court of law, and since what are reasonable grounds have not as yet been defined by the Government, by the Prime Minister, by anybody, I take it what may be reasonable to the Prime Minister may not be reasonable to me and to the other ordinary citizens and since open facist dictatorship has not been established with the abolition of courts of law, Dr. Ghosh and his Ministers and Sub-Inspectors have no business to restrict our movements or our rights according to their own fancy.

It is indeed amazing that gentlemen in the Congress leadership who within three months have violated every democratic principle, have repudiated all their past, have shown themselves the most ardent and of course ascetic defenders of property in the name of poverty, have given up the programme of nationalisation and abolition of zemindary, have in a word turned their back on the common people and have thereby perpetrated the most subversive acts themselves, these gentlemen—

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: On a point of order, Sir. Mr. Basu is talking of poverty and liberty and some other things, but that is not relevant to the amendment. I say that he is out of order and I want a decision

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes, Mr. Basu.

8ri JYOTI BASU: As I was saying, these gentlemen who themselves perpetrated subversive acts by not acting up to the traditions of the Congress and to what they promised to the people when they were elected, it is very curious indeed that they today should sit in judgment on the Kisans, on the workers and on the common people, who wish to move forward for a truly free and democratic State in West Bengal. Hence I have given this amendment in order to limit its purpose for the preservation of communal harmony and for preserving the State from external aggression. Detention without trial has been one of the most vicious methods by which the British Government wanted to stem the revolutionary advance of the people. But in the case of an alien Government as the British one can understand that they have to rule from very far away without the sympathy of the people. They have to rule by fraud and force and that is what they But it passes our comprehension that a so-called popular Government should have to resort to the same method of rule and pay the greatest compliment to the Andersons and his like. On merits the Congress was against this detention without trial-not merely because the British introduced this kind of justice or injustice as I should say, but no sooner did the Congress leaders become Ministers than they themselves have detained without trial Brookehond workers, Deb Nath Das of Azad Hind Fouz, Satya Gupta, Soumendra Tagore, Zubert, Dwarakesh Mitra and a host of others. This list is self-explanatory. They were not goondas. We do not know why they have been arrested. If these gentlemen did any act against the State they could have been produced before an open court and a public trial held if it is such a popular Government as they want to make out. I know that ours is an infant state but infantlike explanation does not help anybody, and therefore I suggest that my amendments should be accepted. That is, powers should be used for the preservation of communal harmony and for preserving the State against external aggression and detention without trial should go, and of course the punishment must be much less than what has been provided for That is why I have made these suggestions and I want to have a reply from the Hon'ble Minister to this particular clause and the sub-clauses which go to restrict the liberty of subjects.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, may I rise to speak a few words on the motions moved by the honourable members opposite? I rise to oppose the motions moved by the honourable members opposite not because I do not appreciate some of the points made out by the honourable members, but because the points that they made out are wholly irrelevant in this centext.

I will first try to deal with the amendment moved by Mr. Mudassir Hossam. His amendment No 34 is clearly self-contradictory in this sense that he wants that after detention without trial a fresh mind should be applied by the Judicial officer if possible and the highest court of judicature if possible to examine whether such detention is necessary. But if he

goes through section 16 of the Bill, he will find that section 16 wants to prevent those acts which have not been actually committed. It is more or less in the nature of prevention even before acts are actually committed.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: You have not understood my point.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: As you know, Sir, there are two types of temedy—one curative and the other preventive. The curative remedy is in the other sections, but so far as this section is concerned it tries to prevent crimes before they are actually committed. If that be so, amendment No. 34 moved by Mr. Mudassir Hossain does not clearly give us a remedy. He says that when some act has been committed, Government should forward the report on that act to the Magistrate and then the Magistrate should examine the whole matter and pass such and such order. If that be so, the very purpose of section 16 will be frustrated. Then, Sir, he has also mentioned that if the Magistrate passes such an order, that order can be reviewed by the Hon ble High Court, the highest judicature of the land. Well, Sir, then that becomes a judicial proceeding, and the purpose of detention without trial is completely frustrated. It is, Sir, almost an irony of fate to hear members of the Muslim League party waxing eloquent over the virtues of freedom which a few days before they used to condemn in no uncertain terms, but I might tell you, Sir.—

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: This is out of order. He has no business to speak about the League or the Congress. It is provocative.

8ri BIMAL CHANDRA 81NHA: Mr. Speaker, I understand the honourable member belongs to the League party Mr. Speaker, I might continue

As I was saying, Sir, the very purpose of this Bill is to provide for safety and stability of the State. I might say that much passion has been roused on both sides of the House, but if we search our hearts we shall find that we are really working at cross purposes. One point should be made clear while discussions take place on this Bill, namely, whether we are or we are not loyal in our allegiance to our State. If that be so, Sir, then I think there is no difference amongst us, members on this side and members opposite—

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: I rise on a point of order, Sir. Why should he question the right of any person? It is not his business to question loyalty. We have already sworn allegiance to the Constitution. Why should he say loyalty or disloyalty?

8ri J. C. GUPTA: We have not understood Mr. Mudassir Hossain's point

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I do not mind his interruptions. My point is that if we are agreed that there are people who do not owe allegance to the State and are likely to try to overthrow it, then there should be no mercy for those who are about to commit such subversive acts. If we are agreed on that point then the only point of difference will be if there is any bona fide mistake on the part of the administration or if the head of the administration is hoodwinked into committing wrong acts by his subordinate officers we shall have to provide safeguards in this Bill, so that such cases may not occur. The question for us will be how we can best provide for such contingencies. I think, Sir, we shall have no difference on this point also, but I can assure from this side of the House that the party of which we have the honour to be common soldiers has fought from long, for years and years, for the liberties of the people more than any other party in India has done.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: I rise on a point of order. We also have tought. We have made this clear.

Mr. SPEAKER: Order, order.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, nothing has been made clear, In spite of the protest of my friend opposite I do not yield to anyone in my assertion that the party to which I have the honour to belong has fought more than any other party in this country at least for the liberties, rights, fundamental rights of the people, and I can assure the House also that the Congress has been and will always be as it is even now-as you will presently see-conscious that detention without trial is the most obnoxious measure that can possibly be envisaged. Even having regard to that point of view we might say that we must not he state to take action against those who are out to desirov the very basis of our State by subversive acts and to launch this country into choos and confusion. If that be so, Sir, the only question, as I have said, is how can we provide for prevention of bona fide mistakes or mistakes which have been deliberately committed by subordinates and not appreciated by the head of the department who has after all to depend on his subordinates to some extent. The remedy provided in these amendments is no remedy at all. The remedy would be to have a proper machinery to assess at the proper moment whether such bona fide mistakes have been committed. These amendments provide for no such remedy. I would urge upon Government and my leader that such machinery might be provided in the A.t. so that bone felt mistakes will be guarded against, but that does not mean that the executive should be paralysed from taking action by putting all soits of obstacles in the way of taking prompt action when such prompt action alone can save the State from the dangers which it may possibly face during its infancy

With these words, Sir, I oppose the amendments moved by the honourable members opposite

Sri J. G. GUPTA: Mr Speaker, Sn., detention of persons without trial and restriction of movements are naturally dishked and resisted by all lovers of civil liberty. In Bengal, particularly in the past, the powers have been indiscriminately used against fighters for national freedom and to crush national aspirations. Therefore it is easy to understand the resentment against the provision for detention or depriving a person of his civil liberty, but at the same time it cannot be denied that in the interest of the State individual liberty has to be subordinated. We are living within a State, and we have to give up much of our individual liberty if we want to run a civilised State. The question to be considered today is whether the interest of the State, the safety of the province and the stability of the province require a provision like this.

Sir, we are painfully conscious that due to the division of India and the division of our province complications have arisen, and due to the artificial boundary and to the, if I may say so, the artificial Radcliffe Award between the two States there are many reasons for which one has got to be sincerely anxious about the security of the State. I think nobody will deny that one cannot be over-careful about the safety of the province or ever watchful about the stability of the province. Then again, Sir, this riot-torn province has had such phases of communal disturbances that no reasonable man will deny that to prevent the recrudescence of these things the State has got to be armed with certain powers which will prevent such disturbances in future and that the State is entitled to have that power.

Sir, I will again ask this House to consider what changes have come in after the 15th of August. Government is not in the hands of aliens: it is your own Government: the people who are being armed with powers are the people whom you can keep in their seats or remove from their seats. We have got to consider it. They are dependent upon the votes of the

We have not got an irremovable Ministry, and the Constitution enables you to remove them. If my friends on the other side think that they only have got the monopoly of safeguarding civil liberty, then it may be theoretical, but, Sir, I may tell them that this side of the House yield to none in their anxiety to safeguard civil liberty and individual liberty. Therefore the moment the Ministry will abuse the power they will do so at the peril of their own position, and, Sir, that is the safeguard. There are other safeguards which have also been provided and the House will come to know that this side of the House, ever watchful and ever anxious to safeguard civil liberty, are proposing provisions which will assure everybody that this Government of the people intends to have these powers only to exercise them for the safety and stability of the province, for preventing communal disturbances, for preventing free activities of the goondas and tor preventing illegal use of arms and ammunitions for the destruction of the society. We have limited these powers to these four essential things which nobody can deny that Government ought to do. We have substituted the words "public order" and "maintenance of peace" to "safety of stability of the province". We have limited the operation of the powers of the Government to those particular things, and I hope all of us who are sincerely anxious to see that this province can prosper, will agree with us that this province should not revert to any communal disturbance, the safety of the province should not be in danger, and the illegal use of arms and the activities of the goondas should be checked. For these four matters no one should grudge to arm the Government with abundant power I have already mentioned the safeguard and there are safeguards provided also without which such a provision should not find a place in the Statute Book. But I only wish that our province will return to normal conditions when it will be possible for the Ministry not to apply any of the provisions With that view, instead of having the Act enforced all over the province all at once, we have provided that though the powers are given those powers will not extend to the whole of the province or any part of the province until a notification is made by the Government defining the necessity for doing so. We have also provided that by notification the application of the Act can be withdrawn at an earlier stage either from the whole province or from a part of the province. All these safeguards are there, and so I want all sides of the House to support the provisions that have been made by joining with us and by doing that they will strike terror into the hearts of the people against whom the Bill is aimed. I can assure the Housethey have seen the amendments that have been carried that any legitimate criticism of the Ministry and trying to remove the Ministry by lawful means will not be considered a subversive act. (Sii Jyori Byst. That was there in the Constitution Act.). That was not there. That was brought in by an amendment. With all these safeguards no agitators against the Ministry, no agitators working for the interest of the people are intended to be brought within the mischief of this provision, but if any agitator or if any person tries by use of force either to threaten a community or a section of the public or to proceed in a way that the Government may not be carried on, then certainly those powers will be exercised. Let them Leware, let them think beforehand that by use of force they will not be But if they do not intend to do able to impose their will upon anybody. But if they do not intend to do that they need not be afraid of it. The Home Minister has given that assurance in various public meetings (Mr. Jyoti Bast: What about the Brooke Bond strike?) and on the floor of this House, and I dare say that having regard to the conditions of the country and having regard to the limited objects and the safeguards provided, the powers that are necessary we are arming the Government with and we only hope with the co-operation of all sections, particularly of the Communists and others, we shall be devoid of any trouble in this province and they will help the Home Minister in not applying the provisions to any party, any person or any part of the province.

Sir, with these words I oppose the amendment.

Sri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Sir, with your permission I beg to move a short-notice amendment that in clause 16, after sub-clause (1), the following sub-clause be inserted, namely:—

"(2) Where an order is made under sub-section (1) directing any person to be detained, the Provincial Government may, if it so thinks fit, grant to such person, in accordance with such rules as it may make in this behalf, such allowance or expenses as it may deem appropriate."

(The House was then adjourned for 15 minutes)

(After adjournment.)

STINIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr. Speaker, Sir, in dealing with clause 16 of this Bill, it would be worthwhile for us to consider the circumstances in which this Bill has been introduced by the present day Government

Sir, in every State in peaceful times in the relationship of citizens as between themselves and in relation to the daily conduct of business and work of the State and of citizens in mutual relationship, it is the established principle in every country that no one shall be deprived of his liberty except in accordance with law and the ordinary laws of the land in every country ensure freedom for the citizens-freedom to the extent even of committing crimes. There is no bar, if one so chooses, to commit a crime -only he does so at his own peril. He is free to commit a crime, but when that crime has been committed, he has been apprehended and tried and he shall suffer the consequences for his action. Sir, there the ordinary law does not prevent a man even from committing a crime. He has got unrestricted freedom so far as that goes. But, Sir, when, on the other hand, we see that the very foundation of individual liberty is at stake, when the very foundation of individual liberty is challenged through jeopardising the stability of the State, there different laws operate. We see States go to war against each other. Where States go to war against each other at terrible consequences to humanity and suffering in either State among the belligerents, that is done from the point of view of a State to sateguard and defend its stability and liberty which is the foundation of individual liberty of the citizens. We have seen from the recent experience that States at war are perforce obliged to restrict individual liberty to the extent of universal regimentation. We heard the slogar very often about rotal war and total mobilisation. What, after all, was total war and total mobiusation? It was the total regimentation of the entire manpower of a nation-it was subjecting of individual critizens to subordinate their individual liberty and freedom of choice to the superior needs of the State at war.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: I rise on a point of order. He is speaking irrelevantly. It has got no concern with the amendment in question. He is speaking, lecturing and giving sermous.

Mr. SPEAKER: Order, order.

Dr. BIDHAN CHANDRA ROY: May we transfer him to the Speaker's chair for a while!

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: I do not grudge. Sir. the occasion for interruption by my friend because he is a precious and valued honourable member of this House who offers us occasional delectation in the arduous task of law-making.

To pursue the point, I suggest that it is in that view of things that when extraordinary circumstances arise, the normal liberties are often put in the cold storage. Sir, I remember, years ago a delegation of international visitors, who went about visiting the state of affairs in Russia, had an interview with M: Stalin and the question was put to M. Stalin, the

renowned Leader of that great country to which, I hope, some of the most vocal members of the Opposition, at least, owe allegiance—if not pay their obeisance—'Is there freedom in Russia' and M. Stalin put a counterquestion 'Freedom for whom'?' Freedom for crooks, cranks and enemies of the State does not exist in Russia, but freedom for every law-abiding citizen to build up the new State of Soviet Union is certainly there in unbounded measure but, on the contrary, the State and the people have the freedom to liquidate the enemies of the State. Sir, I need not dilate turther on the series of liquidations which have taken place in that great country—liquidation of the Kulaks, liquidation of many other elements, liquidation of the enemies of the State and liquidation even of a few members who pretended to be more correct leaders of that State whom Lenin had branded as suffering from the infantile disorders of Leftism. (Interruption by Sri Jyoti Basu.) I hope, my friend being conversant will correct me and I shall be open to those corrections and here in this connection I shall have to offer a bit of correction to my friend who gets up too often with his stock-in-trade about fascism, humbug, bunkum, balderdash and what not, as if they are his sole stock-in-trade.

Sir, as I have pointed out, in peace everyone is free to commit a crime. It does not matter. A person commits a crime against a fellow citizen, a person commits a minor crime against the State and after the crime has been committed, after it has been completed both through the completion of the two ingredients of a legal offence which in legal terminology—as my triend should very well know are mens rea actus rea, only then and then alone law comes into operation. Perhaps an exception is made in the case of the law of treason where intention comes within the clutches of law.

For in no other item intention or even preparation comes under the clutches of law. The State tolerates that. The State gives that freedom to the ordinary criminal even to commit crime only if he is ready to pay the price afterwards. But, Sir, where the very stability and security of the State is concerned, particularly in circumstances in which the new State of the Indian Union with all its Provincial Governments has been born in a new Asia,—we are conscious of the surrounding dangers, both external and internal—there the freedom of the State to curb the enemies of the State at a stage of preparation of the supreme crimes against the liberty of the entire nation has got to be honoured and respected. Sir, this Bill proposes to introduce that little lacuna which exists in the ordinary course of law in ordinary circumstances. This Bill provides for measures for the checking and arresting of crimes at the stage of preparation. Crimes at the stage of preparation stage if not checked and allowed to be matured would go beyond any redress. They would repeat the old history where the nation for centuries might again suffer the deprivation of liberty. Sir, there would be no question of a trial, there would be no question of ordinary process of law. Laws, civil liberty and liberty of citizens will be thrown to the winds and there will be again the despicable state of affairs which has existed in this country when it has remained until recently under the occupation of an alien power which ravaged, which spoliated this land and has reduced it to this state of affairs when in the finishing and closing chapter we find 50 lakhs of people perished for want of food, and after they had perished, food had been thrown away from the granaries branded as unfit for human consumption. Is it that type of liberty we wish to ensure—the liberty to die, the liberty to perish? If an Indian has got any liberty today, the fundamental safeguard of that liberty is the Indian Union which has been built up at the cost of the martyrs' lives through ages and through generations. Sir, last but not the least has been the shedding of lives, sacrifice of lives of the martyrs during the days of 1942 to 1945. Would it be too much to remind again what was the rule during those days of struggle for liberty of the most vocal members of the opposition who had been very aptly termed by the Hon'ble the Leader of the House as democratic exponents of a totalitarian party who are today here

masquarading as the exponents of democracy and freedom? We saw their freedom in action on the 8th, 9th and 10th of December (MR. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: On a point of order, Sir. He has no right to attack us.) Sir, I do not know whom the cap fits in so well, but perhaps my friend unnecessarily takes it upon himself. As I was saying, Sir, we saw democracy in action on the 8th, 9th and 10th of December when the custodians of democracy in the form of representatives of the people were obstructed even from coming into this House. Sir, that is not the freedom which a State is called upon to guarantee. This law provides under extreme circumstances of difficulty-difficulty for the State which, if not checked, will endanger the liberty and freedom of the entire nation. This law is being branded as a fascistic measure. This law is being branded as an oppressive measure Now the very provisions of the Bill give the lie direct to this sort of misleading and malicious propaganda against this Bill. After this House has passed this Bill, and after the Bill has received the Governor's consent and has become an Act, even then the Bill is not in operation-not a single person can be touched under this Bill. Even after that a situation has to arise and that situation will be known to all. Government will have to notify that in a certain area or in larger areas in the Province this Bill comes into operation—and not the whole of the Bill, may be parts of the Bill. And even after that if there are people who do not take heed to go about in a manner that their actions and conduct would be likely or would be intended to jeopardise the stability, security and safety of the State and the freedom of our nation through the encouragement of fifth columnist activities, then and then alone such political criminals come under the clutches of this law, whose liberty has to be taken away from them, so that the liberty of millions of men and children of the soil may be safeguarded. Sir, it is a Bill making provision for that state of things. It is nothing but malicious and unscrupulous propaganda of the crstwhile criminals who might now be shaking and trembling in their boots, because of the avalanche that will be coming upon them if they do not abjure their old habits, if they perpetrate and repeat the political crimes under the slogan of "people's war - a crime, be it emphatically noted, for which the infamous John Amery had to be hanged by the neck on the free soil of England. The new free State of the Indian Union today may emulate the Englishmen if not in anything else at least in their method of defending the liberty of their country which was under incessant bombing and incessant attack by proving before the world that traitors are not free and fit to tread on its own soil, they are fit to be hanged by the rope until dead. That is, those whose hearts may be polluted with crimes of the past, whose habits may not have abjured the crimes of that type may tremble on the very introduction of a Bill of this kind, just as a criminal trembles at the sight of policemen standing nearby. Sir, it is the very attitude to this Bill, it is the manner of criticism against this Bill that betrays various factors which are of greater interest than a momentary passing phenomenon. I need not dilate on this point more. I have only to say this that the Congress which, as has been aptly said, has won liberty for the people, liberty for the State at an enormous cost to themselves, enormous cost to the lives of the martyrs through ages, knows what it means to deprive a person of his liberty. And today the powers which we are giving are not powers given to Maxwells and Porters who were alien but powers to our Ministers who are removable

Sir, I would conclude by saying only this that in making use of these powers I endorse the views expressed by my honourable friend Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha, and I fully associate myself with him. I urge upon Government to trust the supreme men in the administration of Justice, to trust the High Court Judges and to entrust them with the function of finally deciding after a period of initial trial as to whether a man deprived of his liberty under provisions of this law shall continue to be so deprived, and therefore a provision may be incorporated for referring the case to the High Court.

The motion of Mr. Mudassir Hossain that for clause 16(1), the following be substituted, namely:—

'The Provincial Government if after making such enquiry as it thinks fit is satisfied that reasonable ground exists for taking action under this Act with respect to any particular person with a view to prevent him from doing any subversive act may arrest such a person and produce him Lefore the Chief Presidency Magistrate, if in Calcutta, and District Magistrate outside Calcutta and forward all relevant papers to such Magistrate. The Magistrate after examining the papers as also the person and the officer conducting the enquiry may order...." was then put and lost.

The motion of Mr. Muhammad Khuda Buksh that in clause 16(1), line 1, for the words 'the Provincial Government', the words 'the Minister in charge of the Home Department' be substituted, was then put and lost.

The motion of Mr. Jyoti Basu that in clause 16(1), line 5, after the words "doing any subversive act", the words "which is likely to undermine communal harmony or encourage external aggression" be inserted, was then put and a division taken with te following result:—

AYES-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

NOE8-45.

Bandyopadhyay, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Bahbrice, Shri Susii Kumar. Bahbrice, Shri Susii Kumar. Bahbrii, the Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra. Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. Bode, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada Prosad.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanalial.
De, Shri Kanali Lai.
Dolui, Mr. Harendranath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.

Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Malit, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, the Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mal, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mallick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerji, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendranath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Platel, Mr. R. E.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Ricketts, Mrs. E. M.
Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bjmal Chandra.

The Ayes being 2 and the Noes 45, the motion was lost.

The motion of Mr. Mudassir Hossain that in clause 16(1), item (a) be omitted was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYES-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanlal.

NOES-42.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjeé, Shri Susii Kumar.
Banerji, the Hon'ble Dr. Sureah Chandra.
Barman, the Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Shatuacharyya; Mr. Shyamapata:
Boss, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakrayarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chenghury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada
Prosad.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.

Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailal.
De, Shri Kanai Lal.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdår, Mr. Niharendu.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.

Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra: Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari. Majhi, Mr. Nishapati. Majumdar, the Hen'ble Shri Bhupati. Mal, Mr. Iswar Chandra. mai, mr. iswar Chanora. Maitick, Mr. Ashutosh. Mandai, Mr. Annadaprasad. Mandai, Shri Krishna Prasad. Mookerjee, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada. Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.

Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra: Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath. Pantony, Mr. L. R.
Platel, Mr. R. E.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna. Ricketts, Mrs. E. M. Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra. Roy, Mr. Jajneswar, Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Ayes being 2 and the Noes 42, the motion was lost.

Mr. SPEAKER: The amendment of Mr Mudassir Hossain has been finished. Mr. Jyoti Basu has also a similar motion. Two motions on the same subject cannot be put.

Motion No 39 falls through

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Speaker, in view of the official amendment moved by Sri A. K. Ghosh, that the following sub-clause be inserted, namely:-

"Where an order is made under sub-section (1) directing any person to be detained, the Provincial Government may, if it so thinks fit, grant to such person, in accordance with such rules as it may make in this behalf, such allowance or expenses as it may deem appropriate",

I beg to withdraw my amendment.

The motion of Mr. Muhammed Khuda Bukhsh that the following proviso be added to clause 16(I)(c), namely:--

"Provided that the Provincial Government shall defray all expenses incurred in connection with the compliance of this sub-section". was then by leave of the House withdrawn.

The motion of Mr. Muhammed Khuda Bukhsh that in clause 16(I)(c), bine 4, after the words "communication with other persons" the words "except those that are deemed to be privileged communications" be inserted, was then put and lost

The motion of Mr. Jyou Basu that in clause 16(6), line 4, for the word "years" the word "months" be substituted, was then put and lost

The motion of Mr. Mudassir Hossain that after clause 16(6), the following new sub-clause be added, namely :--

"(7) The High Court may renew the orders made under this section and pass such order as it thinks fit.

was then put and lost.

The motion of Shri Amar Krishna Ghosh that in clause 16, after subclause (I), the following sub-clause be inserted, namely:—

"(2) Where an order is made under sub-section (I) directing any person to be detained, the Provincial Government may, if it so thinks fit, grant to such person, in accordance with such rules as it may make in this behalf, such allowance or expenses as it may deem appropriate."

was then put and agreed to

The question that clause 16, as amended, do form part of this Bill, was then put and a division taken with the following result:-

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banbrice, Shri Sueli Kumar. man, the Hen'ble Mohan. Manorji, the Honthis Dr. Suresh Chandra. Barman, the Hontble Shri Mohini Mohini

Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.

Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarfy, Mr. Satish Chandra. Choudhury, the Hon'ble Shri Annada Frosati. Das, Mr. Radha Nath.

Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanalial.
De, Shri Kanai Lal.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, the Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Majhi, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Maj, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mal, Mr. Iswar Chandra.

Mallick, Mr. Ashutosh.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, the Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sokhar.
Naskar, the Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Platel, Mr. R. E.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, the Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Ricketts, Mrs. E. M.
Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.

NOES- 2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

The Ayes being 43 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried.

Clause 17.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Speaker, I move that in clause 17, line 2, for the word "six" the word "three" be substituted.

In this particular clause, clause 17, we find that the duration shall be a period not exceeding six months as may be specified in the order. I do not see why a particular person should be at a particular stage kept in detention without trial for six months. In view of the fact that none of my previous amendments have been accepted, at least this amendment may be accepted. If the accused is kept in detention for three months then of course the Government has further power—they have taken it upon themselves—to renew this order. We knew that it had been the habit with the British Government to renew these orders from time to time when the order expired, and the detainee used to be served with another order while he was still in jail and was not set free. The Congress Government is following in their footsteps. So, I would urge upon them to make it three months.

Sir, I have another amendment. May I move it?

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes.

Sri JYOTI BASU: I move that the following further proviso be added to clause 17, namely:—

"Provided further that a period of not less than two months shall have elapsed between the time of the expiry of an order and making a fresh order."

In the proviso to clause 17 it says that immediately another order, a new order, can be served to the same effect. Here I would suggest to Government to give the person some rest, say for two months, and then Government will have power to re-arrest him if they so wish, because under the provisions of this Bill Government can do anything they like under the Sun. I do not see why Government takes upon itself this power as well as the power of immediately issuing a fresh order to continue the detention. That is the purpose of my two amendments which I place before the Hon'ble Minister for his acceptance.

8ri AMAR KRISHNA CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move the following short-notice amendments, notice of which has been given in my name.

I move that in clause 17, line 1, the words "Save as hereinafter in this section otherwise provided" be inserted at the beginning before the words "An order"; and for the words "six months" in line 2, the words "three months" be substituted.

I also move that for the proviso to clause 17 the following provisos be substituted, namely:—

"Provided that the Provincial Government may, if and so often as it thinks fit, before the date on which under this section any such order would otherwise have ceased to be in force, place before a Judge of the High Court in Calcutta, the grounds on which the order is made, the representation, if any, made under section 18 by the person affected thereby and such further materials as the Provincial Government may think fit and the Provincial Government shall, in accordance with the decision of the Judge thereon, issue an order of release or a fresh order of detention for such period not exceeding nine months as may be determined by the Judge:

Provided also that the person affected by the order shall not be entitled to be defended or represented by any lawyer or other person before the Judge:

Provided further that the Provincial Government shall be at liberty to release any such person at any time notwithstanding any provision hereinbefore contained."

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: শুবিলন গোমের সংবোধনী পুস্তাব আমি গুহণ কবছি।

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I think all on a sudden these amendments have been placed before us. On reading them I find it does not make much sense. It does not provide for justice to the person who has been served with any order under section 16, because it is said here "so often as it thinks fit, the Provincial Government shall——" do that and other things, i.e., place him before a Judge of the High Court. Now, Sir, much is made of a Judge of the High Court before whom papers will be filed. Of couse I am glad that it has not been left vague by the sponsor of this amendment, because he says that the person affected by the order shall not be entitled to be detended or represented by any lawyer or other person before the Judge—I do not think that any self-respecting Judge would even deign to look at the papers placed before him, because we know that no Judge can arrive at a decision exparte. We know that he would say this in the ordinary course of law: "Unless persons are cross-examined before me it is not possible for me to come to any decision whatever."

That is the fundamental basis of law and of course Government can find such a Judge, since it can give jobs, who would think it worth going into defence papers prepared by the prosecution without hearing the accused and giving an ex parte decision. Mr. J. C. Gupta is a member of the Bar and realises that no self-respecting Judge would be forthcoming to do such a job. If he was made a Judge, he would not like to look into the papers placed before him by the Government and the police. Moreover, it is said that papers will be placed but not all the papers. Government will put before the Judge such papers as they want to. Therefore it means that such papers will be produced as can be done under the guise of safety and stability of the province and all such nonsense—papers which will only incriminate the person. The Government will accuse me of a crime, a heinous crime, and I cannot defend myself through a lawyer. Now an ordinary worker or a peasant may be arrested and his papers put before a Judge who will look into those papers. But how will that help him when he cannot examine the accused concerned for defending himself through a lawver? Therefore I am sure that if the Government insists on doing this, -- and here I wish to use a strong language Government wants to hoodwink the people and nothing else. It would have been better, Sir, if Government had brought in naked and shameless laws as they have been trying to pass than to try to hoodwink the people in this manner by providing such provisos. Therefore I am absolutely opposed to this amendment because it may give the people a wrong impression of what the Government is really trying to do. The Government is trying to make out that it is making concessions. If that is a concession, I do not know what people will think of this. Therefore everybody on this side will oppose it and as far as I am concerned, I will certainly oppose it because I do not want a Judge of the High Court to be reduced to such deprayity that he will agree to look into those papers only and give an ex parte judgment.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, Government have chosen to spring this amendment on us by surprise and we have not had sufficient time to scrutinize it, but on a cursory glance it appears that the amendment is deceptive. Here it is provided that the Provincial Government may, if and so often as it thinks fit, before the date on which under this section any such order would otherwise have ceased to be in force, place before a Judge of the High Court-I do not know what kind of English it is but it does not appear to be a legal language fit to be embodied in this Security Bill-in Calcutta, the grounds on which the order is made, the representations, it any, made under section 18 by the person affected thereby and such further materials as the Provincial Government may think fit, and the Provincial Government shall, in accordance with the decision of the Judge thereon, issue an order of release or a fresh order of detention for such period not exceeding nine months as may be determined by the Judge. Here is another provision, Sir, whereby the fundamental liberty of a man to be provided with a lawyer for his own defence has been denied. It has also been provided that the Provincial Government shall be at liberty to release any such person at any time notwithstanding any provision hereinafter contained.

Sir, to my mind this official amendment is certainly calculated to hoodwink the public. It appears to make a concession whereas it is actually making none. It gives power to Government to place such papers only which Government thinks will be conducing to the conviction or detention of a particular person, and here it gives Government further powers to place the grounds that the Government wants to lay before the Judge. I have all respect for a Judge of the High Court, but I feel that even a Judge of the High Court, even with all his experience and honesty, cannot give an exparte decision. If a Judge is not allowed to take evidence and allow cross-examination of witnesses in a Government case, and scrutinize it thoroughly in every way, how can be be asked in all fairness to give his judgment on a Government decision? On these considerations we oppose this foorenment amendment.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, may I rise to speak a few words in respect of the amendment moved by Mr. Amar Krishva timese. My triends opposite have tried to make out that the Government has chosen to bring this amendment to boodwink the people. I shall not emulate their example and try to under-estimate the intelligence of the members of this House. I would rather request the honourable members opposite not to be carried away or swayed by sentiments and passions, but look at facts squarely and try to understand the real implication of this amendment. You might remember, Sir, that on a previous day an explanation has been added to clause 2(7)(b) limiting the meaning of the words "subversive acts". My friend Mr. Jyoti Basu who was so eloquent over the political liberty of the people should be satisfied that legitimate political agitation has been left out of the purview of the Act by the explanation that has been added to clause 2(7)(b) (Mr. Jyori Bast: We will judge that by its effect.) Now, Sir, in this amendment what has been proposed? It has been proposed that the police can arrest a person and detain him for fifteen days. That is perhaps in clause 30, and within these fifteen days he shall have to report the whole matter to the head of the provincial Govexament who himself shall have to be satisfied that there exist reasonable grounds for his detention. It is not left to the members of the police force or even members of the executive, but it has been personally entrusted to the head of the administration himself, to the Home Minister, that he shall have to satisfy himself that there exist reasonable grounds for his detention

without trial. And then how long can even the head of the administration detain the person without trial? If you go through this amendment, you will find that that period of detention without trial in the first instance has been limited to three months only. For the first three months the person can be detained without trial on the order of the head of the administration, the Home Minister. But that is not all. If you then proceed to the provision of sub-clauses, you will find that even within these three months as laid down in section 18 the grounds on which the person has been detained must be communicated to the person concerned and the person's explana-tion against the continuance of the order obtained. And before the expiry of that period of three months of detention of the person without trial not only the representation of that aggrieved person but also all other relevant papers will go to the Judge-mind that, Sir, you should depend on an actual Judge and not a person who is entitled to be a Judge but a person who is acting as a Judge-a High Court Judge, for his review and decision, and his decision shall be mandatory and binding on the provincial Government. Then, Sir, my friend opposite has tried to make a political capital out of this proviso that lawyers will not be allowed to appear on behalf of the accused. I would request the honourable members opposite to find out if the person is himself excluded from pleading his case if the Judge of the High Court so desires. The wording of the proviso is that "the person affected by the order shall not be entitled to be defended or represented by any lawyer or other person before the Judge " I think there is not a single word in that proviso which excludes the person himself to represent his case before the Judge if the Judge so desires. I am not so solicitous of the interests of lawyers in spite of many lawyers being members of this honourable House. I do not know, Sir, in whose interest my triend Mr. Jyoti Basu was pleading-pleading on behalf of the person aggrieved by the order or pleading in the interest of lawyers or any other persons who are very often found to be posing as the best friends of the criminals who might have to be detained under sections 16, 17 and 18 of the Act,

Mr. Speaker, as I have pointed out, Sir, the Government do not now take upon themselves the responsibility of modifying, cancelling or disobeying the order of the High Court. The order of the High Court will have to be now mandatory on the Government and before the expiry of the threemonth period during which all this process will have to be gone through the High Court of Judicature may pass the final verdict which the Government must obey If the Judge of the High Court taking a judicial view of the matter finds that a person should be released and there is no necessity of continuing the order, the Government is bound to listen to that order and release the person concerned. If the High Court of Judicature finds that it is necessary in the interest of the State to keep a person in detention for further six months, the Government will listen to that order as the High Court may decide upon—I do not think, Sir, anybody is anxious not to give this much of power to the Government and not even to put trust in the highest court of justice which he might say we are dragging down to hemous depths. I do not know if it is parliamentary to cast reflections on the High Court in this manner, but I shall not raise that point. I however think, Sir, I have the people behind me when I say that the decision of the High Court Judge will be taken to be an impartial decision, a decision, not in the interest of any political party, not in the interest of the executive. but in the interest of the people as a whole and in the interest of the State, the province as such. If anybody protests against this, I think I shall not be wrong if I say that the persons who are trying to take cover under the plea of liberty of the people are really against the safety and the stability of the State and my friend Mr. Dutt-Mazumdar has prescribed what remedy they should be given if they think in that manner (Mr. Mo. Khuda Bukhsh: Oh!) My friend opposite says "Oh". May I request him to go to East Bengal where his party is in power and agitate against the Special Powers Ordinance which is there in a more heinous form and give the civil liberties that this Bill-purports to give to the people of this province.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: Is it parliamentary for one honourable member of this House to describe a member sitting on this side as a member of the party that has gone over to East Bengal now that the two Dominions are different States?

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: I withdraw the expression and I would now say that after all members of East Bengal Government are their friends. I do not think they would deny that they are their friends. My comments have gone home and now I withdraw them lest they might disturb them. I would only say, search your own hearts. If you are true and genuine citizens of the province, then find out if anybody is against the stability of the province itself, and if any such persons exist whether you are going or you are not going to bring them under the purview of sections 16, 17 and 18. Personally, I am convinced, Sir, that detention without trial is as obnoxious a measure as it can be. Nobody likes to put a person in jail without even trying him, but sufficient grounds have been adduced for the necessity of this provision and I need not cover the same grounds again.

Sir, I would lastly conclude my speech by saying that the conditions for keeping a person in detention without trial have now been so modified that I must really thank the Leader of the House for accepting the suggestion made from various quarters of this House and incorporating the suggestion that the highest Court of Judicature might be requested to apply a fresh mind and put a corrective to executive action if there are bona fide mistakes—mistakes even where the stability of the province is at stake

8ri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Sir, may I add a few observations on this clause? In the arguments advanced by speakers from benches opposite I find there is a considerable amount of confusion. Section 17 must be read in conjunction with section 16. The main argument advanced by members opposite appears to be that a judicial trial is not allowed for persons who may be detained under section 16 but that instead some machinery is set up for judging such cases in camera

Now, Sir, I submit that it is not possible for an open trial in such cases for this reason. If you read section 16(I), it says that it will be applicable for preventing persons from doing certain acts-not that they have committed those acts but for preventing persons from doing subversive acts. It is a preventive measure—no overt act may have been committed. It is not possible in such cases to bring matters to the court, although only in such cases will Government have power to issue orders. Let us not rouse passions. The fundamental issue is this—whether we consider an emergency exists in this country at the present moment, an emergency which makes it necessary for Government to acquire powers for ensuring the safety and stability of the province. I think that argument was fairly and squarely put by my honourable friend Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha and the Opposition members seemed also to agree that if any person should try to take recourse to subversive acts, there should be no sympathy or soft-heartedness for such persons and that they should be condemned. The only question that arises is whether there may be occasions for misusing those powers and whether we should provide a machinery to safeguard against such misuse of powers, and that is what the intention of clause 17 is. I believe that if the mind of a Judge who has a judicial temperament is brought to bear upon a case on which the Government will have already given their opinion, we can take it for granted that no miscarriage of justice will take place.

Now, Sir, the question is, what is the fundamental liberty on which the members opposite have waxed eloquent? Now, would it be regarded a fundamental liberty for a person to do acts which will go against the very safety and stability of the province? I do not think that it would be argued that that constitutes a fundamental liberty. So, let us not talk of fundamental liberty. This Bill, when it will be passed by the legislature

and become an Act, does not intend to take away the civil liberty or the fundamental liberty of a subject. What it does take away is the liberty which a subject does not possess to do subversive acts which will endanger the safety of the State. The only question, as I said, that arises is that the power which is given to the Government under this Act may conceivably be misused and all that we should do and which the Congress Party here is most anxious to do is to set up a machinery to see that that power is not abused.

In subsequent sections we find that the habous corpus provision is also there. From six months we have cut down to three months the power given to the Government to detain a subject by an executive order. Then what as provided is that if a High Court Judge on going through or examining the facts on which the accused was detained feels that sufficient ground exists for his detention, then only will be be detained for a further period. Let us also not torget some of the conditions which exist in this country as also the background of this Bill. Those who criticise the Bill torget that until probably 15th or 16th of March next Government are already armed with powers, much larger powers than envisaged in this Act. They had no necessity of bringing forward this Bill, if they wanted to exercise much more rigorous power than they want to take under this Act. But that was not the intention of the Congress. They want to come up before the public to have power, they want to consult the public whether they feel that such a legislation is necessary, and only with their consent to apply the provisions of this Act. I feel, Sir, therefore that when we are discussing section 17 we should bear in mind the provision of section 16 and also the special circumstances under which we are placed today, the emergency which faces our country, and then only say as to whether the power, a very small power which Government is asking for, is justified or not. I think we have addited sufficient grounds to justify that these powers are required and necessary in the interest of the State.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I beg to move that the proviso to clause 17 be omitted

The proviso gives Government power to this effect that nothing shall prevent the Provincial Government on the expiry of the validity of any such order-that is, the order of detention for six months initially; the power that they have taken by the substantive portion of the clause -- as aforesaid, after considering all the circumstances of the case, from issuing from time to time a fresh order to the same effect and subject to the same limitations as to duration. Su, by this provise Government arm themselves with power to keep a person eternally under detention by issuing fresh orders after expiry of the order under section 16. This is the very negation of personal liberty, and we cannot agree that a person can be detained for an indefinite length of time. Just now Government have agreed to reduce the period from six months to three months. It makes actually no difference if this proviso is retained whether the person is detained initially for six months or three months and if Government has power repeatedly to issue fresh orders after the expiry of an order made under this section. T feel that it there is sufficient reason for the Government to keep a man under detention after the initial period of three months, they should be able to collect sufficient materials to give before a Magistrate. The Magistrate will then examine, Sir, what case is there against him, what warrants his detention after detention, so that a detainee may be given a chance to defend himself, to adduce evidence in favour of his being set at liberty. Sir, this feeling in the country they wanted to circumvent by this very cleverly worded new amendment to clause 17 that the Government have a officially sponsored.

Sir, we have objected to that amendment and I hope my amendment of dropping this praviso to this clause shall be accepted by the House.

D!. BIDHAN CHANDRA ROY: Sir. after many years I have the privilege of addressing the members of the Legislative Assembly, probably a period of seventeen years, and I hope the members here today present will torgive me if I express to them my sense of oppression at the unreal position and situation in which they are discussing the matter today. Let them not merely consider what is happening in West Bengal or East Bengal. Let them look round, let them go out, at least let them get the literature trom different parts of the world, and they will find suspicion, distrust, jealousy reigns supreme everywhere, with the result that there is a very wide volume of fear that has been engendered which is partly responsible for the criticism which I have heard today. I have heard it repeated over and over again that we must not interfere with the liberty of the subject. but let me warn them that liberty is not licence. When you are in a social life in a civilised society you have got to give up certain of your liberties. One of the little liberties I want to retain to myself is to sit in my chair here when the discussions are going on, but my friend Mr. Jvoti Basu won't give me that liberty. He wants me to go backward and forward (laughter). I am older than his old father and, therefore, I want a little liberty to remain in the seat where I am, but that is the arrangement in which we work.

Now, the other sense of unreality that I see people oppressed with is that they have not-I hope they will pardon me-most of them have not read the Bill or the amendments that have been moved on behalf of Government. Those who do not desire to be convinced, I do not hope to convince them with any arguments that I want to put forward, but those who desire to keep an open mind, I will give them this proposition; the very object of the Bill will be frustrated if action is not taken immediately swittly, because nothing deters a miscreant more than swift action on the part of the executive. All the amendments that I have heard discussed with regard to clauses 16 and 17 have been on the basis that we are proceeding to judge of a human being and his conduct with certain amount of evidence as regards his capability in the crime, but that is not so - I would urge them to think of it in the same sense as I look at it, namely, that there is an epidemic prevalent in the world today. Everywhere we find a sense of distrust, and therefore in order to avoid that epidemic preventive measures have to be adopted. We all know that a small-pox epidemic is feared in Calcutta. We ask people to give up their liberty and subject themselves to vaccination. Why? In order, first of all, to prevent the individual who gets the vaccination to be protected from this infection, and also what is more important is to allow others who are moving about in the city not to expose themselves to the infection. Therefore, this problem is more or less axiomatic that if you want to take action as a preventive measure—and even in politics prevention is better than cure—it you want to take a preventive measure, you must act swiftly. Now, section 16 as it has been put down here says that the Provincial Government shall make an order if there are reasonable grounds for thinking that a person is about to commit a subversive act-is about to get small-pox, in my language. I should say, in order to make my argument quite clear, that it is necessary to force that man either to remain in a quarantine area or to get vaccinated in order to protect him and protect other people in that area. If you start on that basis and if you start on that premise that it is not a curative process but a preventive process, all the other amendments that have been moved by my friends opposite will fall to the ground. If you think that it is necessary to act swiftly you cannot commit the mistake of going to one Judge and then to another Judge and ask the lawyer to dilate upon the points to defend the individual and so on and so forth. I have great respect for lawyers. but I think the world is soon getting into the atmosphere in which lawyers will be absolutely out of court. (Mr. J. C. Gupta: এটা আবাৰ কি !) My friend says, এটা जाबात कि ? He knows that his prospect is absolutely assured. I'will tell, why. Because, lawyers ordinarily in the scheme of

things to come which we are visualising will be converted into good industrialists and into good engineers taking interest in trade and progress of the country as a whole. Therefore my suggestion is, if you are to take certain measures for preventing a particular incident to happen, the question is, should you allow Government to utilise that power without its being checked by some party or other. I do not agree with my friends opposite that the amendments that have been proposed are to hoodwink the people at all, and I take the full responsibility for the way in which the amendment has been The position is that the Act is limited to a period of one year and is also limited to the directions in which it is to be applied, more with regard to quality and quantity as regards area and nature of the trouble to which at can be applied, when the Provincial Government has reasonable grounds for issuing an order. But it is possible that even with all the information that the Provincial Government may have in its possession the conclusion that it comes to with regard to an individual or a group of individuals might be something different if somebody else has some facts before him. My friend Mr Jyoti Basu is, I understand, a lawyer, and I am surprised at seeing that he says that a Judge will think it beneath his dignity to pass a judgment without having before him the facts and figures. My answer to that is that I regard the judge also as a person who belongs to the community, and if there is an atmosphere which makes the Provincial Government take certain steps and it that atmosphere is continuing at the end of three months the judge would not be a human being belonging to ourselves unless he also is cognisant of that atmosphere. And therefore if the Provincial Government places the facts before him and if the judge also appreciates the circumstances which led the Government to issue that order it is not unreasonable to think that that judge, even though he be a judge, is a human being and that he would appreciate the reasonable grounds on which the Government has issued the order. After all, the judge will have almost the same facts, if not a few more than what the Provincial Government had at the time the original order was issued, because the judge will have the statement of the person who has been detained as well as all the papers which the Government has given to the judge. Although it has been said that Government will give such papers as they may think fit to give, there is no reason to think that a judge who is a responsible person--if he wants to arrive at a conclusion-will not ask for all the papers. If the judge, for instance, thinks that Government has exceeded the powers given under the Act it will be open to the judge to tell the Government to revise its decision, and it would be a very bad Provincial Government or a very bad Home Minister who would refuse to accept that, because it is binding in the first instance on the Government, and apart from being binding, Government will realise that here is an independent opinion and that they should abide by it. And therefore my suggestion to my friends opposite is to take the facts as they are and not merely to dilate upon the words and phrases as we find repeated everyday. There are slogans which are used without much sense of reality. I would ask them to remember that . this is merely a preventive Act for the purpose of avoiding such incidents as we have had unfortunately witnessed during the last eighteen months. Supposing my friend Mr Mudassir Hossain's proposition is accepted, I would have liked him to remain in Calcutta. I do not know whether he was in Calcutta on the 16th August, 1946 (Mr. Mudassir Hossain: I was in Calcutta then.) Let him put the case if he wants to bring a case before a Magistrate (Mr. Mudassir Hossain). That is not my proposal. Dr. Roy is not correct.) I am sorry. Well, I am very glad that it is not so. But my point is, if it is prevention which is intended, the action taken must be swift, must be deterrant and must convince the fellow who is being 'detained that there is no loophole for him to take advantage of delaying tacties. On the other hand, Government also may not feel that they can do exactly as they think best. Therefore the amendment put forward is that at the end of three months or within three months the Judge of the High Court will find out whether the Government is right and if any further detention has to be made it shall be at the suggestion of a Judge of

the High Court. Therefore I repudiate the suggestion made by the other side that the amendment is moved to hoodwink the public. If there is any protection to the public, there is protection given to the public by this amendment which I commend to the acceptance of the House.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 17, line 2, for the word "six" the word "three" be substituted, was then put and lost.

Sri JYOTI BASU: On a point of order, Sir. Just now a similar amendment was put and Government said "No". But now they are accepting this: the subject-matter is the same.

Mr. SPEAKER: No, this is not identical.

The motion of Sri A. K. Ghose that in clause 17, in line 1, the words "Save as hereinafter in this section otherwise provided" be inserted at the beginning before the words "An order"; and for the words "six months" in line 2, the words "three months" be substituted, was then put and agreed to.

The motion of Sri A. K. Ghose that for the proviso to clause 17 the following provisos be substituted, namely:—

"Provided that the Provincial Government may, it and so often as it thinks fit, before the date on which under this section any such order would otherwise have ceased to be in force, place before a Judge of the High Court in Calcutta, the grounds on which the order is made, the representation, if any, made under section 18 by the person affected thereby and such further materials as the Provincial Government may think fit and the Provincial Government shall, in accordance with the decision of the Judge thereon, issue an order of release or a fresh order of detention for such period not exceeding nine months as may be determined by the Judge.

Provided also that the person affected by the order shall not be entitled to be detended or represented by any lawyer or other person before the Judge:

Provided further that the Provincial Government shall be at liberty to release any such person at any time notwithstanding any provision hereinbefore contained.",

was then put and agreed to.

Mr. SPEAKER: The motion of Mr Khuda Bukhsh-No 45-falls through.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that the following provise be added to clause 17, namely:—

"Provided turther that a period of not less than two months shall have elapsed between the time of the expiry of an order and making a fresh order.",

was then put and lost.

The question that clause 17, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Adjournment.

The House was then adjourned at 6-57 p.m. till 3-45 p.m. on Friday, the 9th January, 1948, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

1548.]

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Friday, the 9th January, 1948, at 3-45 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Speaker (the Hon'ble Sri Iswar Das Jaran) in the Chair, six Hon'ble Ministers and 54 Members.

Special Motion under rule 85 of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly Procedure Rules.

Mr. SPEAKER: There is no question today. The business before the House is the Special Motion under rule 85 of the West Bengal Assembly Procedure Rules. Sii Ashutosh Mallick.

Sri ASHUTOSH MALLICK: Sn. 1 beg to move that this Assembly is of opinion that in order to ensure proper and efficient functioning of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly Department, Rules for, and Rules regulating the procedure of, the Assembly Department be framed in so far as such rules may not be inconsistent with the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted, and that a Committee of the following members be appointed for the purpose, namely:—

- (1) The Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Home Department,
- (2) Sri Amar Krishna Ghose,
- (3) Sn J. C. Gupta,
- (4) Sri Debendra Nath Sen,
- (5) Sir Bimal Comar Ghose.
- (6) Sri Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar,
- (7) Sri Bimal Chandra Sinha,
- (8) Sri Shyamapada Bhattacharjee,
- (9) Sri Radhanath Das,
- (10) Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman,
- (11) Mr. Muhammad Rafique,
- (12) Mrs. Edna May Ricketts and
- (13) Sri Ashutosh Mallick (the mover), with instructions to submit their report by the 31st March, 1948, and that the quorum of the Committee be fixed at five.

Mr Speaker, Sir, this is a motion of a non-controversial nature. I do not think it requires any long speech. It is a long-felt demand, and with these observations only I commend this motion for the acceptance of the House.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I have two amendments to move. May I have your permission?

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes.

8ri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: I beg to move that in place of Mrs. Edna May Ricketts, the name of Mr. L. R. Pentony be substituted.

I also beg to move that the following be added to the list already mentioned in the original motion, namely:-

The Hon'ble the Finance Minister, and

· Sri Anandi Lal Poddar.

May I make my submission now, Sir?

Mr. SPEAKER: Yes.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, it is a little embarrassing for me to speak on this motion as also on my amendments. because in the original motion the mover has also proposed my name as one of the members of the Committee to consider this question. But, Sir, I really stand here today to speak a few words about the motion that has been very appropriately brought forward by the Deputy Speaker of this House. We, Sir, have undertaken a new adventure on the 15th of August. We have turned a new corner. You all know that the Parliaments which we used to have in this Province as also in this country were sham Parliaments functioning under the limitations they did function in the good old days. We know, Sir, that the power had not emanated from the people and the assemblies were after all mere handiwork of certain Imperialist Acts and were not the representative forum of the people as such You know that in the political history of the country at one time there arose a very great controversy as to whether Council entry did or did not turn our attention to wrong channels But, Sir, as power has gradually and gradually come to the people, legislatures have come to assume more and more independent status and they have now become more or less representative of the people. Today when we have undertaken our great adventure on the 15th of August, it is now just fit that we should turn our attention to make this Parliament a Parliament in the very real sense of the term-a Parliament where we have no longer to function under the limitations of the Government of India Act passed by a foreign Parliament, but a Parliament in which we can make or mar our destinies, where we can forge our future and where we can build the nation according to the nation's will. If that be our object, Sir, then it is of utmost importance to find out in what way we can make our Parhament a living thing, a real thing, a body truly representative of the rights of the people so that there might be a guarantee to the people that their rights can be guarded by their chosen representatives -- an assurance to the country that after all here we forge the destinies of the country and we function under no limitation placed by any powers outside. It that be so, Sir, the motion that has been moved by the Deputy Speaker is a very apt motion at this particular moment. It seeks to draft rules for the proper and efficient functioning of this legislature We all know, Sir, that the Assembly has not yet had the independent status we wish this Assembly to attain. It is after all yet a limb of the Provincial Executive, but when the Provincial Government has become the Government of a free people, it is more essential that the Provincial Legislature should not work under the limitations it has yet to work and it must have an independent status of its own. You know that the previous Speaker repeatedly drew the attention of this House as to the extreme necessity of getting rid of the limitations placed on the independent functioning of this Assembly and giving this Assembly an independent status of its own. are aware that the House of Commons has an unlimited privilege. It has an authority which extends even beyond the authority of the Government. The Speaker within the precincts of the House is the sole custodian of the members' privileges and rights. Not only that, even outside it has some functions which cannot be interfered with by any authority, not even by the Government of the land. It is in this way that the English people have tried to preserve their fundamental rights and liberties. Now, Sir, in the cituation in which we are placed today, unless we strive to give our Parliament this independent status where the rights of the people will be safeguarded, the alternative is a very dark alternative. You know, Sir.

that if we do not try to make parliamentary work a real living thing, the alternative is bloodshed and chaos. Unless we agree to defend our wights, unless we have that liberal toleration to adjust each other's mutual viewpoints, unless we find out and share each other's doubts and viewpoints' in the tramework of this Assembly, the result will be chaos outside and trouble inside. So in the difficult times that he ahead, if we have to respect the will of our nation, it is very essential that people must feel that it is not necessary to resort to violence or force in order to ensure their rights, and the chosen representatives that may come here will be able to properly safeguard the rights of the people that have been entrusted to them without any let or hindrance from the Provincial Executive. Unless we do that, the future of India would not be very bright. For that reason, Sir. I think it has been very appropriate not to allow any delay in the framing of the rules which this motion proposes to frame, and I would earnestly appeal to all sides of the House to co-operate in the noble object set forth in this motion and to give suggestions so that this House may become a living and real House representing the viewpoints of the people and a real forum for mutual discussion and adjustment so that our differences may be straightened out here, our problems may be solved here and our future also, may be assured here within the fundamental framework which has been set up by the constitution of India itself

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, in welcoming this resolution I crave permission from you, Sir, to move a short notice amendment and it is in respect of a name that I wish to be added, apart from the names sponsored by the mover of the resolution. I move that the name of Sir Jvoti Basu be included in the list, and I hope the House will accept my amendment,

Mr. SPEAKER: Have you got his consent?

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Yes, Sir Sir, this Committee will frame rules regulating the procedure of the Assembly and also such rules that will make the functioning of this legislature efficient. Sir, one thing the mover of the resolution has emitted is the definition of the privileges which the nombers of this benoarable House should enjoy. We have a notion that we have very similar privileges as those enjoyed by members of the Mother of Parlaments—the Parlament in England, but nowhere such privileges have been defined. I hope this Committee will also undertake to define the privileges that the members of this House shall enjoy.

The motion of Sir Binal Chandra Sinha that the name of Mrs. Edna May Ricketts be substituted by the name of Mr. L. R. Pentony, was then put and agreed to

The motion of Sri Binal Chandra Sinha that the following names, viz.:—
The Hon'ble Minaster-in-Charge of the Finance Department, and

Sri Anandilal Poddar be added to the list, was then put and agreed to

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that the name of Sri Jyoti Basu he added to the list, was then put and agreed to.

The motion of Sri Ashutosh Mallick as amended that this Assembly is of opinion that in order to ensure proper and efficient functioning of the West Isengal Legislative Assembly Department, Rules for, and Rules regulating the procedure of, the Assembly Department be framed in so far as such rules may not be inconsistent with the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted, and that a Committee of the following members be appointed for the purpose, namely:—

- (1) The Hon'ble Minister-in-charge of the Home Department,
- (2) Sri Amar Krishna Ghose,
- (3) Sri J. C. Gupta,
- (4) Sri Debendra Nath Sen,

- (5) on Bimai Comar Gnose,
- (8) Sri Niharendu Dutt-Mazumda
- (7) Sri Bimal Chandra Sinha,
- (8) Sii Shyamapada Bhattacharjee,
- (9) Sri Radhanath Das.
- (10) Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman,
- (11) Mr. Muhammad Rafique.
- (12) Mrs. Edna May Ricketts.
- (13) Shi Ashutosh Mallick (the mover),
- (14) The Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Finance Department,
- *(15) Sri Anandilal Poddar, and
- (16) Sri Jyoti Basu,

with instructions to submit their report by the 31st March, 1948, and that the quorum be fixed at five, was then put and agreed to.

Non-official Members' Resolution.

 \$ri
 NISHAPATI
 MAJHI:
 মাননীয়
 পশীকার
 মহোদয়
 আমি
 নিবেদন
 করিচেছি

 যে
 বেছেশ্বর বাব্ অনুপশিষ্ট থাকায় তীহার দহলে আমি প্রস্তাবটি উত্থাপন করিচেছি—

"ভারতীয় গণপরিষদ মোলিক অধিকারবলে যে অস্প্নাতাকে দণ্ডযোগা বলিয়া ঘোষণা কবিয়াছেন তহজন। এই পরিষদ অন্তরের সহিত ভারতীয় গণপরিষদকে ধন্যবাদ জ্ঞাপন করিতেছে। ভারতীয় গণপরিষদের ঘোষণাকে আইনতঃ কার্যাকরী ও অস্প্নাতা বহুর্জনকে সাফলার্মাণ্ডত করিবার জন্য সবকার হইতে যথোপযুক্ত বাবদ্যা করা হউক ইহাই এই পরিষদের অভিনত। পশ্চিমবঞ্জাবাদীকে অস্প্নাতা বহুর্জন কাযোঁ নিযুক্ত হইয়া সমাজজীবনকে প্রাণবন্ত, স্ন্তা ও সজীব করিয়া তুলিবার জন্য এই পরিষদ দেশবাসীকৈ থাবেদন জানাইতেছে।"

শাননীয় প্লীকার মহাশয়, অপ্ল্লাতা বন্ধনি এবং তপশীলদের উম্নতিকপে এদেশে বিদেশীরা ইংরেজী শিক্ষা ন্বারা হয়ত হরিজনদের রাজনৈতিক চেতনা ও অধিকার দানের আংশিক ব্যবস্থা করিয়াছেন। কিন্তু বর্ণহিন্দ্ ও হরিজনদের মধ্যে প্রকৃত মিলনের কোন প্রচেন্টাই করেন নাই। ভেদনীতির ন্বারা বিদেশী শাসনকে কায়েম করিতে দেখিয়া মহাস্থা গান্ধী এই কারণেই হরিজন আন্দোলন স্ভান করেন। তিনি ঐকান্তিকভাবে বর্ণহিন্দ্ ও হরিজনদের মধ্যে যোগস্ত্রিতি অস্থানা রাখিলে এই ব্যাপারে আজিকার জাতীয় সরকারকে অপর আর একটি বাধা-বিহারে সম্মুখীন হইতে হইত। এক্ষেত্র মহাত্মা গান্ধী ও ব্বীন্দ্রনাথ আমাদিগকে সমাজ-সংস্কার পথে অনেকটা অগ্রসর করিয়া দিয়াছেন। আনন্দেব সহিত এইজনা ভারতের গণপ্রিষদকে মহাত্মাজীর প্রচেন্টাকে তথা অস্প্লাতাকে উদ্দেদ করিতে যতুবান হওয়ায় ধন্যবাদ ক্রাপ্রকৃতিছি।

পশীকার মহাশয়, অবগত হইয়াছি যে কেন্দ্রীয় স্বাদ্যা-সচিব মাননীয়া রাজকুমারী অমৃত কাউর অবিলন্দের প্রজেক প্রদেশে হরিজন উয়য়ন কায়ের জন্য একটি করিয়া বিভাগ দ্যাপনে উদ্যোগী হইয়াছেন। আজ পশিচ্ম বাংলার পরিষদ সদস্য মহাশয়দের এইজন্য স্চিন্তিত অভিমত প্রদান করিবার স্যোগ উপদ্হিত

ইইয়াছে। মাননীয় সদস্যগণ নিজ নিজ অভিমত তাপন করিয়া পশ্চিম বাংলার প্রায় ৩৭ লছ হরিজনের

ইয়য়ন কায়ের ভার গ্রহণ করিলে সভাই দেশ ধন্য হইবে। ইহাতে পশ্চাংপদ জাতিম্লি ভারত সরকারের
পরিকল্পিত কৃষিশিশ্প উয়য়ন কায়ের আংশিক কায়াভার গ্রহণ করিতে সক্ষম হইবে।

প্রক্তাবের পটভূমিকার আমি এই স্ত্রে বলিতেছি ছরিজন উমরন মানে মান্রাভের ১৯০৭. ১৯০৮ এবং
১৯৪৭ সালের মন্দির প্রবেশ আইন নছে। পান্চিম বাংলার অপশ্যা অন্মত এবং তপশীলদের আইনতঃ
অধিকার দিবার যদিও ক্রোন আইন আজ পর্যান্ত রচিত হয় নাই, কিন্তু এখানকার সামাভিক জীবনে
চলাফেরার কোন প্রতুর বাবধান নাই। এখানকার ছরিজনদের পলায় ঘণ্টা বাধিয়া রাস্তার একবারে
চলিবার প্রথা কোন কালে ছিল না। আমি বিশ্বাস করি বাংলাদেশে ক্রীচৈতন্যদেব, রাজা রাম্মোছন রায়,
বিবেকানন্দ, রবীন্দ্রনাথ এবং শিক্ষকাণ, ছাত্রগণ ও দেশকন্দিশেণ ও সাছিত্যিকাণ অপশ্যাতার বিষব্যক্ষর

. म्रांत य परिमार क्रांताचाठ करिब्राह्म, এইবার জাতীয় সরকারের সামান্য আঘাতেই তাহা हुमिनीर हरेता बाहेरव। পশ্চিম বাংলার জনগণ এই বিষয়টির প্রতি এত বেশী উদ্যোগী ও প্রণতিশাল যে, যে কোন একটি खाहेন বিধিবন্ধ হইলেই তাহা হরিজনদের মন হইতে কাঞ্পনিক সেকালের জীতি, সংকোচ এবং বর্ণছিন্দ্রের সম্মুখের তুছ সামাজিক বাধা ও চছ্লভ্জা দূর করিতে সম্মুখ হইবে।

এইজনা আমি প্রস্তাব করিতেছি, আগামী ১৯৪৮ সালের যে বাজেই অধিবেশন ছইবে, সেই অধিবেশনে মান্তাজের গ্রাম পণ্ডায়েও আইনের নাায় যেন একটি বিল উপস্থাপিত করা হয়। এই বিলের সাহায়ে গ্রামের সকল শাসনভার এবং সংগঠন কায়েরে দায়িত্ব যেমন নাসত থাকিবে সেইর্প অসম্মত ব্যক্তিদের প্রামের পণ্ডায়েওগণ দণ্ডদানের যথাযথ বাবস্থা করিয়া অপশাতা বহর্তন কায়াকৈ সাথাক করিয়া তুলিবেন। পণ্ডায়েওগণ ২৫০, টাকা অথদিও এবং তিন মাস জেল প্যান্তি দিবার অধিকারী হইবেন। ইহার কাঠামো কির্প হইবে তাহা চূড়ান্ডভাবে স্থিব করিবার জন্য মাননীয় আইন-সচিব মহাশায়কে স্বর্গনেরের নেতাদের প্রাম্মা গ্রহণ করিবার জন্য অনুরোধ জানাইতেছি। আমি মান্তাজের পল্লী পণ্ডায়েও আইনে যেসর দণ্ডদানের বিধি-বাবস্থা দেখিয়াছি ভাছার সহিত নিম্মালিখিত বিষয়গুলির সংযুক্ত কবিবার প্রস্তাব উপস্থাপিত করিতেছি:—

- (५) य नव भूरवारिक ७ मन्निद्रक्क मन्निरत अरवाम वाधा मिर्च,
- (২) যে সব নাপিত চুল কাটিতে এবং যে সব ধোপা কাপড় কাচিতে অসম্মত হইবে,
- (৩) যে সব ব্যক্তি পদবী ব্যবহার না করিয়া জাতির নাম ই:য়খ করিবে এবং অযোগ্য সংক্রাখনে অপ্যানিত কবিবে:
- (৪) যে সব বাজি জলাশয়ে ও বিদ্যালয়ে সকলকে সমান অধিকার দিতে অসম্মত হইবে এবং নানা কারণ উপচ্ছিত করিয়া শিছাদান ও জলদানে বাধা স্কান করিবে;
- (৬) যে সব ছোটেলওয়ালা, মিন্টামবিক্রেতা স্পশাদোষের তয়ে দার ছইতে খাদাদ্রবা ছ্ডিয়া দিবে কিংবা ঘটি ছইতে জল মুখে ঢালিয়া দিবে;
- (১) (ক) যে সব ব্যক্তি পোয়ালে বলাইয়া অথবা খারাপ দহানে মজুর, মাহিনদার, ভাগিদার, চাকর, চাকরাপীকে পচা খাদাদ্রর প্রদান করিয়া দ্বাদহাহানিকর কাষ্যা করিবে;
- (খ) যে সব বর্ণকু নরনারায়ণ ভোজন, সাবর্রজনীন এবং কাঞ্চালী ভোজন প্রকৃতির আয়োজন কবিয়া রাস্তার ধারে, পচা জেনের পাশে এবং নোংরা স্থানে খাইতে দিবে;
- (৭) যে সব বাভি উদ্দিউ খাদা খাইতে বাধা করিবে অথবা উদ্দিউ খাদ্যাদি খাইতে ও সংগ্রহ করিতে দেখিয়াও কোনর্প বাধা দিবে না:
- (৮) যে ব্যক্তি নিজেকে ভদ্রলোক ভাবিয়া অন্যকে ছোটলোক বলিয়া তিরস্কার, অপমান ও প্রহার করিবে অথবা গ্রাম হইতে উচ্ছেদের ষড়যন্ত্র করিবে অথবা এইসব বিষয়ে ছোটলোকের প্রস্থা, ভদ্রলোকের মানহানির উত্তেজনা স্তুন করিয়ে অন্যায়কারীকে সাহায়্য করিবে;
- (৯) যে সব প্রতিষ্ঠান কন্মে নিযুক্ত অধীনসহ হরিজনদের পানীয় জলের এবং বাসগ্রহের ও চিকিৎসা-দানের এবং শিক্ষাদানের সুটি করিবে;
- (১০) যে সব প্রামের ও সহরের সংরহ্মণশীল ব্যক্তিরা নানার্প ষড়যন্ত্র করিয়া অথবা কৃট কৌশল অবলম্বন করিয়া এইসব ব্যাপারে মিখ্যা সাহ্ম সংগ্রহ এবং দর্বার ও তদ্বির ইত্যাদি ভ করিবে কিংবা প্রকৃত ঘটনাকে বিকৃত করিয়া ছরিজনদের অভাব-অভিযোগের বাধা স্ভান করিবে:

তাছাদের উপরিলিখিত যে কোন দণ্ডে পণ্ডায়েগণে দণ্ডিত করিতে পারিবেন। অভিযোগকারীকৈ ফি বাবদ কিছ্ই দিতে হইবে না। কোন পক্ষের উকিল নিয়োগের অধিকার থাকিবে না। সাফীসাব্দ ভাষানবন্দী ক্ষা পণ্ডায়েগণে যাহা বিচার করিবেন তাহাই চ্ডান্ড হইবে। তবে দণ্ডাদেশের বিরুদ্ধে দেওয়ানী ও ফৌজনারী উভয়বিধ প্রকাশ্য আদালতে গ্রুতর কতকপ্লি কারণের ভন্য আশীল করা চলিবে। ইহায় পর আর কোন আপীল চলিবে না।

পদ্ধীতে একটি প্রশন উঠিবে—যে সব মন্দির ও প্জান্তান কোন কোনে লোকের ব্যক্তিগত মন্দির সেইদর কেনে আইনের সাহায়ে হরিজনদের কোন অধিকার দেওয় যায় কিনা? এইসব মন্দিরে ও পূজা অনুতানে চিরাচরিত প্রথা অনুতারে দেখা যায় এই যে আহ্ত, অনাহ্ত এবং রবাহ্ত সকল শ্রেণীর লোকই যখন প্রেশাধিকার পায়, তখন হরিজনরাই বা পাইবে না কেন? বাহিণত প্তকরিণীতে যেমন জনসাধারণের ঘাটন্ত্র প্রতিত আছে এবং জনসাধারণের জল ব্যবহায়া বিলয়া ন্হির সিন্ধানত হইয়াছে, তেমনি এই সকল মন্দির ও পূজান্তানে হরিজনদেরও প্রেশিধিকার ও পূজান্তার আছে কিনা, আমি পরিষদকে বিশেষভাবে বিকেচনা করিবার জন্য অনুরোধ ঢানাইতেছি।

পায়খানা, নদামা এবং ময়লা পরিব্দার, চামড়া তৈরা ও প্রাম পরিব্দার কায়ো যাহারা নিযুক্ত এবং ধারার কাজ যাহারা করিতেছে তাহাদের পুস্থ ও পবল এবং শিক্ষিত করিয়া তুলিবার জন্য আমি মাননীয় সদস্যপণের নিকট কাতর প্রার্থনা জানাইতেছি। ইহাদের অনায়াসেই ইউনিয়ন বোর্ড এবং নিউনিসিপ্যালিটার ব্বারা পরিব্দার পরিক্ষেতা শিক্ষা দিবার ব্যবস্থা করিতে পারা যায়। স্থের বিষয় এই যে ইহারা আজকাল অনেকেই আধাসরকারা এবং সরকারা প্রতিষ্ঠানে নিযুক্ত রহিয়াছে। যাহারা প্রতিষ্ঠানভুক্ত নহে, তাহাদেরও সম্বায় সমিতির ব্বারা স্ম্বব্দ্ধ ও শক্তিশালী করিবার উপায় আছে। ইহাদের কাষ্যাকালীন পোষাক প্রতিষ্ঠান হইতে সরবরাহ করিবার এবং বাসগৃহ ও নলক্পসম্হ স্থাপন করিবার বাবস্থা করিতে হইয়াছে। যদি প্রত্যেক ইউনিয়ন বার্ড ও মিউনিসিপ্যালিটা আদ্দা প্রাী স্থাপনে উদ্যাগা হন, তাহা হইলে সরকারী তহবিল হইতে অর্থাসাহায় দানেরও বাবস্থা করিতে হইবে।

ভাগাড়ে নিম্মিপত মৃত জীবজন্তুর মাংসাহার আইনের প্রারা নিষ্মিধ হওয়া উচিত সেইবৃপি মাদক প্রবাগ্রির ব্যারা সরকার যাহাতে প্রায় অধ্বন্ধানিটি টাকা রাইসেকে যি আদায় মা করেন এব মাদক প্রবাব বহুল প্রচার বন্ধের কটোর বিধি-বাবস্থা করেন, তাহার প্রতি সরকারের দৃথ্টি নেওয়া উচিত। কেন না শতকরা ৯৫ জন বর্ত্তমানে মাদক প্রবার অনুবর। বঞ্গীয় স্বরাবের ১৯৪৬-৪৭ সালের বাজেটের ১০ প্রেয়ার দেখা যায় পশ্চিম বজের গ্রেছ এবং প্রায়ে প্রায়ে বৈরু টাকা এবং ৩৩ লক্ষ ২৪ হাজার টাকা এবং ৩৩ লক্ষ ২৪ হাজার টাকা এবং ৩৩ লক্ষ ২৪ হাজার টাকা—একুনে প্রায় ৩৭ লক্ষ্ টাকার—আবগারী খাতে আয় হইয়াছে। সেইবৃপি তাড়ির বাবদ ১৩ লক্ষ্ টাকার গাছের টাকেসেন ও দোবানের লাইসেক্স থি আদায় হইতেছে। এইজনা শুখু তাল গাড় ও মিছবা টেয়াবী কার্যের শার্তাসাধ্যার করাই হইতেছে না, হরিজনদেবও খাদ্যা-সমস্যার গ্রেডর সর্বান্ধান সাধ্য করা হইতেছে। এক্ষেরে সরকারকৈ দৃত্ব হইয়া যেমন ৫০ লক্ষ্ টাকা আদায় বহিত কবিতে হইবে, তেমনি ব্যুটার হাস্ত প্রাইও তাড়ির বিরুক্তম প্রিস নিযুক্ত কবিয়া মাচালের সংখ্যা হাস্ত কবা দ্বকাব।

বিদেশী শাসনকভারে সাঙ্তাল বিভোহেব পব সাঙ্তালদিপরে ও বায়বেশে দলবে স্বাধীন বাংলাৰ সৈনিক বিবেচনা কবিয়া ইহাদিপকে দুবাল ও নিজেজ করিবার জন্য পঢ়াই মন ও তাড়িব ভেন্ডার স্থাপন ও কুটনৈতিক কোশল অবলম্বন করিয়াছিলেন। আজু সেই সব ছল, বল ও কোশল পরিতাপে করিয়া জাতির চিন্ডাধারার আম্ল পরিবর্ডান করিছে হইবে।

পরিশেষে নিবেদন, আমাব প্রস্তাবগুলি বর্ণহিন্দুদেব বিরুদ্ধে অভিযান বলিয়া যেন কের মান না করেন। ইতিমধ্যে কের কের হরিজন সম্বন্ধে আলোচনা হাইডেছে শ্নিয়া বিরুহ হাইয়া পড়িডেছেন। তাঁছাদের স্মরণ রাখা উচিত মহায়া গাম্ধী এখনও হরিজন পত্রিকা এবং হরিজন সেবাসংঘ ও হরিজন ইময়ন কাজকে উন্টাইয়া দেন নাই। উপরক্ষু বিভিন্ন প্রাদেশিক সরকার এবং বিভিন্ন সেবাসংঘ ও হরিজন ইয়য়ন কাজকে উন্টাইয়া দেন নাই। উপরক্ষু বিভিন্ন প্রাদেশিক সরকার এবং বিভিন্ন সেবাস্থাতভান প্রেণিদামে ছরিজনদের জাবনারণের মানদ্যতকে উত্ত করিবার জনা অধিকতর আকৃত্য হাইয়াছেন। ইহা বাতাত মাননীয় সদসা মছোদ্মগণ বোধ হয় জানেন যে এই ভেদবৃদ্ধি ও অস্প্রাতা পাপ প্রবল্ভাবে বর্ত্তমানে হরিজনদের মধ্যে বিদ্যামান। এই অস্প্রাতা পাপ হরিজনদের স্তরে হতারে বর্ত্তমানে অধিকতরভাবে কৃত্যরোগের মত প্রবল পরান্তমে বিরাজ করিতেছেন্ত তাছা যে কবে দ্র হাইবে তাছা আজও আনেক কন্পনা করিতে পারেন না। এই কারণে আজিকার সবল ও সক্রিয় সামাজিক জাবন গঠনের জনা পশ্চিম বজ্যের মব্রতিন্তিত স্বাধীন রাথ্যের সহায়তাপ্রাথাী হাইয়াছি।

অতাত দু:ধের বিষর স্মীলোকেরা গ্রের কন্ত্রী হইরা যেমন ভিতর হইতে আজও অস্নাতাকে দুরীকৃত করিতে পারেন নাই সেইর্প আমাদের রাষ্ট্রকেও নানা সমসাায় বিব্রত হইরা পড়িতে হইতেছে। অবগত হইরাছি, বাংলাদেশে লীগ মন্ত্রিমণ্ডলী গত ১৯৪৬-৪৭ সালের বাড়েটে ১০ লছ টাকা তপদীলদের

শিক্ষার জন্য বরান্দ করিয়াছিলেন। কিন্দু ১৯৪৫-৪৬ সালের নাার্ছ ৫ লক্ষ্ টাকা বাস্তৰে ধার্য ছইয়াছিল। গত ১৫ই আগভেঁর পর ১৯৪৭-৪৮ সালের বর্তমান সময়ে উক্ত ৫ লক্ষ্ টাকাকে আমাদের শিক্ষা-দল্রী মহেদয় সম্লে উক্তেন করিয়াছেন। ইহা অবগত হইয়া আমরা বিচলিত হই নাই, তবে এ বিষয় পরিষদ সদসাদের সহিত তিনি এবং অর্থা বিভাগের মাননায় মন্ত্রী মহাদয় আজ প্রাণ্ড কোন প্রাম্পা করিবার আবশাক বোধ করেন নাই বলিয়াই বিস্মিত হইয়াছি। শ্নিতেছি কলিকাতার হায়াবাসে ২০০ জন হায়ের মধ্যে মাত্র ৪২ জন তপশীল হায়ের সহান দেওয়া হইয়াছে। এক্ষেত্রে মাননায় শিক্ষা-মন্ত্রী এবং শিক্ষারতীদের নিকট আমার নিবেদন, যথম ১০ বংসরের মধ্যে তপশীল আখ্যা অবলুত্ত হইবে, তথম যাহায়া শিক্ষার পশ্চাপদ এবং অনুয়ত তাহাদের যোগতের কবিবার গ্রু দায়য়ৢভার গ্রুণ করিতে হইবে। সকলের জনা যে শিক্ষ্মিকে বাধাতাম্লক করা হইবে তাহাব গতিছজনীর দিবে বিশেষ লক্ষ্ম রাখিতে হইবে। হবিজন শিলুবা প্রতিদিন ২৪ ঘণ্টা বাাপী যে অশিক্ষার অন্বলত কারের রহিয়াছে, সেখান হইতে মাত্র হাতীর ঘণ্টার জনা শিক্ষারে আনিয়া কোন শিক্ষার আয়োজন করিলে তাহাতে তাহাদের মানসিক কোন পরিবত্তন ঘণ্টারে না তাহাদের হাতেনাতে সর্বদান কাজ করাইয়া ব্যন্ধিব্যুত্তক জাগুত করিতে হইবে এবং সংসক্ষার সাহাযো নিবর্ম্বিদ্বার বিনাশ্যাধন করিতে হইবে। ইছা বাতীত প্রামে গ্রামে ব্যুক্তদের শিক্ষাকেন্দ্র গ্রিক্তি গুলিয়া এমন আবহাওয়ার স্থিত বিরতে হইবে যাহাতে মানন প্রবার আয়োজনের ঘণ্টাওগুলিও বন্ধ হয়, জ্ব্যাধ্যেই ইচ্ছেদ হয় এবং স্থানিজতির ইপর মানসিক উন্নতিলাধন হইতে পারে।

এ প্রস্কোষ্টের যে কারিস্কারি, কৃষি, ডাকারী এব বৈলানিব ইচাল্যাব এমন বারস্থা করিছে ইইবে যাহাতে প্রতি জেলায় বংগতে অন্ততঃ ১০ জন কবিয়া তপদালৈ প্রেণীব লোক যোগাতা অফ্টান করিছে পাবে: মান্ত্রিক পাবের পর এনেকরে নির্ভায় ও তুড়ায় বিদ্যাপ্ত ছাত্ ছাত্রী বলিয়া এই ইচাল্যান্তর স্থান হইতে বল্ডিত করিলে হবিজনবা বোন প্রকাবেই ইতিয়াধ্য যোগাত্র হথতে পাথিবে না।

আমাদেব বিদ্যালয়সম্থার এইব্লিজারে গঠন ববিতে বহুবে যাখাতে বাগতর অভিজ্ঞান বাবা তাছারা হবিদন সমস্যার সম্প্রান নাতন বিজ্যু আবিদনার ও বম্মার্শার অঞ্জান ববিতা বাতিয়া আথিতে পাজেই বিনালয়গালিই জাতায় চিন্তাখালার পরিবর্তনি সাধন কবিতে নন্মার্গার গবিত্রকৈ বুল নিবে এব লাজিছ লাভ নাগবিদ হিসাতে প্রস্পান স্থায়াগিল্য নেশান গহিসা বুলিয়েও এইবল্প বহুমুখী ওম্মার জ্যের দেশের স্থিয়ের হিমারতা এব বিনালয়ই আমাদের প্রধান তার্গাহন এই বিনালয়েই নিম্নালিখিত বাগত্র সমস্যার সম্প্রান হইবে,

- (১) দ্বলাতী ম্নোন্মন প্রথা উদ্ভেদপুণত চলগালন্তে পতিনিধিছীন ইউনিয়ন বৈচেতি ও জেলা বোডি পতিচালনাত্রন্নীতি সকল
- (६) महलाला चाल श्रीतृत् होस हायुगायु लढदाको झदादल्या ६ ईआलीसत् ४ हारि.
- (৩) জমিদাবী প্রথা অবিলম্বে উছেদ আয়োজন না করার ভাগান্যা ও কৃষি মজ্যুবের **আথিকি ছতি** প্রতি
- (৪) শার্টারেক, পারিপাদিবকৈ এবং শিক্ষার প্রতি আগ্রহ বৃদ্ধি করিবার চান্য পল্লীতে পল্লীতে হরিজন চেব্লাসেবক দল গঠনে স্বর্দারেক তান্ধিলা ও অবজা ভাব ইচ্যাদি।

আছে এই প্রস্তাব টকাপিত কারিয়া বালিতেছি যে গণতালু ে গামের নাটিতে পণিচম বংগরে রাজু অতি সত্ত্ব হবিভ্ন উল্লেখন বৈভাগ দ্বাপন কর্মা শিক্ষাব উল্ভিক্তেশ মাল্রাজ, বোদবাই ও উড়িলা গড়পমিণ্ট হেল্প টাকা বরান করিয়াছেন এবং ছতি দ্বালার করিয়া হবিভ্নদেব উল্লেখনে জন্য বন্ধপরিকর হইয়াছেন, তেমনি আছে দেই আন্দেরি উদ্ধেশ্ব পশ্চিম বংগাব বাজু নব আলোকপাত করিয়া ভারতকে আলোকিত কর্ম। নেতাভারি নেশে, রব্দীন্দনাথের জনস্কুমিতে আমরা এই আশা-আকাল্যাই পোষণ করি।

"कर दिना."

Sri JYOTI RASU: Mr. Speaker. Sir, I wholeheartedly associate myself with the resolution which has just been moved and also with most of the things that the honourable member has said on this resolution.

I feel, as a progressive humanity has felt in our country, that untouchability is really a blot on any society. Especially those who have been anti-imperialists have felt that this is one of the obstacles in our society

because of which we could not move forward still faster. Still the point arises as to how, in what way, we can really do anything for uplift of this class of people in our society, because we know that as long as they remain as they are, if their social position continues to be what it is, then it will mean a constant source of conflict within the society, and leaders will arise who will surely lead these people astray it Government and the society do not themselves do anything for them, because a time will surely come, as it is coming, when the free air of the whole world will touch our untouchables in our country, and they will rise to tear asunder the chains by which they are bound. But I feel all the same that merely recognising the right of these people is not sufficient. Merely passing legislation is not sufficient. As the former speaker has made it quite clear that unless their social and economic position is altered swiftly, immediately, unless it is the deepest purpose of the Government to forthwith move in the matter it will be impossible to carry this task forward. I feel that one of the major things to be done in this respect is that the zemindary system must be abolished immediately. If at all we are serious about doing anything for the untouchables in this country, because most of these untouchables are kisans, and unless without compensation we can get rid of zemindaries it would mean that whatever we may do by throwing open the temples or whatever we may do by throwing open a few hostels for school or college boys in Calcutta we shall not touch a fringe of the problem. We know that even in a place like Bombay in certain departments in the textile industries untouchables are not allowed to enter and work.

(At this stage Mr. Deputy Speaker took the Chair.)

From the fact that that sort of thing can take place in a metropolis like Bombay I can quite realise as to why leaders of untouchables, when they speak against progress they get a hearing from lakhs of untouchables in this country. That is why, Mr. Deputy Speaker, without further wasting time I should say that if in Bengal we have to begin this enlightenment, then every Government official must be told together with laws being passed that not merely would it be a crime against anybody if he pleads for untouchability but we must bring forward social and economic measures by which we can within, say, 3, 3, 4 or 5 years see that there really is an improvement of their position in the society, that they by themselves, by their own leaders, by the men who will rise from the bottom will make a position for themselves in the society. Otherwise we know that in the statute book we may have wonderful laws but nothing is going to happen. We know that in America, for instance, they think they have a very enlightened society, and they talk of democracy, and in their codes from the days of Lincoln and Washington there were wonderful laws, but we know that negroes are lynched in America. We know that negroes are untouchables in America. It anybody enters a hotel, if any dark person enters a hotel, Americans go out of that hotel. Mr. Deputy Speaker, that is our lesson. Without real economic benefit for these people, nothing can be done by merely throwing open a few temples or a few hotels or opening a few hostels and so on.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, if it were not a fact it would appear incredible that a resolution of this description was at all necessary at these times when we have attained a good measure of democracy. We find to our discomfiture that a resolution of this type has at all to be moved. I should have been better pleased if this resolution were moved by a Caste Hindu. When moved by a Scheduled Caste member this resolution looked like a petition made by a person of the Scheduled Castes to remove certain disabilities that he has been labouring under. Sir, merely passing of this resolution will not do. What his community needed is a change of heart in my Hindu brethren. As a Muslim I cannot indeed against certain individuals professing the same faith. Our temples of

worship are open to all and there is not a single one professing our faith who is debarred from entering any of our places of worship. I have heard with dismay that Rs. ten lakhs earmarked for spending for the Scheduled Caste education has not been spent. The honourable mover has said that out of that sum only Rs. five lakhs has been spent. I request the present Government to make good that five lakhs on the top of what they themselves intend to spend for the upliftment of the down-trodden scheduled caste members. With these words, Sir, I support the resolution.

Sri HARENDRA NATH DOLUI: Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, बाझरक এই পরিষদ-গৃহে শ্রীয়াকু যজেশ্বর রায় প্রমুখ বন্ধুগণ যে প্রস্তাবটি উত্থাপন করেছেন, আমি স্বর্ধান্তঃকরণে সেই প্রস্তাব সমর্থন করতে উঠে মাত্র কয়েকটি কথা বলতে চাই। আমাদের বাংলাদেশে তথা সমগ্র ভারতবর্ষে যদি জনশক্তি বৃদ্ধি কর্তে হয়, তবে আমাদের দেশ ও সমাজ খেকে এই অস্পূ্শাতা বিষ একেবারে তলে দিতে হরে। এই অস্পূন্যতা মহাপাপ অল্প অল্প করে আমাদের সমাভাক এবং আমাদেব জ্যাতিকে একতার সূত্র क्टा दिष्क्रिय करत निरुक्त। यीम अकन्नरक्त ७ अकरबारन यामाप्तन एमगरक वर् कत्राङ क्या, करव नवन्नन्त्र भद्रम्भद्रित महिन भ्रति ६ हानदामात्र मिलन हारे। धरे मिलदनन धरुहा मन्द्र सन्द्राय शक् धरे सम्भागाहा। **ভाরতবর্ষে** ব मामाङ প্রভৃতি নানা প্রদেশে যে রকম প্রবল্ভাবে এই অস্পাশাভার বিষ ছড়িয়ে রয়েছে, সে কথা **छादारेल वान्छविकरे अर**हाक मनौयौ वाश्चिरकरे यहान्छ वाश्विष्ठ रहे रहे। आमारमद वाः लास्मरमङ स्मिण কম নয়। মন্দিরে বা কোন খাদাদূবা খাওয়াদাওয়াব সময় তাদের জল অচল বলে ঘুণা হয়। আমি জানি व्यामारमय व्यक्षत्तरक रकान এक धारम रकालमातरमत मरङ्ग कषक ६ मङ्क्रामय এको प्रवस्त धरम्भिन। रत्रधारमद व्यविकारम मङ्द्र आह्र तकरल किल धरे scheduled easte दा यावामिनारक वान्यामा बना इष्ट्र या शाशामिशतक व्यञ्जामाजा प्लास्य मृष्ठे दान नमाङ ध्यतक मृत्य ताथा इष्ट्रा। जाता प्रमामन दानाधन, खामता गुम कर्वत किन्दु गमिक वर्तन यामामिशरक धाना कहा हहा। यामारमद कल भनाराशाशा वर्रम বিবেচিত হয় না ৷ কোন বাড়ীতে কোন পাত্রে যদি খাদ্যদ্রব্য খেতে দেওয়া হয়, আমরা সেই সমস্ত পাত্র श्लाम कहा तहे हा शवस हरत वृत्ति है ह्यानि शामग्र निरंग्न मृन्ध करन निरंह वया। এक आमारिन शास বড়ই আঘাত লাগে। আমহা পাবিত্রমিক পাই বটে কিন্তু এইবল্প আচরণে আমাদের অন্তরে বড়ই বাখা लाएं। १ अहे रूमा सामदा भ्रदर करवीह, य प्रमन्त कृषक ६ समिक सामवा साहि, ध वहत सात सामता क्ट्र भाका थान काउँव ना। दिवस प्रमुप्ता दृश्य डेट्टेडिन। प्राथान व्यामाप्तव कर्षात्रप्त ठतक थाक meeting ভাকতে হয়েছিল এব বহু লোক, বৰ্ণহিন্দু এই অস্পৃদ্য হিন্দু সেই সমস্তই <u>स्टाउंष्ट्रिजः ठात्र कि</u>ठेट এ**रे** कथाये छेठेटला या ईता यीम व्यामामिशस्य कालनामान छ**र्ष्य** ना म्मरथन, बामापिशदक अञ्चला वरल पारव दायरह जान, डाब'रल नमार्डिंद स्य नमञ्च काङ आमारप्तव प्यांत्रा वय, आमदा সেগুলি কি ক'বে কবতে পারি[।] আমরা কববো না, অন্য কাজে আমরা যাবো। যারা জমির মালিক लामुद्र बामदा प्रावदान कराउँ बाद यारवा ना। लचन धान काछेवात नमग्र। धान ल्याक बाह्र। माठिल श्राम भएड शारक, त्वार काउँडि खारम मा। सार्घ अक्छो committee क'रत डास्पत सार्ट समसा সমাধানের ব্যবস্থা করতে গ্রেছিল। আমরা আরু অস্পূন্য বা scheduled easte ছিলাবে সংখ্যায় य वक्त द्याहि टा नम् । এकरवाल काछ कवरत करन तारमंत्रक नमारक अप्रमाखन द्याहि। असन कि किन्सी- मुन्तमान प्रकलत्वहै अस्माङ्ग बारकः। अकरवारण बामदा अहे लावठवर्षाक उथा वाःलाक वङ् कवरण गरे। এই বঢ় করবার একটা মন্ত অন্তরায় হছে এই অস্প্লাতা। ভালবালা-এই ভিনিষ্টি যদি আমরা পরস্পরের माहा ना ताथरूठ भारत जार ता वामता वाक्तास्क वा कात्रज्वस्था वर्ष कतरू भारत्या ना। Government । এ दिसदा एंग्फो कंद्रदिन भूका, किन्धु काषाका यामासन्त राम भव वर्णीयन्तुता तरप्रदेश, कीरमंद्र कर्हावा ¶এগিয়ে আসা, চাহাদিগকে ভালবাসা দিয়ে ডেকে নেওয়া। তীরা হয়ত বলতে পারেন যে তারা অশিক্ষিত. लादा वजना, लाएनद मरका बामदा कि काद मिनाल नादि। किन्दू लगामद डेनिल बार्प लाएनद कलक वा ভারা যে সমস্ত নোংরা অবসহায় জড়িত আছে সেগ্রিল মুছে দেবার চেন্টা করা। আশিক্ষা এবং অভাবে চারা আজ জত্তর্বিত হয়ে রয়েছে; সেণ্রি মোচন করবার জন্য তাদের নিকট এগিয়ে যাওয়া দরকার। তাদের প্রায় অধিকাংশ লোক দিন আনে দিন খায়। তাদের ভবিষাতের স্পন্ন কিছু থাকে না। ছেলেবেলা থেকে শিহা বা সভাতার আলোকে আসবার স্যোপ তারা পায় না। তাই ব'লে আমাদের বর্ণহিন্দ্দের প্রথম কর্ত্বা তাদের আপনার বলে টেনে নেওয়া, অস্প্রা বলে ঘ্লা না করা; ভালবাসার ভিতর দিয়ে তাদের টেনে আনা। এই ক্রিনিষ্টার দিকে লছা রাখা অত্যেক মানুৰেরই কর্তবা। তাই তাদের শিক্ষা, চাদের আর্থিক উমতি এবং স্বাস্থ্যের উমতির দিকে লক্ষ্য রেখে যদি আমাদের বাংলা Government-वद मरक्षा निरम्न आमारमत वर्णीहम्मुता প্রত্যেকেই চেন্টা करतन, जीव कि आमात मरन वस रव आमता नकरलहे একভাসনে আবন্ধ হ'তে পারবো এবং সেই একতার সাহাযোতে আমরা একটা বড় জাভিতে পরিপণিত হয়ে উঠতে পারবো।

Sri BEPIN BEHARI GANGULI: মি: ডেপ্টি স্পীকার, স্যার, वन्त्रत ७ उत्तर तानीत कथा उटिहर, वर ठात किंद्रत य अभ्यागारा त्राप्तर ति विस्ता कान मृत्यह नाहै।

অস্প্রাতা দুর করবার জন্য চেন্টা কংগ্রেস করেছেন; এবং অনেক ব্দিখ্যান, বিচন্থণ ব্যক্তি, যুশদের नमाळनम्थां नम्बरम्थ याथचे छान ब्याह, जोतां धरे नम्नर्स कचे करतहान। धरे कची किहानिन ब्यान श्यांक शाक वरते। यथन व्यामाप्तत क्रम्म शर्मान, उथन श्यांक এই क्रिको करत व्याप्ता प्रस्कु क्रम य की পুর করা যায়নি তা আমি বলতে পারি না। পুরের আমাদের দেশে উলত শ্রেণী ও অনুলত শ্রেণী ব'লে लकार हिल ना यथन थुकानहा अम्पर्ण अथम अलन। एन अपनकिन आर्थकाद कथा—हाजाद वस्नुद्धे: व्यारिषकात कथा-मामाराज्य व्यक्षत जाता किंडू किंडू वर्जाज न्थापन करतिहल এवर कठकण्डि लाकरक लाता थुकोन थरम्प मीक्षिठ करतिकत। यारमेत थुकोन थरम्प मीक्षिठ केत्रलम जारमेत थ्यरेक अनामा । यात्रा हिन्सु हिल, ठाएमत भर्या এकठा भार्यका अप्र भर्मिहल। এই तकम धतर्मत भार्यका भर्यत् व्यामारमञ् प्परम किल ना। देश्त्राकाप्पत्र गुजाशमानत परत, ১৮৫० जार्जात परत यथन किलकाला विन्दिविमाला प्रश्नाप्ति হ'ল তখন এমনভাবে শিক্ষাদীকা আমাদের ভিতর এসে পড়ল যার ভিতর অনুস্তত ও উল্লভ এই দুটি ভাগ আরও বেশী জোরাল হয়ে উঠল। তারপর কংগ্রেস বহুবার চেন্টা ক্রেছেন এটা দূর করবার জনা। लम्भानिकारव लक्का व्यक्ति कदरा ना भागरमङ बाह कनलाधादरगढ मरका प्रकार प्रकार करा प्रकार कर स्वाहाय জাগ্রত হ'য়ে উঠেছে যে এই প্রভেদ আমরা বাখবো না। তাই তাজিকার যুবক এবং চরুণদের সঙ্গে যখন কথাবার্ত্তা বলি তখন তারো স্ক্রণউভাবে বলেন, ''এই যে পুরাতন প্রথা, একে ভেঞ্চে চ্বিমাব ক'রে पिरम् नमाङाक न् उन्हारव नः गठिउ कत्र हरवः।

হিন্দু-মুসলমান দুই জাতি এই একটা ভাব আজ এসে পড়েছে দেশের মধ্যে, কিন্তু দুই জাতি প্রের্ ला हिल ना। जातलात नामातकम मरमाजाव अल्ल लड़ाहर, अवः वाहेटतत विलमणी मिछा आमारमात अल्लाम প্রবেশলাভ করাতে এই পার্থকা ক্রমে রুমে অতাশ্ত জতিলতার স্থাতি করেছে। কলিকাতা বিশ্ববিদ্যালয় म्हाभिष्ठ हतात भरत, यरव थ्यरक हैश्ताकरमव मवकात हरग्रहिल कठकश्चिल रकताना, कठकश्चिल छाङात. <mark>কতকণ্রিল উকিল ও কতক</mark>ণ্রিল জমিদাব, তখন থেকে এই সমস্ত স্থিট হ'ল। এ স্থিট হয়েছিল ইংরাজদের আসবার পরে এবং উনবিংশ শতাব্দীর মধাভাগে। এই যে প্রভেদ স্তান্টি হ'ল দেশের মধ্যে. ण्यन श्राटक केकवर्ण এवर निम्मवर्णामत माथा এकका विरागस भार्थका श्राटक एमशा मिल। वाहेरत्त्व विरामगीता य এই জিনিষ্টাতে আরও বেশী বিশৃ ধ্থলা আনয়ন করেছিলেন সে বিষয়ে সন্দেহ নাই। আমরা নিজে**দের শिकामीका এरेतकमलार्य व्यामारम्**त्र स्मरण ना व्याप्तरः। व्यामता निर्कारम्त्र प्रकृत विज्ञाग्न द्वराथ यपि वा**रे**रत्त् শিকা আমাদের শিকার সকে মিলিয়ে নিতে পারতাম তাহ'লে অন্মত এবং উমত এই দূই ভাগ থাকতে भारत ना। कारले व्यालिकार पिरन यथन धरेरकम धक्छा अञ्जाव व्यामारमद धरे कर्नाचेछे रहको धरुमारहा । প্রভার এসেছে আমি তাকে পূর্ণ সমর্থন করছি। সততা মান্ষের দরকার। কিন্তু মান্ষের শিকার मरका मरका मधा यात्र य मर এवर अमर जाव म्हेगेरे अम थारक। किनकाला विन्वविमानत यद थरक স্তি হ'ল, যবে থেকে প'্জিপতি সমাজের কর্ত্ব দেশের মধ্যে এসে পড়ল, তখনই এই প্রভেদ আরও বেলী জটিলভার সৃষ্টি কুরল। যদি আমাদের দেশে সেইভাবের সামাভাব আসে, তাহ'লে সেইভাবের সামাভাব লইয়া পরে উন্নত ও অনুনত শ্রেণী—এই দুই ভাগে বিভক্ত হয়ে থাকতে পারবে না দেশ। এবং আমরা प्रकृत्त मिर्त यीम प्रिहेतकम निष्ठा अवर्धन कराउ भारित. अधिनियान उ नन्-अधिनियान प्रकृत मिर्त यीम शाम किरत निरम এकरा काल कतरा नाति, ठाइ'ल नमारक अम्म्माठा विकिस थाकिए नातिरव ना। যুণরা শিক্ষিত তারা গ্রাম থেকে বেরিয়ে আসার দর্শই গ্রামণ্লি কুসংস্কারে পূর্ণ হয়ে রয়েছে, সেখানে প্রকৃত শিক্ষাদীকার অভাব হয়েছে। "Back to the village, back to the village" ব'লে কেবল हीश्काद कदाल आद हाल ना. अरनक नमग्न (नहेंगेरे श्रम्य नराहात्र दिनी आमामित म् ददन्याद कादण। अकृष्ठ <u>गिकालाक क'रत ठिक नाबातरणत मण क्षीयनयाभन निवर्राक कत्रय धेरै मरनाकाय यीम व्यामारमत्र अरलारकत्र</u> ভিতর আলে, তাছ'লে অনুমত, উমত বা অস্পৃশাতা এই সমস্ত প্রভনপ্তি আর টিকে থাকতে পারবে নাঃ

ভাই আমি বিশ্বাস করি যে কন্ডিউ্রেইউ একেদ্বারী থেকে যে প্রভাব গ্রহণ করা হয়েছে, আভাকে আমরা এখানে যদি সেই প্রভাব সবাসম্পতিভামে গ্রহণ করতে পারি এবং আমাদের প্রতাকটি সভা সেইরক্মভাবে বদি গ্রহণ করতে প্রস্তুত হন তাহ'লে উন্নত, অন্নত প্রেণী বলে এই দ্ই ভাগ আর থাকবে না এবং অপশ্যাতা নিম্ম্ল হয়ে যাবে। আমি বিশ্বাস করি অভ্তঃ এই পশ্চিম বজো হিন্দ্-ম্সলমানের প্রভেদ ঘ্চে যাবে যনি আমরা পরস্পরকে ভালভাবে চিনে নিতে পারি এবং পরস্পরকে শ্রার চছে দেখি। এটাও আমি আশা করি যে, অন্যায়, অত্যাতার ন্বারা প্রপাড়িত হয়ে সমাজে যে সমস্ত বিশ্বধলা এসেছে সেণ্লিও দ্র হয়ে যাবে। আমি এই প্রস্তাব সব্যান্ডাকরণে সমর্থন করিছে।

Sri RATANLAL BRAHMIN: Mananiya Deputy Speaker Saheb! Is parastao ko jo laye hain hum usko samarthan kartey hain. Hum jat ke Nepali hain aur hamare Nepali ke under bhi yeh chua chut, chotejat, barejat ka bohut jhagra hai. Bohut zara zara bat men chut chat hai. Is liay hum log bohut barbadi men pare hucy hain. Is liay jo log janta ka kam kartey hain, janta ki unnati aur achaie ka tasta nikalte hain aur jo bandhu perastao laye hain, hum unko dhannabad dete ham. Aur jetne member ham unsey bhi asha kartey hain ke wah bhi parastao ka sath denge. Jabke hamara desh abhi swadhin hua hai agar sabkoie baraber na honge to bohut takleef hogi. Aur kam theek nahi chalega. Bare bare log agar jati jhagre men perjaenge to chote admi kiya karenge. Isko hatana chahiey. (Harib log jo khana naheen pate hain wah pachis (25) tees (30) rupia lekar upna jat denge. Is leay aj hum is parastao ko jo laya giya hai isko redhai sey samardhan kartey hain. Yehan per khali pass karne sey naheen hoga bulke hamarey jo achuti bhai log hain wah bohut gharib hain, hazaron men ek admi ke mun men, khana kharak pohunchta hai. Unke liay jahantak hosakey sirkar unke khana ka, pahanna ka saman kare, aur parhine ka school ka bandobust karenge

Sri KANAI LAL DAS: Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, মাননীয় বৃশ্ধু পূর্ক নিলাপতি মারি আত্ যে প্রস্থাব এই House এ ইমাপন করেছেন, আমি সেটা সমর্থন করেছি। স্টাই হতাদন থেকে ক্ষাও্ডারে কর্পথারর্পে মহাআ পান্ধী এগেন, তর্তাদন থেকে আমরা দেখতে পাই যে দেশের যাতে প্রকৃত উমতি হয় তদ্দেশেশ তিনি অম্প্রাতা নিবারণের জন্য যথাসাধ্য চেন্টা করছেন। তার এই চেন্টাতে দেখা যায়ে যে, আত্তকে যখন দেশ ন্ধানীন হয়েছে, তখন দেখা যাছে যে গণপ্রিষ্কে এই আইন এই চিপ্রেপ্থ হছে যাতে আমাদের দেশ থেকে সম্প্রভিবে এই অম্প্রাতা উঠে যায়। বাস্ত্রিক যদি দেশের প্রকৃত উমতি করতে হয়, তাহালে আমাদের মধ্যে যে অম্প্রাতা আছে, সেটা সম্প্রভিবে নিম্পুলি করা প্রয়োজন। আমরা দেখতে পাই যে দেশের জনসাধারণের মধ্যে অম্প্রাতা বিশ্ব প্রেম্ব আমন্ত্র বিষয় নয়। এতে দেশকে অব্যক্তির দিকে টেনে নিয়ে যাবে। সেই জন্য ভারত গণপ্রিবদে যে আইনটা আনা হয়েছে, তার জন্য আমরা আনন্দ প্রকাশ করিছে।

, তেপ্তি প্পীকার, মহাশয়, অপ্শৃশাতাকে নিবারণের জন্য যে সমস্ত নির্ম হক্ষে, থালি সেই নির্ম করলেই চলবে না। যাতে প্রকৃতই অপশৃশাতা দেশ থেকে সম্লে উৎপাটিত হয়, তার ব্যবস্থা করা উচিত। কারণ আমরা জানি নির্ম অনেক সময় হয়, কিন্তু সেই নির্মকে violate ক'রে থাকে অনেকেই।

মিন্টার ডেপ্টি স্পীকার, স্যার, আন্ত দেখা যায় যে সন্দা আইন পাশ হয়েছে বাল্য-বিবাছ নিবারণ করার জনা, কিন্তু প্রত্যেক যরে কি হছে? আমরা সেদিকে কোনরকম লছা রাখি না। সেন্তন্য আইন এরকম হওয়া উচিত যাতে ভালভাবে আইনের কাষাছমতা থাকে। আমি আর একটি কথা বলতে চাই; সেটা এই যে কোন জাতিকে বা কোন সম্প্রদায়কে উমত করতে গেলে তাকে রাজনৈতিক অধিকার যদি সম্প্রনিত্তিক প্রতি হার সেই উমতি হ'তে পারে। আর এই রাজনৈতিক অধিকার না পেলে কোন জাতি কিছুতেই উমত থাকতে পারে না। আজ মহাত্মা গান্দ্রীর চেন্টায় এবং কংগ্রেসের কল্যাগৈ কেবল বাংলাদেশে কেন সমস্ত ভারতবর্ধে সেডিউন্ড হিন্দুরা এই অধিকার পেয়েছে। এইজনাই দেখা যায় প্রত্যেক province আইন সভার তারা স্থান পেয়েছে। কিন্তু এর সঙ্গো আর একটা কথা মনে পড়ে। বেছেতু scheduled casteরা রাজনৈতিক অধিকার পেয়েছে, সেই ছেতু সেটাকে খবর্ক করার জন্য দেখা যায় যে একদল (ছিন্দু মুছাস্চা) হিন্দু চেন্টা করেছেন। আপনারা বদি ০1৪ বছর

পিছনের দিকে চলে যান তাহাকে দেখাবেন ১৯৪১ সালের দেনার্থার যথন তৈরী হর তথ্ন একলা হিন্দু চেন্টা করেন যে সকলেই যেন হিন্দু বোলে পরিচয় দেন যাতে scheduled caste an সংখ্যার উল্লেখ না থাকে। তারই দর্শ দেখা যায় যে কপোরেশনে scheduled caste inember দের সংখ্যা কমে গেছে। আর এই ১৯৪১ সালের সেন্সাসের দর্শ ভবিষ্যতে Assembly তেও আমাদের সংখ্যা বোধ হয় বছুলাংশে কমে যাবে। সেই জন্য আমি বল্তে চাই আমাদের এখানে যে সব মাননীয় সদস্য আছেন তারা চেন্টা কর্ন যাতে রাজনৈতিক দিক দিয়ে তাদের দমন কোরে রাখা না হয়। এই বোলে আমি এই প্রস্তাব সমর্থান কর্ছি।

Mr. MAHAMMAD SAYEED MIA: মাননীয় ডেপ্টি প্শীকার মহালয়! ভারতীয় গণপরিষদে অপ্শাতাকে দণ্ডযোগ্য বোলে যে ঘোষণা করা হয়েছে এবং সেজনা আজ আমাদের এখানে এই যে প্রশাব আনমন করা হয়েছে গেই প্রশাবকৈ বাস্তবিক অন্তরের সহিত অভিনন্দন জানাছি। আমরা স্বাধীন হয়েছি: স্বাধীন ভারতের নাগরিক হিসাবে আজ আমাদের রাষ্ট্রক শাক্তিশালী কোরে তোলবার জন্য আমাদের ভিতরে যত কিছু আবক্তানা এতকাল ধরে সাঞ্চিত হয়েছে সেগ্লো দ্র করবার জন্য আজ বাস্তবিকই আমরা সময় পেয়েছি। আজ আমাদের রাষ্ট্রই প্রত্যেক অক্সকে, প্রত্যেক অংশকে শক্তিশালী কোরে তুল্তে হবে এবং প্রত্যেক মান্যকে সেইভাবে গড়ে তুল্তে হবে। অস্প্রাতা বাস্তবিকই আমাদের সমাজজীবনে মন্তবড় কলকক। এই অস্প্রাতার অভিনাপ তিল তিল কোরে দিনের পর দিন আমাদের সমাজজীবনকে বাস্তবিক অধ্যাপতন এবং লাছনাব শেষ সামায় নিয়ে গিয়েছে। আর এই সম্পর্কের রি ঠাকুরের লেখনীপ্রস্তুত কথা কয়ি মনে আসে—

হে মোর অভাগা দেশ, যাদের করেছ অপমান
অপমানে হতে হবে তাহাদের পবার সমান।
,তুমি যারে ফেল নীচে সে তোমারে ফেলিবে নীচে,
পশ্চাতে রাখিছ যারে সে তোমারে পশ্চাতে টানিছে।
তোমার মঞ্গল ঢাকি গড়িছে সে যোর ব্যবধান
অপমানে হতে হবে তাহাদের পবার সমান।

আজ আমাদের রাষ্ট্রে শক্তিশালী এবং প্থিবীর সাম্নে একটা গৌরবময় দহান দহল করবার জন্য আমাদের যথন বিরাট অভিযান চালাতে হবে সেই মৃত্তে আমাদের ভিতরকার যত কিছু অস্প্শাতার্প আবক্জনা সব দ্র কোরে দিয়ে প্রতাক মান্যকৈ সমান অধিকার দিতে হবে। আজ শৃহু এই কথাই ভাব্তে হবে যে ''স্বার উপরে মান্য সত্য—তাহার উপরে নাই''। এই মান্যকে আমাদের মান্য কোরে তল্তে হবে এবং প্রতোক মান্যকৈ সমান অধিকার দিতে হবে।

বর্ণছিন্দ্ ভাইরেরা হয়ত জানেন না যে অপ্প্রাতা জিনিষ্টা কত থারাপ। বাহিণতভাবে এর প্রানি তারা ভোগ করেন না. কিন্দু আমরা মুসলমানেরা সেটা কিছু কিছু অনুভব করেছি। থাবার জারুগার, দোকানে, ছোটেলে বা অন্যান্য জারুগার আমরা যেভাবের বাবহার পেরেছি তাতে আমরা অপ্শার ভাইদের সঞ্চো ছাত মিলিরে বৃৰ্তে পারি যে কতথানি লাখনা এবং অপমানের ভিতর দিয়ে মান্যকে দিন কাটাতে হয়। আজ এই শৃভ মৃত্রে হখন স্বাধীন ভারতের নাগরিক হিসাবে এক জাতি হয়ে ভারতবর্ষায় এক রাখ্য গড়ে চুল্তে চলেছি, তখন এজিনিষ্টা দেরীতে হলেও better late than never. সেই জন্য আমি আজ আন্তরিকভাবে বল্ব যে এর চেয়ে গোরবময় কোন প্রস্তাব বোধ হয় দেশের সম্মুখে আসেনি। এই পবিত্র building এ এমন একটা পবিত্র প্রস্তাব আজ দেরীতে হ'লেও আমি সেটার জন্য আন্তরিকভাবে অভিনন্দন জানাছি এবং প্রত্যেক সদস্য ভাইকে অনুরোধ করছি যে বাস্তবিক অস্প্রাতা বর্জনকৈ সাফলামণ্ডিত করবার জন্য আমাদের সমস্ত শক্তি সোদিকে নিয়োজিত করতে হবে এবং বাংলার প্রত্যেকটি নাগরিককে স্ট্, শভিশালী, উমত এবং শিছিত কোরে তুল্তে হবে। আর তার কলে আমরা যেন বৃক ফুলিয়ে প্রিবীতে চল্লে পারি এবং ভারতকে শ্রেষ্ঠ আসনে সমাসীন কর্তে পারি।

এই কয়টি কথা বোলে আমি এই প্রস্তাবকে অল্ডরের সহিত সমর্থন করছি।

Sri J. C. CUPTA: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, my honourable friend Mr. Khuda Bukhsh said that he would have liked that this resolution should have been sponsored by a Caste Hindu. I will only ask him just to go through the names in the resolutions 1 to 15 and 19 to 27 and he will find the names of more Caste Hindus there than of Scheduled Castes—(Mr. Mr.

KHUDA BUKHSH: They have not moved them) but certainly my friend would understand that the moving ought to be entrusted in the hands of a Congress member coming from the Scheduled Caste. They say that the wearer of the shoe knows where the shoe pinches, and therefore if anybody is competent to bring out the implications of the resolution to the full, it is only meet and proper that a Scheduled Caste member from the Congress benches should be allowed to move this resolution. Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I will agree with Mr. Khuda Bukhsh if he wanted that he meant his remarks as a reminder to the Caste Hindus. Sir, he who claims to be a Hindu, he who puts forward his claim before the public as a member of the Hindu community, I say he proves himself to be false to his own community, to his own religion which teaches not only the brotherhood of men as Christianity teaches of as Islam teaches, but the divinity of man which is the underlying teaching of Hinduism Nara Narayan—Ong Tat Sat—that is the teaching of Hinduism. Hinduism enjoins that we must see divinity in man. If one is a true follower of Hinduism, he who does not treat another human being as part of the God which made him, he is untrue to his own religion and he is untrue to his God. Unfortunately rites and ceremonials and some Brahminical injunctions which had deteriorated the true Hindu religion had brought in these complications. The Congress is determined, we here belonging either to the so-called high castes and to the Scheduled Castes are determined that this blot should be removed, not only as a political strategy-no longer it is necessary as a political strategy-but also if one has got to be true to his conscience, to his God and to his religion, he must implement what has been said by the mover of the resolution here today. This is what I wanted to submit, and I hope that now that we are on our own, now that power has come into our hands, we should immediately penalise all discriminations against the Scheduled Castes and other backward communities. If we have done that, then only we shall merit the independence, the freedom which has come to us. Otherwise independence would not mean anything, and the Congress ideal would not be realised. With these words I wholeheartedly support the resolution.

HEMANTA KUMAR BASU: याननीत एअएडि अनीकात मरवापत् 8ri लाकटर এই পরিষ্ঠে ভাবত রাখের গণপরিষদ তথাক্থিত ছরিজনদের মৌলিক অধিকার স্বীকার করার জনা আমৰা চাদেৰ ধনাৰাদ ভাগন কর্ছি। এই প্রস্তাৰ এখানে উপস্থিত করা হয়েছে, আমি মনে করি জাৰতকৈ যদি বাচাতে হয়, যদি Indian Union ৰে ৰাচাতে वस्. लारक समि लारक र्याप महिन्ताली कंद्राल देश, लेख व्यामारमंत्र अमारकत मर्था यात्रा नवल्टा नकारल नाह व्याप लार्म्स, যাবা স্বচেয়ে এণিয়ে পিয়েছে, তাদেব সাথে এক সাথে নিয়ে আসতে হবে। সেইজনা তাদের শ্র্ कार्यामाला वस्कान करत नम् : व्याधिक जारकात करत, जामास्तिक जनव अकात डेमर्टिवधान करत बाएउ हारचैत প্রত্যেকটি মানুষ সকল দিক থেকে সমন্ত অধিকার ভোগ করে সেই বাবন্থা আমাদের করতে হবে। এটা धानके मार्थित निमन्न, धानके सम्क्रान निमन्न या बामारमन समारकत मर्था अक्सम बाक अमस्कारन केक स्वातन লোকের কাছথেকে ব্যবহাব পার যা অনেক সময় বন্য জন্তুরাও পার না! এটা সমাজের পচ্ছে একটা মণ্ড ব্র অভিনাপ ও কলকের কথা।

খোদাবল সাহেব বলেছেন যে এই প্রস্তাবটা কোন coaste হিন্দুর কাছ থেকে এলে ছাল ছিল। আমি বলি তা নর। আমরা থারা Congressmen, তারা হারাও চারতবর্ষে বহু লোক আছে—ব্টিল সাম্রাজ্যবাদী বহু লোক—বারা শুনু আমাদের মধ্যে হিন্দু-মুসল্লমান ভেদের স্যোগ নর, আমাদের হিন্দু স্মান্তের হথা উচ্চ স্তর, নিন্দু স্তর, স্পৃদা, রুস্পুমা প্রচ্তি ভেদের স্যোগ নিরেছিল এবং সেই স্যোগ বিরে তাদের বিজেদের দ্বার্থ বছার রাখবার চেন্টা করেছিল। কিন্দু আমরা যারা Congressmen, তাদের ভিতর দেইরক্ম কোন মত্পার্থকা নেই। আমরা Congressmen, একই মনে করি, সমান চোখে কোব। কিন্দু যারা এদেশের শুনু, ভারতবর্ষের দ্বাধীনতার শুনু, তারা লোকদের ভুল বুরাবার চেন্টা করে। সেইজনাই এই প্রস্তাব আমরা প্রনেছি: নতুবা এ প্রস্তাব আনবার কোন দরকারই ছিল না। আপনারা সকলে ভাবেন বে বৃদ্ধি সাম্রাজ্যবাদ লামাদের দেশে লাসার পর থেকে আমাদের মধ্যে বাতে বিদেবৰ ভাব, ধর্ম্পানিরে ভেদবোৰ জেলে ওঠে—শুনু হিন্দু ও মুসল্লানের নথা নর, শুনু সম্প্রদার সম্প্রদারে বর, সম্প্রদারের বর, সম্প্রদার বর, সম্প্র

हिन्द : अगील स्वरीत वास स्म विस्तिमंत्र मृचि रहा, ताह क्रमा क्रमा करहाहम। अवर मिका अके रहा উঠেছিল ১৯৩৫ সালে Round Table Conference এ। তখন তারা ব্ৰেছিল, ভারতবর্ষে ভাতীয়তা এমন বিশুল শক্তিলাভ করে চলেছে যে সেই শক্তিকে যদি কোনরকমে রোধ করা না যার. তাহ'ল ट्रा ट्राइन मुप्ताका व्यक्तित त्रारे व्याप्तन पुरं शत्यात रख याता। त्रारेकनारे ठाता वरे क्यानीं गांछे कर्दाध्ल। आननाता এकथा अवगार जातन, रेश्द्रक आगात नत यथन म्जनमान जम्भनारहत छना আলিগতে প্রথম মুনিভারসিটি স্থাপিত হয়, তার স্থাপয়িতা ছিলেন Syed Ahmed সাহেব। তিনি প্রথমে Congressman ছিলেন এবং তিনি চেয়েছিলেন ভারতবর্ষে ভাতীয়তা প্রতিষ্ঠা কর্তে এবং তিনি Congress वह मधा निरहरे काल कहरणिहलान। किन्तु रेरद्राक यथन मधन एव जामह यनि अमरण থেকে বাচতে হয়, তাহ'লে হিন্দু-মুসলমানের ভিতর ভেদ সৃষ্টি করে রাখতে হবে। সেইজন্য তারা তখন शाठिएब्राइट्लन वेकस्न देश्द्रक. Beg शारव वदा जिन वालदे अल्प्य आरमन शारवाद कारण अनवत्रज मामलमानापत थहे माविधा पिएछ हार्व. के माविधा पिएछ हार्व--- ठोत कार्य खनरत्र थहे मव कथा दलाउ লাগলেন এবং সঞ্চো সঞ্চো Sir Aukland. সাহেব প্রভাত এই কাজে লেগে গেলেন। Colvin এইভাবে তারা আলিগত মুনিভারসিটিতে শিক্ষার ভিতর দিয়ে যাতে হিন্দুদের বিরুদ্ধে, জাতীয়তার বিরুদ্ধে, এकটা विन्वब मृष्टि इब्र, स्मर्टे क्रिको कर्द्राहरतन। এकथा वाध इब्र आमाप्तव वन्ध्, त्थामावन्त्र नारहव, নিশ্চমই জানেন যে সেই Round Table Conference এর পর আর একটা প্রেণী—scheduled caste-- প্রতি হল এবং সেটা ইংরেজ সাম্রাজ্যবাদীরাই করেছিলেন। এই সব ভেদনীতির বিরুদ্ধে वाहिन नाम्राकावानी हकात्म्य विद्वारम এवर वाहिन नाम्राकावात्मत्र यात्रा नमध्य जात्मत्र विद्वारम यामत्रा কংগ্রেদ থেকে সংগ্রাম করে এসেছি। নবগঠিত ভারত রাষ্ট্র এখনও পরিপ্রণভাবে গড়ে ওঠেনি-এখনও ভারতের ভিতরে ও বাইরে বহু শত্র আছে, যারা, আমাদের মধ্যে একট্ পিছিয়ে আছে যারা, যারা অতথানি এখনও জাতীয়তায় উদ্বৃদ্ধ নয়, তাদের মধ্যে একটা ভেদ-বিভেদ সৃষ্টি করতে পারে, যাতে ভারতবর্ষ বড় হয়ে উঠাতে না পারে, দেইর প চেন্টাকে অধ্করে বিনাশ করবোর জনাই রাষ্ট্রীয় গণপরিষদে আমাদের करश्चम, नकरन यारा नमान व्यवकात निरम वैकार भारत, मिष्टाम, नौष्टाम, नमञ्च स्मानिक विसरम यारा সমান অধিকার লাভ করতে পারে, সেই প্রকার প্রস্তাব গ্রহণ করেছে। কাছেই আমরা মনে করি আছকে व्यामारमंत्र कात्रजीत ताच्यीत शतिवराम ठिक वहेत्रवसकार्य कात्रज्वरार्धं क्रमा, ज्याकात हिन्मू-सूत्रलमान, स्श्री, वाश्रामा प्रकालक स्मोलिक व्यक्तिक स्थान स्वीकाद कहा शरहाह এवः काहरीय हास्य व्यापता स्थान रा स्वीकाद করব, তেমনি আমাদের ভারতের বাইরে ও পাশ্বে পাকিস্হান রাষ্ট্র রয়েছে, তারাও যদি সেই রাষ্ট্রে সমন্ত मान्यक अहेर्कम धर्म्मानिविद्धांगांख प्रमान अधिकात एन अवर कान क्रांकिक अञ्चला मदन ना करत प्रकल्क যদি সমান স্বীকার করে নেন, তাহ'লে আমরা আরও শক্তিশালী হব এবং ভারতবর্ষ ও পাকিস্হানের কাছে প্রথিবীর কোন শক্তিই দ্বাড়াতে পার্বে না।

এই कथा बर्ज धामि এই প্রস্তাব সমর্থন করছে।

Mr. A. F. M. ABDUR RAHMAN: Sjr, I would request you to adjourn the House for prayer.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will adjourn the House for prayer at 5-10.

ষা NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: মাননীয় ডেপ্তি পশীকার মহেলেয়, আমি এই প্রস্তাব সম্থান করছি; এবং এই প্রস্তাব আমি এইটুকু বলতে চাই, এই প্রস্তাবের বিষয় বস্টু, ভারতীয় সমাজের যা সবচেয়ে বড় কলকে আর দুর্ভাগ্যের কারণ, এবং যা নাকি ভারতের নিবিড্তম মন্দ্রবিদ্বার কারণ হয়েছিল, তার সক্ষেই এই প্রস্তাবের বিষয় বস্টুটা সংলিউ। আমরা ছারিছারতকে, ভারতবর্ষের মানুষকে বহু বিশ্বায়ের ভিতর দিয়ে যেতে হয়েছে, সেই জনাই তার ইতিহাসে বহু বিশ্বায়ের ইতিহাস। এবং ভারতীয় সমাজের ভিতর য়ত কিছু গলদ ছিল এবং যা কিছু আমাদের সমাজদেহেক দুর্বল করে, শক্ত্ করে রেঘেছিল সেণ্ডালর বিরুক্তে যথন আমাদের দেশে একটা নতুন করে অভিযান সূত্র হয়েছিল য়্রোপের প্রথম যুন্ধান্তর ক্রিল, তখন আমাদের জাতীয় কংগ্রেস মহাত্রা গান্ধীয় নেতৃত্বে এক দেশ, এক জাতি, এক প্রাণ—এম্নিভাবে ভারতের মানুষের সমাজকে, রাষ্ট্রকে গড়ে ভোলার আদর্শ সাম্দের রেহে একই অবিভক্ত ভারতের একটা বিরুটে স্বশ্বকে ক্রায় কারে সূত্র করেছিল। ওদিকে বিরুদ্ধ পন্ধ হতে শাণিত হতে লাগল তাদের অস্ত্র, আর য়ড্রন্স আর্ক্ত হলো—সেই নবচেতনালক্ক অভিযানকে ক্রের বাছত করে দেওয়া যায়। আমরা দেখেছি চিক সেই সময়, যথন ভারতবর্ষ এক কণ্টে ভার দাবী জানাজে

लाइम्ल कहाला, यथन देशतलाक लाउराज लागा निम्नाशागत विवाला वाल शहण कहाल लाउरा लाउराज दाना. यथन लामना माहेमन कमिणन आगमानह ममझ लाइ विद्याल माहेमन कमिणन आगमानह ममझ लाइ विद्याल माहेमन कमिणन आगमानह ममझ लाउ विद्याल माहेमन कमिणन यात्रा काहिला लाउराज लाउराज लाउराज काहिला वाहिला वाहिला

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order, order. It is time for prayer. Mr. Dutt-Mazumdar you speak after the adjournment. The House stands adjourned for 15 minutes for prayer.

(The House was then adjourned for 15 minutes.)

(After adjournment.)

DUTT-MAZUMDAR: माननौध Deputy NIHARENDU Sri. महाजब आमि वलिहलाम, य वार्शियक छात्रजीय नमास्त्रत मर्था थ्यक मृत कतवात छना মহাত্মা গান্ধীর নেড়ত্বে কংগ্রেস চেন্টা করতে আরম্ভ করেছিলেন, সেই ব্যাধিকেই কাল্পেমী-ভাবে স্থাপন করবার জন্য, তাকে একটা ন্তন সাম্প্রদায়িক রূপ দেওয়ার চেম্টা আরম্ভ হ'লো। এবং এর ভবিষাং পরিণতিতে সম্প্রস্ত হয়ে এবং এর জন্য আতঞ্চিকত হয়ে মহাত্মা গাম্পী ঠিক এইটারই বিরুদ্ধে তার ভবিষ্যং সমস্ত সংগ্রাম এবং উদামকে নিয়োজিত করতে বন্ধপরিকর হন্। সেই কারাণারের মধ্যে থেকেই ঠিক এমনি ধরণের একটা ভেদ, যেটা ইংরাজ রাজনীতির কটে চাল ভারতের শাসন্তন্দ্রের মধ্যে নেতারা আরুদ্ভ করে দিয়েছিলেন, তারই বিবৃদ্ধে সূর্ হয়েছিল তার প্রথম অনশন। এমনিভাবে আংশিক প্রতি-কারের পর এবং সে প্রতিকারেও বছ ধরণের ভটিলতার স্থিট তার থেকে হয়েছিল, কিন্দু তার ফলে অন্ততঃ একটা আশা ছিল এই যে আবার সমস্ত ভারতীয় মান্তকে রাখ্যিক অধিকার থেকে একভাবে দশড় করানো সম্ভব হবে। এই অভিজ্ঞতা থেকে, এই তিন্ত অভিজ্ঞতা থেকে, একদিকে বাইরে থেকে আক্রমণ আর একদিকে ভিতর থেকে বড় প্রাচীন অথচ অতি ক্লান্ত একটা জাতির যে একটা স্থাবিরতা এসে গিয়েছে সেই প্লানির দধ্যে পতে মহাত্ম গান্ধী বলোছলেন, हिन्सू धर्म्स এবং हिन्सू नमाज्ञत्व উল্লেখ করে "Untouchability must Hinduism is to survive". ठिक धर्मान धराणत डॅक्टि करत्र जिनि मानित्रक फिल्मारक डेन्द्रप्थ करत मिवात फिक्रो करतीहरलम। किन्छु आज धक्या खाँच हम आँठ महस्र मेछा हिमारब গ্রহণ করে নিতে কারো কট হয় না যে অসপ্শাতা বা অন-অসপ্শাতা এর মূলে রয়েছে সংস্কৃতির অভাব, শিষ্যুর অভাব, অথের অভাব, উপাক্সনের অভাব। আমরা কালে কালে, যুগে যুগে দেখেছি প্রভ্যেক সমাজের ভিতর বৈষমোর ভিত্তি হয় ধন-বৈষম্যের উপর এবং এই কথা প্রাচীন কাল থেকেই প্রচলিত রয়েছে---আজকে আমরা দেখছি যে সমস্ত সাধারণ সংকীর্ণ সংস্কার ঐ ছেণিয়া না ছেণিয়ার "धान न काल"। বেশী প্লানিকর যেটা, রয়েছে সবচেয়ে হয়, তার মলে অভাব, ধনের অভাব, সংস্কৃতির অভাব, শিক্ষার অভাব এবং যেখানে সকো সকো আনসে তাক্ষিলা। আন্মার বন্ধু খোদাবন্ধ সাহেব এখানে নেই। আমি জানি ভারতের এই ব্যাধি এমন কি সাম্যের ভিত্তিতে প্রতিষ্ঠিত देन, लामीय नमारक त किठवं पुरक द्राप्तार এवर रनधारनं अत नरम्कारवत कना धरनक लाक राज्या करन शिरहरूका। आमता এशान मिर्धाक रव अशान अहे किन्यू संस्मात अन्तर्जुक वेशता ताकण नमारक किरानन. তারা তাদের সংস্কারের দিক থেকে একজন ইংরাজকে মেক্স এবং অসপ্না বলার সঞ্জো সঞ্জোও তার ভিতর সেখানে এমন একটা অবদহার সৃষ্টি হয়নি যেটা অতি নিরম, দরিত, আশিক্ষিত, সাধারণ একরেং scheduled caste এর বেলায় হয়েছে। অভিকাত এবং ধনী সম্প্রদায় অথবা ক্রমীদার শ্রেণীর সেখানে বৈষম্য হয়েছে অর্থের। আন্তকে আমি জানি যে দেলছ বলে আখ্যা দিয়ে ব্রাহ্মণ হয়ত हेरदिराइन द्वांठ थिएक छन थारिक मा. किन्छु प्राप्टे हेरद्राइन रागन Magistrate खश्वा लाउँ वर्ष চারতবর্ষের শাসনকায়া চালিয়েছেন, তখন তার সেই অস্পৃদ্য হওয়াতেও কোন ছতি ছিল না; তাকে অংশনা বলে গ্রহণ করাতে, মূর্য সকবীণ সংস্কারের অন্সরণকারী ব্রাহ্মণ তাকে অংশনা বলে গ্রহণ করতে তার কোন ছতি ছিল না; তিনি সেটাকে ছেসে উড়িরে দিতে পারতেন। আমি সেই छना বলতে कारे मृत कथा र'ता अधिकात अवर धन-देवसमात कथा। यान प्रामिक स्थरक मान्य विमान ठारक माथा

लुटन भीकाबाद यह वावन्या करद स्ववदा बाद, हाद शाहद रिशाहरू थान बाद ना थान, हि सम्भाना খাকে না। তারা তখন মানুহ হিলাবে মানুবের অধিকারে এসে গীড়ার। এডাবে কর্ণা করে, কৃপা করে, দয়া করে, অস্পাকে উপরে চুলে দেওয়া অবধাননা; সেটাতে মন্বাচের অবধাননা হরে থাকে। জালাদের দেশে সাধারণ সংস্কারণন্থী যৌরা বহু যুগ যুগ ধরে চেন্টা করে বার্থ হরেছেন, তীদের উদাস यालप्रपान क्यांन। किन्तु रामिन अक्को प्रवास वामरर्ग, प्राण, ब्राणी अवः आक्रिस व्यविकारतत करा मान्य बद्धा प्रदेश दर्शतरम् थल, जथन प्रमेरे काम्रणाम उदेठ प्राण चन्न्याणा, प्रमेरे काम्रणाम उदेठ प्राल नामान तकरमन প্রাণো সংস্কার, বেমন পর্ন্দা ইত্যাদি। এ সমস্ত চলে সিরেছে এবং তার জন্য কারো মাথা ঘামাতে वस्ति। त्नवे जामर्ग, त्नवे कर्म्य-छेमाम मान्बरक এक करत मिरद्राह। कःश्वित्तत्र हिछत अम्मृगाला निवे। आमात्र क्षेटे सम्बर्ग ताथ वस जीत जान्छ धात्रभात वगवनी वरा बर्टाह्म रव करशास्त्रत विकास वक्रो वर्णीहन्स् are scheduled caste क्लिए का एक एक्सि। তিনি তার ভিতর থেকে বাছাই করে নেবার চেন্টা क्दर्बाष्ट्रजन। स्मिठा अस्प्र J. C. Gupta মহাশয় দেখিয়ে দিয়েছেন যে এই প্রস্তাব কোন scheduled caste বা বর্ণবিন্দরে প্রস্তাব নয়; এ প্রস্তাব ('ongress এর সদস্যদের এই প্রস্তাবে স্বাক্ষর করি আমরা সকলে এবং ব্যালটে নাম ওঠে বোলে যজেন্বর বাব্র প্রসাতবটি উচ্ছাপন **ভরার কথা ছিল। ত'ার অনুপদ্ভিতিতে প্রদেধর বন্ধ্রিমাপতি মারি মহামার প্রস্তাবটি উভাপন কোরেছেন।** আছে আদি এই কথা জানিয়ে দিলে চাই যে, যে রান্ট্রিক অধিকারের জন্য আমরা সংগ্রামের পথে কাজ কোরে এগিরে গিরেছি, তাতে অস্পৃশাতা এবং পকন ভেদাভেদ কংগ্রেস প্রতিষ্ঠানের ভিতর থেকে দূর হরে भिरद्राहा। आकारक नमन्छ प्यांग এই क्रिमास्क्रम मृत रकारत यीम अक प्रमान, এक लांकि, अक लांग এই धतरमूत जामरमांत्र अनिको कत्रात यह जायाचा वाम्पानाजात रंगाजात भवन मानीकुठ रंगारत मानार्यत शावात, अतरात, वीक्तात शन्दा करन मिरल वरन। युरम्थाङ्क नमरह नायात्रम कीयरनामारम् वाामारत मिरण्डि एव एकालएनत काल करफ त्नवता श्रवाहर, लालत त्नोकाल्लि एडक्न प्रविद्या श्रवाहर वेवर लाता सत्तरीन द्राप्त अरुहरू। বিগত পোল টেবিল বৈটক থেকে আজ প্যশ্তি Scheduled Caste স্থি কোরে নানাভাবে ভারতবর্ষের বিরুদের যারা কুৎসা চালিরেছেন, তারা বদি দরদ দিয়ে ভারতের এই ব্যাথা, এই প্রানিকে ब्बार्वात काको कत्रात्वन जावात्व जीता के नाथ ना निरम्न वाम्यत वाम्याना वता वरस्रक जापन अरजाकरक **कान्छाः सम विद्या क्रांम एमश्यात वाबन्या कतरहान, व्यात मा एत्र कृषि वा मिरान्यत वाबन्या रकारत मिरहाम व्यात** তাতে অন্দ্রণাতা অতি সহজেই ব্লিসাং হরে পড়ে বেত। কিন্তু সেদিক থেকে কোন বাবস্থা হয়নি---না बरहारक वेश्वारकात कामारण, ना वरहारक ५० वस्त्रात जीरगत गालनकारण: वत्रक के व्यापनात्रमा एकरमत छेनार কি কোরে মলে ভাঙন ধরিয়ে দিয়ে ন্তন ন্তন দল পাকিয়ে বা°লার উপরে প্রচুত্ব স্হাপন করা যায় সেদিকেই তালের মন্তা-লোল্পতা, তালের দ্তিকৈ আকৃত রেবেছে। আজ প্রাধীন ভারতের জন্য যে ন্তন नामनजन्त नीव्य बाब्द बाद्य कादन Constituent Assembly তে মানুৰকে মানুষের অধিকার दम्बतात स्त्रा स्पोविक पानी अविष्ठात स्य अथव विधान स्थापित श्रतर तात भरत अहे न्नृनानन्ता रहणा-रहत्वद्र रकान न्यान थाकरत भारत ना। Constituent Assembly র এই নৃত্র বিধানতক্ষের बरबा बारा कानतकम अभरतको र'रा ना भारत थवर वारा मिंग कार्याकत्र कारत राजा वरत भारत मिरे कता बना वरहर रव वान्न्नाकाराय प्रकार हर थयर संवात प्राचातरणत अरवन्तियकात थाका अरहाकन रमधारन के अरवनाधिकात निरंबंध केत्रात कमला कात्रंत थाकरूव ना। जामता रमर्र्धाह कम्मृमाला नानाजार कारम। बामजा अभिज्ञात न्छन यत्रत्यत्र बम्न्नाठा रमरथहि—" Dogs and "--এইভাবে পার্কের ভিতর লেখা হরেছে। আদরা দেখেছি কলিকাতার অভিজাত প্রেপীর क्ब् लाक बेरताली बत्रन, बेताली कान्नमात्र चन्कत्रन कारत अरवनाविकात नाम कत्रात ररात्र अनमानिक বার কিরে এসেছেন। সেই গ্লানি অক্তরে নিরে রবীন্দ্রনাথ লিখে পেছেন---

''কে তুমি ফিরিছ পরি প্রভূদের সাজ

बम्परवरण वार्फ ना कि ठठूगर्ग वास्तः"

 म् जन भवेनकरण्य व भविकल्पना ब्राह्मास्य, न् जन Development Schome क्का बारबा मिन स्नाद, लिए अवनहार वहेंक वारत वारवात अलाकिए गृह अक अकिए हेल्लामरनद स्वन्ध हरत मणाहात. वारह वारतात्र अत्राकि गृष्ट निका-नित्म-नरम्कृष्ठि-नम्मित् नित्मून व्यव अर्ति अवर अर्तिमार गीम वारवात প্রতি মানুষের গৃহকে আমরা গড়ে চুলুতে পারি, যদি সেখানে একট ভাবের जमाठात. এकरे ভाবের সংস্কৃতি, এकरे ভাবের শিষা আমরা দিই, তবে ধ্লিসাং स्टार बाब & প্রাণ ভেমা-**एक अक्षाल। धरेकारव मुध् आरेरनद नारार्या नम्र, न्छन मिल्न अम्रान धर नर्गठेन अम्रान्त किठ**द দিয়ে আমাদের ন্তন রাষ্ট্র এবং সমগ্র সমাজের উদ্যাম নিয়োজিত হবে এবং এই উপায়েই আমরা অস্পান্তার কলঙ্ককে অতীতের ইতিহাস থেকে নিশ্চিফ কোরে দিতে পারবে। আরু ছিন্দু সমার একটা আলপরীকার ভিতরে এসে উপস্থিত হয়েছে, তার চতুদ্দিকে ঘাত প্রতিঘাত চলেছে এবং তাকে ধরুদ কোরে দেবার জন্য বহু দূর থেকে, বহু উচ্চতর থেকে একটা রাজনৈতিক ন্বার্থ সেই আছিসাম্প্রত জড়িত হয়ে রয়েছে। সেই কারণে ভারতবর্ষে হিন্দু সমাজের ভিতরকার এই গ্রানি উঠিয়ে দেবার সক্ষাপ্রকার বাবস্থা করা প্রয়োজন এवर व्यामि वामा कति । प्रस्वत्य वामात्र साम्मात्वम लीरगत वन्धता स्म कीरक मत्रम मिरम स्वाबवात राजी करद्रन, এটাকে यन त्राक्षरेनिकक प्रवापनित capital a श्रीदशक ना करद्रन। क्षात्रा स्वन वह अरनास्त थारक निरक्तामत अरयु ब्राह्मन এवर वर्णाहम्म ६ Schedule Caste विग्म -- अहे देवमा रवन मन श्रांक मुद्दा रक्ता एन। आमि क्यानि विन्यु नमारकात अवे प्रतावकात न्यामा निरम् कारक आमक कहा वह महरू। आधारत पत्र आधारत हिन्द ममाद्ध परा फेल्ड बाह्राह बाह्रे, किन्दु बाह्र मनल आधार ठात्र माथात् उपत्र धार्गीवर्ताम न्वत् भ रात्र अलारः। हेरतास्त्र अरे Canto Schoduled Caste कदात नीिंठ धरात्र १९६६ शिरहारः। किन्तु जाप्तत याचात मृत्थ आमाप्तत এक क्रांजित काह्यशाह मृहे क्रांजित যে theory উচ্চ কণ্টে ঘোষিত করা হয়েছে তাতে ভারতের ৬ ঘানার বংসরের অতীত ইতিহাসের ভিতর এমন পশ্তের তাপ্তব কোন দিন এ দেশের ভূমিকে কর্লাঞ্চত করেনি যেমন বর্তামানে করেছে। সেই ক্লাঞ্চ এवर शानि आक हिन्दू प्रमास्कत माथाव आगीवनीयत आकारत अपन प्रथा मिरव्रस्थ। यामता यठवे शाला-গালি করি না কেন, প্রকৃতির ভিতরে poetic justice বোলে একটা কথার প্যান আছে। ভাই আজকে মনে হয় যে দুই জাতির থিওরি নিয়ে মি: জিলার অভাজান এবং প্রকৃতির তরফ খেকে একটা আঘাত প্রেরণ আশীবর্নাদের মত এসে হিন্দু সমাজের মাথায় পড়েছে। এই আঘাতকে আশীবর্নাদ বেলে গ্রহণ কোরে নিয়ে হিন্দু সমাজ নুজন শিক্ষা গ্রহণ করাবে এবং বণবৈষমাবিহান এক হিন্দু সমাজ প্রতিষ্ঠিত कदात: हिन्द धर्म वदर गान्य कान पिन नाम्अपाद्मिकतात उभरत अतिथित हिन ना। य प्राप्त वनर যে জাতির ধন্দাকৈ এবং শাস্তকে মানব ধন্দা এবং মানব ধন্দাশাস্ত আখ্যা দেওয়া হয়েছে সেটাকে পূর্ণা রুপ দিয়ে ভারতের সমাভ রুপায়িত কোরে তুলুক। তার ভিতর দিয়ে সফল হবে ফিন্-ু-মুসলমান-খুটান-শিখনিবির্থিশ্যে সকলের মিলন। তার ভিতর প্রতেশকের দ্যান রয়েছে এবং এই এক দেশ, এক জাতি, এক মহাপ্রাণের পরিধির ভিতর এসে সকলেই একসঞ্জে দীড়াতে পার্বে। হটক না প্জার উপকরণ ও প্রার আচার বিভিন্ন, হটক না প্ডার দ্বান মন্দির মসজিদ-গুরুদ্বার-গিক্ডণ নামে অভিহিত; তাতে রাখ্রের এবং জাতির অধিকারের দিক থেকে বিন্দুমাত্র কম বেশ হয় না। সেই দৃষ্টি নিয়ে আমি এই প্রস্তাবকে সমর্থন করছি। আজ কাম্মীরের আমিপরীকার মধ্য দিয়ে ছিন্দু মুসুল্মাননিবির্ণাষে কাম্মীরের আধ্বাসী হিসাবে যারা উঠে দাড়িয়েছেন, আশা করি এই প্রস্তাবকে কারো পরিণত করার প্রচেন্টার ভিতর দিয়ে সমগ্র ছিন্দ্ সমাজ ভারতের অধিবাসী হিসাবে ন্তন রুপ, ন্তন সংহতি এবা শক্তি নিয়ে নুতন দেশ গড়ে তুলুবে এবং সেই সাফলোর ভিতর দিয়ে ঐ মিলনবাণী ঘোষণা কর্তে সক্ষম হবে।

ষা ISWAR GHANDRA MAL: মাননীর তেপ্তি স্পীকার মহোদয়, আজকে এই পরিষদ-গৃহে যে প্রস্তাব উপস্থাপিত করা হরেছে, সেই প্রস্তাবে বলা হয়েছে মোলিক অধিকার গণ-পরিষদে স্বীকার করে নেওয়া হয়েছে। তাহ'লে এটা ব্ৰতে হবে যে আমাদের মোলিক আধিকার ছিল। এই অধিকার বললে রাশ্বীয় অধিকার ও সামাজিক অধিকার দ্টো অধিকারই বোৰা যায়। রাশ্বীয় অধিকার দেওয়া হয়েছে, সেটা আপনারা অনেক আগে শ্নেছেন। কিন্দু সামাজিক অধিকার স্ক্রেণ্ড কৈছু গোলমাল আছে।

হিন্দ্ সমাজ বিশেষতঃ তার যে শাস্ত্রীর বিধান আছে, সেই বিধানকে পরিপূর্ণভাবে গ্রহণ করেছে।
তাতে জন্মতালিকা দেওয়া হরেছে—বলা হরেছে এইভাবে যার জন্ম হয়েছে, তার সামাজিক অবিকার এই।
এই যে বর্ণনা আছে এই বর্ণনাতে এমন একটি গভার সংস্কার লোকের মনে কর্মনুল হয়েছে যে আক্তে

বলে বণিত, বণহিন্দ্র সমাজ থেকে পৃথক বলে যারা বণিত Caste Scheduled बावा हरहरू, लारमत मर्था अमन मरम्कात हरहरह रा लाता मिछा भत्रभरत्त्व मर्था मिनिरह निर्ण भात्रहरू ना। — তার ভিতর জন্মের ভিত্তিতে যে সংস্কার জন্মেছে সেই সংস্কারকে যদি scripture গ্রুণ্মেণ্ট থেকে পরিবর্ত্তন করবার ব্যবস্থা করা হয়, তাহ'লে কালে হয়ত এটা পরিবর্ত্তি হতে পারে। এটা অবশ্য আমার ব্যক্তিগত বিশ্বাস।

বৃদ্ধদেব সংঘবন্ধভাবে অর্থাং গণতান্ত্রিকভাবে প্রার্থনা করবার রাভি প্রবর্তন করেছিলেন। তাতে वोन्धधम्मानम्बीता हिन्मू नमाछ थ्यत्क नृथक थ्यत्क याह्र। व्यन्त्रच नमाछत्क हिन्न ज्वात क्रमा धीता एन्सेंग करतिकालन, रायमन वाल्लारा एउँ अप्निक्ति हेठिरनात न्वाता, नानक, कवीत अर्ज्जात न्वाता, प्राहे प्रमन्त সম্প্রদায় পৃথক জাতিতে, শ্রেণীতে পরিণত হয়েছে। হিন্দু সমাজ যেমনভাবে সেই জাতিতত্ত্বে ভিত্তিত সামাজিক অধিকার থেকে লোককে বৃণিত করে রেখেছিল, তেমনি আজও তাদের সেইভাবে রেখেছে।

महाचा नाम्धीत जाएम वनदन्छ हत्न वा कंश्यास्त्रत्र श्रन्ताव वनदन्य हत्न, हात्र थकहा कम्म्प्निका हिनाद <u>प्रमाबााभी व्यक्त, माठा वर्क</u>न वारमालन यथन এप्तरह, उथन এই জाठिउरङ्ग छिडिरउर एन वारमालन वासा (भारत्या । এই मध्यात कि करत लाकित मन थाक जात वाय तम्य कता याय भारता मार्थ नामाविध পশ্ভিত মহাশয়দের সঞ্জো, যারা শাস্তে অনেক জ্ঞান লাভ করেছেন, তাদের সঞ্জো আলোচনা করে দেখা यात्र, ত'ারা বলেছেন যে হিন্দু শাস্তের বিধান অনুসারে সহত্রল দেহ কখনও পরিবর্তিতি হতে পারে না। वर्था९ এकि जाक गारक व्याङ्गरूक वर्णीहन्यू वज्ञा शब्द, जारक काल Scheduled Caste যার না যদি তার পরিবর্তন মৃত্যুর ন্বারা না হয়। এই সংস্কার কখনও সমাজে গৃহীত হতে পারে না। এই সংস্কার একটা মারাত্মক সংস্কার। আজকে গভর্ণমেণ্ট থেকে কোন আইন যদি হয় তাবা বাষ্ট্রীয় व्यविकात भारत, जरत रुपटे व्यविकात याता प्याय जाप्यत अभिरह्म स्थाज करते बाद रुपटे व्यविकात याता प्याय তাদেরও এণিয়ে যেতে হবে। দেবার মালিক যদি এগিয়েও যায়, যারা গ্রহণ করবে তাবা যদি অগুসর না হর, তাহ'লে গ্রহণ পরিপ্পরিপে সাথকি হতে পারে না। যেমন দেশের লোকের লক্ষ্য হচ্ছে স্বাধীনতা, लिए स्वाधीनका प्रतम अल राज, किन्कु प्रतमात ज्ञाक यांच लाके स्वाधीनकारक मर्ट शारा शहरा ना करत. তাহ'লে সে স্বাধীনতা বেশী দিন থাকতে পারে না। তেম্নি অনুসতে শ্রেণী যদি উন্নত হবার জন্য নিজে থেকে সচেষ্ট না হয়, তবে সরকার অগুসর হলেও তারা কিছুটা অগুসব হতে পার্বে, কিন্তু সম্পূর্ণ ত্রগুসর হতে পারবে না। সভা সমিতিতে বহু পশ্ভিতে মিলে তক বিতক করে বলা হয়---এদেব যে অধিকার এটা শেল একটা দিক।

আর একটা দিক হচ্ছে--তাদের অর্থনৈতিক দ্বর্গলতার জন্য সমাজে আজ তাদের যে দ্বান তাকে স্ত্রু-ভাবে সরকার থেকে আইন করে পরিবর্তনি করা যেতে পারে না. সেটার সম্পূর্ণ পরিবর্তনি সমাজ থেকেই সম্ভব-নতুবা এই রাজনৈতিক দ্বলেতা সম্পূর্ণ দ্রীভূত হতে পারে না-সরকার থেকে নানাভাবে धानिको पत्रिवर्डन कहा याल पारत मात्र। এই यে ठाएमत मरका मिकात अखार, এটা प्रार्थत जना **সরকার থেকে একটা বিশিণ্ট পন্থা অবলম্বন করা যেতে পারে। অপশ্যাতা বন্ধানের ন্বারা সমাজকে** मुखे ७ मजीव करत जानवात जना और अञ्जाब याजरक कहा शरहाह, यह मरशा अमन अकठा यन् रहाई याहर --- যারা শিষ্যায় অন্প্রসর তাদের শিষ্যার প্রকৃত ব্যবস্থা সরকারের তরফ থেকে করতে হবে। আমার হাতে र्वीप क्रमणा थाकरणा जार'रत जामि वाध्नारमरगढ़ गिका विषया प्रग वहरूवत छना वर्णीरुम्स् रमद्र गिकात वावस्या ৰন্ধ করে অনুমত হিন্দুদের শিহার ব্যবস্থা করতাম। মনে কর্ন আমি হণাট্ছি, আর একজন ছোট **रह**रजि आमात्र मरून दौष्टेरह। आमात्र मरून यमि এकरत এक ठारल दौष्टेरठ दय, ठाइ ल ठारक निरंद्र छलात जना व्यामारक धानिकछा halt करत करत क्रीहेर्ट करत। स्मर्हे छना वर्गीकम्पूमित गिष्ठात প্রসার যদি কিছু কমও হয় তা করেও অনুমতদের সঞ্জে সংক্ষা টেনে নিতে হবে। এটা স্কল দেশেই अकमात नवकावरे कवर नकम, अवर अठाव माविष्ठ गुरुपांसर-छेतरे। रयमन धर्न Scheduled Caste Medical Colleged ও স্কুলে প্রারই ভর্তি হতে পারে না। সে বাৰস্থা সরকারকেই करत्र मिएछ श्रव। अप्मत्र ठाकती प्रमात्र वामभारत शहर्भायर्थेत अवेडा नीजि आहर। आमता वाम्नुनाजा बन्धान कतरा यामि, शहर्णामध्येक अक्ठा आहेन कतरा अन्रतायश कर्ताह: ठाकती हरू अक्टे रागी शामि व्यामता, बाता वर्णाहरू, बाता नमास्त्रत वर्षकात वर्ष मिन थ्यत्क लाग करत् वान्न, वर्धनिजिक, नामा-खिक वा बाखरेनीतक व्यविकात भव विवास यात्रा त्वभी भ्यात्र व्याभार्ष, ठारमत श्रष्ट व्यात्रा किष्ट्री डेमात्रज्ञात्व খানিকটে অগুসর হওয়া উচিত। সমাজের ভিতর খেকে এটা না করলে শুখু আইন করে সরকার এ সমস্যা মেটাতে পারেন না। যেমন বিবাহ সম্বন্ধে আইন করে বরস বে'বে দেয়া হরেছে যে ১৫ বংসারের কমে বিবাহ দেয়া চলবে না। কিন্তু সমাজ মেনে নের্মান বলে এই আইনমতনও বিবাহ হতে না। স্তুরাং সমাজে যারা অগুসর তারা বনি অনগ্রসরদের ঠেনে না নেয় বা সেরকম কোন আইনকে কার্যাকরী করার বাবন্দা না করে তাহ'লে সরকার হতে আইন করলেও তাতে কাজ হবে না। কাজেই যাদের হাতে অধিকার, তাদের যেমন এপিয়ে যেতে হবে, যারা সেই অধিকার নেবে তাদেরও এপিয়ে এসে সেটা নিতে হবে। মূলতঃ এই দাবীই করা হয়েছে এ প্রস্তাবে যে গঙ্গমেণ্টের একটা বিশিষ্ট কম্মপিন্দা গ্রহণ করা উচিত। আইন করে যারা অনগ্রসর তাদের এপিয়ে নিতে হবে। বিশেষ করে শিক্ষার দিক দিয়ে তাদের এপিয়ে নিতে হবে। তারা শিক্ষিত হলেই তারা নিশ্চয়্যই ব্রুবে তাদের অধিকার এবং সে অধিকার তখন যদি কেউ স্বেজায় না দেয় তারা জ্যের করে আদায় করতে পারবে। অধিকার দিলেই কেবল হয় না, সে অধিকার রক্ষার ক্ষমতা খাকা চাই।

আপনারা যারা প্লাতি পিয়েছেন তারা অবশ্যই দেখেছেন কি কদ্যাভাবে তারা থাকে। তাদের বেমন খাদোর অভাব তেন্নি বন্দের অভাব। এসব দ্র করবার জন্য ছোটখাট ব্যাপারগ্লি সমাজই মেটাতে পাবেন, কিন্তু আসল যেগ্লি বাধা তা গভগামেটকে আইনের ধ্বারা দ্ব করতে হবে। এই বালে আমি এই প্রস্তাব সমর্থন কর্ছি।

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I think no other member wants to speak. It is desirable that somebody on behalf of the Cabinet should say something if they so desire.

The Hon'ble 8ri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: माननीय Deputy Speaker মহোদয়, যে প্রস্তাবটি আড় আমাদের এই পরিষদ-কল্পে উপস্থাপিত कवा ocur. স্বর্গতঃকরণে সেই প্রস্তাব সম্থান কর্ছি -- (A VOICE : গ্রহণ কর্ম), গ্রহণ কর্তে আমি পারি না, তাই সমর্থন কর্ছি। শুধু গভর্গমেণ্টের তরফ থেকে নয়: ভারতবর্ষের কংগ্রেস কম্মাীর এবং करश्याप्त्रत रा मौति ७ वामर्ग-रा वामर्ग वाष्ट्र श्राप्त २० वश्यत धरत शास्त्रीक्षित कौवन-रवमरक व्यवसम्बन করে গড়ে উঠেছে, টে কংগ্রেসের কার্যাসাচীব অন্যতম কার্যাস্চাটী ছিল অপ্শান্তা বঞ্জান। আজ জাতির দুর্ণাতির কারণ, আমাদেব সমাজ-জীবনে এই সব জেলাভেদের বিদ্রাণ্ডি সৃত্তি করা। আজ যদিও পরাধীন-তার কলঙককালিমা থেকে জাতি মৃকু হয়েছে, কিন্তু দেশের সমাজ-জীবনকে সূস্য ও সবল করে তোলবার माग्नियु तारचेत शहल कत्राल करता थवा अवे अवे हिप्मरमा अर्गामिल करत बाक कानलवरबात गनमात्रवर সমান অধিকারবাদের ভিত্তিতে যে রাজ্জন প্রহান করতে চল্লেছেন সেখানে কোন ছেদ বা বৈধমের স্থান त्नहे: प्रिथारन कौता घाषका करत्रका या अभागाठा खाहेरनत क्रक मन्क्रायाना वाल भीवर्गानठ हरन। कारक्रहे আজ आमारमंत्र नमाइ-कौरनरक न्जन आमर्ग गर्ड इलाउ रख এवः त्न माह्यि ताचेक धवन कत्रा रख। वहामिन श्रंद्र थहे व्यञ्जानात्र कानिया व्यामाप्तर प्रमाष-भौदन्तक कर्णाब्कत ७ प्रका कर्दाह्म, गृश् लाहे नहः বিদেশী শাসক তাদের নিজেদের কার্যেমি স্বার্থকৈ বন্ধায় রাখবার জনা, জাতীয় চৈতনোর মধ্যে, জাতীয় क्वीवरानंद मरक्षा এই व्यञ्जानाजात कौलक अरवन कविराह्म निराह्म व्यामारमंत्र मरक्षा विरक्तम नृतिके कर्रविष्टम এवर प्तिहै विक्रिप्तं प्रायाण निर्मं प्रनिवादं प्रमान पाविणा कर्त्राष्ट्र हात्रज्याप्ती अरू कार्ति कर्ज भारत नाः जाएनत माक्षा व्यानक देवसमा ब्राह्मण्डा काएक्ट व्याष्ट्राक्ष्य पिरन प्रतान और পरिवृद्धिक भविष्टिक्तिक या नाजन वाची श्वेन करू हरलाक, त्रहे बार्ष्ये काम्याला वन्छीन गृथा बाहरनद्र मिक थ्याक नह, प्रमाक्ष-वावण्याद मरथा अमन পরিবর্তান সাধিত করা প্রয়োজন যে ন্তন জাতীয়তাবোধে উদ্বৃদ্ধ জনসাধারণ— তারা নিজেরাই সচেতন ও क्षाश्चर शरवन এवर प्रमाक-क्षीवन १५१८क এই कलक्ककालिमा मृत कत्रवन। ताची प्रशाहक शरव मात---त्राची खारैनगठ देवसमा मात्र कदार्थ बर्फे. किन्तु भूकर ७ भयत भमाङ-ङीवन जीवा निर्फ्डारे वावक्या कहार्यन।

এই कठि कथा बर्ज आमि এই প্রস্তাব সর্বাশ্তঃকরণে সমর্থন কর্ছে।

The motion of Sri Nishapati Majhi that ভারতীয় গণপরিষদ মৌলিক অধিকারবলে যে অপ্শৃলাতাকে দণ্ডযোগা বলিয়া ঘোষণা করিয়াছেন তক্তনা এই পরিষদ অপ্তরের সহিত ভারতীয় গণপরিষদেক ধনাবাদ ভাপন করিচেছি। ভারতীয় গণপরিষদের ঘোষণাকে আইনতঃ কাষ্যকিয়ী

ও অপ্ৰান্তা বন্ধনিকে সাকলাৰণিডত করিবার জন্য সরকার হইতে বখোপব্ভ ব্যবস্থা করা হউক ইহাই পশ্চিমবঞ্চাবাসীকৈ অস্পৃদাতা বন্তনি কাৰো নিব্ত হইয়া সমাজ-জীবনকে এই পরিষদের অভিনত। ज्ञानवर्ड. प्रकृ ७ प्रसीय कवित्रा तृतिकात सना धरे शतिक्ष एमग्वामीतक व्यादमन सानावेटलाइ was then put and agreed to.

Adjournment.

The House was then adjourned at 5-58 p.m. till 3-45 p.m. on Monday, the 12th January, 1948, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Monday, the 12th January, 1948, at 3-45 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Deputy Speaker (Sri Ashtiosh Mallick) in the Chair, 8 Hon'ble Ministers and 56 members.

Oath.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Members who have not yet taken their oath may do so now.

The following members took their oath:-

- (1) Mr. J. R. Walker,
- (2) Mr. C. E. Clarke.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answer was given)

Alleged oppression on public committed by Covernment servants in Midnapere during 1941-45.

- 15. Sri PRAMATHA NATH BANDOPADHYAY: (a) Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Home Department be pleased to state whether it is a fact that oppressive and illegal deeds were perpetrated upon the people of the Midnapore district, specially on the people of Contai, Tamluk and Sadar subdivisions, by servants of the Bengal Government during the years from 1941 to 1945?
- (b) If the answer to (a) be in the affirmative, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state what different methods of oppressive acts were committed by the Bengal Government servants upon the people during the period referred to in (a)?
 - (c) Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state-
 - (i) the names of the Bengal Government servants who actually took active parts in committing or personally committed those illegal acts; and
 - (ii) whether any of those Bengal Government servants are still in service of the present West Bengal Government?
- (d) If the answer to (c)(n) be in the affirmative, do the Government consider the desirability of bringing these persons under trial at an early date?

MINISTER in charge of the HOME DEPARTMENT (The Hon'ble Dr. Prafulla Chandra Chosh): (a) There is no official record in the possession of this Government.

- (b), (c)(n) and (d) The questions do not arise.
- (c)(i) There is no official record of such names.
- **Sri DEBENDRA NATH SEN:** With reference to answer (a) and $(c \cdot (t))$ to the effect that there is no official record of such names, will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Home Department be pleased to state

whether the Government do contemplate holding an enquiry with a view to find out the names of persons who committed acts of excesses during the period referred to?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: Government is prepared to find out facts.

Sri PRAMATHA NATH BANDYOPADHYAY: মাননীর মন্ত্রী মহাশয়, আমরা বাদি record supply করি, তাহ'লে কি আপনি অন্প্রহ করে সেগ্রিন দেখবেনা

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: বললাম তো গভর্ণমেণ্ট-চদত করতে প্রস্তুত আছেন যদি facts findings এর জন্য আপনারা সাহায্য করেন।

Sri PRAMATHA NATH BANDYOPADHYAY: মাননীয় মল্মী মহাশয়, অন্গ্রহ করে বলবেন কি কবে নাগাদ তদত হ'তে পারে?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHO8H: সেটা তো administra tive details; Cabinet a discuss ক'রে পরে জানান হবে।

8ri NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITI: এ বিষয় কি কোন representation দিতে হবে, না, গভণ্মেণ্ট of its own initiative এ করবেন?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: গ্রুণ্মেণ্ট এটা of its own initiative এ করবেন।

Sri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether the Government, if it finds out the names of the officers who committed acts of atrocities, will take serious action against them or send them up for trial?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Let us first find out the facts. Then we shall decide what is to be done.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Is the Hon'ble Minister aware—that—atrocities—by Government officials did take place at that particular period?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I have already answered that question.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether Government desires that the public should supply them with the names of officers who committed acts of atrocities against the people during those days?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I have already said that the public should supply us with all the facts.

8ri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: With reference to answer (a), will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state if Government have reasons to believe that such records did exist and, if so, whether they have been destroyed or removed to some other place?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: That I cannot say.

8ri 18WAR CHANDRA MAL: মাননীর মন্ত্রী মহাশর কি অন্ত্রহ করে বলবেন, যখন এই পব ঘটনা, excesses যটেছিল, তখন এই পরিষদ-প্তেছ অনেক members ফ্টনাপ্লি বিস্তৃতভাবে প্রকাশ করেছেন—সেদিকে নজর দিয়ে কি enquiry করতে পারবেন?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: সে সমস্ত বিষয় আপনারা আমার চেয়ে ভাল করে জানেন: আমি তখন আহমদনগরে ছিলাম।

Sri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister please state whether he will set up an enquiry committee to go into the question of these atrocities committed by the Bengal Government officials?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I have already answered that question. We will try to ascertain the facts.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Will an enquiry committee be set up, that was my question?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: We shall first try to ascertain the facts.

Sri NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITI: যদি দেখা যায় facts find করে যে suitable action নেওয়া উচিত, তাছংলে গভগমেণ্ট কি prepared আছেন suitable action নিতে?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: That is a hypothetical question, namely, if such a thing happens what we should do.

Sri NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITI: একথা কি বলা বেতে পারে যে ১৯৪২ সালে ক'থি এবং তমল্কেতে অনেক অচলাচার হয়েছিল, এটা মেম্বররা জানেন কি না এবং Home Minister নিজে এটা শ্লেছেন কি না?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I saw that in the press while at Ahmednagar

Sri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: With reference to answer (a), will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether it is the intention of Government to appoint a tew officers or a departmental committee to enquire into these cases of atrocities?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: These are matters of administrative details which I cannot answer just at present.

8ri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: I want to know, Sir, if it is his intention to set up some machinery to enquire into this question either of officers or some departmental enquiry.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I have said twice or thrice that some machinery will be set up to find out facts.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state if this enquiry will start at the end of this month or at the beginning of the next month?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: As soon as the Cabinet takes a decision and then I shall decide when it will start. These are matters of administrative detail.

Sri PRAMATHA NATH BANDYOPADHYAY: তেপ্তি স্পীকার মহোদর, আমার এই প্রশ্নতা এক মাস প্রের্দিন এবং এক মাস পরে answer দেওয়া হয়েছে; এর ভিতর এই বিষয় facts finding হওয়া উচিত ছিল; কাডেই এই রকম দেরী ২ওয়াটা উচিত নয়। এটা আমি ভাল বিকেচনা করি না।

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: It is not my business to say whether one month's time is long or short. It is the Hon'ble Minister's business. But I may say that one month's time is not a long time. It is a very reasonable time.

Sri PRAMATHA NATH BANDYOPADHYAY: এটা চাপা পড়ে বাবে এটচা long time ব্যৱা

Sri ISWAR CHANDRA MAL: মাননীয় তেপ্তি স্পীলার বহাদের, Assemblyর যে proceedings স্ফোকে record বলে ধরা যেতে পারে কি না? যদি ধরা যার, ভাছালে তার থেকে স্নেক materials যোগাড় হতে পারে। গভগ্মেন্ট কি তার জন্য প্রস্তুত আছেন?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Assembly proceeding is not a record.

Sri ISWAR CHANDRA MAL: পরিষদ-গৃহে যে বক্তৃতা হয়, গ্রুণমৈণ্ট তার note নিতে পারেন কি না; যদি পারেন, তাহ'লে সেই ভিত্তিতে কোন enquiry করতে পারেন কি নাঃ

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: If legally that is allowed we shall do it. We shall consult the Advocate-General.

8ri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state if those statements that were made on the floor of this House will be taken into consideration by the officers or by the committee that Government will appoint to enquire into this question?

The Howble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOSH: Yes, I have already said that.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, certainly. Government will take note of it, but it is not a Government record.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether this Government has made any enquiries to find out the particulars of the points raised?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Yes, as soon as I got this question, I asked certain officers whether there was any record. After enquiry it was found that there was no record.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether in view of the allegations contained in the question Government considers the desirability of setting up a committee of enquiry on the subject to find out facts?

The Hen'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I have already answered that question. I have nothing to add. Probably the honourable member was not here then.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Question over.

GOVERNMENT BILL.

The West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947.

Clause 18.

871 JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 18(I), line 2, for the expression "clause (a)" the words "any provision" be substituted.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Mr. Basu, you move all your amendments to this clause together and then make one speech.

Sri JYOTI BASU: All right, Sir. Sir. I also beg to move that in clause 18(I), line 4, for the word "fifteen" the word "seven" be substituted.

Sir, I further beg to move that in clause 18(2), line 6, after the words "Provincial Government" the words "consisting of one representative from each party or group represented in the West Bengal Legislative Assembly" be inserted.

Sir, the purpose of my amendment is that instead of the grounds of order being communicated to the person concerned who has been detained under clause 16-in every other case in which restrictive order is given to a particular person under clause 16 the grounds should be likewise disclosed and instead of the grounds being communicated to the person concerned within fifteen days, that should be done within a period of seven days. Sir, clause 18 contains nothing new; this is copied from the old black bill of the British Government and is, in fact, a slavish mitation of the hypocrisy of our rulers and in the mockery of justice it is unparallelled. The Government will, through its department of astrology I suppose, decide that I am about to commit a nefarious act and on the plea that prevention is better than cure, as Dr. B. C. Roy has pointed out two days back, I shall be put into prison but the Government in its elemency and out of its rare sense of justice will disclose to me my sins. But I am afraid it provides here--"not the whole of my sin but such parts of it as the Government thinks fit" shall be communicated to me. And on the basis of whatever I am told by Government about my acts of omission and commission, I shall have to give my representation to the Government in self-defence. Yet the Government knows very well, as I have pointed out again and again, that without a public trial before a court of law it is not possible for any accused to defend himself. It will take some time for us -common people-to understand the spiritual justice of the votaries of truth and non-violence with a view to removing an opponent. Of course this is the best method for Government. It may for instance, accuse me of helping in the illegal acquisition of arms. In the absence of a trial in a court of law what defence can I put up if the Government so chooses to defame me in the eyes of the public. Hence this mockery of justice may console the gentlemen sitting in power but the kishans and workers outside who have to fight against the policy of the Government and the capitalists and landlords whom the Government represent today, for a better standard of living, will see in this clause a reflection of a change that has suddenly come over the Congress leaders. That is why I have tried to make this a little less ineffective—this vicious clause, and I have submitted my amendment for that purpose.

Sir, as far as the second amendment is concerned, that is on clause 18(2). I have, instead of representing against the Government order to the High Court Judge, suggested to the Government that Judges whether they be of the High Court or of any other court, should not be made to prostitute their intelligence and their profession. Instead of asking them to give an ex-parte decision on such matters the best thing would be in such cases, for the Government, to appoint a committee consisting of representatives of different groups of this Assembly and place the papers before them. They of course being representatives of political parties who would have to appear before their electorates will think twice before dittoing to what the Government has done. That is the main purpose of my amendment with regard to sub-clause (2). Of course I know that these amendments may also meet the fate of the other amendments which I have placed on this. Bill, but still I cannot but emphasise my point by referring Government to these two amendments and I ask the Honble the Prime Minister, why he cannot accept them. I feel that he must have realised by now-and I am glad that on the other day when we discussed clause 16 about detention without trial many of the members on the other side spoke rather on the defensive—that it was not the right thing to do, but still the Government goes ahead with all these clauses and sub-clauses which they want to enact. We would like to have today an answer from the Hon'ble the Prime Minister because it is no good just giving us similes about small-pox epidemic and because of that some people losing their freedom. Sir, I can also give similar analogies because I know there are people who, if they are detained, most of the common people would feel happy about it. They are the blackmarketeers, the profiteers and the capitalist class as a whole who form only about 3 to 5 per cent. of our society. If they are pounced upon by the Government, people would feel happy. But the Government does not do that. All its laws are against the people, 95 per cent, of whom are common folk. That is the main point of our argument against this Bill, because we have seen side by side when this Bill was brought in this House another Bill against black-marketing. In that Bill nowhere will you find a provision whereby it is so provided that the black-marketeer, if the Government so chooses, shall be deprived of his liberty on mere suspicion. Government did not do that, because probably many of those gentlemen, those multi-millionaires, are acquainted with some of the gentlemen in power today on the opposite side. I think that is the main reason for enacting such ineffective law as the black-marketing law which was passed, and we know, nothing will be done against the black-marketeers. The record of the Government is also such with regard to the black-marketeers, as I have pointed out here time and again—

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: It is irrelevant and it should stop. We have nothing to do with black-marketing here. This is a point of order. Why don't you give your decision?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Please take your seat. It is no point of order.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Deputy Speaker, as I was saying, if we compare these two things, it becomes quite clear to us as to what the intention of the Government is; on the one side are the rich profiteering classes and on the other side, the common people. The Brooke Bond workers, I repeat it once again, can be arrested, their women can be dishonoured under the Special Powers Ordinance, but a profiteer, a multi-millionaire cannot be arrested although the Government and its officials know that these are the people who are responsible for black-marketing in Bengal, but nothing is being done against them. Government has not the power to do anything against them because, as I have said, some of them are their friends and Government represents this class and that is why Government did not do anything.

I know, when people, for instance, get tuberculosis, the best thing would be to confine these patients in a hospital so that they may not spread the disease elsewhere. Government will not do that. It will let loose these people whose whole body is affected with a foul disease. They can go out and have the completest liberty to move amongst the people and spread the foul disease and thereby send the people to their death. Such is their diagnosis of things. Today if 1, 2 or 5 per cent, of the people get tuberculosis, they will take away the liberty of 95 per cent, people and let these 5 per cent, people alone. Of course Dr. Roy is a great physician. I have the greatest respect for him—he is not here today—but I have not the slightest regard for his political diagnosis of things. That is why he gave a diagnosis which has nothing to do with the point.

So, Mr. Deputy Speaker, in conclusion, I would urge upon them to accept these two amendments which I have suggested and specially the second amendment wherem I say that if this Government is at all a popular Government, it need not fear popular representatives forming a committee to decide on the guilt or otherwise of a person if the papers are sent up to them because even before the papers are sent up, Government has the authority—has the power—not to send up all the papers under the plea that it may go against the public interest—they are so much enamoured of public interest. Therefore, if these two amendments are accepted. I do not think it will improve this vicious law in the slightest, but even then they would have at least proved their bona fides before the people.

8ri A. K. CHOSE: Sir, with your permission I move a short-notice amendment that sub-clause (2) of clause 18 be omitted.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I accept the amendment of Mr. A. K. Ghose, but I oppose all the three amendments of

Mr. Jyoti Basu. From the speech of Mr. Basu it appears that he also feels the necessity of a Special Powers Bill but he quarrels with the Government only over its application. He thinks it should be applied to multimillionaires and not to the people to whom it has been applied. So, it is a matter of administrative detail. If on any point he can give me facts that it should be applied to such and such persons, he will be rendering first class service to Government and it on the basis of those facts 1 can put anybody under the Special Powers Ordinance, 1 shall certainly do so. So, he himself does not think—at least from his speech 1 could understand this point—that this Bill should not be passed.

Then he tries to make the whole thing ineffective by substituting 7 in place of 15. He himself says that he wants to make it ineffective. But if he wants that somebody should be arrested, somebody should be put under the Special Powers, he should not make it ineffective. Even if it is to be applied to X and not to Y, you should see that Government can effectively apply it to X.

Then he said about representation of each party or group. I do not think the Cabinet can put facts before members of the Legislature who are not pledged with the oath of secrecy. So, we have said that it will come up before a High Court Judge, but it cannot be placed before members of the Legislature. Therefore, I oppose all the three amendments and I accept the amendment of Mr. A. K. Ghose.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 18(1), line 2, for the expression "clause (a)" the words "any provision" be substituted, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai.

NOES-41.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar. The Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Banerji, Chandra. Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan. Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. BORBLIADORBYNA, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Clarke, Mr. C. E.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailai.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Dollii Mr. Marandra Nath. Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath. Dotti-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu. Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari. Gayen, Mr. Arabinda. Ghose, Mr. A. K. Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar. Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Gomes, Mr. D.

Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nikhapati.
Majundar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Aves being 2 and the Noes 41, the motion was lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 18(4), line 4, for the word "fifteen" the word "seven" be substituted, was then put and lost.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Then comes the short-notice motion of Sii Amar Krishna Ghose.

Sri JYOTI BASU: I thought, Sir, that my amendment No. 51 would be taken first.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: If the short-notice motion be carried, your amendment will fall through.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUNDAR: Sir. there will be no harm in your being pleased to allow the voting on Mr. Basu's amendment first as suggested by him.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: But this is the order. First the short-notice amendment of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose and then Mr. Basu's amendment.

Sri JYOTI BASU: On a point of privilege, Sir. I moved my amendment first and then the other one was moved. So in point of time mine should go first and the other one later.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will put the short-notice motion first. If this is carried then I shall take up your amendment.

Sri JYOTI BASU: I do not understand it at all. But since you are going to do it in this way you can do it.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Sir, before you give your final ruling—

Sri J. C. CUPTA: He has already given it.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I have already given my ruling.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: I was inclined to support Mr. Jyoti Basu, because of the motion having been moved first and for the sake of convenience.

The short-notice motion of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose that sub-clause (2) of clause 18 be omitted, was then put and agreed to.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Amendment No. 51 of Mr. Jyoti Basu falls through.

The question that clause 18, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYES-42.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar.
Banerji, The Hon'ble Dr. Suresh Chandra.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bgas, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Clarke, Mr. G. E.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagandra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailai.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Doiul, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Butt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bapin Behari,
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Chees, Mr. A. K.
Chees, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Chees, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Chees, Mr. Bimai Comar.

Gomes, Mr. B.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chendra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mal, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Bhirendra Narayan.
Murarka, Mr. Basantiai.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar,
Sen, Mr. Jajneswar,
Sen, Mr. Dabaedra Math.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.
Walker, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

NOES-1.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

The Ayes being 42 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried.

Clause 19.

8ri JYOTI BA8U: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that in clause 19(I), line 3, for the words "subversive acts", the words "any recrudescence of communal troubles" be substituted.

I beg also to move that in clause 19(3), line 3, for the word "years" the word "months" be substituted.

This clause, Sir, seeks to control the use of loud speakers, megaphones and other instruments which amplify the human voice with a view to suppressing subversive acts. Now, we would have had no quarrel or no right-thinking man would have any quarrel if there was any Bill or any powers were taken by the Government which would stifle the voice of communalists, black-marketeers, and imperialists who are still in our midst today. Their poisonous voice cannot be allowed to be amplified to sow discord and create disorder in the country against the progress of our people. Prevention again is certainly better than cure, and I also agree that freedom, since the point has been raised, cannot exist for all sections of the society. So I suggest, let Government, which it has not done yet, curb the freedom of 5 per cent of society. Let it mete out summary justice to those who steal and poison people's food, let it quarantine the British and Indian capitalists, who go about pouring venom and bringing chaos through the press and the platform amongst workers and labourers who need protection from them. People will acclaim this, but of course Dr. Ghosh will say, "I am innocent: I do not know who those people are who poison people's food, who those people are who are black-marketeers and profiteers, you point them out to me and I shall treat them in the way that I want to But I would ask him. Sir, what about his officials—the officials who arrested Mr. Debnath Das, the officials who arrested the Brooke Bond workers, the officials who go about breaking into the homes of workers without warrant? Those police officials of Government-what do they do? Can't they find out who these black-marketeers are? Or, will be agree with me if I rell him that these people, these millionaires, from top to bottom can be spotted out but they have money enough to buy off the officials who actually have been bought off? Therefore they are not arrested and no action is taken against them. The Government has within its own told people who have become communalists, who make speeches on the Maidan over the microphone preaching communalism, as we found the other day when the Deputy Prime Minister of India came here. We know that in our army and navy the Government is preserving as advisers, the representatives of our rulers and we know that Government has, at the behest of the British and the Americans-now a new master has been added —given up nationalisation and are making strikes illegal without giving the strikers a living wage, and this we know from a very eminent physician, Dr. Bidhan Chandra Roy, who after his visit to America, speaking before the Eastern Chamber of Commerce, said that the Marshall Loan will not be given to India unless India bans all strikes and chaos ruthlessly, unless India pledges from beforehand that there shall not be at least for five years any nationalisation. Therefore, it is no use telling us "Here we stand above all classes; we have a Bill which will be used equally against this big landed interest or against capitalist and at the same time against any of the ordinary workers or the kisans if they choose to go against the of the ordinary workers of the active we know that there cannot be and State". It is not so at all, because we know that there cannot be and there is not any such thing as a Government standing above classes know that this Government today represents that section of society-that small section-5 per cent.-who are trying at every step to smother the democratic life in our country. Loud speakers, I know, will be used by the Government and their agents to spread all sorts of lies against the workers but we know that if we propose, if the workers and the kisans propose to hold meetings with the help of loud speakers, then they shall be told "your voice shall be stifled. The Government shall not allow you to raise your voice before the public". And we have seen this in operation.

To give you another example as to the kind of Government that operates in our land today. I was given, before I came to the Assembly today, an order from the Subdivisional Controller of Civil Supplies, Ghatal, wherein it says (Mr. A. K. Biswas is the Subdivisional Controller)—"It is learnt that one of the partners of Messrs. S. K. Roy & Brothers took part in organising a hartal on 29th December, 1947, last, the date on which the Hon'ble Prime Minister to the Government of West Bengal visited Ghatal. So, with the assent of the Subdivisional Officer the wholesalership in kerosene of Messrs. S. K. Roy & Brothers is hereby cancelled". This is one of the orders—Memorandum No. 130 G.S.O., dated the 9th January, 1948, and the names of five persons are given here—the names of five dealers on whom this order has been served. It has been protested against by Assistants Association.

This is the kind of Government officials and the kind of Government under which we have to live, and yet this Government will have the audacity to ask us to give them the power to do whatever they like with people's liberties, and yet Dr Ghosh asked me in the previous amendment to give him names of persons who are black-marketeers and profiteers with injured innocence as if he does not know who these people are. What are these police doing all the time? Get rid of this machinery. It you are really serious, get rid of them one by one. It is not a question who perpetrated violence on our people from 1941 to 1945 or any such thing. The whole machinery has got to go. It is not only the question of machinery, because we have seen-tor the last one year it has been happening-this Government have step by step gone over to the side of the rich capitalistic class and the land-owners section, and that is why they have given them assurance, that is why the Deputy Prime Minister of India openly says to the capitalist section, "Why do you fear? You have your representative inside the Government. You need not be afraid that there will be nationalisation, that there will be Excess Profits Tax". He openly told them that. That is how the Government is proceeding along this evil path. Therefore, if today this Government tells me that they represent the people and therefore the people must give them power to curb the interest of 5 per cent of the people, why should I believe in that Government? Their actions believed the control of the people with the what they tell us from the platform, what they have been telling us for the last 40 years. If the leaders can, within the stretch of three months, forget their whole revolutionary past, if they can repudiate all the Congress ideals for which they stood within three months, then for that Government to turn round and ask us to give them power nobody will not be taken seriously, because in point of fact it is no longer a question of theory. We know that within the last three months, even when Dr. Ghosh has become Prime Minister, in this country action has been taken against political party and political individuals, action has been taken against workers and we know that this is going to grow and grow still further. We know, for instance, if there is a strike such things can happen, such orders can be issued. We know if there is a strike, Government has the whole State machinery of oppression behind it, the police behind it to smash that strike if it wants it. We know, besides the police, the Government have built up their own volunteer organisation which they call non-violent but the face of which we saw only a few days back. We have seen this Government have almost adopted the fascist technique of Germany where there were Dr. Goebbeles, Hitler and so on who not only smashed up the trade unions of opposition parties but built up through the Government their own trade unions. We have seen that the Fascist Government not only built up their own trade unions after smashing up the trade unions of their rivals but they employed their own police to smash up the rival trade unions. On top of that this Government are passing fascist laws. So, the whole picture is nearly complete.

Therefore, Mr. Deputy Speaker, I feel that if this clause is related only to recrudescence of communal troubles, it would be another matter, but as

it stands under this clause Government can do whatever it likes in the way of controlling loud speakers and amplifiers and so on. That is why I have spoken against it.

Then the punishment meted out is three years for violation. I have suggested that it should be three months.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr. Deputy Speaker, clause 19 of the Bill to which two amendments have been moved provides for control of loud speakers, megaphones, etc., when used for purposes of subversive designs or for instigating communal riots. Sir, the honourable member opposite moving his amendments advanced objections based on the ground why should human voice be controlled except, he conceiled, for the purpose of checking communal riots and things like that. But, Sir, it is not merely a question of controlling human voice when mechanical devices are used. It is well known that a thing called a barrel to which a trigger is fitted and a spring is laid inside somewhere always imparts a tremendous impact to a missile which when runs out is called a bullet, but you may do as much practice by it as you like with impunity but if you are provided with firearms you have got to take a licence but you do not say that you use it for any and every purpose. If human voice which can work so much mischief as we have often found from experience is used for anti-social, anti-national, anti-patriotic purposes against the safety of the State as well as of society, I do not see any reason whatsover why that should not be controlled

can understand when in one country an alien enemy comes and occupies it as an occupying power and sets up an enemy state, and it becomes a sacred and bounder duty of the nationals of the subjugated land to rise in rebellion against that state and destroy and overthrow that state. Sir, we understand that those who destroy and overthrow the state set up by enemies in one's own land are the patriots, heroes whom all the nations honour and welcome, and it is their sacred duty and privilege to struggle for the freedom to overthrow that state, but the same honour is not bestowed on people under different circumstances who start their agritations or subversive acts against their own national state. They are called traitors Even the law of treason prescribesand they are dealt with very harshly although in no other law intention can be punished that treasonable intention against one's national state has got to be punished, because if that is allowed to mature in life, it becomes an irretrievable calamity for the state. Where the needs of the safety and security of one's own nation, one's own state, one's own society or freedom of one's own people are concerned, there, Sir, laws are directed against controlling instruments of mischief. It is precisely in that context that loud speakers and megaphones are to be controlled -- not for legitimate use of that implements or instruments but for the illegal, treasonable and outrageous use with subversive intention and purposes. That is what it aims at achieving. All legitimate use of these instruments is precluded from this control measure, and that is made amply clear I do not see any reason why my friend opposite should get so perturbed over this clause. Why should be imagine that he is to come within the mischief of this clause? Well, Sir, I would remind this House and that may be one reason for getting perturbed. If we take our memory not very far back but to the 16th August, 1946, the Association to which the honourable member belongs,—they supported Direct Action. They supported Pakistan movements, they supported all manner of activities which were declared against the nationals' struggle for freedom which was directed against the unity of our people, which was directed against the communal harmony of the citizens of this province irrespective of their religion whether they are Hindus or Muslims. That was allowed to pass with impunity. It my friend wishes today to exercise the liberty of direct action against any section of the people, if he wishes to exercise his liberty of advocating communal divisions among the people, I have not the slightest doubt that the people of Bengal would give every support

to their Government in suppressing such unsocial, anti-national, unpatriotic, criminal elements from the body politic of this province. Sir, I do not see why my friend suddenly started spouting hatred and venom against the speech which he heard from the Deputy Prime Minister of India. Over a million people congregated in the Calcutta maidan to hear the speech of the Deputy Prime Minister.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: On a point of order, Sir. Are these things relevant—what the Deputy Prime Minister said or what other people said?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I am on my legs. Please resume your seat.

Mr. Jyoti Basu mentioned these things and he is only replying to that.

Therefore it is relevant.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Sir, I sympathise with my friend spouting so much venom against the Deputy Prime Minister. Over a million people assembled to hear him. Our workers of the industrial areas of Calcutta and its environs gathered in lakhs and lakhs to hear the Deputy Prime Minister of India. Our peasants around the city congregated there, the poor clerks and the entire city public congregated there in order to hear the Deputy Prime Minister of India. At what cost, and what a calamity the greatly trumpeted general strike through which we expected the new fangled Messiah to come for the salvation of workers and peasants found a debacle; and, Sir, it is on that day that my friend whom I admire for very reasoned and well-balanced attempts at argumentation lost his balance, and he felt a passion for hitting in the back those peasants and workers because of the disappointment; and the attempts at self-appointed leadership were struck to the very quick. Incidentally the failure of the strike has proved that these hollow pretensions to speak in the name of peasants and workers are nothing but sham. It has been demonstrated again and again that the gentlemen of that tribe have not the slightest right or mandate to speak on behalf of the workers and peasants of India. This is one thing which ought to have been made amply clear. They gave out calls for strikes, and in support of their calls they have been using loud speakers, megaphones, etc., with impunity. Nobody has bothered about that. Now, Sir, there are two methods of sponsoring a strike. One method is if the workers are to go on strike, they take a decision on an industrial issue or on any issue on which they legitimately feel called upon to go on strike. They take a vote, workers go on strike by their own decision. That is one method, a legitimate method which no Government can interfere with, which this very Bill has provided for in so many words, namely, that such industrial strikes are excluded from the purview of this Bill. It any Government dares interfering with the workers who decide on a strike, such a Government cannot exist and has no right to exist in a democratic age; otherwise the people rise in rebellion against that Government. There is another method which is adopted by self-appointed leaders of workers. That is the method of a decision not by a vote to strike but by brickbatting on the workers, trying to coerce them and compel them to resort to hooligan methods in the name of workers and trying to delude the public and not to lead but to mislead the public into a morass, and when the workers do not listen to them they bring about riots and other disgraceful things. We have seen through the evil, that infamous propaganda in the Calcutta papers during Britain's war by a set of traitors. Such things are an abuse of liberty; such things cannot be tolerated by any country. Yet this Government never for a day interfered with the use of microphones and megaphones, because it has been known that they were making futile attempts.

Sir, much has been said about the heinousness of capitalists and communalists. I endorse every word of that statement and say that no Government can exist unless it lay its long arm on the heinous endeavour of capitalists and communalists. At the same time, I shall warn the Government to beware and see while getting hold of capitalists and communalists

that their stooges might not escape unnoticed. All capitalists and communalists act not by themselves but through their stooges who were the Crown criers of "People's war" and who were the supporters of Direct Action on the 16th August, 1946, and it is the tender soul of this country and the compassion of our people nurtured in the Baishnabic traditions which even make some margin for traitors with the hope that they may be redeemed in time to come. That is the spirit and this is what lies behind such apprehensions. I can say this as we have discussed it in a Select Committee of this House and in public discussions that nobody is naive enough to be misled. This is a provision directed against the agitators, the stooges of capitalists and communalists, the fifth columnists and the enemies of India and none where

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I oppose the amendments of Mr. Jvoti Basu. Of course I do not want to speak emulating the invectives with which Mr. Jvoti Basu spoke, because it is not a paying proposition, either here or there, and repeating fifty times that the Congress is totalitarian, the Congress is supporting the capitalists, also will not help him. Of course there are some people who think that truth is nothing but persistent propaganda. I do not helieve in that theory. There are some people who want to beat Hitler by the Hitlerian doctrine, but I do not want to confine myself to that.

In the first amendment of Mr. Jvoti Basu he wants to confine the whole thing only to the recrudescence of communal trouble, but I want to stop communal troubles as well as other troubles. The other trouble is that supposing today somebody wants to do a certain act by which electricity is stopped or the gas is stopped, are we to prevent it or not? That is the whole question. It is too much now to talk of black-marketing and blackmarketing nity times, although nothing to that effect was uttered when that Bil' cannote this House. If any reasonable amendment had come at that time, of course we would have accepted that That Bill was passed unanimously and nobody opposed it. So what Mr. Basu now says about that Bill is all irrelevant here. I want to stop black-marketing as much as anybody else. Mr. Basu says, why has it not been effected? I can say that there are black-marketeers as well as all those people who go to them for the purchase of commodities in the black-market or for money. There are such people, and dishonesty is not confined to one section but almost to all sections of the people. Therefore it is very difficult to detect dishonesty, and on that ground I have appealed to him also to help me. But he has twisted my appeal in a manner peculiar to his own. When I offered the hard of fellowship to him, he wanted simply to bite it. Therefore it has led me to think that even to offer the hand of fellowship is dangerous. But I do sincerely hope that by our efforts we will be able to prevent all kinds of subversive acts ancluding black-marketing. I consider them to be an offence of the first magnitude, and every State must try its level best to stop black-marketing. But if we cannot do that, it will be a standing disgrace not to the Government alone but to the whole nation Therefore I appeal to every member through you. Sir, to help Government in stopping black-marketing and also other things. Sir, Mr. Basu wants to insert the word "months" in place of the word "years" so that by fanning communal trouble he can come out free after one month's imprisonment. If he wants to stop communal trouble, but for that purpose if he thinks only one month's imprisonment is sufficient, then I am sure he is not serious about it. Therefore I would ask the House to reject his amendment and accept the clause as it is.

The motion of Sri Jvoti Basu that in clause 19(1), line 3, for the words "subversive acts" the words "any recrudescence of communal troubles" be substituted, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

100

NOE8-44.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini
Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Sose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Clarke, Mr. C. E.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailai.
Do, Shri Kanai Lai.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haidar, Mr. Kuber Chand.

Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majundar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Murarka, Mr. Basantlal.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Me. Jaubendea Nath.
Pantony, Mr. L. R.
Poddar, Mr. Anandilal.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta,
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Ricketts, Mrs. E. M.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.
Walker, Mr. J. R.

The Ayes being 2 and the Noes 44, the motion was lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 1965), line 3, for the word "years" the word "months" be substituted, was then put and lost

The question that clause 19 do stand part of the Bill was then put and a division taken with the following result: ...

AYES-39.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini
Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattaoharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanaiiai.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Doiui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghesh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.

Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maitl, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Malumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mal, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri He Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Murarka, Mr. Basantial.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Rioketts, Mrs. E. M.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.

NOES-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

The Ayes being 39 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried,

Clause 29.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 20(3), line 3, for the words "five years" the words "fifteen days" be substituted.

Of course, this sub-clause provides for punishment, that is 5 years with regard to drilling of a military nature, movement, evolution and so on, which may be prohibited by Government.

Now, first of all, I do not understand at all—I have not been able to understand—I hope the Hon'ble Minister will enlighten me on this matter—what this evolution means.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Mr. Basu, this is prayer time.

(The House was then adjourned for 15 minutes.)

(After adjournment.)

Sri JYOTI BASU: As I was saying, Sir, this sub-clause penalises drill of a military nature and the imprisonment may go up to 5 years. I do not see any reason why this particular sub-clause or the clause itself for which this penalty has been meted out is necessary at all in view of the fact that subversive acts include anything and everything and drill if it be of a nature which is prejudicial or subversive carcin any case under the Act be prevented without this particular sub-clause. This clause, I believe, has been specifically provided in order to ban all volunteer organisations of the workers, Irsans and so on and the Government does not wish today to encourage organisations which would be either critical of the Government policy or opposed to the Government policy. The Government has formed its own Indian National Trade Union Congress, but not satisfied with that under this provision they are bringing in volunteer organisations or different trade terrors and kisan sabhas in order to crush, all opposition altogether, because we knew that volunteer organisations and this drill of a military nature and so on, are quite necessary in order to conduct our struggles. But it volunteer organisations are lanned under the pretext that they are of a neilitary nature then I am afraid no such organisation can exist and the workers will lose their very instrument through which they conduct their economic and political struggles. I should have thought that if we were really a free Government their it would be the bounder duty of the Government to appeal to the people, to appeal to all sections of the people, to organ so drells of a military nature, movement of a military nature in order to train our people who have been subjugated for so long, who had in fact in der the British rule been taught to forget that they could ever fight. I thought that all this would have changed if we were really a free people, but so he things are not hoppening. On the contrary drills are being sought to be probibited. Of course I do not understand, as I was saying before, what is precisely the meaning of evolution. Probably it means something but I have not been able to understand it. I hope Dr. Ghosh will explain it. Anyhow, I cannot understand why any sort of movement who have resemble military movement or military drill is being prohibited or penalised and "eyears" imprisonment may be given. We in this country claim to be free but on the other hand we are asking the British Generals and Admirals to come and teach us how to fight -- Generals and Admirals who have never known the art of warfare. We know how they fought during the last war and these very same people are being put in the position of trust and power in our country. Now, the highest Admiral would be an Englishman and a General would be an Englishman. So it seems to me that we in this country are trying to mortgage our freedom to outsiders once again. That is why reliance is not placed on our own people so that we can officially and unofficially encourage and organise military drills or military movement and so on whereby once again we can be in a position to organise ourselves for the defence of our motherland if the situation ever arises. On the contrary the people will now be frightened to take exercises in the open air, because they will fall under this particular clause and they may get an imprisonment for 5 years. That is why, in view of the fact that Government will not delete the whole clause which is not necessary at all, I have suggested that the punishment may extend to 15 days and not more than that. That would serve the purpose, if you want to warn the people for any action. But in any event in the case of a communal body, a communal volunteer organisation and you have the fullest authority without this particular sub-clause to ban that particular organisation. But how can you ban that particular organisation I ask Mr. Deputy Speaker through you the Ministry, because we know that the representatives of communal organisations have been taken in the Central

Government at Delhi. You cannot go and ban that organisation, an organisation of which Dr. Syamaprosad Mookerjee is the President. It is not possible. In the very Central Government you have taken the representatives of communal organisations. Therefore the sole purpose of this sub-clause is to suppress the working class organisations, kisan volunteer organisations which spring up in the course of their struggle for life and liberty.

8ri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I would not have intervened in the debate on this clause but for one fact. Before I proceed with what I have got to say, I would like to explain what the word "evolution" means. The word "evolution" means—perhaps in military technology evolution means joining up of different manœuvres. Some portion of military training is done in the field, some portion is done in the barracks and some portion is done in the schools. These are different parts of evolution and when these three are joined they complete the course of military training. If I am wrong, I am subject to correction, but perhaps that is the meaning of the term "evolution"

Now, I will turn to the observations made by my honourable friend opposite. On hearing his speech I am again reminded of what observations he made while we were discussing clauses 16, 17 and 18. On that occasion I tried to point out that we were really perhaps working at cross purposes. On that occasion I tried to make it clear that so far as this Bill is concerned, it was the intention of this clause that legitimate political activities should not in any circumstances come under the purview of this Bill. Therefore as an explanation to clauses 2 and 7 of this Bill, we excluded all legitimate activities in the political sphere from the purview of this Bill. Whenever we have to interpret this clause we shall remember that all legitimate political activities cannot come under the purview of this Act. As there might be reasonable grounds for suspicion that this power might be misused, therefore we have thought to provide a machinery whereby that power cannot be misused or if it is misused to correctly apply it as far as possible. That machinery will, I think, be provided by the various amendments moved from this side of the House. If that be so, what is the real implication of this ban on unlawful drilling. I quite agree with what my honourable friend opposite has said that in the situation we are in today the whole manpower of the country must be harnessed in order to prevent all subversive movements seeking to overthrow this newly-created State, to set at naught this newly-achieved freedom. That is our object without bringing, however, any legitimate political activities within the purview of this Act in any official or unofficial military managivers. They are not meant for furthering the cause of the State but are supposed to be made for overthrowing or going against the very nature and fundamentals of the State. If that be so, Sir, I think there ought to be a repudiation whereby such subversive acts ought to be stopped. My friend has said that this clause is perhaps meant to prohibit the legitimate activities of kisans and workers. I may say that if the Congress is true to its professions, then there cannot be any doubt that the kisan and the mazdoor must be the foundation of the newly-born State that has come into existence on the 15th August, 1947, and if the people of India could wrest power from the unwilling hands of British Imperialism, and it they have been able to do so, they are not afraid of that section of the people, the brown bureaucrats if they would try in their effort to rule over them for ever. If that be the situation. I have no doubt that the kisan and the mazdoor will assert their rights and see to it that their rights are fully established where they are in a majority. In that case, Sir, the only purpose of this clause cannot but be to prevent such unlawful drilling from taking place as is designed to overthrow the State and give freedom to subversive elements. Therefore I think there are cogent reasons why this section should be here. You may perhaps remember that during the hectic days of communal trouble on the fateful days following the 16th August, 1946-in those days there sprang

up in dark alleys communal organisations which tried to create trouble and bringing men gave them uniforms and gave them some sort of military training and arms and set them to all nefarious purposes. I shall not mention the name of any community because I must confess that during those hectic days both the communities were after such netarious activities. I do not want to say both the communities, but some sections of both the communities. You could see nightly drilling of guards in uniforms displayed in suspicious corners and also suspicious activities of various classes of people which it was in the interest of the State not to allow but to stop altogether. It you are not to allow such activities to take place again, then I think there ought to be a power given to the State whereby they can suppress all those netarious activities. I also join with my friend that there cannot be any ban from the State which can legitimately shut out all their grievances and all legitimate demonstrations for the rights of the people. Sir, the rights of the people have been amply safeguarded in clause 17 and elsewhere, and so far as this clause is concerned, it relates to those classes of activities which are to be stopped in the interest of the State and in the interest of the general masses. Therefore, Sir, we should see to it that there is no misuse of power and if in preventing misuse of power, some more power is necessary, personally speaking I have not the slightest hesitation in my mind that some such power should be given to the State. if it is used judiciously and cautiously and in furtherance of the interest of the masses whom the present State seeks to represent.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir. I oppose the amendment of Mr. Jvoti Basu. He wants to substitute in place of "five years" bitteen days", and that means he wants to make the whole thing ridiculous. In fact this should not be considered as an amendment. This is merely apposition for apposition's sake. But he has put it in such a way that rechnically it can be considered as an amendment. Then he has made some observations in course of his speech that Di Syamaprasad Mookerjee has how been taken to the Central Cabinet and he happens to be President of the Hindusthan National Guard. It the Hindusthan National Guard does something wrong, Mr. Basu thinks that as Dr. Mookerjee is connected with it, no step can be taken against it. It is an entirely mistaken idea. I can assure him that if the Hindusthan National Guard does something wrong, then certainly steps will be taken against that National Guard, and I can also tell him that if the Muslim National Guard does something wrongwhether M: Abdur Rahman is connected with it or not, I do not knowbut even if that be so and if that organisation does something wrong, steps will also be taken against it, and if Mr. Jvoti Basu is connected with some volunteer organisation and if that organisation does something wrong, steps will also be taken against that organisation. Whether Dr. Svamaprasad Mookerice is a member of the Central Cabinet or not, the Hindusthan National Guard cannot claim any immunity on that ground so far as the West Bengal Government is conceined. That much I can assure Mr. Basu, and on that ground I cannot accept his amendment and I hope the House will reject it

The motion of Sri Ivoti Basii that in clause 2007, line 3, for the words "five years" the words "fifteen days" be substituted, was then put and lost

The question that clause 20 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 21.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir. I beg to move that in clause $21/I_{\ell}$, lines 2 and 3, after the words "apparel resembling" the words "very closely" be inserted.

I beg also to move that in clause 21(I), line 3, the words "or part of a uniform" be omitted.

Sir, as this clause 21 stands, it may mean that anybody wearing a khaki shirt and shorts may be hauled up under this clause, because it may be said that he wears a uniform resembling the uniform of His Majesty's Forces or the Forces of the Dominion of India. In fact if somebody wears a khaki shirt, he may be hauled up because he wears a dress resembling part of a military uniform. Similarly, Sir, if somebody dyes a Gandhi cap blue, it may look like the head dress of the Indian Air Force personnel, and he may also come under this clause. There is no knowing that Government may not go to this ridiculous length. Therefore I suggest that the apparel should very closely resemble a military uniform and that the words "part of a uniform" should be deleted. It must be proved that the apparel did actually resemble the uniform of the personnel of His Majesty's Forces. Otherwise any police sub-inspector or anybody might look at somebody, two, three or four people coming in khaki shirts and arrest them up under this section. Therefore I have suggested these amendments.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, in clause 21 my honourable friend Mr. Basu has suggested this very reasonable amendment.

Su, you are aware that the Government of India in their Disposals Directorate are getting rid of surplus military uniforms of American and British make and, Sir, you have seen in the streets the number of people who buy them for the sake of their cheapness. If the Government so thought, these poor people who want to protect themselves from the rigours of winter by buying these cheap American surplus military clothing could also be brought under the mischief of this section. So, I hope Government will see the necessity of this amendment and accept it.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr Deputy Speaker, I oppose both the amendments of Mr. Jyoti Basu. In the first amendment he said "very closely". Now, who is going to decide what is close and what is very close? These are couched in such vague language that in law courts it will be a very difficult business to decide this and arguments will go on for a very pretty long time whether it is close or very close. So, it will be an impossible business. Therefore, I do say that vaguely-worded things like this expression "very closely" should not be there at all.

Mr. Jyoti Basin wants to ridicule almost everything and goes always to the other extreme. But everything should be read with reference to the context. He forgets this elementary thing that everything must be read with reference to the context. A portion of this Ordinance which has been put in the Bill has been even now in force and not a single person—neither Mr. Basu nor Mr. Khuda Bukhsh—has been arrested under that law. There has been no such misuse up till now. People have got some common sense. Even law must be used with some sense of equity. That sense of equity we have got, but it somebody loses his balance and tries to go the other way round, then, of course, we cannot help it. Supposing a man puts on the top portion of a police uniform and certainly he may do some mischief. So, he ought to be arrested. Otherwise, he will make a little variation in the police uniform or some other uniform and thus try to be immune from the law. Therefore, the Act should be such that a real criminal may be hauled up and Government should always see that an innocent person is not punished, but a criminal must be hauled up. Specially we do not like that a private army should function or anybody make any misuse of anything.

Therefore, I oppose both the amendments of Mr. Jvoti Basu.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 21(1), lines 2 and 3, after the words "apparel resembling" the words "very closely" be inserted, was then put and lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 21(1), line 3, the words "or part of a uniform" be omitted, was then put and lost.

The question that clause 21 do stand part of the Bill was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYES-37.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini
Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Dass Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailal.
De, Shri Kanai Lal.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Beharl.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C,

Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majih, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Barkubehari.
Mandal, Shri Barkubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Nookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Piatel, Mr. R. E.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Ricketts, Mrs. E. M.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.

NOE8-12.

Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr. Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Muhammad Idris, Mr. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi, Mr. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai.

The Axes being 37 and the Noes 12, the motion was jearned Charge 22

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I move that the following proviso be added to clause 22(1), namely:-

"Provided that powers under this sub-section shall be exercised only for the maintenance of communal peace or for suppressing riots".

Now, Sir, the powers under this clause will, I am alraid, be used by the officers of Government for strike-breaking activities, and the services of workers may be requisitioned in a particular area for that particular purpose. That is why I have given this amendment. For instance, it may so happen that in one particular area comprising 2 or 3 mills strike may break out, and the Government, when it sees that it has no other authority or power under the law to crush that strike, will resort to this tactics and proclaim that a state of emergency has arisen, and law and order must be maintained. Any particular officer by a special order of the Provincial Government or by a general order may requisition the services of Those striker-workers to guard or protect, as they would say, the property of owners. Similarly, in a village, the Lisans may be asked by police officers there to come and protect the property of zemindars and jotedars even though that property might have been stolen by the zemindars and jotedars from the workers. That is why I do not wish to give the Government this all-pervasive power, whereby an officer may establish slavery. That is why I have suggested that this power shall be exercised only for maintaining communal peace, or it there are communal riots these powers may be necessary to be used. Otherwise if it is used for general purposes, I am sure, as I have said with regard to the other clauses, it will be used by Government officers in that manner. I can refer Dr. Ghosh to what I said previously for which I have not got any answer vet. Government officers are behaving in an atrocious manner. This I pointed out from the circular from the Controller of Civil Supplies in Ghatal. Now an officer chooses so to behave that because the Prime Minister goes there and some shop-keepers may not like and may want to voice their protest and go on strike the Civil Supply Officer issues an order cancelling the licences of five or six shop-keepers. If the officers are going to behave in that irresponsible

manner, anything can happen. The things, as they are happening under this Government, point to the fact that we cannot give this Government the general power which they want—for instance under clause 22(1), and hence my amendment.

I also move that in clause 22(2), line 4, for the word "months" the word "weeks" be substituted.

On this I have nothing much to say except that the punishment must be less instead of six months.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I would oppose both the amendments of Mr. Jyoti Basu. I think I need not go into the whole thing over and over again, because the very same arguments are being used by Mr. Basu over and over again, and therefore what I have already said covers the point. I think I need not repeat my arguments. With these words, I oppose the amendments, and I hope that the clause, as it is, will be kept.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that the following proviso be added to clause 22(1), namely:—

"Provided that powers under this sub-section shall be exercised only for the maintenance of communal peace or for suppressing riots", was then put and lost.

The motion of Sri Jvoti Basu that in clause 22(2), line 4, for the word "months" the word "weeks" be substituted, was then put and lost.

The question that clause 22 do stand part of the Bill was then put and a Division taken, with the following result:—

AYES-35.

Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanallal.
De, Shri Kanal

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar.

Dolul, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar,
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.

Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Maj, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Hankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

NOE8-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

The Ayes being 35 and Noes 2, the motion was carried.

Clause 23.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that clause 23(1) be omitted.

I beg also to move that in clause 23(2), line 4, for the word "years" the word "weeks" be substituted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, now here although the editor, the printer, the publisher and so on have been exempted from the rigours of the law in view of the fact that editors of newspapers protested against it, we know that this may be used against ordinary individuals. For instance, we may in the course of our political work get hold of a document which goes to show the attitude of some of the Government officers or of the Government itself in its dealing with the people; and for instance, recently I have got hold of

a military document issued from the Calcutta Fort and in it the heading is "Subversive acts", and thereafter it is said, "This is issued to all units of the Army posted in Bengal". And, Sir, in that circular the officer signing asks for the activities of the Communist Party in India. It is amazing that a document issued from the Army Headquarters in Bengal should contain such a question. I do not know since when and how the Communist Party has been declared to be an illegal party. I do not know how he has interpreted the activities or acts of the Communist Party as subversive in the sense in which he asks for information in that document, because he says that periodically, monthly or fortnightly reports should be sent by all Units of the Army about the activities of the Communists. Now, Sir, if Congressmen can be members of the Armed Forces, I do not see why the members of another legal party cannot be members of the same Armed Forces or how their activities can be interpreted under the heading "Subversive acts", whereas the activities of any other organisation or of people belonging to any other organisation have not yet been classified under the same heading. Since I have given this information, I shall certainly show this piece of document to the Prime Minister and ask him what he can do about it. Now, if the officer goes on interpreting the law in this manner, I cannot imagine where we shall get into -1 am afraid, it that document is found on me under the provisions of this Act I may be asked by the Government to furnish that document to the Government in the interest of public safety and for the suppression of subversive acts. The very fact that I have got hold of this precious document would probably be interpreted as a subversive act and I would be asked to give it up. Therefore, I am certainly against the deletion of this particular section, but I know that the Chief Minister may say that that is not his department, that the Army is under the India tooyernment, but for us common people it is all the same whether it is under the India Government or under the Bengal Government. The law is being interpreted in this manner. Now, if this particular document goes to all the Army Units, we know what is going to happen. The better course would be to declare the Communist Party of India illegal or to openly declare that no member of the Communist Party of India shall become a member of the Dominion Forces or His Mansiy's Army If that is done, then one can understand that the Communist Party is an illegal party, therefore as such becoming a member of that party means a subversive act or an illegal act. But when we find that the Communist Party has not been declared by the India Government or the Benzal Government as illegal. I do not see why the members of that party have to suffer in this manner. It with regard to the Congress members also you take a similar attitude or with regard to the Muslim League members you take a similar attitude, then it would be a different matter altogether and we could understand as the Minister tried to make out, that everybody is equal in the eyes of law. But unfortunately such things are going to happen that those who are opposed to Government will be crushed by fair means or foul. Therefore, I say that this particular clause be deleted

About the other things I have nothing much to say except that since I am wholly opposed to this particular clause, punishment should be three weeks in place of three years.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, I oppose both the amendments of Mr. Jyoti Basu. He wants to delete sub-clause (I) of clause 23 altogether. He objects to the supplying of information to the Government if anybody is going to do anything subversive and Government wants to prevent it. It is the duty of all patriotic citizens to see that subversive activities may be suppressed. I do hope that 99.9 per cent, of the people would be patriotic enough but there may be some 1 or 01 per cent, unpatriotic people. The law is meant for that sort of people if they do not help Government in suppressing unlawful activities, violent activities or communal activities or subversive act

like illegal possession of arms, etc. Unless you help the State how can you build up a really true State? If you always think that the State and the people are in opposition, if you run with the idea that there is antagonism between the State and the people, you cannot build up a good State. Therefore we do expect that the vast majority of the people would help the State, but if there are unsocial elements in the State who would like subversive activities, Government's hand must be strong enough to suppress them and must be strong enough to get information from the unsocial elements. That is all that is contemplated in this section. So I do oppose the amendment.

As for the other thing—substituting months for years—it is almost a chronic amendment. So I oppose that also,

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that clause 23(I) be omitted was then put and lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 23(2), line 4, for the word "years" the word "weeks" be substituted was then put and lost.

The question that clause 23 do stand part of the Bill was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-34.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'bie Shri Mohini
Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattaoharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailal.
De, Shri Kanai Lal.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Ganguii, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.

Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haidar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nikhapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'bie Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'bie Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, The Hon'bie Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta,
Ray, The Hon'bie Shri Kamal Krishna.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.

NOES-1.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

The Ayes being 34 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried.

Adjournment.

The House was then adjourned at 6-30 p.m. till 3-45 p.m. on Tuesday, the 13th January, 1948, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Tuesday, the 13th January, 1948, at 3-45 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Deputy Speaker (Sri Ashthosh Mallick) in the Chair, 7 Hon'ble Ministers and 57 members

Covernment Resolution on Mahatma Candhi's fast.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, with your kind permission I move the following special resolution on the fast undertaken by Mahatma Gandhi—

"This meeting of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly is deeply concerned over the news of the fast undertaken from today by Mahatma Gandhi for an indeterminate period with a view to bring about Hindu-Muslim unity. In the opinion of this Assembly a great responsibility has consequently fallen upon the people of this country including those of West Bengal. This Assembly therefore appeals to our people to endeavour more vigorously towards immediate establishment of good will between communities which will create conditions within the country as would not necessitate the continuance of the fast by Mahatma Gandhi, the great architect of India's freedom and apostle of truth and non-violence. This Assembly prays for the long life of Mahatma Gandhi."

Sir, I do not want to inflict a speech on this resolution. I am sure every one of us feels for the whole situation that has necessitated the fast of Mahatma Gandhi. I hope we shall pass this resolution in the spirit with which Mahatma Gandhi has undertaken this fast.

With these words, Sir, I move this resolution

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: Sir, on my behalf and on behalf of the party to which I have the honour to belong, I fully associate myself with the resolution just moved by the Hon'ble the Leader of the House.

We, on this side of the House in particular, very much appreciate the sincere efforts that Mahatma Gandhi has been making for establishing communal harmony here in the Indian Dominion

Sir, personally sometimes in my vacant mood I try to find out why still these communal difficulties are continuing. I fail to understand its logic. There is no denying the fact that there has been bitterness between the two great communities of India, but, Sir, in my humble opinion the misunderstanding, distrust and misgivings that existed between these two communities must now be taken as dead and a matter of past history, because the representative leaders of the Muslim League and the Congress agreed to accept the Mountbatten dispensation; and I think so long as these two States exist we should confine our attention, energy and efficiency to build these two States to the best of our ability.

Sir, I think that the best way, the fitting way to appreciate and recognise the services that Mahatma Gandhi at this old age is rendering to the people of India would be to take upon ourselves as has been expressed in the resolution the entire responsibility of maintaining communal harmony here in India, and I have no doubt that very wisely the Hon'ble the Leader

of the House has brought this resolution before us. The entire West Bengal Muslims and Hindus will appreciate this and will also take upon themselves individually as well as collectively the responsibility of assuring Mahatma Gandhi not merely by words but by their action that they want to stand by him so far as the establishment of communal harmony in this country is concerned.

With these words, Sir, I once again associate myself with the resolution that the Hon'ble the Leader of the House has moved in appreciation of Mahatma Gandhi's fast for communal harmony here in India.

Mr. C. E. CLARKE: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, on behalf of my colleague and the party which I represent I wish most heartily to associate myself with the resolution before the House.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir, while associating myself with the resolution which has just been moved by the Prime Minister I feel that a great responsibility devolves on the different Congress Governments and Congress leaders in the matter of bringing about Hindu-Muslim unity in the Indian Union, because I have felt to my dismay that although once before Gandhiji undertook a fast, unfortunately that lesson was not driven home among the different Ministers, and Ministers started thinking in the different Provinces that it sufficient powers were given to the police and so on then communal harmony would be brought about. And that is why today we are in this predicament once again that a great leader has to risk his life at his old age in order to bring about Hindu-Muslim unity. But we know that it cannot be done. Change of heart does not come about merely in this manner, and that is why we feel pained that the Government and the Congress leaders have not really taken a serious view whatever they might say in the matter of Hindu-Muslim unity, because even now we find Congress leaders making irresponsible communal utterances. Therefore, Sir, it really every Congressman associates himself with this resolution then we shall see them in action in the different Provinces in the effort to bring about Hindu-Muslim unity and save the life of a great man.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I think the House is desirous of passing this resolution, and I would ask the members to rise in their seats to signify their assent to the resolution.

(All members rose in their seats.)

Thank you, gentlemen. The resolution is carried unanimously

Presentation of the preliminary report on the West Bengal Premises Rent Control (Temporary Provisions) Bill, 1947.

The Hon'ble Sri KALIPADA MOOKERJEE: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, with your permission we, the members of the Select Committee to which the West Bengal Premises Rent Control (Temporary Provision Bill, 1947, was reterred to by a motion carried in the West Bengal Legislative Assembly on the 8th December, 1947, were enjoined by the said motion to present our report to the Assembly on the 15th January, 1948.

The Committee met for the first time on the 12th January, 1948, in the Committee Room of the Assembly House, Calcutta. The subject matter of the Bill is difficult and intricate and deserves thorough consideration and some more time will be necessary for the Committee to conclude their deliberations and come to a final decision on the provisions of the Bill.

We, therefore, submit this our preliminary report and recommend that the time for submission of the final report may be extended till the 17th February, 1948.

The motion was put and agreed to.

COVERNMENT BILL.

The West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947.

Clause 24.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 24, line 7, for the word "years" the word "months" be substituted.

Sir, I have no speech to make on this, but my point is that the period of punishment should be reduced, because, as I have already pointed out, I am not in agreement with the spirit and purpose of this Bill, and therefore I would like to reduce the punishment to three months instead of three years.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I oppose the amendment of Mr. Basu. This kind of amendments he has repeated several times and I have nothing more to add.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 24, line 7, for the word "years" the word "months" be substituted, was then put and lost.

The question that clause 24 do stand part of the Bill/was then put and agreed to.

Clause 25

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 25(I), lines 4 and 5, the words "or for maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community" be omitted.

Sir, I maintain that these words should be omitted because these are too wide. The main purpose of the Bill, we are told, is to deal with four or five specific problems; and now to requisition the property of anybody for maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community would, I am afraid, mean that if the workers in essential service would go on strike in protest against any oppressive act of the manager or any other authority and even if that strike is not necessarily connected with industrial disputes then they will have to pay the penalty of losing their property whether movable or immovable. Therefore it is better not to leave any scope for any such interpretation by an officer of the Government because in any case this law is a lawless law and therefore I do not see any reason why there should be any further scope for officers to interpret things according to their likes and dislikes. Sir, I shall here point out by giving an example how the strikes of workers can be affected by maintaining these words in this particular clause. For instance, I have with me the case of the Secretary of the Rationing Employees' Association—one Ashutosh Samanta—who was discharged from service recently a few days back. And the Government, of course, tells us that any legitimate or bona fide criticism of Government will not fall under this Bill at all. But here, Sir, we find that on the day on which firing and tear-gassing took place outside the Assembly House, this gentleman was standing there and he saw what was happening. A tear-gus bomb even fell inside their compound where he was in the Town Hall, and because of the fact that one employee was injured as a result thereof he gave a statement as Secretary of the Union to the Press. Now, Government asked him to explain as to why he should not be discharged from service because he had violated certain fundamental rules of the Government by giving a statement, and thereafter he did submit a statement saying that as Secretary he only narrated the incident that took place outside the Assembly premises and that thereby he did not violate any rules. But unfortunately after that he has been discharged from service, because under rule 18 by the issue of such a statement it is capable of embarrassing the relations between the Governor-General in Council and the people of India or any section thereof. Now, this thing itself is very savage, because even the British Government did not dare to do any such 19

thing when the Secretary of any Union in a Government firm or Government department issued a statement to the press. This new method has been started by our Congress Government—that is what we find today. And yet the Government will tell us that the employees have a right to form their Union: they can organise themselves and do whatever they like; provided they make legitimate criticisms of the Government nothing is going to happen. But this gentleman has been discharged from service for criticising the firing or tear-gassing by the police. Even that is not allowed: it is a crime under the Bengal Government rules, whereas we find that politics is not barred at all as far as the Government servants are concerned, because a few days back the Public Prosecutor of Howrah issued a statement along with others, and there were several Government servants there supporting the Bill—the Security Bill—but that gentleman has not been discharged from service. The point here is that it in support of the Government anybody does politics nothing untoward is to happen, but if I oppose the Government and if I narrate in the papers my own experience of being beaten by the police, I may be discharged from service. That is what is happening today under the Congress Government in Bengal.

Therefore, I say that now the Rationing employees, for instance, would be certainly entitled to go on strike in protest against the discharge from service of their Union Secretary, but if they do so, they will be told "this strike of yours does not come under the Industrial Disputes Act and, therefore, all of you will be discharged from service because they have obstructed the maintaining of services essential to the life of the community. That is how this clause will be interpreted if those particular words remain here and, therefore, I would like to know from Dr. Ghosh what answer he has to give to the points that I have raised because every time he says "it will not be used against the working classes. We shall not do any wrong. If there is any legitimate criticism, we do not want to oppose it. He has told us all these all the time. Those are the patent answers that we are getting from him. But unfortunately every day I am giving examples-I am not just talking in the air-explaining as to what his officers are doing. Does he take responsibility for the action of his officers-I would like to know from him. It is not a question of officers alone acting in this manner. It is a question of the Ministers themselves taking fullest responsibility for the actions of their officers. Therefore, I would like to know from Dr. Ghosh as to what answer he has to give and whether my amendment is to be accepted by him or not.

Sri A. K. CHOOL: Mr. Deputy Speaker, I beg to move my short-notice amendment that in the proviso to sub-clause (1) of clause 25 for the word "property" the words "place or premises" be substituted.

I move further that in paragraph (a) of sub-clause (4) of clause 25 after the words "shall be paid" the words "within three months" be inserted

Mr. MO. KHUBA BUKHCH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that in proviso to clause 25(I), lines 1 and 2, after the words "purpose of religious worship" the following expression ", dispensaries, hospitals, places where the sick are tended and places where religious education is imparted," be inserted.

Sir, I am quite sure it is never the intention of Government to requisition the places I have just now mentioned, but since the Government have chosen to mention one such by name. I suggest, to avoid any confusion, that all these places be mentioned specifically in the Bill and I hope Government will accept my amendment.

In regard to the short-notice amendment moved by Mr. Ghose I feel that he wants to restrict property to only premises and other places which are used for the purpose of religious worship, but you are aware that in our country not only premises and other buildings are used but also sometimes open spaces or sometimes the shade of a tree are from time immemorial

used as places of worship and these should be included. But I find Government have tried to exclude all those places where people—I do not know whether I should use the legal terminology—have the right of easement to offer their prayers. They want to avoid all those places and that is why have moved this short-notice amendment. If it is meant to exclude all those places where people from time immemorial congregate and offer their prayers, then I oppose their amendment.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Mr. Khuda Bukhsh, you move your next amendment.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 25(4)(a), line 3, after the word "agreement" the word "forthwith" be inserted.

Sir, this relates to the compensation that is arrived at between the party and the Government by agreement. I suggest that by the inclusion of the word "forthwith", the payment will be made more expeditiously. I am certain that Government has no intention to delay payment and harass the recipient of compensation, but the delay occurs in the department which have to handle these compensation cases. Sir, red tape and going through the formalities and technicalities of the case take inordinately long time. I think, Sir, the inclusion of the word "forthwith" will obviate all those delays and the department will be gingered up to the extent that is necessary for the avoidance of harassment to the recipients of this compensation.

I understand, Sir, that an officially sponsored amendment has been moved in regard to this clause where they want to give the department three months' time. I do not think the amendment has yet been moved. Can I speak on that?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, it has been moved.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: There the Government want to give the department three months' time which, I think, is mordinately long. So, I suggest that "forthwith" should be accepted and that will certainly give the department sufficient time that it must take to deal with the papers with proper expedition but will not give the department any latitude to make delay for settlement of claims to the recipients of compensation.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 25(4)(h), lines 2 and 3, for the words beginning with "as arbitrator" and ending with "Additional District Judge" the words "Board of Arbitration consisting of the Member or Members of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly of the area concerned" be substituted.

I feel that if there is no agreement with regard to compensation for property requisitioned, then instead of foisting the responsibility on a District Judge or an Additional District Judge, the members of the Assembly should be given that responsibility because, as I have said in the previous amendment, I feel that this particular clause is going to be used against the interests of the people to curb the legitimate activities of the people. Therefore, we would like to see 2, 3 or 4 arbitrators being members of the Legislative Assembly who would give judgment with regard to any such property which is requisitioned by the Government because we know that the people themselves will get hold of them when they go to the electorate or go to the different constituencies. So, there will be some check on their giving any judgment which would go against the interests of the people whose property has been requisitioned.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 25(4) (c), line 3, for the word "acquired" the word "requisitioned" be substituted.

I think, Sir, it is a printing error and it does not need any explanation because it reads: the Provincial Government may, in any particular case, nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the property acquired—the clause is dealing with requisition and the word used here is "acquired"—and where such nomination is made, the person to be compensated may also nominate an assessor for the said purpose.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: You move your next amendment No. 69.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I move that in clause 25(7), line 1, after the words "the immovable property" the words "in like good order and condition" be inserted.

Sir, this amendment is aimed to ensure that the owner of a property will get back his property in like good order and condition, natural wear and tear is of course excepted, as when the Government originally placed his property under requisition. Sir, it is common knowledge and it is well known that property requisitioned by Government is not placed in responsible hands. For example, Government may have need to requisition property for the establishment of a refugee home or a vagrant camp or something of that description, and it is a tall order on the occupants and probably it is a tall order on the Government also to see that occupants handle all the fittings and other fixtures of the house in a manner that when they leave the house the owner will find them in the same condition as when the occupants came into the house. Sir, it has come to our notice and it is agreed on all hands that owners do not find the property requisitioned by Government in the same condition. To ensure that this does not happen I have put in this proviso that it should be made over to the owner of the immovable property in like good order and condition.

Sir, I also move that in clause 25(9), line 1, the word "when"

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: No, that is not in order.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, if all these amendments are moved together, I submit, it will make sense. If this amendment alone is moved it will not make any sense, I admit. So, if you allow me to move all the amendments together and then carefully scrutinise them you will find that it makes sense. It is a very important amendment and I hope Government will see its way to accept this amendment.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Mr. Khuda Bukhsh, if you want to move this amendment you will have to move it in a different way. You can move a substitution amendment for the whole clause.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I put in the amendment but due to mexperience as a member probably I did not put it in the manner it should have been put, but I crave your indulgence to allow me to move this amendment, as it is a most important amendment and it will improve the Bill.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: There is difficulty in allowing this amendment to be moved, as if all your amendments are not accepted and if only this amendment is accepted then it will be meaningless. But if you put in a substitute clause then it will be in order, otherwise it will not be in order.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Then I move all the amendments together—

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I hope you will not press it further.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH? Then I move amendment No. 71. Sir, I move that in clause 25(9), line 1, after the word "when" the words "on the expiry of one calendar month from the publication of" be inserted.

Sir, shall I move the next amendment No. 72 which is of the same nature?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: No. that is not in order, the reason being the same. You move amendment No. 73.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, 1 move that in clause 25(9), line 4, the words "on and from the date of such publication" be omitted.

This clause is with regard to a hypothetical case. This deals with the case of the owner of a property who is not easily traceable where Government want to release the property to the owner after requisition. Here the Bill on the one hand admits that the owner of the property is not easily traceable—that means that the ordinary methods of tracing the owner have been tried and failed and again in the same breath assumes that immediately the notice is published in the official gazette the owner of "the property would come and take delivery of the property. This is inconsistent. I suggest-in order that the clause might convey some sense-that some time should be given between the publication and his actually coming to the Government to take delivery of the released property—the owner who is not easily traceable might be in Calcutta or in some other municipal town where provisions of this Bill are promulgated. Therefore I have suggested "on the expiry of one calendar month from the publication of the notice". Otherwise it becomes inconsistent. You admit that the man is not easily traceable and you assume immediately the notice is published in the official gazette the man will be there to take over the possession of the released property. The Government thinks that it provides full discharge of Government's liability in respect of that particular requisitioned property. Sir, this is dangerous, because I do not want that any owner of a property who was good enough to allow his property to be used by Government to suffer all these kinds of treatment—that the property will be nobody's between the time the notice is published in the official gazette and the time he comes and takes possession of the property. Therefore I suggest that this amendment should be accepted. Government should see its way to accept this amendment, because it really gives meaning to this provision. With these words I commend my amendment to the acceptance of the House.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, I accept the amendment of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose and also the amendment No 68 moved by Mr Khuda Bukhsh Mr Khuda Bukhsh wants that for the word "acquired" the word "requisitioned" should be inserted. It is, I think, only a clerical or linguistic error—But I oppose all other amendments.

First of all, I shall deal with Mr. Jyoti Basu's amendment. He says that "or for maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community" should be dropped. He does not like that services essential to the life of the community should be maintained. He should have the civil liberty to disturb the services essential to the life of the community. But that is not civil liberty. That is uncivil heence. (Sr. Jyoti Basu; You have the liberty to sack me!) No Government can allow uncivil licence to anybody however highe may be. He has referred to one particular case in this connection. I do not know what was the relevancy of that. He said that a Government officer has been discharged for not conforming to the service rules. The Government officer came under the rules of the Government and it was his duty to conform to the rules. But he found that he could not serve under the rules. He accepted the service on condition that he would conform to the rules. I think Mr. Jyoti Basu's arguments do not hold good at all.

Then comes amendment No. 64 of Mr. Khuda Bukhsh. I oppose this amendment also because this point has been sufficiently covered by "purpose of religious worship" which is there already in the section. He wants more—he wants dispensaries, hospitals, etc., should be exempted also. Now, Sir, supposing in times of difficulties, say when a communal riot takes place in a village and some places have got to be requisitioned by Government in the interest of the people themselves but Mr. Khuda

Bukhsh will come with a lawyer and say that Government cannot take possession of this place and that place, because law does not provide it. Now, religious education is imparted in almost every school. Religious education is now being imparted everywhere, in hospital, in jail even. Therefore, according to him such places cannot be requisitioned. If we are to exempt all such places it will be a hopeless and impossible proposition. I do not think Mr. Khuda Bukhsh wants to make the whole thing ridiculous. So, I oppose the amendment.

As regards amendment No. 66, namely, that after the word "agreement" the word "forthwith" be inserted, I hope Mr. Khuda Bukhsh has seen the amendment of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose for inserting the words "within three months" which is more precise and more definite, and I think it is better than Mr. Khuda Bukhsh's amendment. So I oppose amendment No. 66 and accept the amendment of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose.

As regards amendment No. 67, I find Mr. Jyoti Basu prefers a member of the Assembly to a District Judge. I am quite sure that a member of the judicial rank will always be preferred to a member of the Assembly, and on that ground I oppose that amendment.

Amendment No. 68 I have already dealt with.

As regards amendment No. 69, I oppose it. Government tries to trace the man but if Government cannot, what can Government do? Government shall always try to give proper delivery of the immovable property.

I also oppose amendments Nos. 71 and 73 for they mean more or less the same thing as the sub-clause, and accept amendment No. 68 and Sri Amar Krishna Ghose's amendment.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I wish to convey my thanks to the Hon'ble the Prime Minister reading for the word "acquired" the word "requisitioned".

The motion of Sri Mr. Jyoti Basu that in clause 25(I), lines 4 and 5, the words "or for maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community" be omitted, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYES-1.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

| Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai.

NOES-44.

Bandepadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Barsan, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhandari, The Hen'ble Shri Charw Chandra.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bees, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Clarke, Mr. C. E.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailai.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Delui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Suhumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Canguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Sayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Shees, Mr. A. K.
Chees, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Chees, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Chees, Mr. Bimai Comar.

Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Majti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majti, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hen'ble Shri Bhapati.
Majumdar, The Hen'ble Shri Bhapati.
Mai, Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mamdal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mamdal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Moskerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Penteny, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hen'ble Shri Kamai Krishma.
Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra.
Rey, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Ayes being 2 and the Noes 44, the motion was lost,

The short-notice amendment of Sri Amer Krishna Ghose that in the proviso to sub-clause (1) of clause 25, line 1, for the word "property" the words "place or premises" be substituted, was then put and agreed to.

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that in provise to clause 25(I), lines I and 2, after the words "purpose of religious worship" the following expression ", dispensaries, hospitals, places where the sick are tended and places where religious education is imparted," be inserted was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-13

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr.
Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M.
Abdur Rahman Siddiqi, Mr.
Abul Hashem, Mr.
Basu, Mr. Jyoti.
Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai.
Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md.

Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr. Molia Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. Muhammad Idris, Mr. Muhammad Rafque, Mr. J. P. Muhammad Siddique, Dr. Syed. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

NOES-38.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini
Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Shandari, The Hon'ble Shri Charu
Chandra,
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Batish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailai.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Sutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Outt-Maxumdar, Mr. Ghose,
Gyen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Chese, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.

Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haidar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Non'ble Shri Shupati.
Majumdar, The Non'ble Shri Shupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadagrasad.
Mandal, Shri Eankubenari,
Mandal, Shri Eankubenari,
Mandal, Shri Krishme Preesd.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Men Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Poddar, Mr. Anandilaj.
Pramenik, Mr. Rajami Kanta.
Ray, The Hen'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra.
Roy, Mr. Jajnieswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Ayes being 13 and the Noes 39, the motion was lost.

The motion of Sri A. K. Ghose that in paragraph (a) of sub-clause (4) of clause 25 after the words "shall be paid" the words "within three months" be inserted, was then put and agreed to.

The motion of Mr Md. Khuda Bukhsh that in clause 25(4)(a), line 3, after the word "agreement" the word "forthwith" be inserted, was then put and lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 25(4)(b), lines 2 and 3, for the words beginning with "as arbitrator" and ending with "Additional District Judge" the words "Board of Arbitration consisting of the Member or Members of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly of the area concerned" be substituted, was then put and lost.

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that in clause 25(4)(c), line 3, for the word "acquired" the word "requisitioned" be substituted, was then put and agreed to.

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that in clause 25(7), line 1, after the words "the immovable property" the words "in like good order and condition" be inserted, was then put and lost.

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that in clause 25(9), line 1, after the word "when" the words "on the expiry of one calendar month from the publication of" be inserted, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-14.

Abdul Wahld Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi, Mr. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr.
Molia Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr.
Mudassir Hossain, Mr.
Muhammad Idris, Mr.
Muhammad Rafique, Mr. J. P.
Muhammad Siddique, Dr. Syed.
Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

NOE8-40.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath,
Banerjee, Shri Busii Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini
Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhandari, The Hon'ble Shri Charu
Chandra.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Batish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Das, Miss Bina.
Das, Dr. Radha Nath,
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanaliai.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Chose, Mr. A. Bimal Comar.

Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Ayes being 14 and the Noes 40, the motion was lost.

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that in clause 25(9), line 4, the words "on and from the date of such publication" be omitted, was then put and lost.

The question that clause 25, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-37.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar. Banerji, The Hon'ble Dr. Sui Ruresh Chandra. Hon'ble Shri Mohini Barman, The Mehan. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhandari, The Hon'ble ! Shri Charu Chandra. Mr. Shyamapada. Bhattacharyya, Beat tabuarya, Mr. Sarish Chandra. Bees, Mr. Sarish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Sarish Chandra. Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath. Dass, Mr. Kanali Lai. Dolul, Mr. Harendra Nath. Dutta, Mr. Sukumar. Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharend Gayen, Mr. Arabinda. Ghose, Mr. A. K.

Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghosh, The Mon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupatī.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupatī.
Mandai, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandai, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandai, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra.

NOES-1.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

The Ayes being 37 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried.

Clause 26.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, I beg to move that the following proviso be added to sub-clause (4) of clause 26, namely:—

"Provided that premises that are dwelling houses shall be entered only between sunrise and sunset."

Sir, in this clause Government are taking powers to enter into any place, any property at any time of the day or of the night. Sir, it is preposterous to think that the sanctity and privacy of a dwelling house can be violated by Government at dead of night. Sir, emergencies that are contemplated to be met by this Bill will surely not overtake the country overnight. They will be of gradual evolution, and I hope that the police to whom these powers are going to be entrusted are sufficiently wakeful and vigilant to observe and study very carefully and follow their growth and evolution. If the police felt that they had to break into a house for purposes of search or for any other legitimate purpose,-a house which was being used as a dwelling house—at dead of night, it would be a sad commentary on their vigilance and wakefulness, and in that case it would be unnecessary and useless and even dangerous to entrust the police of that type with powers of this sweeping nature. It is with respect to this that a note of dissent has been appended to the proceedings of the Select Committee and it was the sense of some members of the Select Committee including myself that if Government felt that it was necessary to break into and search places at all times of the day and night, such places could not properly include places which were dwelling houses, because we feel that dwelling houses should be treated on a different footing and that they should be exempted from the sweeping nature of this clause. If the police telt that a dwelling house should be searched, they could surely want till daybreak. There was no necessity for the Government to insist even after the note of dissent was appended to the minutes of the Select Committee —

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: You cannot disclose the secrecy of the Select Committee.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, it is no longer a secret, it has been submitted as a note of dissent which has been printed and published, that it has been the sense of some members that this proviso should be added. So I hope Government will accept my amendment.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I think the objection of the honourable member opposite is misconceived. The whole measure is for the purpose of preventing any wrongful acts or any acts which might have very bad consequences. For instance, there is information that somewhere in some part of Bengal some particular house is being used for the purpose of transmitting information to any foreign State, where a radio transmitter is being concealed or such other articles or some dangerous documents are there. There I do not see why after receipt of certain information necessitating that the house will have to be searched, the police should be compelled to wait for several hours till sunrise for by that time everything may be allowed to disappear. It has been found that many things have of late been actually seized as a result of searches in various premises at night which, I think, nobody would insist on being given out as a sort of information to all, and actually such a state of affairs is contemplated. This measure is meant for the purpose of effecting a search in order to seize such articles and then why by delay should any opportunity be given so that the miscreants may safely conceal or get away with whatever might be in their possession. Allowing time in such circumstances is the most dangerous thing. I cannot suppose anybody can seriously suggest that Government should oblige men who are using illegal transmitters or acid boxes or other articles for the manufacture of dangerous things by allowing them full cover of darkness and that



night time should act as a bar against any such power for seizure of such articles. I do not see the reason or logic behind such things. And who to be disturbed by such sort of searches—not any patriotic law-abiding citizen of the State but the enemy agents. Furthermore, Sir, I would like to point out that enemy agents might take all the precaution to give a pirate's nest the appearance of a dwelling house without it actually being a dwelling house or they might convert a dwelling house into a pirate's nest. It loses the sanctity of a dwelling house and those who get mixed up with that kind of activity must necessarily expose themselves to the little inconvenience of police getting inside in order to unearth the mischief they are working. Sir, it is a matter which is plain on the face of it and I do not think that my honourable friend Mr. Khuda Bukhsh need at all get perturbed about it, and anybody who professes or declares loyalty and allegiance to the State should all the more help the Government in bringing to its notice information of any such thing happening. We know, Sir, even during the recent riots it has been detected-detected by very responsible persons—that in quarters nearby various implements for assassination are being prepared or sharpened and giving time is giving them opportunity to remove such implements, and I do not think that this Bill, which is meant as a preventive measure, should co-operate with the agents of mischief to the jeopardy of the State in giving them facility to conceal their mischief-making by gaining time. No householder, no ordinary citizen need have any fear on account of this and I really do not think that Mr. Khuda Bukhsh would seriously insist on an amendment of this nature. I would in fact ask Mr. Khuda Bukhsh, if he found that from a certain house or in a certain locality sniping was going on of a communal nature or of a subversive nature, does he seriously suggest that the police and the thovernment should oblige snipers by resting overnight until the evil deeds of the snipers may be perpetrated at the cost of life, limb and property and everything? I do not think that Mr. Khuda Bukhsh had considered coolly such consequences and circumstances which might arise, and I therefore do not think that in view of all these considerations my honourable friend Mr. Khuda Bukhsh or anyone else, a responsible citizen owing allegiance to the State can suggest this amendment or in the face of such things may not agree to withdraw that amendment in view of the evil consequence that might follow.

I would therefore request my honourable friend to withdraw his amendment and I would commend this clause to the acceptance of the House.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Mr. Basu, do you like to say anything? If you like you can speak on this. Incidentally, you have not tabled any amendment on this clause.

Sri JYOYI BASU: Now, it is prayer time. So, after prayers I should speak.

Soi NIMARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr. Deputy Speaker, on a point of order. It is the inherent privilege of members to rise and speak and it does not need the invitation of the Deputy Speaker to members to get up and speak. I therefore, submit you will rule your invitation out of order and Mr. Basu and anybody else may speak as a matter of right.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Shall I speak now or after prayer?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: You can begin now.

3ri JYGTI BASU: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I thought that you felt probably some speech was necessary from my side because of the speech which was just now made by Mr. Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar on this particular clause. Of course, in the usual way—because probably some prize is awaiting the honourable member very soon—he is trying to defend every power of the police as far as this particular Bill is concerned. So I am not surprised that today it has been his privilege to suggest that the dwelling

house shall not be the sanctuary of anybody, that it has no sanctity, in fact to suggest that any policeman, whether he is a sub-constable or whoever he is, shall enter anybody's dwelling house for any purpose he likes, because we know that sufficient powers, vague and general powers have been given in this particular Bill, in clause after clause, whereby it is possible for the police under any pretext whatsoever to go and enter anybody's dwelling house.

I have suggested times without number that this Bill has not been framed with a view to punish the 5 per cent., as I suggested yesterday, but in order to punish the 95 per cent. That is why we are against this Bill. But that particular thing does not seem to enter the heads of some of the members sitting opposite. While today the Special Powers Ordinance is in force, what have the Government done? We have seen them letting off goondas who were arrested under the Special Powers Ordinance and arresting ordinary common tolk because these people for their bread, for their clothes went on a strike or did some such thing. That has happened, I have repeated that so many times, and yet to suggest to us today that these powers are being taken in order to punish the 5 per cent of our society is quite wrong. They have no justification to say so, Sir, because I feel if they had any justification my points would have been answered. Up-to-date none of my points has been answered and therefore I feel that the amendment which has been brought by Mr. Khuda Bukhsh is perfectly right, justified and the Government should accept it.

(The House was at this stage adjourned for 15 minutes)

(After adjournment.)

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, may I speak on this amendment?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, only for five minutes

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: I shall finish in less than five minutes.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: That is all right

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Sir, this clause runs thus:

"Any police officer, and any other person authorised by the Provincial Government in this behalf may, for any purpose connected with the prevention or suppression of subversive acts or with maintaining supplies and sarvices essential to the life of the community or for carrying out the provisions of this Act affix any notice to, or cause any notice to be displayed on, any premises, vehicle or vessel, and may for the purpose of exercising the power conferred by this section enter any premises, vehicle or vessel at any time".

Sir, the authority which has been invested in the police officer is only to enter the premises for the purpose of affixing any notice, for displaying it on the notice board or something like that and not for the purpose of search or arrest or any such thing like that. So, I think the amendment is misconceived. It is only for the purpose of affixing notice and not for the purpose of doing anything else. It is not a question of violation of sanctity of a house and disturbing any person just in the dark at night or arresting a person and searching him. That is not the duty of the police officer.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Why do you not oppose it then?

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: I say that it is misconceived and should be withdrawn

The Hen'bie Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, while listening to the speech of Mr. Khuda Bukhsh I was wondering whether he believes in the theory that it is day light that makes

sins. He was talking also of the sanctity of dwelling houses. If a dwelling house is sanctified it is sanctified both during day time as well as at aight. He should also remember that there are certain persons who want to do all irreligious activities in the name of religion as if it was their creed to do so. Therefore this talk of sanctity should not come in. I can give him one definite instance. Supposing, for example, a policeman gets information that a man possesses 10 lbs. of picric acid in his house. Now if the house cannot be searched for 4 or 5 hours at night, the man will be able to dissolve all the picric acid in one hour, and when the police comes no picric acid will be found. But if the house is searched then and there the picric acid can be found. Does Mr. Khuda Bukhsh want that picric acid should be found or not? So I do not think that this sort of sanctity of dwelling houses is relevant when the question of safety of the State is concerned. Therefore I oppose the amendment and I hope the House will reject it.

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that the following proviso be added to sub-clause (4) of clause 26, namely:—

"Provided that premises that are dwelling houses shall be entered only between sunrise and sunset."

was then put and lost.

The question that clause 26 do stand part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 27.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I move that in clause 27, line 2, the words "or attempts to abet" be omitted.

I feel, Sir, that these particular words "or attempts to abet" have not much of a meaning, because it would be very difficult to prove that one did not attempt to abet, if the police all of a sudden bring such a charge against any person, and consequently he will be liable to prosecution because he cannot defend himself at all. In practice of course I do not know how Government officers or police will bring this charge against anybody unless they set up a department of astrology where astrologers will find out that particular persons at particular places are not only abetting but attempting to abet. So without the aid of astrologers I do not think such a charge can really be brought against anybody with sufficient proof. Now it may so occur during a particular strike, as it has happened in fact it was reported to me, one gentleman was walking by the strikers who were standing round. All on a sudden a policeman came and said: "I want to arrest you". He asked: "What have I done?" The policeman said: "I think you are about to do some wrong" and naturally he could not reply. He did not know what reply to give. He said: winds for a sudface and a sudfa

So, Sir, that is the position and that would be the position if this is left to the police officers to interpret this law according to their own likes or dislikes. I think it would be far better if no scope was given to anybody to interpret the law in this way in order to save us from this trouble. Of course these particular words look rather funny, but the point is that as far as individuals are concerned it will end up in a tragedy for them and it will land them in prison. So I would urge upon the Home Minister to delete these particular words, because that won't affect this Bill at all if he accepts my amendment.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I oppose this amendment and I do not wish to deliver a long speech. In Mr. Jyoti Basu's mind there is always a ghost before him. He sees ghosts in day time. I cannot help it and therefore I oppose this amendment.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 27, line 2, the words "or attempts to abet" be omitted, was then put and lost.

The question that clause 27 do stand part of the Bill, was then put and agreed to.

Clause 28.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I move that in clause 28(I), line 2, after the words "of apprehended" the word "communal" be inserted.

Sir, experience has shown that sometimes it may be necessary when there is a communal riot to search persons on the spot after it is declared that a particular area is dangerous and it is necessary to search people for arms and so on. We found that from experience that this was necessary in some cases, but I am afraid that in this particular clause it does not refer to a situation which may arise out of a communal riot or disturbance But again the powers are general, and under a cover general powers are being taken-all-pervasive powers. In this particular clause for any of the things which the Government may consider chaos and disturbance but which we may consider as of a different nature—there also the Government will notify in the Official Gazette that a particular place is dangerous and there such search may take place. Again I would refer the Government to the fact that it may so happen that in a particular area two, three or four mills may go on strike and the Government may take it into their heads to crush that strike. Of course they have every method open to them to crush the strike, but they are adopting another means of doing so; they have their press, the platform, the I. N. T. U. C. and other stooges in the labour rank, but together with these they are taking this provision so that they may declare that in a particular place a riotous situation has arisen and let loose the police. The police will go there in the name of conducting searches for arms and weapons but will in fact harnss the workers and beat them up. That is why I particularly insist that if it is merely to stop communal riots and so on, then one might have added the word "communal" after the word "apprehended", so that in ordinary course this particular clause cannot be applied to other cases. Sir, if my fears are untrue, if I am really seeing a ghost-although I do not speak in the air as Dr. Ghosh cloes-I have given him a document in black and white and shown him how ordinary workers have been arrested, but no reply has come from the Prime Minister. Even Mr Khuda Bukhsh pointed out that some Muslim Leaguers were arrested in his district but they were later on released. I would like to know very much, when this clemency has been shown, what steps the Hon'ble the Prime Minister has taken against the irresponsible police officers who were responsible for arresting those gentlemen if they were innocent, but no answer is forthcoming. Therefore if my fears are untrue and if he thinks that I am seeing ghosts all around, he may clear my apprehensions by accepting this amendment, and I hope my amendment will be accepted.

Sir, I now beg to move that the following further proviso be added to clause 28(I), namely:—

"Provided further that no such search of a woman shall be carried except in the presence of two female witnesses."

Sir, in this I am trying to make a special provision whereby decency will be adhered to by the woman who is carrying out this search. Sir, it is not merely a question of search by a woman, because we are afraid and we have seen that the police have not changed since the 15th of August and their behaviour also has not changed: they are the same as ever, and through all the non-violent mantrus the Ministers have not been able to change their hearts. That is why we have seen them behaving in a manner in which they have done in the past. So if the Home Minister appoints a woman to carry out searches of other women, we do not see how she will adhere to decency as it is claimed here in this clause. Therefore, Sir, the

best thing would be, when the search is conducted, to have two female witnesses so that what is provided in this particular clause may be adhered to by the police. That is the purpose of my amendment.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I oppose both the amendments of Mr. Jyoti Basu. In amendment No. 77 he wants only to add the word "communal" after the words "of apprehended", that is, if it is only a communal danger, then the accused will be punished. But, Sir, I may tell him that there are other kinds of danger also.

Sri JYOTI BASU: ও সব ভুল। Ghost!

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOBH: He sees with one mind and I see with another mind: that is the difference. How can I help him?

Sri JYOTI BASU: এ ভূতের রাজকে আর ক'দিন বাস করা যাবে।

The Hon's Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Then, as regards amendment No. 78, we have made a provision that no woman shall be searched except by a woman. Mr. Basu says that even search by a woman would not be satisfactory and that there should be two women witnesses. He always suspects that everything might be done: he always suspects and sees things with a suspicious mind. Then what are we to do? Therefore, Sir, I oppose both the amendments and I hope the amendments will be rejected by the House.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 28(1), line 2, after the words "of apprehended" the word "communal" be inserted, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-2.

Basu, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

NOE8-48.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini
Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhandari, The Hon'ble Shri Charu
Chandra.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Beece, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Ohakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailal.
Be, Shri Kanai Lal.
Delui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguii, Mr. Bepin Sehari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.

Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Gharu Chandra.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapatl.
Majumdar, The Hen'ble Skri Bhupati,
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandai, Shri Bankubebari.
Mandai, Shri Bankubebari.
Mandai, Shri Krishma Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Murarka, Mr. Basantial.
Naskar, Shri Ardendu Sekhar.
Naskar, Shri Ardendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Nan'ble Shri Ham Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabbendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Ricketts, Mrs. E. M.
Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Ayes being 2 and Noes 40, the motion was lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that the following further proviso be added to clause 28(1), namely:—

"Provided further that no such search of a woman shall be carried except in the presence of two female witnesses."

was then put and a division taken with the following result:-

· AYES-10,

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi, Mr.

Abui Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyeti. Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai. Minds Bekish, Mr. Md.

Mella Moltemmed Abdul Hallm, 167. Musinssir Hossain, 167.

NOE8-37.

Benddpedhaya, Mr. Prametha Nath.
Benerjee, Shri Seell Kumar.
Benerjee, Shri Seell Kumar.
Benersen, The Hon'ble Shri Mehini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhandari, The Hon'ble Shri Charu Chandra.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Dess, Mr. Kanallal.
Dess, Mr. Kanallal.
Dess, Mr. Kanallal.
Dolul, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niherendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Gohose, Mr. Arabinda.

Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Comes, Mr. D.
Counts, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Murarka, Mr. Basantial.
Neekar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Roy, Dr. Bidhen Chandra.

The Ayes being 10 and the Noes 37, the motion was lost.

The question that clause 28 do stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 29.

The question that clause 29 do stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to

Clause 30

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I move that the following provise be added to clause 30(I)(h), namely:—

"Provided that such subversive acts endanger communal harmony."

I also move that in clause 30(2), line 2, for the word "forthwith" the words "within twelve hours" be substituted.

I move that in clause 30(3), line 2, for the words "Provincial Government" the words "the Minister-in-charge of Home Department" be substituted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker. Sir, the Hon'ble Ministers want such powers as to arrest without warrant. I say, Sir, if it is a case of preserving communal harmony and so on, well, there would be some ground for giving them this power. But of course Dr. Ghosh has all along been saying and very clearly now—he was not so very clear in the beginning but as days are passing by, he is becoming clearer about the purpose of this Bill—that he wants some special powers for other purposes as well. He tells me that I am seeing ghost all around. That is where our quarrel lies with him. Because as the facts stand at present today in Bengal, we do not see what is the particular circumstance, what is the particular situation in Bengal that demands special powers for the Government. We have been told that one stolen microphone or a transmitter or some such thing has been discovered somewhere, but these things by themselves do not justify saying that an emergency has arisen in Bengal whereby the Government must be given special powers. These things, do not according to me justify the Government saying that armed insurrection by some parties is on the agenda. These things do not justify Government really seeing enemies all around the State, inside the Indian Union and in West Bengal specially. If I may remind the Hon'ble Minister once again reliance on the people and a rousing call to them if really West Bengal is in danger, can never be replaced

by sinister decrees like the present one, or lawless law like the present one. A Government of the people seeks help of the people. They tell the people the truth. They name the enemies before the people so that the people's powerful arms may be raised in the defence of the State. A Government purges the state machinery of old corrupt officials trained in the old bureaucratic British methods. It does not invest the same persons with nore powers. For instance, this particular clause which relates to arrest vithout warrant, we have seen how it was used during the tebhaga movenent during Mr. Suhrawardy's regime. During those days we found that f in a particular place there was tebhaga movement, then the police were tiven special orders and special powers whereby they could go to the village and try to terrorise the whole population of the village. They would first irrest indiscriminately the kisan sabha workers and then ascertain their names. The police did not possess the names of the mischief-mongers but hey would just go to the village and arrest at random. That was their isual method. Then they would send them to imprisonment. They would hereafter release some after about a month. So, I think in this clause amilarly Bengal Government now is going to use more powers in the same way specially in the far off villages. If something happens in Calcutta, I chow that we have members here who would go to the Hon'ble Minister and plead with them so that things are set right. But unfortunately in the ar off villages there will be nobody to inform the Hon'ble Ministers here. If this power was sought for communal disturbances, I would have no objection but since the purpose of the whole Bill is vicious, we have to oring such amendments to every clause. I have provided that for the word "forthwith" the words "within twelve hours" be substituted. If somepody is unfortunately arrested in pursuance of this order without warrant, I would suggest that within twelve hours he should make a proper report. so that the person in charge may go into the case.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Mr. Basu, be brief.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Thirdly, I have suggested that for the words "Provincial Government" the words "the Minister-in-charge of Home Department" be substituted. I know that practically it would be impossible for Dr. Ghosh to do it. But he says that he would give orders himself, will look into the papers himself, etc. But according to me it is fantastic to suggest that the Prime Minister of a Province would look into all the papers personally and then give orders and so on. If he does that then he would have to act as a Sub-Inspector in so many villages of Bengal and then he would have to relinquish his post of Prime Minister and do something else, which is not possible, which cannot just be done. I have put in this amendment because I want to get an answer. Provincial Government does not mean anything and that is our objection. Anybody will be authorised by the Provincial Government to sign the papers which would in fact not do justice, because the whole bureaucratic machinery has not yet been purged. Ministers are acting contrary to the interests of the people and even if they are good they cannot act because they have inherited a machinery, which is rotten to the core and hence my amendment that the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Home Department should sign the papers.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I oppose all the amendments put by Mr. Jyoti Basu, namely, amendments Nos. 79, 80 and 81. He knows very well the object of the Bill which has been given in the preamble, namely, the prevention of illegal acquisition, possession or use of arms, for the suppression of subversive movements endangering communal harmony or the safety or stability of the Province and so on. I do not want to go into the general charges on every amendment of his. He has spoken of general charges against all the Ministers and Government on every amendment. I shall not answer them every time. I shall confine my remarks only to the amendments now moved.

Now, about amendment No. 79 about the communal harmony, I have tready said that they are covered by the provisions of the Bill. Therefore oppose the amendment.

About the next amendment No. 80, for the word "forthwith" the words 'within twelve hours", I may say that Government will take up the matter is early as possible and I think this should satisfy everybody. If we accept this amendment then somebody else will come and suggest that it should be for 13 hours, another will suggest 14 hours and so on.

With regard to Mr. Basu's amendment No. 81, he has suggested instead of the words "Provincial Government" the words "the Minister in charge of the Home Department". Here I cannot find any reason why he wants to do so. It seems to me that wherever it suits him, he puts in "Home Minister" at one place and "Government" at another. I do not know what is in his mind and therefore I cannot help him. I think it is not a genuine and bona fide amendment, and therefore I ask the whole House through you, Sir, to reject the amendment.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that the following proviso be added to clause 30(I)(b), namely:—

"Provided that such subversive acts endanger communal harmony," was then put and lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 30(2), line 2, for the word "forthwith" the words "within twelve hours" be substituted, was then put and lost.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in clause 30(3), line 2, for the words "Provincial Government" the words "the Minister-in-charge of Home Department" be substituted, was then put and lost.

The question that clause 30 do form part of the Bill was then put and a division called

(When the division was taking place, Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman rose to a point of information.)

Mr. A. F. M. ABDUR RAHMAN: When are you going to adjourn the House, Sir?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: At the usual time-6-45 p.m.

AYE8-39.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini
Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailai.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Doiul, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Beharl.
Cayeri, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar.
Ghose, Mr. J. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haidar, Mr. Kuber Chand.

Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.

Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.

Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.

Majundar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.

Mal, Mr. Iswar Chandra.

Mandai, Mr. Annadaprasad.

Mandai, Shri Bankubehari.

Mandai, Shri Krishna Prasad.

Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.

Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.

Mukherji, Mr. Dhirendra Narayan.

Murarka, Mr. Basantial.

Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.

Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.

Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.

Pentony, Mr. L. R.

Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.

Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.

Ricketta, Mrs. E. M.

Roy, Dr. Bidhan Chandra.

Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

NOES-2.

Baou, Mr. Jyoti.

Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

The Ayes being 39 and the Noes 2, the motion was carried.

Clause 31.

The question that clause 31 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 32.

The question that clause 32 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 33.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that clause 33(1) be omitted.

Sir, I have repeatedly emphasised that no self-respecting citizen can torego his right to defend himself in a court of law if accused by the Government or by its officers. We shall not, as I have said, mortgage our such rights either to a Sub-Inspector of Police or even to the Prime Minister of Bengal. For 200 years we have heard enough of law and order. Under this veneer all progress had been stopped under the British Government. Now, of course, we have a different Government, we are told. But unfortunately, for the way the Government is behaving we shall have occasions to oppose the actions of this Government at every sphere of life, as far as we can make out, but at the same time, it wants special powers from us to deprive us of our right to defend ourselves in a court of law.

Now, the question of trust may be raised, but I do not see why we should have to trust and respect a Government which is selling itself out, as I find, to the British and also to the new masters, the Americans. have heard with dismay the reasons why we must sacrifice our civil liberty when the State is in danger. But we find that our State secrets are not secrets as far as the British are concerned because one of the C. I. D. bosses of Bengal, Mr. H. N. Sircar, was sent to the Scotland Yard for his education because probably our Government thinks now that there is no danger from the British Imperialists and British Imperialism has been liquidated from the face of the earth. This gentleman comes back after his efficient training in the method of Scotland Yard and issues a secret circular—it is called secret but I have got hold of it—it is not quite a circular but it is a sort of a form which was issued to the officers so that they can fill it up with the particular information that is wanted. It is written that if the revolutionary people and people belonging to the Communist Party are in correspondence with revolutionaries and Communists abroad-if there is any such information—then their names should be supplied. Further it says that all their correspondence must be intercepted. That is, I belonging to the Communist Party of India cannot correspond with my friends abroad. We have friends abroad in England but we cannot correspond with them because the Bengal Government must tap my letters.

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Does your party aim at over-throwing the Indian State?

8ri JYOTI BASU: There is no question of overthrowing in this document. I wish there was. I tell him when you become a Minister or a Parliamentary Secretary, you can—you should—insert these things. Mr. H. N. Sircar probably has not been properly taught by the Scotland Yard—they are inefficient.

Then it says, it there are any articles which are intercepted in the post, then they should be delivered to this gentleman and the information kept in the office. God alone knows why. I thought I belonged to a legal party. This is a Government which is asking us to trust it. Dr. Ghosh says—I am seeing ghosts all along. This is not a ghost—it is a paper. I can give it to the Chief Minister to see that it is not faked. I would ask the Government what business it has of spying on our letters and articles, if we have any, which we send abroad. Not only that—I have just received

another very curious news from abroad and that is that one Dilip Roy Chowdhury is in England now and he wanted to go to America for his studies. But the American Government, it seems, asked the Bengal C. I. D.—the Bengal Government—as to what kind of person this Dilip Roy Chowdhury was and the Bengal Government C. I. D. who are only too willing now to serve their foreign masters sent them the information that he was a Communist and he had some connection with the Communist Party of India, and hence, after that his entrance into America has been refused by the American Government. This is the sort of thing that is happening in Bengal and, I suppose, all over India in the Indian Dominion these days and yet the Government will not allow us to defend ourselves in a court of law. If anybody can beat this cheek, I cannot. I am sure Dr. Ghosh has also an answer for this. He may also turn round and tell me that I am seeing ghosts, but this paper is not a ghost-I am not daydreaming-this paper is with me, these documents are clear. As 1 have told Dr. Ghosh hundreds of times, when I speak I give him facts but when he speaks, he has nothing to say. Like a gramophone record, his speech day after day has been that there is danger, but what is the danger. I now ask him. We know that in Ballygunge one Imperial Bank was robbed and one man was shot. We know that in every State such dacoities-hundreds and thousands of such dacoities-take place, but for that to say that an emergent situation has arisen and therefore they want special powers whereby they can deprive the citizens of their liberty to defend themselves in court is something which I cannot accept. I for myself shall not degrade myself to such an extent that, whether Dr. Ghosh tells me or Pandit Jawaharlal Jehiu tells me, I shall fawn before them. As long as I have breath left in me, my voice shall be raised and I know that it shall be supported by the common humanity of Bengal.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, we have received very interesting information in connection with the consideration of this clause which provides for the safeguard that the validity of orders may be questioned under section 491 of the Code of Criminal Procedure corresponding to the pierogative of the writ of habeas corpus. Sir, we have already seen that this Bill in all its clauses prescribes crimes and any infringement of those provisions would bring the criminal under the jurisdiction of a court before which he would be punished and there is nothing where any penalty follows without the trial of a court of law. The single exception is in the case of such Government orders where a person may have to be restricted or detained and on that clause this Bill has made provision for reference of every case of detention to a Judge of the High Court and detention of any person cannot take place beyond a period of three months except under orders of a Judge of the High Court to whom the case will be referred.

Sir, I think that the utmost provision has been made even in cases where the liberty of a person of doubtful allegiance to the State may be at stake. My honourable friend is deliberately seeking to confuse the House and the public by talking as it Government is aiming at catching hold of any and every citizen and demissing him of his liberty, as if Government is out to destroy the liberty of every citizen who is in this province. Sir, that is entirely a soir of wilful, deliberate attempt at misleading and confusing the issue which. I am glief to say, will not mislead any but those who have made up their mind not to see things as they have been provided for in this Bill. Now, although this has been provided for, all that this section prescribes is that even in making such order, if Government does not take all the necessary precaution whereby a valid order may be passed, whereby everything may be done as prescribed under all the safeguards which have been provided for defending the liberty of the citizen, then in the case of a valid order the matter cannot be taken to a court of law where things in the nature of State secrets may have to be divulged. That is all. A man may commit an act of sabotage or attempt to commit an act of

sabotage. A clause has been provided prescribing that as an offence; infringement of that provision will bring him within the clutches of the law and he will be tried in the court of law and only after that penalty follows and we have seen that in the case of penalty the solicitude of my honourable triend has always been to reduce the penalty from the number of years to the number of months or weeks. I say that if a criminal has committed sabotage in order to endanger the safety of the State, and a sentence of three years has been prescribed, my honourable friend would like that the criminal should get away with three weeks' imprisonment. It is a wonderful advocacy and solicitude for the criminal against the State, and what is for the honourable members of this House and the public of Bengal to judge.

In this connection with all these wilful and deliberate attempts at misleading, my friend has offered and volunteered some very interesting information. My friend has told us that some C. I. D. officer or some officer in charge was sent for education to Scotland Yard. What a calamity? I have known that during his student days my honourable friend had taken his education in communism in the same damned country, England. If it was not Scotland Yard, it was a collateral organisation of the same British intellect and education. There was not much harm, and although we have seen that British communists supported their State and their Government during the last war and nonetheless were patriots, but those who masqueraded as communists and were nothing but a band of criminals were stabbing their country in the back; and it does require a C. I. D. trained in the Scotland Yard to catch criminals of that variety It he dislikes the British method I would ask him to take a leaf out of the Soviet method from Moscow-what they did to catch their criminals who conspired with elements outside the country and what is the form of the Soviet method prescribed in order to catch hold of such subversive correspondents? And I would urge upon the Bengal Government to adopt the Soviet form in preference to the British form if my honourable friend will supply the Soviet form. I am sure that will be more effective and there will not be the slightest objection, but I will beg of the Hon'ble the Prime Minister and his Government-

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: On a point of order, Sir. Whenever he refers to anything he must place it before the Speaker.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Have you any correspondence with outside countries?

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: No.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Sir, I am sure students and officers will be sent for training from time to time to different countries. If my triend objects to the form prescribed by the Scotland Yard it may be substituted by the torm of Ogpu in Kremlin, or any other form of any other country may be made use of for the purpose of gathering experience. After all, a new State must gather both inspiration and experience from all different countries which have overthrown autocrats, which have overthrown States like the Tsardom and then built up a State of the people of their own. The whole thing is directed against those who are conspiring for the purpose of overthrowing the State. I put this question to my triend: is it your intention to overthrow the State? If there is no such intention then you need not worry. The Bill is directed against overthrowing of the State for which Congressmen and people have courted years and years of imprisonment and sentence. We have known of Bengalis in this land who out of a total life of 40 or 50 years have passed about 25 years in prison. That example is not very rare. We have scores and scores of men like that who were rotting in jail when my friend and his party were joining hands with the police in Bengal or joining hands with the Scotland Yard and the

British Empire in order to track down the patriots who were dubbed by their party as goondas who were also put in prison by the British Government. I think the gentleman very conveniently becomes a protagonist of liberty today and forgets what he advocated in the past. If his objection is about the form I am sure the Government will give him a chance—I would urge upon the Government to give my honourable triend a chance—to speak about the form in which the information about criminals should be gathered.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I think you have finished.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Thank you, Sir, and I hope, in conclusion, that my friend will at least communicate the circular about the form, and we shall give him every support.

(Both Sri Bimal Chandra Sinha and Mr. Aldur Rahman Siddiqi got up to speak.)

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Whom do you command to speak, Sir?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Mr Siddiqi

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: I had no intention, Mr. Deputy Speaker, to speak to any of the amendments brought before the House by honourable members. But after listening to the last speech I felt that being senior in age and having dabbled in politics longer than my friend has done.—I could come to the conclusion that he had performed a somersuilt of a most disagreeable, if not a disreputable, type

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, to deny to the citizen the right of revolution and to talk in language as no Englishman in India ever talked in any Legislative House, in a province or at the Centre, coming from the mouth of one who talked more vigorously and vociferously than the honourable the communist member has done today, was rather surprising. The language he was accustomed to use in the past was somewhat like the language he used today where, Mr. Deputy Speaker, you even allowed unparliamentary words to form part of his urierance. It is rather funny that a gentleman belonging to the Tinis of Court, in London, should try to bring them down to the level of Scotland Yard. It is all very well to talk -I was going to say, humbug-but I shall correct myself, and say, to talk mielevantly, and then go about in a way gallivantingly and suggest as if Sir, you were looking at the clock and I was he held the monopoly in patriotism and thus, trying to hide his past before the a little upset House today, was not playing the game.

My sympathy and even my heart go out to the honourable the communist member who is at least true to what he believes in, but to hold one omnion today and then to give it up tomorrow for reasons best known to the honourable member, would appear to be his special privilege. He Shouting so much must have caused him inconhas a sore throat venience and it did not create a dignified atmosphere in this House. I have never been a communist. I do not believe in its ideology. I hope I shall never be one. To build on war time conditions and then to talk as the honourable member did is not clean politics and is certainly not playing the game by the members of this House. He can if he so desires come out and say, he is not a communist now. He is perhaps a socialist. He may develop into a Congressman tomorrow and, who knows, the present atmosphere of the country might throw him into the lap of the Mahasabha. But let him at least come forward in a manlier way and tell us how and in what manner the communist of yesterday is trying to call the communist of today a traitor. Sir, I am a Muslim and I hope I shall die a Muslim. I should, however, like to see any Government in this country taking away from me the right to revolution. It is a great right. The revolutionary of today, if he succeeds, becomes the hero of tomorrow. Many of our Ministers have been in jail. He says some of them, now in their forties, have spent as many as twenty five years in prison. Today they are the leaders of the nation. They occupy the positions of the great. It is a bit surprising for people who have fought for civil liberties who still call England a danned country and think they are making a good argument... (Sri Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar: That was a reference to your friend's attitude.) Sir, all are my friends, and I hope I shall retain that kindly sentiment. But I certainly have no respect for turncoats who shout today and shout tomorrow and shout the day after to suit their immediate purposes without paying the slightest attention to political principles or the ideals of political freedom. Sir, if I feel that I should become a revolutionary, I would be facing all the risks............(Sri Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar: You might not have if the British were here!) Sir, I did not interfere while he was shouting, and I would appeal to you not to let him interfere with—

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: How long will you take, Mr. Siddiqi?

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: As long as you permit, Sir. Sometimes the blue light comes after two minutes and sometimes after ten minutes, I do not know why.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The House is going to be adjourned at 6-45 p.m.

Mr. ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Then I shall follow your instructions completely. I feel that the Government will kindly see to it that the man punished has at least the right to take all his sufferings to a higher tribunal, and, if possible, to try and find a remedy to save himself.

Adjournment.

The House was then adjourned at 6-45 p.m. till 3-45 p.m. on Wednesday, the 14th January, 1948, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

303



Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Wednesday, the 14th January, 1948, at 3-45 p.m.

Present:

Mr. Deputy Speaker (Sri ASHUTOSH MALLICK) in the Chair, 8 Hon'ble Ministers and 54 members.

Rumour regarding resignation of the Premier.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Deputy Speaker, before we take up the business of the House to-day, I would like to get an information from you because there is a strong rumour throughout Calcutta that the Chief Minister has resigned and a new Ministry is going to be formed. If that is true, then I would suggest that this Bill should not be taken up to-day at all—the Secruity Bill—because the new Ministers who come in—if they do come in at all—should be given a chance to express an opinion with regard to this particular Bill.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: It is a sumour—we have no information.

Non-availability of proceedings by members,

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Deputy Speaker, may I rise also on a joint of privilege. We have been mentioning in this House for some time that the proceedings of the last year or of this year are not being made available to the members. Will you kindly see to it that the proceedings are made available to the members soon—at least before the next budget session is held.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: It is with the Government Press.

Representation on the Textile Control Board.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The honourable members are aware that one member is to be elected to represent consumers on the Textile Control Board and for that purpose only the nomination of Sri Sukumar Dutt has been held valid after scrutiny. I declare that Sri Sukumar Dutt is duly elected.

COVERNMENT BILL.

The West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947.

Clause 33.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Mr. Sinha, you wanted to speak yesterday.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I would like to speak not on this particular clause. Moreover, Mr. Siddiqi is not here. He made some observations on this clause. I would like to speak on the third reading of the Bill.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, I beg to move that in clause 33(1), line 2, after the words and figures "Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898", the words "and other prerogative writs of High Court" be inserted.

Sir, in clause 33 the Bill provides for section 491 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1838, which gives the prerogative to the High Court to issue the writ of habeas corpus. Sir, there are other prerogative writs of the High Court such as the writ of mandamus, the writ of co-warranti which are as much prerogatives of the High Court as the writ of habeas corpus. Sir, here when the Bill lays down the provision that anything done in exercise of any power conferred by or under this Act shall not be questioned in any court save the prerogative of the High Court to issue the writ of habeas corpus, I suggest, Sir, that the other prerogative writs of the High Court should also be included because they are prerogatives of the High Court and if this Legislature wishes to interfere with the prerogatives of the High Court it shall be setting up a very dangerous precedent and example.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I oppose both the amendments—one of Mr. Jyoti Basu and the other of Mr. Khuda Bukhsh. Both the amendments want to make the Bill, in effect, ineffective. Therefore, I oppose them and I need not dilate on the points.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that clause 33(1) be omitted was then put and a Division taken with the following result:

AYE8-11.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md.

Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr. Molla Mohammad Abdul Hallm, Mr. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Muhammad Rafique, Mr. J. P. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

NOES-38.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Boee, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Choudhury, The Hon'ble Shri Annada Prosad.
Clarke, Mr. C. E.
Das, Miss Bina.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Delui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Canguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Cayen, Mr. Ar. K.

Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haidar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Niskunja Beharl.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mal, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.

The Ayes being 11 and the Noes 38, the motion was lost.

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that in clause 33(I), line 2, after the words and figures "Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898", the words "and other prerogative writs of High Court" be inserted was then put and a Division taken with the following result:

AYE8-13.

Abdul Wahld Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi, Mr. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyeti. Bralmin, Mr. Rataniai. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md.

Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr.
Molia Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr.
Mudassir Hoesain, Mr.
Muhammad Rafique, Mr. J. P.
Muhammad Shidique, Dr. Syed.
Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

NOES 34.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini
Kohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattaoharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Das, Miss Bina.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailal.
De, Shri Kanai Lai.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.

Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. G.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati,
Majundar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. tswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Simai Chandra.

The Ayes beng 13 and the Noes 36, the motion was lost.

The question that clause 33 do form part of the Bill was then put and a Division taken, with the following result ---

AYE8-36.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini
Mohan.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Clarke, Mr. C. E.
Das, Miss Bina.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailal.
De, Shri Kanai Lal.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Garguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Chose, Mr. A. K.
Chose, Mr. Bimal Comar.

Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand,
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.

NOES--11.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi, Mr. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.

Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Muhammad Rañque, Mr., J. P. Muhammad Biddique, Dr. Syed. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

The Aves being 36 and Noes 11, the motion was carried.

Clause 34

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Amendment Nos. 85-86 is out of order.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, may I speak a few words on this?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, you can.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, due to technical reasons this amendment has been declared to be out of order, but it is an important amendment because I feel here in this particular clause protection is given to certain persons who do anything under this Act which is done in good faith. Now I feel for myself that such protection should not be specifically given, because we know that if a policeman acts in an adverse manner against the interest

of the people and contrary to the powers which the law gives him, then it must be the right of the citizen who is thereby affected to bring proceedings against that particular policeman; otherwise if that protection is not there, for the individual citizens, the policeman will act according to his own fancy and we know what his fancy usually is going to be; and that is why I have suggested my amendment. In any case I do not see why the Government is particularly keen to provide this clause 34 and I would even at this stage remind the Prime Minister that if he drops this clause it will not thereby make the bona fide action of any policeman liable at any time because even under the ordinary law the policeman is protected if he does anything in good faith. That is why I would like to draw the attention of the Prime Minister to this particular point.

The question that clause 34 do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause 35.

Sri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Sir, may I with your permission move the short-notice amendment standing in the name of Sri Amar Krishna Ghosh?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes.

8ri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Sir, I beg to move that in clause 35, lines 3 and 4, the words and figures "except under sections 16 and 17" be omitted.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I beg to move that the following proviso be added to clause 35, namely:—

"Provided that such officer or authority shall not be below the rank of Superintendent of Police or Magistrate with first class powers."

Sir, I have no speech to make. It is obvious.

The short-notice amendment of Sri Bimal Comar Ghose that in clause 35, lines 3 and 4, the words and figures "except under sections 16 and 17" be omitted, was then put and agreed to.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that the following proviso be added to clause 35, namely:—

"Provided that such officer or authority shall not be below the rank of Superintendent of Police or Magistrate with first class powers", was then put and lost.

The question that clause 35, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

Clause :5A.

3ri A. K. CHOSE: Sir, with your permission I beg to move-

Sri JYOTI BASU: On a point of order, Sir. Why are always short-notice amendments being moved before the original amendments which are there?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: It is named short-notice amendment. It is not practically so.

Sri JYOTI BASU: But the point is you have already admitted amendments which are here; those-were admitted later. So these should come first and not the other shoft-notice amendments. The point is not that they are short-notice or long-notice amendments. The point is those came later, these came previously. Hence these should be moved first and the other ones should be moved later.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: It does not matter.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: May I submit that it does natter because in this particular case the short-notice or the long-notice imendment that the Government is seeking to move relates to a clause hat has been introduced by the Select Committee, and this side of the House and other members, if the amendment is moved, would have an opportunity of speaking about the misgivings that the public may or may not feel on this account. If you permit that the tovernment should circumvent this by moving an amendment which is in effect acceptance of he amendments moved by this side of the House, possibly members may not have the opportunity to speak if they so desire.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: But now it is a different thing. Amendment No. 88 is out of order. Therefore, that question does not arise.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Then, Sir, will you permit me to move my amendment? It relates to the same clause.

Sri BIMAL COMAR CHOSE: Mr. Deputy Speaker, is it a decision on the point of order? Because so far as the point of order is concerned there is nothing in the rules which says that any particular amendment must be taken up first or that an amendment of which notice was given sarber must necessarily be taken up first. That is a matter entirely within your discretion. I am not objecting to your allowing Mr. Khuda Bukhsh to move his amendment carber. That is not my point. What I would point out is that this should not be established as a practice, that an amendment of which notice was given first, that particular amendment must be moved first.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I do not like to give my decision on this point. Mr. Khuda Bukhsh, you move your intendment.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir. I beg to move that after clause 35A(2)(b) the following item be inserted, namely:

 $^{\circ\circ}(e)$ the manner and amount of determining compensation to persons detained under the provisions of section 16 $^{\circ\circ}$

I beg further to move that the following proviso be added to clause 35A, namely:—

"Provided that all such rules should receive the approval of the Provincial Legislature."

Sir, this clause was introduced by the Select Committee and this has come in for much adverse criticism inside as well as outside the. House, Sir, this rule making power was given to the Government amongst others primarily for determining compensation that may have to be be paid to detainees under sections 16 and 17 of this Bill, but this important item was, through an oversight perhaps, omitted in the Bill and my amendment sought to make good that omission. I now find, Sir, that the Government have accepted in fact my amendment in a very much better worded amendment, and therefore I beg your permission to withdraw this amendment. But with regard to my second amendment, i.e., 90, I shall insist that the Government shall lay all the rules that they may have to frame or to make for the carrying out of the provisions of this Bill before this legislature. I want to ensure that the Government do not give free rein to their officials in the framing of such rules. I want to keep this deterrent in the body of this Bill that the rules, whatever they are, whenever they are made, whether the House is in session or whether it is not in session, will have to be submitted to the House for its approval. I believe that should serve as a deterrent to the officials and they will not make rules that are contrary to the wishes or desire of the Select Committee.

With these words I commend my amendment No. 90 to the acceptance of the House.

Shi A. K. CHOSE: I beg to move as a short-notice amendment that in sub-clause (2) of clause 35A, before paragraph (a), the following paragraph be inserted, namely:--

"(a1) the principles and the procedure to be followed in assessing, and in making the payment of, allowances and expenses referred to in sub-section (4a) of section 16."

8ri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Deputy Speaker, I wanted the deletion of this particular clause—but again due to technical reasons I could not move it—because I felt that such a clause should never remain in the Act. We know that the British Government when it framed its Defence of India Act, it was a very small thing, and then the rules which were framed constituted, I think, more than four times as the Act itself, and through those rules a new kind of despotism was added to the particular Act which was passed. Therefore, Lord Hewert, the Lord Chief Justice of England, called this New Despotism that has arisen, because you do not have to come before a Parliament or a Legislature in order to get the sanction of the legislature for whatever rules you trame as Mr. Khuda Bukhsh has just now pointed out. Therefore, I feel with him that in any case this clause should not have been there. If it is there, then at least it should be so framed that these rules when they are framed may come before the Legislative Assembly for its approval. I know that will not have much of an effect because the way in which the Congress Government is behaving by trying to pass through a despotic Act it can frame despotic rules and get them passed in this Assembly by sheer majority of votes, but even then the public would know through discussions in this Assembly as to what the Government is doing contrary to the interests of the people, and that is why I suggest that the Government even now should accept at least the amendment given notice of by Mr. Khuda Bukhsh.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, personally I tlank that the insertion of this clause into this Bill is a clear betrayal of the rights and privileges of this Assembly which is supposed to represent the people of West Bengal. Ordinarily, rules, it not actually approved by the legislature, are placed on the table for the information of the members, but here that provision also is absent. So, I think that it the present Government insist upon carrying this particular sub-clause by sheer majority of votes, in that case they shall be doing the greatest injustice to the people of Bengal whom this Assembly represents. As my friend Mr. Jyoti Basu has already remarked, so far as the Government and their policy are concerned it makes no difference whatsoever whether these rules are laid on the table or they come to the House for its approval, because they have votes and since in these days things go by counting of heads and not on merits. I do not see why Government should fight shy about making a provision of some sort of a check over the despotic method that the Government officials may follow. Dr. Ghosh representing the Congress Party in Bengal, I have no doubt, will at once rise up and tell us and address us like so many children of a political school that the police has changed overnight after the 15th of August since the Government has come into the hands of the people.

Sir, I am not inclined to believe this. I entirely agree with my honourable friend Mr. Jyoti Basu as he has very repeatedly pointed out this particular aspect of things to the Ministry that the police and their methods, their mentality, their attitude are still the same and the bureaucratic machinery of Government which is responsible for framing the rules is exactly the same as it was before. I find extreme difficulty in swallowing this that the police and the bureaucratic machinery of Government

have changed. Only the other day if I am permitted to refer to Sardar Patel, the Deputy Premier of the Indian Dominion Government, declared before lakhs and lakhs of people, referring to Muslims, "These Muslims only the other day shouted for Pakistan and they cannot be expected to change overnight after the 15th of August." I find the same tongue says with impunity with regard to the bureaucratic machinery and the L.C.S. machinery that the police who committed inhuman oppression, to satisfy their masters, on the best patriots of our country, have changed overnight after the 15th of August, just because as Sarder Patel said in a Delhi meeting broadcast here through the radio that since Mrs. Sarojini Devi is the Governor of the United Provinces and Shri Govind Ballabh Pant, the Premier of the United Provinces, therefore everything will be all right, as if by the touch of a magic wand it becomes good. Sir, this sort of thing one can hardly swallow without protest. Therefore I think the Ministry will very seriously consider that this is not merely the question of introducing a reactionary measure, but in fact, as I have already placed before you, inclusion of this particular clause giving the absolute right to frame the rules would be giving the legislative powers to the burenucratic machinery of Government over which the present Ministry presides no doubt, but acceptance or passing of this clause by sheer brute impority of votes will be a clear betrayal, as I said in the very beginning, of the rights and privileges and the powers of this Assembly and therefore I take serious exception to this clause. I hope the Ministerialist party will at least be sensible and reasonable and reconsider their stand so far as this clause is concerned. With these words, Sir, I associate myself with what Mr. Khuda Bukhsh and Mr. Jvoti Basu have said

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I am glad that Mr. Khuda Bukhsh has withdrawan his amendment No. 89 in preference to the Government amendment. At least he has realised the merit of the Government amendment. (Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh: Where there is merit he always does it.)

Now, Sir, Mr. Hashem considers that Government is trying to do everything by brute majority and then he wants to say that the Bill should come before the Provincial Legislature. He wants to eat the cake and have it too. He says that the Bill is going to be passed by brute majority of votes and according to him it is a betraval of the whole of West Bengal. Does he want the tyranny of the minority to rule over this country? In a democratic Government, you must submit to the rule of the majority and what the democratic Government does you cannot say that it was being done by the brute majority or that it was the grossest betraval of Bengal. But which Bengal, Bengal of minority or majority Bengal? The majority represents democracy and not minority. The majority of one is a minority clsewhere. You cannot have it both ways like this. Mere adjectives and invectives will not help my dear friend, I can say this (Mr Abul Hashim).

I appeal to the minority and to Mr. Hashem to lay his hand of fellow-ship and convince us by agreeing to the passing of this Bill and not simply using adjectives against us. Adjectives will not help us either here or there. He was saying that the police were helping their masters and have not changed, but who were their masters? Was not Mr. Hashem a master one year ago? So, Sir, I do not understand his logic. I do say that there is no point in this.

As for bringing the Bill to the Provincial Legislature for prior approval, it is a very cumbrous procedure.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I did not say "prior approval." I said it should receive the approval of the Legislature. If I wanted that the Bill should receive prior approval, I would have said so.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Rules cannot be made like that. But members can certainly get information about the rules Government would make. The information may be made available to the members.

With these words, Sir, I oppose the amendment No. 90 of Mr. Khuda Bukhsh.

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that after clause 35A(2)(b) the following item be inserted, namely:—

"(c) the manner and amount of determining compensation to persons detained under the provisions of section 16,"

was then by leave of the House withdrawn.

The motion of Mr. Md. Khuda Bukhsh that the following proviso be added to clause 35A, namely:---

"Provided that all such rules should receive the approval of the Provincial Legislature,"

was then put and a division taken with the following result:-

AYE8-13.

Abdul Wahld Sarkar, Mr.
Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M.
Abdur Rahman Siddiqi, Mr.
Abul Hashem, Mr.
Basu, Mr. Jyoti.
Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial.
Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md.

Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr. Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Muhammad Rafique, Mr., J. P. Muhammad Siddique, Dr. Syed. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

NOE8-40.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar. Barman, The Hon'ble Shri Mohini Mohan. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Das, Mr. Radha Nath. Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath. Dass, Mr. Kanaliai. De, Shri Kanai Lai. Doiui, Mr. Harendra Nath. Dutta, Mr. Sukumar, Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu. Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari. Gayen, Mr. Arabinda. Ghose, Mr. A. K. Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar. Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Gomes, Mr. D.

Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, Shri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamal Krishna.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimal Chandra.

The Ayes being 13 and the Noes 40, the motion was lost.

The short-notice amendment of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose that in subclause (2) of clause 35A, before paragraph (a), the following paragraph be inserted, namely: —

"(a)(I) the principles and the procedure to be tollowed in assessing and in making the payment of allowances and expenses referred to in sub-section (Ia) of section 16".

was then put and agreed to.

The question that clause 35A as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-37.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Suali Kumar. Banerji, The Hon'ble Dr. Sureah Chandra. Barman, The Hen'ble Shri Mehini Mohan. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. Seee, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanallal.
De, Shri Kanal Lai.
Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Sehari.
Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Chose, Mr. A. K.
Chose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Chosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.

Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.

Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.

Majhi, Mr. Nishapati,

Majumdar, The Hen'ble Shri Bhupati.

Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.

Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.

Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.

Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.

Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.

Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hom Chandra

Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.

Pentony, Mr. L. R.

Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.

Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.

Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.

Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

NOES-12.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abdur Rahman Siddiqi, Mr. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti, Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial. Khudha Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Muhammad Rafique, Mr., J. P. Muhammad Siddique, Dr. Byed. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

The Ayes being 37 and the Noes 12, the motion was carried.

Clause 36.

The question that clause 36 do stand part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

New clause 36A.

8ri JYOTI BASU: Sir. I beg to move that after clause 36, the following new clause be added, namely:—

**36A. Any order made under this Act shall be placed before the Minister-in-charge of the Home Department within seven days of the making thereof for his approval.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, as far as the clauses of this particular Bill are concerned, we have come to an end, but now I am making some last feeble attempts to so frame some amendments whereby the acts done by officers of the Government may be checked. That is the purpose of my amendment No. 91. We have seen within the body of this Bill that various orders can be passed by Government officers with regard to detention, with regard to turning out people from their places of residence and so on Now, I feel that all these orders should with the proper papers be placed before the Minister-in-charge of the Home Department who assured us-of course, it was a personal assurance given by Dr. Ghosh that he would personally peruse cases. I do not know whether it was an assurance by the Chief Minister as such and hence the next Chief Minister may not stick to that assurance. But anyhow I have given this amendment so that papers may be placed before the Home Minister and he may go into the papers and see whether the orders have been rightly served, otherwise the officers would realise that the papers would not go up to the people's representative who is in charge of the Home Department but will merely lie with some District Officer or, even if it goes up to the Writers' Buildings, with the I.C.S. who is in charge of this particular department. Naturally the person who has been aggrieved would feel that his case would not be looked into properly because that permanent official has no stake, that permanent official cannot be removed by votes. That is why I have suggested this. At

the same time, I know that it would be an extremely difficult job for the Home Minister to perform this duty, but Dr. Ghosh knows best why he gave us that assurance—I do not. If I were in his position, I would certainly not give any such assurance because I know that that assurance cannot be kept. However, Dr. Ghosh would kindly let me know, as far as my amendment is concerned, whether he is willing to accept it or not.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I oppose amendment No. 91 of Mr. Jyoti Basu, but I can assure him that whatever assurance was given by me was not given by me in my personal capacity—it was given as the Premier of West Bengal and unless any Government in future changes that, that remains the Government policy. I have no status here as P. C. Ghosh personally—the only status I have is as the Premier and I have no other.

As regards his amendment "within seven days", I can tell him—I have always told him—that sometimes it is very difficult to do anything within seven days. Therefore, this cannot simply be done. It is administratively impossible. What is impossible he wants me to do and then if I do not do that, I am called a bad man. Therefore, let me appeal to his reason. Let us be reasonable. This cannot be done. I have already dealt with this in connection with his amendment No. 81—"the Minister-in-charge of Home Department" in place of "Provincial Government". I gave a full reply and I have nothing more to add beyond that. So, I oppose this amendment of Mr. Basu.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that after clause 36, the following new clause be added, namely:—

"36A. Any order made under this Act shall be placed before the Minister-in-charge of the Home Department within seven days of the making thereof for his approval."

was then put and a division taken with the following result:-

AYE8-11.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md.

Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr. Molia Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Muhammad Siddique, Dr. Syed. Serajuddin, Ahammad, Mr.

NOE8-34.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Charke, Mr. C. E. Das, Miss Bina. Das, Mr. Radha Nath. Das Gupta, Mr. Knasiiai. De, Shri Kanaiiai. De, Shri Kanaiiai. De, Shri Kanai Lai. Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath. Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Miharendu. Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari. Bayen, Mr. Arabinda. Bhese, Mr. A. K.

Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haidar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandai, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandai, Shri Bankubehari.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Hath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hen'ble Shri Kantal Krishna.
Ray, Mr. Jajneswar,
Sinha, Mr. Simal Chandin.

The Ayes being 11 and the Noes 34, the motion was lock.

New Clause 36B.

"Sri JYOTI BASU Sir, I move that after clause 36, the following new clause be added, namely:—

"36B. Any officer or policemen making wrong use of any powers under this Act shall be punished with imprisonment for a period not less than three years and a fine which may extend to one thousand rupees."

Mr. Deputy Speaker, of course I am a bit afraid to have moved this particular amendment, because I know the Chief Minister and the other Ministers also will tell me that policemen are nowadays after the 15th August no longer the same policemen as they used to be under the old regime, and a change of heart has taken place, because the Congress Ministers, leaders, willed it so. As Janab Abul Hashem-we have nowadays to call them Janab—pointed out, the Deputy Premier of India wants to suggest that since the Muslims of Indian Dominion propagated for Pakistan therefore he considers that even now he can suspect their bona fides if they, so soon after, express their loyalty to the Indian Union. Very well, if I take it as correct, with what logic one cannot understand the Deputy Prime Minister or the Congress Ministers here consider that policemen who have been trained under a different system, policemen who have been trained to beat up our patriots, how so soon their hearts can be changed. Of course I shall be told that the Deputy Prime Minister or for that the Congress Ministers willed it so, just as when God said "let there be light" and there was light, so as soon as these gentlemen said that the police have changed after 15th August midnight (A voice from ministerialist besches: 14th August midnight) the police changed. We have to take them at their word. Of course they will tell me "You are being disloyal to us, to our State which is newly tormed, which is an infant State because you have no right to speak anything against my officials and my officers". I remember very well at the outset when this Bill was first taken up for consideration that I had unfortunately critised the I.C.S., I had quoted Pandit Nehru, and the Chief Minister told me that I should not be so very fond of quoting from the Congress leaders, because he said: "the I.C.S., that tribe of people belongs to me and therefore if you criticise them or speak any evil against them you will be criticising the popular Ministry." Therefore, Sir, as I said, I was very much afraid of bringing this amendment, but whatever that may be I feel that if any policeman even under this fascist law does any wrong and if he makes wrong use of his powers which are given to him under this law he shall be liable before the bar of public opinion. Then I shall have a right to call in question all the wrongs that he might have done to me in a court of law. That is why I have suggested this amendment. If this clause is there, there would be some sort of a safeguard, because then a policeman would think twice before he takes any action against the citizens of Bengal. It may be said in all cases that the police acted in good faith, because policemen now, since they have started putting on Gandhi caps and since in the police-stations the tricolour flags have been hoisted have become patriots. I know that anvthing that they do will be said to have been done in good faith, and nobody can take any action against them. That is why I feel that if a new State has really come into existence, it can never function and it cannot be called a new State unless the State machinery is completely cleansed of all the rotten things of the old regime, and unless the personnel is changed nothing can happen. Of course the Ministers can defend them and tell me that policemen are like prostitutes. They have so long listened to one master and they are going to serve another master now. But I am afraid that the analogy will not hold good, although just now in answer to Janab Abul Hashem Sahib Dr. Ghosh said: "These are the policemen who served you a few months back. Then they effectively carried out your orders. Why should they not do it now when I have got them as 91

my kept women." That is what he seems to have suggested in his speech. But history has taught us quite a different thing. It is not possible for any regime to function unless it completely smashes up the old State machinery and builds up a new state machinery. Unless, for instance, you get rid of all the police officers and in their place put reliable, trusted men who have fought for freedom, it is not possible for the ordinary citizens to have trust in these policemen. Only the other day in answer to a question Dr. Ghosh was on the defensive. That was a question asked by one of the Congress members sitting opposite. He asked: are the policemen who perpetrated all sorts of atroctites against the people from 1941 to 1945 going to be tried? Is there any record of their crimes against humanity? Dr. Ghosh was on the defensive and said: "I have no record in Writers' Buildings. There are no records." Fine, amazing, this sort of logic! The police have not preserved their records of the old regime, of the atrocities which they perpetrated under the old regime! We know that if any records were there, those were destroyed after the 15th of August. But the Congress Ministers themselves know all the incidents. They have been ill-treated by these very policemen who have been promoted to power to-day in West Bengal. Do not any of the Congress M.L.A.'s know the names of the policemen those in service or those who are getting pensions? They do. Therefore it is no use saying "we do not know who those culprits are, we do not know who perpetrated those crimes!" I say, Sir, you know that in particular months and at particular places the police did commit atrocities and crimes. To-day you can get their names within twenty-four hours from Writers' Buildings and from police offices. But that is not being done. This is the hypocritical attitude of the Congress leaders in power to-day. That is why I am testing the Congress Ministers by inserting this particular new clause whereby I say that no policeman can be exempt from punishment if he commits any wrongful use of powers against the people. If this is accepted, I would feel that the Congress Ministers have not completely changed and gone over to reaction.

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Sir, I rise to support this amendment. After the 15th of August, 1947, it is well known that the police has become extremely partial. When I was present at a peace meeting in Calcutta which was attended by Mr. Dhirendra Narayan Mukherjea, the Managing Director of the Hooghly Bank, and Sri Kamal Krishna Roy, the Relief and Rehabiliation Minister, persons who attended on behalf of the Muslim community brought charges that the police were abetting looting and even murder and doing such other things. The Hon'ble Minister was present at that time but he did not say anything. Everywhere I heard that the police had taken sides in communal disturbances. and that the police were also looting, killing and doing such other things. Therefore I submit that when my friend proposes to insert this new clause in order that the police may not be able to abuse their powers, he is right, and the gentlemen of the Congress and the Ministers all know that the police has been charged with partiality, not only in Cal-cutta, not only in Delhi, but also in East Punjab and West Punjab, and everywhere and that the police are going against the people and that instead of maintaining law and order, they as a matter of fact destroy all vestige of law and order. Therefore when my friend, Sri Jvoti Basu (Sri Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar: You can address him also as Janab or as Monsieur!) No, I will call him Comrade Jyoti Basu. My friend says that the police officers who are guilty of the abuse of power should be punished, and with that object in view he has brought in this new clause which I support wholeheartedly.

The Hen'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir. I oppose this new clause 36B proposed in amendment No. 92 by Mr. Jyoti Basu. I

find that his intention in bringing this new clause is that the policemen may not function and do their duties when anti-social activities thrive. Sir, there is nothing in this new clause, and therefore I oppose it.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that after clause 36, the following new clause be added, namely:—

"36B. Any officer or policemen making wrong use of any power under this Act shall be punished with imprisonment for a period not less than three years and a fine which may extend to one thousand rupees",

was then put and a division taken with the following result:-

AYES-11.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr. Melia Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. Mudassir Hossain, Mr. Muhammad Idris, Mr. Muhammad Siddique, Dr. Syed. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

NOE8-39.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath.
Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar.
Banerje, The Hon'ble Dr. Sure
Chandra.
Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar.
Bhattacheryya, Mr. Shyamapada.
Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra.
Clarke, Mr. C. E.
Das, Mr. Radha Nath.
Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Mr. Kanailal.
Doiul, Mr. Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Mr. Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu.
Ganguli, Mr. Bepin Behari.
Gayen, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.

Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra,
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majumdar, The Hon'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandal, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Shri Bankubehari.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath,
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Mr. Jajneswar.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Simha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Ayes being 12 and the Noes 39 the motion was lost.

(At this stage the House was adjourned for fifteen minutes.)

(After adjournment.)

Allotment of time for third reading.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, we understand that the Government propose to take up the third reading of this Bill, if they can, to-night. We consider this will be very, very unfair. This Bill has been one of very much controversy both inside this House and outside. I feel that this Bill should be given its due grace of alloting one full day for its third reading. So, we would request you kindly to ascertain from the Government benches what they propose to do and to consider what we have placed before you. We in the Opposition shall feel very much aggrieved if the Government do not accept our suggestion.

Sri BMAL CHANDRA SINHA: On a point of order-

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: May I continue?

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: I am on a point of order.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: I am on a point of privilege. So, may I continue?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, finish it. I can understand your position.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: What we want to emphasize is this-

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: You need not worry. Let us proceed in the normal way.

Mr. ABUL HASHEM: We consider it our privilege which the Opposition has always been enjoying to get the opportunity to enter into a full-dress discussion during the third reading. Therefore, this is our humble suggestion to you and please ascertain from the Government and give us your decision.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Let us proceed with the Bill. You wait till the time comes for it.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: May I submit that Janab Hashem Saheb was speaking on a purely theoretical proposition. The eventuality has not yet arisen. When the eventuality does arise, you as the sole custodian—

Mr. MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Is he in order when he is chewing pan?

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, you are the sole custodian of the rights of the members of the House and it does not lie with the Government or with the Opposition to allot time. Therefore, if you are of opinion that the matter should have more time, you should give that time and if the occasion arises, Janab Hashem Saheb would be quite entitled to raise his point but not now.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I have given my decision.

New clause 36C.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I move that after clause 36, the following new clause be added, namely:—

"36C. Any person wrongfully detained or otherwise aggrieved shall be given such compensation as may be fixed by a Board appointed by the Provincial Government for this purpose.

The Board shall be composed of three members of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly, not being Ministers or Parliamentary Secretaries."

Mr. Deputy Speaker, the purpose of this amendment is that we have seen with regard to recent events that certain persons have been detained under the Ordinance which exists now and then after a few days they have been let off. We know that questions have been put to the Prime Minister whether these persons were detained rightfully or wrongfully because I am sure the Prime Minister will not tell me that whatever is done by a policeman is done rightly. Although they have nearly become demi-Gods, the policemen may some time or other go wrong. Therefore, I have felt that if a policeman goes wrong at all—if it is found out by the Provincial Government or by the Minister that he has gone wrong it doing a particular act against a citizen—then that aggrieved person should be paid compensation for such wrongful detention or for any other order whereby he is affected. Now, the persons who are to suggest as to what compensation should be paid should not be any Judge or any other person but members of the Assembly. They should constitute a Board to go into the assessing of the compensation because these are the people in the Assembly who represent somebody or other which the Judger

do not and, therefore, they would have to, in giving judgment on compensation, remember that they would have to face their electorate and, as such, we can some time or other expect that they would give somewhat right sort of judgment and, in any case, if they do not, then there will be the electorate to correct them when they go to their different constituencies. That is why I have given this suggestion in clause 36C. I hope thovernment will see their way to accept this amendment and that this amendment may not meet the fate of others.

The Hon'ble Dr. PROFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, I oppose this amendment No. 93 of Mr. Jyoti Basu. The second part of the amendment has more or less been dealt with in connection with amendment No. 67 where also he proposed a few members of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly. I do not think it will serve any useful purpose and then in clause 360 Mr. Jyoti Basu wants to add "Any person wrongfully detained or otherwise aggrieved...." etc. Now, this question will give rise to all sorts of controversies, nakiely, whether a person can be detained as a preventive measure, etc. I submit, Sir, this new clause is irrelevant and out of order. (Sri Jyoti Basu: So, there is no wrongful detention under this clause!) I therefore oppose the amendment.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that after clause 36, the following new clause be added, namely:-

**36C. Any person wrongfully detained or otherwise aggrieved shall be given such compensation as may be fixed by a Board appointed by the Provincial Government for this purpose.

The Board shall be composed of three members of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly, not being Ministers or Parliamentary Secretaries",

was then put and a Division taken with the following result :---

AYES--10.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Rataniai. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Mahammad Saysed Mia, Mr. Molia Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. Muhammad Idris, Mr. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

NOE8---38.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhattaoharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Batish Chandra. Das, Mr. Radha Nath. Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath. Dass, Mr. Kanailal. De, Shri Kanai Lal. Dokui, Mr. Harendra Nath. Dutta, Mr. Sukumar. Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu. Cayen, Mr. Arabinda. Chose, Mr. A. K. Chose, Mr. A. K. Chose, Mr. Bimal Comar. Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Gomes, Mr. J. C.

Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majin, Mr. Nishapati.
Majin, Mr. Nishapati.
Majin, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mandai, Mr. Annadaprasad.
Mandai, Shri Bankubahari.
Mandai, Shri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'bis Shri Kalipada.
Mookerjee, The Hon'bis Shri Kalipada.
Mookerjee, The Hon'bis Shri Hem Chandra.
Panja, Mr. Badabendra Nath.
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Pramanik, Mr. Raiani Kanta.
Ray, The Hon'bis Shri Kamai Krishna,
Ricketts, Mrs. E. M.
Sen, Mr. Debendra Nath.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Ayes being 10 and the Noes 38, the motion was lost.

Preamble.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, may I have your permission to move the amendments on behalf of Sri Amar Krishna Ghose?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, you can move them.

eri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Sir, I move that in the preamble, line 1, after the words "special provision for" the words "the maintenance of public order by" be inserted.

I also move that in the preamble for the words "for the suppression", wherever they occur, the words "the suppression" be substituted.

sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I move that in the preamble, line 6, after the words "of the province" the words "from external aggression" be inserted.

I also move that in the preamble, lines 6 to 8, the words "and for maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community" be omitted.

Sir, in these particular amendments I have suggested changes whereby I think, if they are accepted, the bona fides of the Government can be established to some extent, because we have been told times without number that for particular purposes these special powers were needed by the Government. Of course thereafter instead of "Special Powers" in the Bill "Security" was substituted in order to give a wrong impression to the public, because if the words "Special Powers" are used the public will naturally turn round and ask as to where and how a special situation has arisen that you want special powers. But if "Security" is there then naturally it covers everything and there cannot be any question from the public because the public will probably think, here is a new State and therefore for its security some sort of law is to be put on the Statute Book. However, Sir, the Minister brought about certain changes, the Committee which was set up brought about certain changes in the Bill and the Congress Ministers also in their public statements have accepted this position that for three or four specific cases they want these special powers. Of course these provisions have been inserted in the preamble, that is, "prevention of illegal acquisition, possession or use of arms, for the suppression of subversive movements endangering communal harmony" Nobody would have any quarrel with those things. But then the other phrase comes, "the safety or stability of the province", and thereafter comes "the suppression of goondas and for maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community". I have suggested that with the object of safety and stability of the province everybody will naturally agree. But I hold that the Ministers have not made out a case that there is a state of war or some such emergency. They have told us that a few Banks have been robbed by armed dacoits in Calcutta and therefore they want special powers. Nobody believes that. But the fact is that in public meetings and speeches the thing which is most emphasised is that communal disharmony may take place once again in Bengal and therefore special powers are necessary. And lately the excuse was not a question of internal disruption of life by the quarrels of different communities but the question of external aggression has been raised. We are told that we are a border Province and therefore in this border Province Pakistan may all on a sudden chose to attack us: therefore we need special powers and to support that contention the Government very cleverly through its Publicity Department told the world of some faked cases, that is, somewhere some transmitter was found or some such things were found, and things like that were trotted out before the public. But, Sir, it was found that the thing was a stolen articles and had nothing to do with espionage. After that the Government has kept quiet. With regard to other incidents they talked over the 'phone with the Prime Minister of Eastern Pakistan and they came to a certain agreement. But in order to appease the agitated public mind and in order that they may support this Bill we are told of external aggression. If that is your purpose let it be said clearly in the Preamble because in the body of the Bill all my amendments with regard

to external aggression have not been accepted. And as far as the Preamble is concerned, even if it is there it does not matter much. But some time or other when we challenge this Act in a court of law we can refer to that although it is very difficult to prove anything from the Preamble. I say that if the Government are true to what they profess, then after the words "of the Province" the words "from external aggression" should be added and my amendment should be accepted.

Then another purpose comes up, namely, "for maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community". Now I shall be told at once that I am against maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community, because that is the kind of logic I hear in this House when I bring any amendment. Unfortunately, Sir, they do not hear the whole speech, but they will rather turn round and tell me "you are against maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community" but Sir, I am not. The Government have not told us the community", but, Sir, I am not. The Government have not told us that there is such a state of affairs in the State of West Bengal that they would like to stop all manner of strikes, all manner of chaos and disorganisation as they would call it, but they tell us that if anything is done legitimately, if anything is bona fide, if any criticism is bona fide and if any action is bona fide, then it shall not come under the purview of this Act. Then why, I ask, do they specifically mention this particular phrase maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community"? It is because, we know, all supplies and services cannot be maintained unless the Government chooses to feed the people properly, unless the Government chooses to clothe and house the people properly. If the Government does not do that, then I accuse the Government of betraying the people, of betraying the trust which the people have bestowed upon the Government; then I accuse the Government that it to-day is disorganising the economic life of Bengal and is not maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community. May I ask, Sir, why is it that workers in different industries are actuated to take to the last weapon in their armoury, that is, strike. Not that they like it very much, for if strikes take place their very life is in jeopardy, but when there is no way out, the worker takes to it for his very existence and liberty and for his wife and children. If the Government behave in this atrocious manner, then they will not do anything for the working classes as such and the kishans and the working classes will have no other alternative but to go on strike and to impose their will on the Government if the Government does not move. If the Government create that situation for the workers and force them to go on strike, then Government cannot turn round and say like Caesar's wife "I am not to blame: I am trying to maintain the supplies and services essential to the life of the community". Sir, this Bill has nothing to do with the maintenance of supplies and services. but it is meant for some other purpose which the Government have not spoken before the public, nor have they they got it in the Bill. If it were so, then ban all strikes. Why go by the backdoor? Sir, these gentlemen claim to be people's leaders. If they are people's leaders, why do they go by the backdoor? Why not come forward and say, "We are the State: we shall act according to our own likes and dislikes". If they say so, one can understand and one can see in all its nakedness what the Congress leaders who are in power to-day want to do. I know the Congress leaders will not do so because the people must be told, "Wait a little: the opportunity is coming: we are only three months' old: what can be done in the mean time?" But they have done so much that they have quarrelled amongst themselves over Ministership. We know that they have found time to do that but not to do any good to the people. Is there a single Act which has been passed which has given anything to the working classes—whether with regard to their houses or their wages? But they have found sufficient time to quarrel amongst themselves for a iew seats in the Ministry. That time they have found but they will not fool all the people very long. These gentlemen have been fighting for almost three months since when they have come to power. They are infants and infants quarrel over little prizes like Ministerships. We thought they were servants of the people. This was their profession which they trotted out year after year. By tomorrow Dr. Ghosh may not be just there; somebody else might come. We do not know what they will do or what policy they will follow. But the workers must suffer. It is an infant State: let the infants finish their quarrel. We are told let them grow a little older—then the workers, those poor fellows who have been fighting, who have been standing by the Congress leaders and because of whose suffering they have been saddled in power to-day may receive a few crumbs they will pass such laws, such Bills whereby the workers' life and existence will be in jeopardy—that is the state in which the workers and the ordinary common men are being forced today. Therefore, Mr. Deputy Speaker, I feel that my amendment should be accepted.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, I accept the amendments move by Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha—Nos. 94 and 95—and I oppose amendments Nos. 98 and 99 of Mr. Jyoti Basu. These amendments—98 and 99—have been more or less dealt with previously by me when similar amendments were moved by Mr. Jyoti Basu. In replying to amendment 63, where similar thing occurs—for maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community—I gave my detailed arguments. So, I need not give them here again. Another amendment which he has moved, that also I have discussed in that clause. Therefore, I need not say anything more. I need not go into the general discussion in which Mr. Basu had gone.

I oppose the amendments moved by him and I accept the amendments moved by Mr. Sinha.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I rise on a point of privilege. I wish to invite your attention to the request made by Janab Hashem Saheb regarding the third reading—

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Let us dispose of the amendments first and when the time will come then you will speak.

Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I bow down to your ruling and I am prepared to wait but this side of the House would rather—.

Sri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: On a point of order. In the midst of this business is it relevant for any other matter to be brought in?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order, order.

The motion of Sri Bimal Chandra Sinha that in the preamble, line 1, after the words "special provision for" the words "the maintenance of public order by" be inserted, was then put and a division taken with the following result:—

AYE8-38.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Shri Susil Kumar. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. Boee, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Das. Mr. Radha Nath. Das. Mr. Kanalial. De. Shri Kanal Lal. Delui, Mr. Harendra Nath. Outta, Mr. Sukumar. Dutt-Maxumdar, Mr. Niharendu.

Gayen, Mr. Arabinda.
Ghose, Mr. A. K.
Ghose, Mr. Bimal Comar.
Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Mr. J. C.
Haldar, Mr. Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Mr. Nikunja Behari.
Majumdar, The Hen'ble Shri Bhupati.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra.

Mandai, Shri Bankubehari. Mandai, Shri Krishna Prasad. Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Shri Kalipada. Murarka, Mr. Basantiai. Maskar, The Hon'ble Shri Hem Ghandra. Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath.

Pontony, Mr. L. R. Peddar, Mr. Anandilei. Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta. Ray, The Hon'ble Shri Kamai Krishna. Rioketa, Mrs. E. M. Sinha, Mr. Bimei Chandra.

NOE8-11.

Abdui Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abui Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md. Mahammad Sayood Mia, Mr. Molla Mohammad Abdul Halim, Mr. Mudassir Hessain, Mr. Muhammad Idris, Mr. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

The Ayes being 38 and the Noes 11, the motion was carried.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in the preamble line 6 after the words words "for the suppression", wherever they occur, the words "the suppression" be substituted, was then put and agreed to.

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in the preamble, line 6 after the words "of the province" the words "from external aggression" be inserted, was then put and a Division taken with the following result:

AYE8-11.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Mr. A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Mr. Basu, Mr. Jyoti. Brahmin, Mr. Ratanial. Khuda Bukhsh, Mr. Md.

Mahammad Sayeed Mia, Mr. Molia Mohammad Abdul Hailm, Mr. Mudassir Hoesain, Mr. Muhammad Idris, Mr. Serajuddin Ahammad, Mr.

NOE8-37.

Bandopadhaya, Mr. Pramatha Nath, Banerjee, Shri Susii Kumar. Basu, Mr. Hemanta Kumar. Bhattacharyya, Mr. Shyamapada. Bose, Mr. Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Mr. Satish Chandra. Das, Mr. Radha Nath. Das Gupta, Mr. Khagendra Nath. Dass, Mr. Kanaiiai. De, Shri Kanai Lai. Dolui, Mr. Harendra Nath. Dutta, Mr. Sukumar. Dutt-Mazumdar, Mr. Niharendu. Gayen, Mr. Arabinda. Ghose, Mr. A. K. Ghose, Mr. A. K. Ghose, Mr. Bimai Comar. Ghosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C. Gomes, Mr. J. C.

Haidar, Mr. Kuber Chand,
Mahanty, Mr. Charu Chandra,
Majhi, Mr. Nishapati,
Majumdar, The Hon'bie Shri Bhupati,
Mai, Mr. Iswar Chandra,
Mandai, Mr. Annadaprasad,
Mandai, Shri Barkubehari,
Mandai, Shri Krishna Prasad,
Mookerjee, The Hon'bie Shri Kalipada,
Mookerjee, The Hon'bie Shri Kalipada,
Murarka, Mr. Basantiai,
Naskar, The Hon'bie Shri Hem Chandra,
Panja, Mr. Jadabendra Nath,
Pentony, Mr. L. R.
Poddar, Mr. Anandliai,
Pramanik, Mr. Rajani Kanta,
Ray, The Hon'bie Shri Kamai Krishna,
Ricketts, Mrs. E. M.
Sinha, Mr. Bimai Chandra.

The Aves being 11 and the Noes 37, the motion was lost

The motion of Sri Jyoti Basu that in the Preamble, lines 6 to 8, the words "and for maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community", be omitted, was then put and lost.

The question that the Preamble, as amended, do form part of the Bill was then put and agreed to.

The Hon'ble Dr. PROFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Sir, I beg to move that the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed.

Adjournment of the House.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I take it that it is the desire of the House not to sit any longer today.



- Sri NIHARENDU BUTT-MAZUMDAR: May I know, Sir, what is the scheduled time for the sitting of the House?
- Wr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Generally three hours, but everything depends on the wishes of the members of the House.
- Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Sir, though we have the greatest respect for your desire, we want to make this submission. We have seen in the newspapers today that a very great change has taken place in our Province, namely, that Dr. Ghosh has relinquished his office, and on that ground, I submit, let the third reading be postponed.
- Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: No, no. That is a rumour. Newspaper reports are not to be taken cognisance of by us.
- Mr. MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Apart from that, Sir, there are other considerations for today's postponement. This is a controversial Bill, Sir, and with this depleted opposition—.
- Sri Niharendu Dutt-Mazumdar: On a point of order, Sir. Unless there is any authoritative communique which can be officially taken notice of, no newspaper report can be brought in here for discussion. The Hon'ble Dr. Ghosh's motion is now before the House and while that motion is before the House, no other discussion can take place except the discussion on the motion itself. And so far as the time available at our disposal, without going beyond the limit of the scheduled time, is concerned, I think that that time ought to be utilised in discussing that motion, instead of wasting our time unnecessarily. I, therefore, submit that no other matter may be raised and discussed until our scheduled time expires.
- Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: As regards your first point, Mr. Dutt-Mazumdar, I have given my decision already. As regards your second point if the House is not in a mood to sit any longer, then I cannot compel it.
- MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Firstly. Sir, my submission is that there is no scheduled time. You have ruled that the House sits generally for three hours. During the short time I have been a member of this House I have seen many variations in the period of sitting, but the submission that I wanted to make is that our speeches on this side will necessarily be conditioned by the amendments and the replies to those amendments. We have not been able to study those amendments and in order that the motion now before the House should be profitably debated upon, we should be given time to digest the amendments proposed and the nature of the whole Bill that has now emerged. As I was going to point out to you, Sir, it is not possible for us with this depleted opposition to give proper time and attention to the quality and the quantity, so to say, of the entire Bill. And from the beginning we have been urging upon you to adjourn the House till tomorrow so that we may come prepared to discuss the matter more profitably.
- Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I have nothing further to add to what I have already stated. The House stands adjourned till 3-45 p.m. tomorrow.

Adjournment.

The House was accordingly adjourned at 6-25 p.m. till 3-45 p.m. on Thursday, the 15th January, 1948, at the Assembly House, Calcutta.

Proceedings of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, as adapted.

THE ASSEMBLY met in the Assembly House, Calcutta, on Thursday, the 15th January, 1948, at 3-45 p.m.

Present.

Mr. Deputy Speaker (Sri Ashutosh Mallick) in the Chair, 9 Hon'ble Ministers and 59 members.

STARRED QUESTION

(to which oral answer was given)

Indian Mental Hospital at Ranchi.

- *16. Sri DHIRENDRA NARAYAN MUKHERJEE: (a) Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Health and Local Self-Government Department be pleased to state—
 - (i) whether any supervision or control is exercised by West Bengal Government with regard to the maintenance of the Ranchi Indian Mental Hospital at Kanke;
 - (ii) if so, to what extent and of what nature;
 - (iii) whether any sum is annually contributed to the Hospital by Government;
 - (ir) if so, what is the sum and what ratio it bears to the total expenses for the Hospital;
 - (v) how many Bengalees are at present employed there in different categories of posts, namely, ministerial, executive, nursing and attending staff (showing the numbers for both male and female); and
 - (vi) whether any physician domiciled in Bengal has got the post of the Superintendent or the first Assistant Superintendent ever since the establishment of the said Hospital?
- (b) Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether he is considering the desirability of, either—
 - (i) starting a Mental Hospital in West Bengal, or
 - (ii) bringing down the Bengal part of the said hospital here at one of the following places, viz., Berhampore, Dum Dum, Hijli and Kanchrapara?
- (c) If the reply to (b) (ii) is in the affirmative, will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state when the said Hospital is likely to be detached and brought down to West Bengal?
- (d) If the reply to (b) is in the negative, will the Hon'ble Minister in charge be pleased to state the reason thereof?
- MINISTER in charge of the HEALTH and LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT (the Hon'ble Sri Annada Proced Chowdhury): (a) (i) and (iii) Yes.
- (a) (ii) The concurrence of this Government is necessary before administrative approval is given by the Government of Bihar to any

building scheme or other work or to the entertainment of any new staff, temporary or permanent, or to any new unusual expenditure of any kind. This Government have also a right to be consulted regarding the total accommodation to be provided in the Hospital. The Government of West Bengal also exercise supervision and control in the management of the Hospital through their representatives in the Managing Committee, who include the Secretary of the Health and Local Self-Government Department, the Director of Health Services, and six non-officials.

- (a) (iv) The contribution of the Government of Bengal to this hospital in 1946-47 amounted to Rs. 6,75,951-4-8, which was equivalent to 75 per cent. of the total expenditure of the Hospital for that year.
- (a) (v) The number of Bengalees at present employed in different categories of posts in the hospital, is furnished below:—

		•	Male.	Female
(1)	Ministerial staff		 4	
(2)	Executive staff		 10	3
(3)	Nursing staff		 	
(4)	Attending staff		 24	3

- (a) (vi) No, but several Bengalees belonging to the Bihar Provincial Service officiated as Superintendent and Assistant Superintendent of the Hospital for certain periods.
 - (b) (i) and (ii) Yes.
- (c) As soon as a suitable site is selected and negotiations with the Central Government and the Government of Bihar are completed.
 - (d) Does not arise.
- **8ri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA:** With reference to answer (a) (iv), will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether this sum of Rs. 6,75,000 and odd includes expenditure on the European and Indian wards?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOWDHURY: It is for the Indian Mental Hospital.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether the Bengal Government bears any share of the expenses for the European ward of the Mental Hospital?

The Hon'ble 8ri ANNADA PROSAD CHOWDHURY: The Bengal Government does.

8ri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether that expenditure exceeds this amount or is less than this amount?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOWDHURY: In the year 1946-47 the European Mental Hospital had a contribution of Rs. 2,50,000 whereas the Indian Mental Hospital had Rs. 6,13,000.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state the approximate number of patients sent by Bengal to the European ward and to the Indian ward respectively?

The Hon'ble Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOWDHURY: I want notice. I am not ready with that now.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state how many beds are reserved for the patients from Bengal in the European ward and in the Indian ward respectively?

The Hon'bile Sri ANNADA PROSAD CHOWDHURY: 75 per cent. in the Indian Hospital and for the European Hospital I want notice.

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to state whether the total staff employed from Bengal form 75 per cent. of the total staff employed by the Hospital in the Indian section?

The Hon'ble 8ri ANNADA PROSAD CHOWDHURY: I want notice.

COVERNMENT BILL.

The West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947.

Janab A. F. M. ABDUR RAHMAN: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, a good deal of unpleasant controversy has been raised throughout the country over the West Bengal Special Powers Bill—now the West Bengal Security Bill.

Sir, I do not like to recall the incidents that happened centering this Bill which necessitated postponement of consideration of this Bill by over three weeks, and I must say that Government did well to postpone consideration of the Bill. Sir, we on this side of the House only wished that Government had done so earlier. Introduction of such a highly controversial piece of legislation should have been preceded by mobilisation of public opinion.

Sir, the Bill is a direct negation of principles so long preached by the party now in power. It is going to curtail the cherished fundamental rights of a citizen, and to justify such an encroachment on civil liberty, one must be satisfied that an extreme urgency exists which would furnish grounds for such a measure. Sir, I am sure that every patriotic citizen of the State in any grave situation will willingly submit to curtailment of individual liberty in the larger interest of the State, but Government must satisfy the people that the existing laws are insufficient or ineffective in dealing with the danger to the State. That some other province has a similar law and so we must have it, I do not think that should be a sufficient argument. It must be said to our regret that the Hon'ble the Leader of the House has failed to make out a convincing case for a Bill of such drastic nature and he has not been able to throw any light to the House why he wants these sweeping powers and also the urgency.

Sir, democracy can never function properly without an opposition. Sir, we would only wish that the Hon'ble the Leader of the House should take us a little more into his confidence and tell us why he wants to be armed with these extraordinary powers so that we can give our unstinted support to a measure which is necessary to run a good Government. Sir, we have already indicated the policy of our party. We shall oppose when we must and not only for the sake of opposition. I can assure the Hon'ble the Leader of the House that we on this side of the House are no less anxious for peaceful administration in the country than the Hon'ble Premier or the members now sitting in the Government benches because we know, Sir, that if there is disorder in the country, we shall have to pay very heavy penalty.

Sir, we are still in the dark why all these special powers are wanted. Is it only to curb all opposition to the party in power, to gag the press so that they may not criticize the Ministry, to muzzle all labour organisations and agrarian movements, to help the capitalists and lastly to rope in Muslims and specially of the border districts on the pretext of safety or stability of the province?

Sir, my friend Jonab Khuda Bukhsh has already narrated in the House about the incidents of Murshidabad. The wanton arrest of Mussalmans in Murshidabad has created a considerable panic and also resentment in the minds of the Mussalmans of West Bengal. We are thankful to the Hon'ble

Premier for his intervention and the early release of innocent persons. I am told that some more Mussalmans have been detained merely on suspicion. We would request the Hon'ble Premier to examine their cases. If they are guilty; they should be tried in a proper court of law or else should be released.

Sir, thanks to Providence there is peace in West Bengal and we apprehend that any improper or misapplication of this drastic power may again disturb the peace of the country.

Sir, our party would like to have an assurance from the Hon'ble the Leader of the House that there will be no injudicious use of these wide powers even in a single case. Sir, assurances of a Premier of a Free State are entitled to great consideration.

Before I resume my seat, I would like to mention a recent incident in village Khari in Mathurapur police-station in the district of 24-Parganas where as a result of rioting and incendiarism about 300 persons were rendered homeless. I hope Government will make adequate provision for the relief of these people, and we also demand that the delinquents will be properly punished—it does not matter to which community they belong.

Mr. DANIEL COMES: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, speaking as one of those who are not interested in opposition for opposition's sake and as one of those who are inclined to give a benevolent interpretation to the acts and intentions of Government I must say that it is not without some anxiety and fear that one can suffer this Bill to be passed into law. Granting the patriotic intentions of Government and granting that when the Bill is passed into law it may turn out to be a sort of a fortress to the constitution wherein the germs of mischief may be imprisoned and sterilized,even granting this I must say that ordinary citizens demand from the honourable members of this House answers to two questions. Is the welfare of the State to be secured by open transgression of the established rules of democracy? Is the convenience of the executive in the matters of administration, a sufficient justification for the curtailment of civil liberty? I must say that I have a good deal of sympathy with my friends Sri Jvoti Basu and Janab Khuda Bukhsh who have tried their level best to point out some of the obnoxious clauses of the Bill. They have tried their level hest to oppose important clauses of the Bill if for no other purpose but to give vent to the feelings that there are points of friction where the shoe will pinch the most. It is a sad commentary on the affairs of the State that barely have we enjoyed freedom for a few months than our Provincial Government should invite us to pass a law which will practically reverse the rule of law. Mr. Jyoti Basu, Mr. Khuda Bukhsh and others have in the name of law and liberty and everything sacred opposed some of the provisions of the Bill, I would ask the Government, I would implore them not to ridicule the persons who sing the song of liberty, for such songs are never idle songs. It is the song of liberty which has cheered us in our struggle against foreign domination, it is the song of liberty which will probably sustain us to stand against any aggression that might be started against us. It is certainly food for thought, if society has to be protected by subservience to the orders of the lower executive; if society has to be protected by the surrender of the honourable conditions of living-it is certainly food for thought, if Government has to derive dignity and safety by means which permit them to play foul of the rules of orthodox democracy. Is the time come when obedience to the law and correctness of conduct will not be a sufficient protection to the citizens, but that we must measure our steps, select our expressions and even adjust our looks so as not to attract the attention of unscrupulous policemen, informers or agent provocateurs. I must explain my viewpoint very clearly. In spite of the cutting and clipping of the Bill by the House, in spite of the relaxation of the rigour of some of the clauses, in spite of the generous assurance that Government have given, I

must say that the Bill in its principle, scope and severity means a very bitter pill that Government are asking the people to swallow in times of apparent peace. Therefore it was no wonder that a hue and cry over the Bill was lately raised outside this House; I cannot call all those who protested as hollow pretenders or that the criticisms of the Bill were just the grumblings from the outpost of a foreign office or of a foreign country-Nevertheless, I think that there is another side of the picture, and after due deliberation there will be plenty of room for moderation in the criticism of the Government demand. Government are responsible for peace in the country. Government have got ample scope to find out facts and therefore their reading of the situation is worthy of acceptance in preference to the reading by any individual or any party however industrious it may be. Times are bad and we must not take any chance. We all love civil liberty, but we must have a sense of proportion. Civil liberty may be a good thing, but the safety and stability of the province or the State is certainly a greater, a more fundamental and more imporant thing. We do not want that this country should be thrown into the chaotic condition of France or into the greater chaotic condition of China or even that the history of this province should be blackened by political murders on the scale of Burma.

The further thing that we must consider is whether the Government which is demanding power have got sufficient credit. Here I think there is a decisive conclusion that must be drawn. This Government—although they have been in power for a very short time - have proved that they are out to serve the people and not simply wield the power. It is a Government which is led by an outstanding figure of the calibre of Dr. Ghosh, a person of proved integrity and sincerity, a person who remains in the estimation of the multitude of his countrymen, as a cultured gentleman, full of sweetness and light, incapable of harbouring immoral designs against his political opponents. If such a Government desire us to believe that there are subversive forces in the State, which may be making attempts to overthrow the State by violence we have to believe it. And if such a Government demand that we should grant them extra powers so as to enable them to operate a stitch in time in order to save nine, we have to comply with their demand. Nevertheless, I must give a warning to Government that they are drawing very heavily on the confidence of the public, and that this House is about to give them a very long rope with which to hang mischief-mongers, in fact, I consider the tope a bit too long. I apprehend that not only mischief-mongers but also innocent men may be hanged with the help of this rope. The necessity on the part of Government to be ever vigilant can therefore never be overemphasized. I must insist upon the Hon'ble Minister to giving an assurance that, the Minister in charge of the department, himself, and his Secretariat will not fail in their duty of seeing that our hard-won liberty will not be made namka waste democracy but kamka waste jabardasti.

danab MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I heartily congratulate our Hon'ble Premier for his very successful piloting of the West Bengal Security Bill. I also congratulate him for the very able manner in which he has carried on the debate when the Bill was on the legislative anvil. He may not be eloquent, his speeches may not be flowery or furious, they may be concise, but with astounding clearness he did confine himself to the matter of fact before the Assembly and the reasons he gave for opposing most of the amendments were that those amendments, if accepted, would frustrate the object of the Bill.

In this connection I would urge upon the House the absolute necessity of restricting the ardour of the members to be eloquent at the expense of decorum, sobriety and dignity of the House. If we analyse the relevent eloquent speeches by some of our members for and against the Bill and come down from the region of oration to a plain statement of facts, it will boil

down to hurling invectives and abuses on the devoted heads of one party cr other. Such abuse of the power of speeches must be stopped and all talks not relevant to the matter before the Assembly must be disallowed if the solemnity of the occasion and dignity of the House is to be preserved and the time and energy of the members are to be canalised in useful and healthy directions. We now always declare that we are now independent but have we realised the rights and obligations of independence? If I am to answer the question I would answer with a positive "no". That wonderful book, the Quoran, which is believed to be the message from God says, "Sovereignity is for those who follow the path of God and the path of God has been defined as a path of earnestness, path of virtue, path of fairness, path of rectitude. path of justice and the path of humanity". It means that those people who are the proud possessors of those qualities can achieve sovereign powers and independence. Judging by the standard laid down in the Quoran, the people of Bengal, or for the matter of that, India are unfit for getting freedom or independence or sovereign powers. Independence has yet to come. Unless we practise discipline, self-restraint, friendly, brotherly and humane feelings for all and sundry, independence will be a chimera which will elude our grasp. We, the Muslims, have been accused of communalism. We have been accussed of fanaticism and we have been accused of playing to the gallery. We have been accused of helping the British and it is said that the Congress alone fought and suffered for freedom. These are statements which are blasphemous and those who hold such views reveal their Himalayan ignorance of history and of facts. Who does not know the stand taken by Mir Kasim and the heroic bands associated with him against the British Imperialists. He declared war on them which cost him his life, position and everything. Who does not know their cruelties and torture practised by the Britishers upon the Muslims? It is the British who deprived them of their heritage. Those inhuman acts were followed by armed rebellion from one end of India to the other end led by Syed Abdullah and others, which is known as Wahabi rising. All these culminated in the Sepoy Mutiny which in fact was a national rising in 1857. During the period of nearly a century hundreds of Muslims were executed, thousands were killed and put behind the prison bars. I can say with confidence that Muslims were in the forefront and took a manly part in that struggle. During this one hundred years the Muslims were crushed and were entirely subjugated. Their culture, their language were destroyed, their wealth was confiscated and they were deprived of their means of livelihood and they had to fall back for their livelihood upon tilling of the soil and other despised occupations. Thus from a high level of culture and learning they lapsed into illiteracy, poverty and ignorance. I may mention here that the void thus created by the British by the elimination of Muslims from the official and commercial life of the country were eagerly filled up by the members of the other communities and they began to bask in the sunshine of British Imperialism and became active participants in the comfort and opulence of the Britishers.

It is in this juncture that the Indian National Congress came into being with the avowed object of wresting the powers gradually from the British Imperial hands. Then a struggle ensued which ultimately ended in the voluntary transference of powers from British to Indian hands and in separation of Muslim majority area from the Hindu majority area. Now the question arose to whom the powers were transferred. The militant Muslims of the Muslim majority area thought that the power had been transferred to them and in the Hindu majority area the militant Hindus thought that the power had been transferred to them and thus in respective areas one community began to commit oppression and violence on the other and the whole of India became a pandemonium and hell was let loose. Apart from Hindu-Muslim question, this transference of power has serious affected the peasants and workers. They began to demand higher wages and even

there was demand in certain places for participation in the management and profit as they also thought that they were entitled to exercise power. The capitalists resisted these demands and again there was a serious agrarian and industrial trouble. This has also aggravated the situation. For these reasons there is continual apprehension of the breach of peace. Even in rural areas of West Bengal there are militant Hindus who seriously think that they are the ruling authorities and the other community must meekly submit to anything they do. Even in local committees in districts and subdivisions it is they who dominate and the local officials calmly submit to them. They do not raise their voice against such domination. The counsels of others are thrown to the winds. Such is the arrogance of the leaders of the majority community in the mufassil. It is this background, it is this hot bed which nurses unrest, produces goondaism and is conducive to blackmarketing and foul practices. The Government thinks that violence can be met by violence in legislation and the outcome of this thought is the West Bengal Security Bill, the Black Marketing Bill and a host of other Bills. I am positively of the opinion that the confusion will be worse confounded by these measures

(At this stage the blue light was lit i

Sir, I want a few minutes more. I have nearly nurshed

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I think there will be another edition of this book it you go on reading from this book in this way. However, try to finish your speech

Janab MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: See, persons who are actuated by a certain motive and inspired by a certain ideal care a fig for their lives, safety or convenience or conform. It is they who turn anarchists, it is they again who form death squads and death battalions. We have seen enough of such persons even in Bengal as elsewhere

Sri SUSIL KUMAR BANERJEE: On a point of order, Sir I want to know whether that thing will be recorded as a speech.

Janab MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: Yes, certainly it will be recorded because I have jotted down notes from which I am speaking

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Come to the point

Janab MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: What is this Security Bill? It is nothing but a code for punishing such people. I think, Sir, it will defeat its own object. The remedy for all these things is to follow the mantra of Mahatma Gandhi who is the only ray of hope in this benighted country. Let us therefore drop this Bill. Let us all start on a mission of peace and communal harmony. Let us all obliterate all difference between man and man. Let all property and means of production and distribution be nationalised and let everything be managed by co-operative method and share with the workers and peasants the profits in a reasonable manner. Let us all start on a mission to ensure temoval of illiteracy, poverty and such like things. Mere profession of Kisan-Mazdoor Raj is of no avail. It will be regarded as a lip service. Let us all try to save Mahatma Gandhi who is truth and non-violence meanate. Let us discard hypocrisy and with these words I urge that the Hon'ble Minister should withdraw the Bill.

(At this stage the red light was lit.)

I will say something to those who talk of civil liberty. I would say civil liberty is a misnomer. Where there is no independence in the real sense of the word there cannot be any civil liberty. If the people be violent, if the people have no sense of fairness, if the people have no sense of limitity, they can have no civil liberty nor can they claim civil liberty. If the people

are fascists, if the people are not law-abiding, ordinary mortals will try to punish them, but a great man will reform them as Hazrat Mohammad did by invoking the divine interference in the shape of peace and concord.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Your time is up. Please sit down.

Janab MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: In conclusion, Sir, I learn with deep regret that our beloved, sincere and honest Premner, Dr. P. C. Ghosh, will not be here to guide and inspire us with his ideals here. Sir, I can assure him that he has our highest esteem and regard and Bengal, both East and West, will lose a great and selfless leader whose only aim was the uplift of both Bengals and establish harmony between all classes of people.

Janab MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: On a point of order, Sir. This is the third reading of the Bill. Our information is, and I think the rule says, that on a third reading a member, as long as he has got something useful to say or as long as he is in possession of the floor of the House, will be allowed to speak.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Certainly. He spoke for 12 minutes. I think that is enough

Janab MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: There is no time-limit in the third reading of the Bill

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, but I do not think there is any relevancy in what he is saying in the third reading of the Bill

Janab MUDASSIR HOSSAIN: I would protest that and say that it is the most relevant thing that I have spoken, and it I were in a court of law and had I been called upon to speak how it would be relevant I would explain it to the satisfaction of the court

8ri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Deputy Specker in intervening in the third reading of the Bill I would like only to speak a few words on the nature of the Bill itself and I shall not emulate the example of Janah Mudassir Hossam Salub in quoting from books and scriptures and telling us that the path of God is the path of earnestness, because if I am right, Sir, we have all of us been earnest whether in our support of the Bill or in our opposition to the Bill, and even in our abuses, but, Sir, I would like to point out one thing. There has been criticism of this Bill inside this House as also outside. I do not for a moment say that this Bill is not obnoxious because for every human and decent being any oppressive measure is bound to be obnoxious, but whether a measure is obnoxious or not, whether a measure is undesirable or not, we cannot judge by abstract theories alone and we have to take into account objective situation in which we are today. When the Congress Party lent support to this Bill it did not lend support to this Bill in the abstract but having regard to the situation we are in we thought that some such measure may perhaps be necessary in the troubled days that we are heading for, and that was why Congress Party made it a point not to allow the more violent Special Powers Ordinance to remain in force and to bring this Bill so that the public might get an opportunity to criticise the measures and to have an Act on the Statute Book after it has gone through the Legislature represuting all sections of opinion

Sir, I was really sorry to find today a statement by Sijuit Sarat Chandra Bose, the erstwhile leader of the Bengal Congress Parliamentary Party, wherein he has made certain allegations which a person of his calibre ought not to have made. I find from that statement, Sir, that he has yet said that this Bill can be given a fresh lease of life as many times as possible without knowing that there has been accepted a short-notice amendment moved from this side of the House deleting the words "so often as" in

clause 1 of this Bill which limits this Bill to an ultimate time-limit of two years only—one year by the Statute and one year by the resolution. (Janab Mdd, Khuda Bukhsh: It does not.) Certainly it does.

Secondly, Sir, Mr. Bose has also said that the reference to a High Court Judge is discretionary. I had hoped that an eminent lawyer as he is he would have taken the real meaning of the provision that has been added at the instance of the Congress Party wherein it has been made maindatory on the Government to refer each case to the High Court Judge and to abide by his decision, whether that decision goes in tayour of Government or against it.

Janab MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I use on a point of order. The horographe member is making a reference to a gentleman who is not a member of this House and he is also taking notice of what has appeared in the press. Is he right in doing so?

Sri BIMAL CHANDRA SINHA: Mr. Deputy Speaker, may I submit that the feet part of Mr. Kinda Bukhsh's point of order is really irrelevant be assert we are entailed to refer to Quoran and to books and to persons outside a reen. I think I am merterly certified to make a reference to a geral can who does not happen to be a member of this House, and so far as newspaper reference is concerned. I are not making any speech on that but I are referring to the Bill and then I are controverting an argument that has appeared somewhere.

Strong point has been finished and I shall not, at deterence to the wishes of the Opposition pursue that per Dorther but Sa. I would like to come to the point in the one los Mr. About Ralonan Smatt wherein he has stated that this Birl is the negation of the principle of individual liberty. He has further sool that democracy car never function without an Opposition. We would have welcomed such statements from the I how mable member of the Opposition if the Opposition members really prote ted against that Bill is an Opposition should, but it is to our great region, sor that the Opposition members and that they were set undecoded as a warther that would function as an Opposition or as a limb of the Government. We have always welcomed constitutional opposition because we samte agree with the view-point expressed by Janab Abdur Rahman Salab that democracy cannot function without an opposition. What we say is that while welcoming constitutional opposition no State can brook that sort of opposition which we witnessed before the Assembly gates a few days before who this Bill was to be introduced in this House

Thirdly, Sir, I would like to come to the points made out by Sirjut or shall I say Mr. Jyou Basa. (Mr. Jyou Byst . Anything you please) I must agree with him when he says that security measure is not the whole thing that should be the consideration of Government. I quite agree with him that there are many real probems before the people of this country They have to give them food, they have to give them the minimum needs of living and they have to make them real men in the real sense of the terms. I quite agree with him so far as these points are concerned, but unfortunately. Sir, I have to differ from him when he says that security measure is not a measure which must have precedence over other measures. for you know. Sir, that without security all our efforts to protect them from the distress they are in, from the unending troubles they have been suffering so for from the dark days of the previous administration, from the days of the tennine, from the days of the war, from the days of governmental misrule, will be of no avail. If we have to protect the people from these and lastly from the hatted and passion toused by the communal policy followed by the Muslim League Government during these ten years, a security measure is of paramount importance. Along with our consideration of the measures for giving food, relief and succour to the people we are bound to consider

what measures of security we have to take in order to save India and her newly born freedom from the clutches of reactionary elements that still roam here and which unfortunately led to the division of India.

But, Sir, I cannot really understand the points raised by Janab Siddiqi the other day. He said that Congress members have now performed a somersault and things like that. Before examining his arguments, I must quote his words uttered on the 9th August, 1938, when a motion of no-confidence was tabled against the then Ministry. He quoted in Urdu which I unfortunately cannot read but he for our benefit made a translation of that which reads:—

"If we sit (doing nothing) we are called 'idle'

(But) if the Shaikh sits-it is taken as resignation to Divine Will." I would like Mr. Siddiqi to remember these words. Unfortunately, Sir. while intervening in a debate on a particular clause which sought to give power to the High Court he was very hard on the members of this side of the House and on a particular member especially and without having confined his remarks to the purport of the clause itself he spoke of a particular member not only most unkindly but. I do not know if it wasmost arelevantly. I shall not say that it was humbug. Well, Su, Mr. Siddigi said that Congress members have now performed a somersault I understand the viewpoints of Mr. Jvoti Basu, but it was a little surprising to find Mr. Siddigi so solicitous of civil liberty and of the interest of political prisoners when I remember, Sir, that only a few years ago he was pleased to call the political prisoners as the damads of the Government. It was really interesting to find the person who called the political prisoners the damads of Government now shouting hourse in sympathy with the political prisoners not political prisoners, Sir, but criminals who are tiving to overthrow the State. Sir, it is very interesting to find that a staunch supporter of the Muslim League Ministry who roused dark passions and hatred and communal antagonism now comes forward for all the privileges of civil liberty and individual liberty. Anyway, I do not put much emphasis on what Mr. Siddiqi said, because Mr. Siddiqi has been in his usual habit of saying things which he does not really mean

In conclusion of my speech, I would like only this much to say that an obnoxious measure as it is, it stinks in the nose of every lover of freedom and particularly it sticks in the throat of the Congress Party which has so long tought and died for civil liberty and for the fundamental rights of the people, but, Sir, remembering that glorious past the Congress Administration and the Congress Party have yet to pass this measure, because in the context of the present situation if independence has to be saved, if the country has to be put on a stable basis there must be some sort of a security measure, so that the innocent people may not be drowned in a sea of blood, some portion of which was noticed in the streets of Calcutta since the 16th August, 1946. That is the sole raison d'etre for this Bill and nothing else It depends on the people of this country now to establish confidence, mutual good will and sympathy, and it that confidence, good will and sympathy are restored I feel sure the Congress Government will be the first to withdraw this measure, and I have not the slightest doubt that this measure shall not remain for a moment longer than it is necessary. But, Sir, I would like to appeal to the members of this House, that it, entirely depends on the people of this province to say whether this measure will remain in the statute book or not. It does credit to no party to shout abuses to each other, and therefore I would like to say to all sections of the people to come to a mutual understanding and create confidence, so that this Bill may not be necessary. But, Sir, can you really say that in the present context this Bill is absolutely unnecessary? Government have always been reasonable and they have reasonably put torward amendments, so that the possibilities of misuse of the powers that may take place may be reduced to the minimum. I do not say, Sir, that there cannot be any misuse of powers, because no law can be foul-proof or any other proof. I would request all sections of this House and through them the people of Bengal that the ultimate remedy lies in constant vigilance. You know, Sir, that eternal vigilance is the price of liberty. I do not agree with the viewpoints expressed by some members that this Bill is a negation of civil liberty, because no Government of the land, whether it be of the Congress type or of any other type, can take away the fundamental right of revolution and supplanting the Government if they go astray. Even conceding that right, we shall say that it the people be vigilant then all chances of misuse of powers will be reduced to the minimum, and no Government can dare dety public opinion it the people are not working at cross purposes and if they are not against the stability of the State of are not trying to overthrow the State as such

Sri JYOTI BASU: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, today we have reached the finale of a diabolical measure which is to disgrace our people in the exis of the world, and which tears to pieces the most cherished democratic rights and institutions all over the world. Taday is the day of boundless mistortunes, for civil liberties are about to be cruelly slain. The chief actor in this drama, I believe, is about to leave the stage, not because any principles are involved, but because of petty and sordid jealousies within the Congress Party. Whilst there will not be any rejoicing at the installation of a new Ministry, neither will there be any tears again for the departure of Dr. Ghosh, to, within tour months under his leadership agreement and shame have been heaped on the people of Bengal. As against the advocates of tyranny sitting there opposite adorning the annisterial benefes I have represented the countless millions who are in prison and outside and who have sacrificed everything in this stringgle for democracy and for free life. I have spoken for those who have dedicated their lives even unto death for the fight against the Rowlatt Act and Andersonian rule.

I have espoused the cause of Khudiram, Kanailal and Surva Sen and thers who mounted the gallows shouting liberty or death. I have in my protests drawn sustenance from the common people who rose in revolt against the autocratic alien rule and their tyranny and believed in freedom. It has been my endeavour to show in clause after clause through my amendnents that this Bill seeks to enchain citizens, that this Bill seeks to fasten upon hen necks the voke of slavery and misery. It has been my purpose to rouse he conscience and the vigilance of the people against a measure which will be used to crush all the movements of the people for a better life; to smother opposition and to vihity those who criticize Government measures and oppose the Government in any way as eremass of the State becomes even though an amendment has been accepted that it there is legitimate auticism of Government it will not come under the purview of this black Bill, yet we know that only a few days back, the Suadhinata, the daily paper of the Communist Party of Bengal, because it organised and offered apposition to the Bill, has been defined of all Government advertisements which had been given to that paper but have now been stopped. So, I have also shown how the police are being installed us our masters and armed a th extraordinary powers, the police who have not changed since the 15th st August, 1947, the police who had been through their training taught to be anti-people, anti-democratic and brutalised. I have demonstrated with examples how workers and political parties and individuals have been made to suffer under the Special Powers Ordinance which exists today have proved by quoting from secret military and Criminal Investigation Department circulars how legal parties are being spied upon by the Congress Government. I have shown how they are treating legal parties as subversive parties and the action of their members as subversive acts.

It has been sought to be made out that apart from communal danger the State has been beset by enemies from within and hence special powers are necessary for the police. Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha speaking just now has made out the point that this Bill is obnoxious to any freedom-loving I am glad that he made that speech, and that at least there is one on the Congress side who agrees to that. But I also would suggest to him that I have tried to point out here that security is the first thing which is necessary for any State, whether it be new or old, but I shall point out later that that security does not be in the path that the Ministers are treading today, but that, in clause after clause, I have tried to point out. In fact we know to our bitter experience that it is neither the police nor the bureaucracy nor even the Ministers themselves, but it is the people alone who can save the State. History has amply borne testimony to the fact that after a successful revolution it is a free people fully armed, and enjoying the confidence of the Government, who can rise as one man to defeat all encuries. But to enable the people to act as free men and rally round the Government, urgent and swift measures are necessary to improve the condition of the common people. And there I agree with Dr. Bidhan Chandra Roy when he said the other day that swift and urgent measures were necessary today in Bengal and in India. But we differ, Sir, with regard to what should be those swift and urgent measures. I claim that it is necessary to save the State, to improve the condition of the common people, to stop soaring prices, to limit profits, to raise the wages of workers and to nationalise the main industries and to destroy the zamindary system in order to give the land to the tiliers, to mete out exemplary punishment to profitcers and black-marketeers and those people who disturb communal harmony. Sn, this alone will ensure the safety and stability of the State. That was the path which the masses wanted to tread on the 15th of August when shouts of joy tent the sky of Bengal People ciadled themselves in the hope that their sufferings would begin to end, but then fond hopes were shattered within the space of three months. Sn, the Congress leaders are following a different path They are pretending to preserve the State on the one hand by not confiding in the people but by shackling their liberty, by declaring strikes illegal, by dividing their ranks through the Government-sponsored trade union congress. On the other hand public order is being ensured by abolishing excess profits tax, by guaranteeing more profits, unlimited profits through decontrol and by refusing to nationalise the industries both Indian and foreign, and by refusing to confiscate without compensation the landed interests. The people are called upon to forego civil liberties, and Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru said so in his speech when he came to Calcutta. But compensation is not kept in abeyance to lessen sufferings and poverty in India because it is said that compensation has been guaranteed by the fundamental constitution of India. That is, we are to ensure the safety of the rich through the enslaving of the poor. Their solicitude is for the parasites and not for those who toil. Those gentlemen sitting opposite me have betrayed the revolution and compromised with the rich and become survivors of the British and their new masters, the Americans. Their independence is as taked as the Hindusthan Motors which are made in England and stamped "Hudusthan" in India Therefore all honour to Bengal which has upheld the traditions of free men, which has carried forward the traditions of Congressmen when they fought unto death against the Rowlatt Act and the Audersonian rule in Bengal in the past. In Bengal most of the newspapers except the Hindusthan Standard and the Ananda Bazar Patrika have raised their protests against this measure of Government in spite of the fact that it is very difficult for them, for the editors themselves, to say what they like against the Government. Every College Union in Bengal has passed resolutions condemning this measure. Lakhs of people through meetings and demonstrations expressed their anger and indignation against it. The Government mobilised its armed forces; tear-gassed and fired at

our people; organised shows with Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru and Sardar/Patel spending thousands of rupees; Dr. Symmprasad Mookerjee of the Hindu Mahasabha, Sardar Baldev Singh and Dr. Rajendra Prasad were also summoned urgently to stem the democratic upsurge of our people. Where reasoning tailed, the prestige of law was called for brow-beating our people into submission; and the last but not the least, the whole State machinery with its police, the Indian National Trade Union Congress and Government gooddas were set in motion to terrorise the population which could not be fulled into sleep by the leaders' narcotic charm. Even today the terror continues in Behaghata and Natkeldanga, but it must be remembered that in spite of the failure of the strike of 5th January last over a lakh of workers all over Bengal paned the political strike and demonstrated against this black Bill

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, the State is indeed in jeopardy from the people sitting opposite. All State secrets are being sold to the British and American imperialists, our secret service is being trained in England; the supreme organs of State power, the army and the navy, are being manned by our imperialist enemies, but aims are being distributed by their agents for perpetuating civil war inside India. In the name of liberty of our country and humanity, and in the interests of the welfare of our mothers, sisters, wives and children, let us trample on this dangerous decree, let us reject with abomination all the vicious laws of those gentlemen. Will Congressmen stand by calmly and permit this foul law to be added on the statute book? How long will they tolerate this monument of treachery and surrender which undermines the safety of the State? The battle is not ended. Experience and suffering are rudely awakening the people. They are closely watching the face of the leaders from whom the mask has fallen off Bengal shall not rest till this shameful document of slavery is torn to pieces and this crime against society avenged. The war against the black Bill is a war for real freedom and complete break with the British and American Imperialists

In conclusion, Sir, I would call upon the people of Bengal in this sacred struggae to remember a famous passage. Man's dearest possession is life and since it is given to him to live but once, let him so live as not to be seared with the shame of a trivial and cowardly past, so live as not to regret for years without purpose, so live that dying be can say "All my life and all my labour has been given to the finest cause in all the world-sche liberation of mankind".

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: M: Deputy Speaker, Su. I have historical with patience to the speech of a prospective Minister and that has given me an idea how the Covernment of Bengal will be run in future.

Sir, before the proceedings end today, the West Bengal Security Bill which is most popularly known as kala kanna will be passed into law. Sir, it is amazing that the very people who shed their blood to resist aggression on their rights, civil liberties and rights of freedom of thought, expression and speech have, as soon as power was handed over to them after the 15th August, taken the first opportunity to launch an aggressive measure of a kind which vividly reminds us of the memories of British Raj in this country. Sir, this is not a new measure. As a Persian poet has said.

"In whatever guise you may come, I know you by your stature."

Sir, we all know that the provisions of this Bill can be found in the Rowlatt Act. We all know the provisions and clauses of this Bill can be found in the Safety Bill which was introduced in the Indian Legislative Assembly and we also know the disturbances, the losses of lives which took

place after these Bills were introduced. So, for us this is no new measure. It is only a measure to retain the powers which the Britishers wanted to retain and which the present Government want to retain so that other people may not come in and unseat them and take power in their hands.

Sir, public opposition and public resentment have been fully demonstrated in the province. Meetings have been held, presided over by leaders of public opinion and though the Ministry did not think it necessary to give any time tor the expression of public opinion by referring this Bill for public opinion, even then, Sir, whatever time was available, the public has expressed its opinion and the opinion was all against the enactment of this measure. If there had not been opposition in this House which could be felt and opposition which could be effectively demonstrated, it is because the Opposition is in a microscopic minority, a minority which will continue to be till new election takes place. After the transfer of power if election had taken place, perhaps we would have found a different set of people sitting on the Government benches. It is for this reason that you do not find effective opposition in this House. So long as this remnant of the Muslim League Party consisting of only 8 or 10 members remain in opposition by itself, there cannot be any opposition to any measure, however bad it may be or however oppressive it may be, that the Government may bring in this House.

Sir, my friend has said that this Bill is only for a period of two years. Why two years? It would have been better if he had said that this Bill is only for such period till the election is over so that the party which is in power today is not unseated. It is intended that they may come again in numbers to be in a majority to occupy the ministerial benches. Otherwise, I do not see any sense in bringing forward this measure when it is not needed in a peaceful province. We have got measures, we have got Acts which are sufficient to cope with any kind of disturbance in this province. Have we not got the Goondas Act—you can extern the goondas, you can intern them, you can put them in custody. There will be no trouble in bringing into operation those Acts. Why is Government not taking recourse to those Acts? As a matter of fact, I know that many hundreds of goondas have been released. Instead of keeping them in jail so that they may not create disturbance, they have been let out, to justify the enactment of this measure.

It is a truism that particular people are meant for particular kinds of work. Churchill was meant to win the war. As soon as the war was over, people found that there was no use of him left. They placed somebody who could march with the progress of time to lead the country forward toward prosperity so that they might improve and might regain what they had lost. Similarly, the Congress Party which has fought for the country's liberation has outlived its period of usefulness. It has done all it could. Now, the country wants a different set of people with different ideas and who can guide the country and command the respect of the world. Of course, we do not in any way despise these people, we do not in any way underrate their efforts to win treedom for us, but now their period of usefulness is over and it is not fair that they should still forcibly misst that they should lead the people even when their services are not wanted. A chance should be given to the people to elect their new representatives who, in their opinion, are competent to guide them and if, Sir, an opportunity——

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Your time is up.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: What is my time - i minutes of 4 minutes?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Your time is 5 minutes.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: May I know under what rule I am debarred from speaking any more?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: There is no question of rule. It has been agreed to by both parties.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Then I withdraw whatever I have said. I could only touch the tringe of what I wanted to say.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: All right, you go on.

Shaikh MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: If the Government thinks that there is need for the enactment of such a measure, the proper course would have been to take the Opposition into confidence, to take every section of the House into confidence and place facts before justifying their action and introduction of the kala kanoan. None of us on this side of the House has ever been consulted—I do not know about my European, Anglo-Indian and Communist friends if they ever been consulted. The reasons which have been given by the Premier of Bengal are not convincing. He has not been able to convince us that a measure of this nature is necessary except the fact that they want to pass a Bill in vengeance as an Ordinance of this nature was in existence before they came to power. That is no reason. I say he has interly failed to convince this House—must less the public outside—that a measure of this kind is necessary.

Sir, people who have criticised this Bill, who have opposed this Bill, have been criticised on the floor of this House. I think that is unfair because criticism of a speech should be made in presence of a man who has made that speech. I do not think it was fair on the part of any member to criticise a speech which was made in public. The proper course would have been to make speech in public against what has been said in public. I am glad to hear that members sitting opposite have admitted that this Bill is obnoxious. I hope. Sir, -as a matter of fact, I am convinced that when they leave the House, and go out of this Chamber precinct they themselves will be convinced that today they have passed an obnoxious measure which will go down in the history of West Bengal as a kala kanun. They themselves are conscious that there is no need of a measure of this nature and that as members of the party they have not the courage to speak out but to carry out the party mandate. I know directions have been received not from the Congress Committee in Bengal but from an outside directing body at Delhi that a measure of this kind should be enacted. I hope some day a better sense will prevail and the Act will be repealed long before its due date

Sit. I feel that the proper course is not to have a measure of this kind, the proper course for the Government is to win the people by love, by persuasion, because it is not a foreign Government. It is a Government of our own, and it is our people whom we have to put right. It is only by a message of love and persuasion that we can bring them round. It is said that there are arms in the country. Who does not know that? The persons who possess tominy guns and bren guns are known to the Government. They are also known in the locality. It is by persuasion that they can be returned. The Premier told us during the rior "I will secure all those gains be asking the people to return the arms to the people, by persuasion and by a message of love." It is only by giving the young men a due share in the administration of the province that you can bring them round. Now that treedom is won, everybody is anxious to take part in the administration and if you shut the door and retain the power to have a share in the administration.

Janab MAHAMMAD SAYEED MIA: Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, we en this side of the House are totally opposed to the West Bengal Security Bill, because it seeks to interfere with the fundamental rights and liberty of the citizens of Bengal. The provisions of the Bill are so drastic, so repugnant and so dangerous in effect that they cannot be consciously supported or tolerated by any civilised people. The Bill intends to explode the universal

principle that no citizen can be detained in prison without trial. The Congress has all along fought during the British rule against all sorts of repressive and oppressive laws and illegal detention and punishment of innocent persons. The same Congress leaders—the accredited fighters for liberty after the glorious achievement of freedom of our country-have now thought it fit and right to encroach upon the liberty of their fellow countrymen on the mere pretext of maintenance of public peace and safety and stability of the province. The Congress leaders after gaining powers and sitting at the helm of the administration have forgot the past, lost their balance of mind and now choose to come forward with this black Bill, because they smell imaginary dangers and apprehend troubles throughout the length and breadth of the country, where peace and harmony is reigning by the grace of God and no kind of big riots or disturbances are apprehended or reported from any district of Bengal. If there is any such case, the present existing law is sufficient to deal with the situation. After the dawn of freedom in this country it was reasonably expected of the Congress leaders that they would begin well with some of their declared constructive programmes and sponsor in this House some such important Bills as could have led to enhance the welfare, progress and prosperity of the longexploited and impoverished people of Bengal. But they have disappointed the country in this respect. It is most regrettable that when some members on this side spoke something objecting to the provisions of the Bill, the members on the Government side would say "why are you so much perturbed". It is because we have grave doubts and misgivings that the executive authorities and the police officers who are invested with such unfettered and unlimited powers for arrest and detention without trial will, as has been experienced in the past, misuse or abuse these powers and there is every possibility that the Bill will do incalculable mischiefs to the people in general for whose interest it is being hurried through the Legislature. It is all the more deplorable that even the most reasonable amendments of the Opposition were not accepted by the Government Party. Our popular Prime Minister has more than once said that the provisions of the Bill will be applied in extraordinary circumstances and will be sparingly used; but he has overlooked or torgot the fact that he is arming with undue powers the same bureaucratic executive and police machinery of the past British Rule which has not yet changed its old policy or attitude towards the people and even after the attainment of freedom and inauguration of this popular Government, the higher executive and police authorities are seen to fully rely on the exaggerated onesided reports of the lower grade police officers and pass hasty orders for arrest and detention in prison without trial, without caring to hear or enquire into the true allegations and legitimate grievances of the aggreeved innocent persons How recklessly and thoughtlessly the law can be misused by the executive has been proved in a very recent Allahabad High Court case. The District Magistrate of Kanpur passed orders of detention in October, 1947, under an Ordinance which was no longer in existence since March, 1947. The emment High Court Judge has observed that where the liberty of the subject is being taken away without any trial, it is necessary that the executive authorities should exercise utmost care and caution and there should not be formal detects or mistakes in their orders. The present Bill has made ample provision to deprive the liberty of an individual to detain him in prison and to punish him in every possible way. But the Bill has made no provision for the enquiry into the atrocious conduct of an executive officer and the police when they are found to misuse the law or unnecessarily harass a man or illegally detain him in prison. In this connection I cannot but refer to the reckless police firings and mass arrests and other police atrocities that were perpetrated on the Mushims of Malda. Our definite conviction is that that firings by the police and consequent loss of valuable lives could have been avoided if the situation had been tactfully handled by responsible leaders and high officials. Our considered opinion

is that the police should not have been allowed to deal recklessly with the life, liberty and honour and prestige of the people. I appeal to the Hon'ble the Chief Minister to withdraw all the cases due to the police firing in Malda and thereby infuse a sense of security into the heart of Malda Muslims.

Janab MOLLA MOHAMMAD ABDUL HALIM: Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, the Security Bill will soon be passed into an Act and the controversy raised over it will end but the sting will be there. The sweeping provisions of the Bill are certainly a menace to civil liberty in the hands of unscrupulous officers and particularly the police. This Act may serve as a plant tool to harass and oppress even the honest and law-abiding citizens in all possible ways Top-ranking Congress leaders occupying highest positions of honour and responsibility have expressed doubts in no uncertain terms about the allegiance of the minority in the Indian Dominion and that in spite of solemn affirmation by the minority of their alleganice to the State. In this atmosphere of doubt and distrust it is no wonder that the minority feels nervous and panicky Unwarranted police firing at Patuabhanga, Nabadwip, resulting in 6 or 7 killed and many more injured followed up by heavy arrests from one community only and rounding up of Muslim League leaders at Murshidabad on mere suspicion had a very demoralising effect and produced a general sense of insecurity among the minority community in West Bengal - We demanded public enquity into the police firing at Patuabhanga but it was a cry in the wilderness. May we hope that the promise given by the Hon'ble the Chief Minister to personally look into the matter will be kept?

Sir, with the dawn of August 15 lost communal discord should have been a thing of the past, but unfortunately to our utter shaine it still stalks the land. Now the question is what is the remedy. I think the remedy has much more in the hands of the majority community than elsewhere. I request the honourable members of the Treasury Benches to have a little of self-retrospection and try to rule by love. Love begets love; for love me and I love you, and Mohatma Gandhi's dream will be a reality. There will be Rain Raj or kingdom of heaven on earth.

Ser, before I conclude let me remind the House of the advice of Mahatma Gandhi not to doubt the allegance of the minority unless and until anything to the contrary is found. This, I think will go a long way towards solving the minority problem that contionts the Government. Doubt and district have been allowed to cause enough mischief. Let us now civ halt and murch forward in love, peace and harmony on the path of Swaraj.

Sri NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITI: ডেপ্টি প্লীকার মংগাদর, এর প্রের্ব্ আমাদের যে সমস্ত বনধ্ এই বিলেব বিরোধিতা করে বলে পেছেন, তাদের ভিতর দিয়ে এই কথাই পরিকার হয়েছে। তারা বলেছেন যে time is very hard এবং envil liberty থাকা 6 State এর জয়িছিল গাকা লরকাব, এ সর কথা তারো স্বীকার করেছেন। এমন কি আমাদের কমিইনিট সদস্য মি ডেয়াতি বস্তু তা অস্বীকার করেনিন। কিন্তু বিরোধীরা বল্ছেন যে এই আইনের প্রয়েজনীয়তা বস্তামান সময়ে দেখা যাছে না। এবং সেই স্থেগ রায়্ত ভোতি বেগা ভাতার প্রভ্রু ঘোষ সম্বদ্ধে যে সমস্ত বিষয় উল্লেখ করেছেন, তার উল্লেখ করা সমীচনীন বলে আমি মনে করি না। ডাকার প্রভ্রু চন্দ্র ঘোষ আজীবন দেশের কাল করে এসেছেন, অন্তত্ত এই দীর্মা ৭৭ বংগর ধরে। তিনি এখন প্রান্ত যে বেশ পরিধান করে থাকেন, মি ডেয়াতি বস্তু বেশ তার থেকে তফাং। মিং ডেয়াতি বস্তু এখনও ছাই কোটো যাতায়াত করেন। ডাং প্রফ্র ঘোষের অন্য কাল নেই, দেশপেরা ছাড়া। আভ্রেক—

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, on a point of order. উদি বলেছেন যে আদি High Courta যাতারাত করি, কিন্তু আদি বলছি, আদি যাতারাত করি না।

Sri NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITI: তিনি হাতায়াত করতে না পারেন, কিন্তু তিনি বার-এট-ল. এতে সন্দেহ নেই। এবং তারে তেরণা আসে বাহির থেকে --কোথার দুদ্র রাগিয়া এবং ভারপরে ইংলণ্ড থেকে। এবং সেখান থেকে বে প্রেরণা আসে সেটা কৃত্রিম শ্রেণীর প্রেরণা। ডাঃ প্রফুল ঘোবের প্রেরণা তার থেকে তের বেশী বড় ও মহান। বহু হাজার বংলর থেকে বে আদর্শ আমাদের শাল্রে, আমাদের ধর্মান্তকে লেখা আছে, ভার থেকে প্রেরণা আস্ছে ডাঃ প্রফুল ঘোরের। কাজেই বখন এই কথা বলা হয় যে তিনি যদি আরু চলে যান, তার জন্য কোন দৃংখ নেই, সেটা প্রতান্ত প্রসালক হবে। তিনি যদি আরু প্রাইম মিনিন্টার পদ থেকে চলেই যান, তিনি যাবেন দেশের প্রতি মহান কর্তুব্বের আহানে এবং ভার অতুল ত্যাপান্দীকারের ফলে তিনি আরও উচ্চতর কর্ম্মান্তকে যাবেন। এবং সেইজনাই তিনি কংগ্রেস ওরাকিং কমিটির সদস্য গৃহীত হয়েছেন। কাজেই এই স্বর্জনপ্রির দেশপ্রেমিক সম্প্রেশ এগন কথা মোটেই সক্ষত হর্মন। আরু এই আইন সম্বন্ধে যারা বিরোধিতা করছেন, তাদের বিরোধিতার মূলে আছে এই কথা যে তারা দেখতে চান না যে আমাদের দেশের ল্যাধীনতা বজার থাকে—বিশেষ করে, আমার বন্ধু, এখানকার কমিউনিন্ট সদস্য। যখন ১৯৪২ সালেতে ডাঃ প্রফুল চন্দ্র ঘোষ এবং আরও এদিকের অনেক সদস্য জেলে গিরেছিলেন, তখন তারা অম্যানবদনে, অক্নিউতিতে এবং হাসিম্বে তখনকার গছণমেণ্টকে সাহায্য করেছিলেন। (A voice: Deputy Speaker, Sir, মাননীয় সদস্য কি এই বিলের সম্বন্ধে বল্ছেন গ্র

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: আপ্নি এই বিল সম্বদ্ধে বল্ন।

Sri NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITI: আমি এই বিল সম্বন্ধেই বল্ছি. কিন্তু এ'দের ম্থোস্টো খ্লে দিতে চাই।

Sri JYOTI BASU: वालिन विकारे। भएक प्रत्थन नाहे।

8ri NIKUNJA BEHARI MAITI: আজ যারা বিলের বিরোধিতা কবছেন, जीवा प्रनार्श्वमादक व वको मुस्थाम, भरवरहन, स्मरे मुस्थाम यठ गीध श्राल याव्र, प्रनाय भरक उन्हें मकाल কারণ দেশপ্রেম একে বলা যায় না। একদিনেই, কেবল ম্থের কথায় কিষাণ-মছদ্রদেব এক অদ্ভূত উহতি গড়ে তুলতে চাওয়া-একে প্রকৃত দেশপ্রেম বল্ব না-এটা কাচা দেশপ্রেম। এটা তাদের হলম হয়নি: रक्रम रटल এकशा जीता वन्तराजन ना। रामाराज्य राज्य राज्य अक जरनत रियान थाक उपवास करत तरप्रराहन प्परनात कनातान छना. नवनक प्परनात न्यायीना तथा कनात छना। याछि प्पनात्थम जापन प्रधान थाक मिक्स कहा डैंठिछ। आछ धामाप्तत एकेंद्रे स्वाधीन ताचेत्क तक्स कहत्र्छ श्रव। आमवा आछ स्वाधीनका পেয়েছি। এই স্বাধীনতার জন্য আমরা লড়াই করেছি। এবং এই স্বাধীনতা আমরা আজ বন্ধা করেতে যারা অপকার করতে চায়, তাদের অপচেন্টা থেকে, যাবা দেশের স্বাধীনতা রক্ষা কর্তে চায়, যারা সেইজন্য লড়াই কর্তে চায়, তাদের বিরুদ্ধে এই আইন প্রয়োগ হবে না, এটা প্রধান মন্ত্রী পুনঃপূনঃ बर्लाह्म। किन्तु विद्रुप्थवामीता प्राठे। প्राणिधान ना करत्र प्लामत लाकरक ज्ञान्त लथ लीक्कालित कद्राल চেন্টা করছেন। এটা প্রয়োগ হবে তাদের বিরুদ্ধে যারা এই শিশ্ স্বাধীনতাকে বলি দিতে চায়। আমার বিশ্ব মুদাস্সির ছোসেন সাছেব বলেছেন নিব্নিচনেব কথা। আমরা তীর সেই আছন্তন আনন্দসহকারে গ্রহণ করতে অপ্তুত আহি। চল্ন, কোখায় যেতে হবে। সেই আহনানেব জন্য আমরা প্রস্তুত আহি। व्यामता ज्ञानि कनभाषात्रापत मण ७ देव्या এवर क्ञानि वालदे बाख निविद्धाः এই विज भाग दास गाव्यः। कास्तरक्रन এখান থেকে সেখান থেকে কিছু লোক সংগ্রহ করে হল্লা করলেই তাকে জনসাধারণের সমর্থন বলা চলে না। সেইরকম হল্লা যে কোন ব্যক্তি যে কোন সময়ে কবতে পারে। সেটা দেশের স্বর্জনাধাবণের মনোভাবের indication বা शैक्शिष्ठ এ কথা বলা যায় না। গণ্ড-প্রসম্মন্ত বৈধ বিরোধিতা অবশা গুছ-প্রয়াগা যেমন এই বিলে কৰা চতে। প্নংপ্ন: ডিভিডন ডাকা হয়েছে এবং প্নংপ্ন: আমরা বেরিয়ে গিয়েছি ও खिलार अप्राहि। कारक्षे अरे रय गगनन्त्रलम्मन अथ त्ररहाह, याँच अरे गढगाँमणे स्थाल समना निर्टि हेत्र, তবে এই বৈধ পথ ধরে সেই ক্ষমতা নেওয়া উচিত। দেশের দবর্থি, গ্রামে নগরে গিয়ের প্রচার কব্ন, এই व्यक्तिको भूव भारतभ अवर शाउँ शाउँ माठि प्रकल्पक वसून, जीहरा थिन अह भएक छाउँ रा एनन। सहसहा তার জনা প্রস্তুত আছি। এবং তারো যদি স্লেখানে ভয়যুদ্ভ হন, এই আইন একদিনও টিকরে না। কিন্তু जा याम ना इन, जाइ'ला ब्याह्र इरव. এই आहेरनद नाथ'कजा तरहरह। এই आहेन आनारक क'ल्लानत रनड़-म्हानीम् वाक्ति ६ कर्रशामकस्मीता। कादश लादा मर्न कर्द्रम এই बाहरनद वादमारुला द्रावाह। এই बाहरनद अरहाभ करत, उभामत विद्राल्य, यभाहा जारचेत विरहायी काल कराइतन। य अमन्त मुजनमान बन्ध अधान बाह्म जीरमंत्र कार्य भाष्यीति बार्यमन करहरम्। बामहा हार्डे, क्षे रेडेनिहरनंद्र हिड्ड प्रमन्त वान्ति, ख क्लान क्षेम्प्यस्तित हाक ना रूकन प्रकल्प equal rights वा प्रमान क्षिवकात e civil liberty वा र्याव न्याविना शान। व्यावता विक्यू मूजनमान, ब्राचेन, शान्यी, रवीन्त शान्य शक्तित सरवा रक्षान विरक्त हायर हाई ना। व्याक प्रताप रव न्यावत प्रतिक त्रावर प्रतिक त्रावर हाई ना। व्याक प्रताप रव न्यावत प्रतिक त्रावर प्रतिक त्रावर हान हा रविक ना हाई हो ना ना। प्रतिकान कर्ष्य शिक्या श्रिक्त वेत न्यावर क्ष्यावर विकाश क्ष्यावर विकाश हो निवास वा व्यावर निवास क्ष्यावर हो निवास वा व्यावर निवास क्ष्यावर हो निवास वा व्यावर विकाश हो निवास वा व्यावर विकाश हो निवास हो निवास वा व्यावर विकाश हो निवास है निवास हो निवास ह

(At this stage the House was adjourned for fifteen minutes)

(After adjournment)

MAITI: মাননীয় ডেপ্টি স্পীকার মহাস্যে, আমি Sri NIKUNJA BEHARI এই कथा द'लिছलाम रव प्रकटल श्दीकांत कंतरहम रव प्रमन्न खंडान्ड थात्राल योगंड गानिङ वाहाडः प्रथा वार्ष्क — किन्सु ठट् छात्रा स्वीकार करतन ना य धरे तकम आहेरनत आरमाकटा तरहारक। आमता, धाता न्वारीमठार रुमा वड़ाहे करवीह, धामारमंत्र राष्ट्र वाकि योजा ठीता गरम करवम धेहे व्रकम धाहरमंत्र धावना কতা রয়েছে। পণ্ডিত জহবলাল নেহবু ও সন্ধার বন্তভাই প্যাট্লেব মত লোক। ত্যারা বলেছেন যে এই রুক্ম আইনের আবশাকতা রয়েছে এবং অনামন প্রদেশেও এই বক্ষ আইন রুষ্টে। এবং আমরাও নেখতে कदार गामा, जीएमद शर्फ धरे बाहेम श्यांक छा शावाद काम कादश माहे। कादश जीवा ध्वाधीनजा दक्षा করতে চান : এই আইন প্রযুক্ত হতে কেবল তাদের বিরুদ্ধে যারে এই কন্টলভ্র ধ্বাধানচাকে বিপদ্ধ করতে हात तुरुपत बाहुरेनहिक मुलद विवृद्धि धरे आहेरनद श्रद्धाण दृद्ध नाः आभारमत श्रद्धान मण्डी महासम् প্নংপ্ন: বলেছেন যে এই আইন মাত্র এক বংসরকার স্হায়ী হতে এবং আবশ্যক হ'লে আর এক বংসরকাল वद प्राद्वाम वासान हरव।

याण य बाहेन हिल जानराज्य की वालकाकृत नंत्रम राम्राह कन कारिना अस्तक्थानि कर बाहेरन श्रीतज्ञक হয়েছে এবং শুধু তাই নর যে এক সজে। পশ্চিম বজের স্বর্তি এই আইনের প্রয়োগ হবে না, এই আইন যখন হেখানে আবলাক হবে তথন দেখানে প্রয়োগ হবে। প্রথা ধারা দেশের প্রাধীনতা রক্ষা করতে চান, যাশতা তারিসবাধীনতা চান, তাঁদের এই আইন থেকে ভয়ের কোন কারণ নাই। কিন্তু ব্যক্তিগত স্বাধীনতার माम क'रत बीदा এই बाचोक উन्छित्र फिट छाम, जीएमर मुख्य बदमा अल्ला कादन आह्न। आस्ट्रांक बीम দেশ थाय. शास्त्रपाशिकातात नारम প্ৰদায়িকতা खाभारमद ध्याक 574 নি*িচ্ছ 52 আইন श्राभ ०१द 574. ভাহ'লে প্রংপ্রং বলেছে যে সাম্প্রদায়িকতা আমাদের পরিত্যাগ করতে হবে। আমাদের স্বর্গেম্প্রদায়ের লোকের যাতে সমানভাবে উন্নতি হয় সেই হলে কংগ্রেসের দৈদল। এবং দেইওনাই বরাবর কংগ্রেস কাজ করে আসছে। ভাছাড়া আড় যারো আমাদের দেশে আথিকি সাম্য আনতে চান, তারো যদি প্রেণীসংঘর তৈবী না করে water এর পথ ছেতে দিয়ে আথিক সাম্য আনতে চান, এই আইন troubled निरुद्धे औरमत विद्यास अग्रांश कार मार किन्द्र काम दर्शक वा काम मध अध्यस्य संध्य कारत अहे बार्चोट न्वाधीनहारक विश्वम कंदरह धाहेरल. वह द्याहेन संदगाई हारमंद्र विदर्गेष्ट धार्म प्रवाह हेउंगा अंकित। अवना मिक दिक्किमा करत्र वालमारमञ्ज धरे वार्षमरक शर्म कता उठिउ। अनुत्राः वामि धरे दिलाद अम्लाम अमर्थम कद्रीष्ट এवर उन्हेंद्र ध्याय लाइल्ल्ट्रांक य अपे विस खानवन करद्राहन छात्र छना उपारक धनावाम मिलिए।

Janab MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, on the day this Bill was presented to the House I remarked that it was in most parts a replica of a measure that the Muslim League Government of undivided Bengal found it necessary to pass. When these Ordinances were laid before the House, honourable members who now constitute Government made speeches in fire-eating language, condemned them roundly, imputed all manner of motives to and levelled fantastic charges against Government.

Sir, we did not emulate our worthy predecessors because our party having long been associated with the Government of this country realised that at times of stress and emergency Government have to assume special powers. Sir, in this connection, if I am permitted to say so, in this wretched business of governing the country a Government has to anticipate difficulties and provide against them in advance. Indeed, Sir, the success or otherwise of Government depends largely on its ability to anticipate administrative difficulties. The honourable members who now constitute Government and who before their transformation were almost perpetually in opposition could never see the necessity of Ordinances or of special powers and were therefore the loudest in their condemnation. I hope, Sir, they will now realise that the Muslim League Government in taking away the liberties of citizens were inspired by the same noble motives as inspire the present Government who have also felt constrained—to quote Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha—to inflict an Ordinance Raj on Bengal.

Sir, the Bill has undergone some changes in some unimportant particulars since its introduction in the House. Powers that seemed necessary when the Ordinance was promulgated are not considered necessary now. Sir, this is a happy sign, but powers that have been retained are wide and sweeping enough to cause serious misgivings in the minds of lovers of individual liberty and freedom.

Sir, the people of Bengal are determined to eschew communal violence and they are united to a man to sategorid the stability of the province with from internal disruption and external aggression. There is an abundant proof of this wherever you care to look, Sir, and yet the Hon'ble Prime Minister has chosen to utter the warning that we are sitting on the edge of a volcano. Dr. Bidhan Chandra Roy, an enumently mature—and I must say—also an extremely astute politician has cautioned us in his speech the other day that we should not judge this measure in an atmosphere of unreality. Sir, this advice is eminently sound. Because there is suspiction, distrust, racial and political antagonism rampant elsewhere we must not conclude that those evil forces are at work in this province. I submit, Sir, that that would be judging this measure in an atmosphere of unreality.

Sir, whether a particular Ministry is sitting on the edge of a volume is of little consequence or whether a particular polytical party is sitting on the edge of a volume is of little consequence. What we must consider and consider seriously is whether the society is sitting on the edge of a volume or is in danger of disruption, then and only then would there be need of special powers to secure the stability of society. The Government have not been able to make out a convincing case that these special powers are at all necessary. Perhaps the Government have a case but have chosen not to take the country into their confidence. The Hon'ble Prime Minister has given a solemn promise that the powers shall not be abused and will only be invoked when the existing laws are found insufficient.

Su, we are now a free people with a popular Government in office, and we should have thought that the Government would take the people into their confidence before seeking to aim themselves with powers that might constitute the very negation of freedom. Su, we were so long a subject nation and every move, every measure initiated by Government under the British regime was suspected, and powers we would never have conceded willingly to those Governments we would cheerfully give to our own when we are satisfied that our Government need them. Sir, it is exactly this feeling that is wanting in the present instance and the nation is voicing its resentment through public sneetings, and as long as the Government are not able to convince the country of the necessity of these special powers the resentment will grow in volume and in intensity.

Sir, the nation has been taken by surprise by this Government. Going back to the solemn assurance given by the Hon'ble Premier that the powers

will not be abused and that due caution shall be exercised before persons are detained without trial, I have got incontrovertible proof that whatever may be the Premier's personal views and convictions he can certainly not speak for the party he leads today. As for instance, I will refer to the amendment in clause 35 standing in the name of Sri A. K. Ghose and moved by Mr. Bimal Chandra Sinha where the words and figures "except sections 16 and 17" were sought to be deleted. You will observe, Sir, that this was a recommendation by the Select Committee where Mi A. K. Ghose was also present on special invitation. Mr. J. C. Gupta 1 hope he is here—will hear me out.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: On a point of order, Sir Is he at liberty to give out the secrets of the Select Committee's

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: No, he cannot

Janab MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I submit Sir, that I am not giving out any secrets. If you carefully look and the Bill you will find that I am reterring only to that portion of the proceedings which is underlined and which indicates the recommendations of the Select Committee. It will also show that Dr. Ghosh who presided over that Select Committee accepted the recommendation. I am referring to what has appeared in print in the book form. I hope, Sp., that you will rate that point of order as our of order.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I do not know what were the deliberations of the Select Committee

Janab MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: I would again request Dr Glosh to point out in what particulars I have transgressed. I hope I have not violated any secrets placed in my keeping in the Select Committee, because I s. If maintain that I have not divulged any secrets. I have only referred to the portion of the Select Committee minutes which has been underlined and, I hope published under your authority.

I hope Mr. J. C. Gupta will bear me out that it was the unanimous sense of the Select Committee that the power of detaining persons should remain in the exclusive possession at the Chief Minister and should not be delegated to subordinate officers. That was agreed to by Dr. Ghosh, presumably on behalf of his party. But what do we see now? His party refused to be committed by him and obliged him to go back on his words and that important sateguard was not allowed to be incorporated in the body of the Bill Su. Dr. Chosh had to take all the criticisms and odmin about this Bill and now that it is going to be put on the statute book he has been given morelong erders, and that by the party on whose behalf he was speaking. The has been utilized by the party to secure the passage of this Bill, and in order that the party may be left with unfettered possession and use of this Bill he has been conveniently shunted out, so that his assurances and the influence of his personality may not emborrass the party in its mad career of destruction of people's liberty and freedom and of rival political institutions, in its mad career of suppressing all labour movements in the country. Whenever this side tose to move amendments in its sincere wish to improve the Bill, members of the Government benches chose to refer to loyalty and allegrance in no uncertain terms. Members of the Opposition yield to none in their zeal for the safety and stability of the country. The country belongs as much to members of the Government as well as to the members of the Opposition; and the sooner the Government benches abjure the use of such insinuating phraseology, the sooner the cries that enthuse the Government to arm itself with special powers shall disappear. the Government with these powers may lead to arming the subordinate officers. On their being able to establish their bona fides we would perhaps be prepared to grant them these powers, but I would ask them to use them only for the protection of the society and not to secure advantages.

Before I resume my seat, I may reiterate my appeal to Government that Government will arm themselves with preventive as well as curative measures to combat all manner of social disorders. I agree with Dr. P. C. Ghosh that prevention is better than cure, but I may sound a note of warning that overdoses of preventive measures may do permanent injury to the body politic. I would request Government that before declaring any epidemic and prescribing quarantine regulations the Government should consult and take public opinion into its confidence and should not proceed to take precipitate action on unfounded and groundless rumours as it did in the case of Murshidabad, and to pursue this simile I would ask that such preventive methods may not be accessible to mexperienced hands but should be made available to qualified practitioners.

Janab ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, before I express my views on the third reading of this very ugly Bill, may I most respectfully draw your attention to a constitutional aspect of our proceedings in this House? The Chair, Sir, is the custodian of the rights and privileges of the House, but there is also a convention that the Chair should extend its kindness and generosity to the minority so that the Government may not crush it and pulverise it. Having said that, Sir, I hope we shall receive greater kindness from the Chair than has been extended so far.

I am wondering, Sir, if the Hon'ble the Prenner was happy at the support he got from members of his party for this Bill. There is a Persian proverb:

""" that is, the claimant is silent but the witness is shouting a lot. That seems to be the practice in the House these days. The Hon'ble the Prenner stuck to raillery, contempt, wit and humour and tried to silence the Opposition laughingly but I wish he had also issued instructions to his supporters not to spoil the good case that he was trying to make before the House Bad advocacy, Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, sometimes spoils even a good case. Here the case was bid and it has been made worse by enthusiastic members of the party who intoxicated with the majority with them were anxious to show that they, like the proverbial canine member of the party, under the cart, were carrying the whole load of the responsibility for the administration of this province.

Sir, I enjoyed the speech of the honourable member for 24-Parganas South-East. He has a long road before him and I am hoping that in time he will learn the art of debate and he will also learn the superior finesse of crossing swords. I will give him time—perhaps 10 years—to get into his stride. It was surprising, Sir, that none of the gentlemen opposite telt that the honourable the Communist member who fought a gallant and lonely fight in this House was being treated rather harshly and meagrely by one of the supporters of the Hon'ble the Premier.

Sir, you followed his speeches and the amendments he proposed and you will agree that he did not deserve the treatment he was given. I paid my homage to his courage, I paid my homage to his fighting capacity and I felt that although I did not agree with his outlook and I did not agree with his ideology, he did deserve support for his courage, support for the manly way in which he stood up and fought his lonely battle. We should not bring vindictiveness, we should not bring enmity and poison in our debates. An effort to bring the Opposition and the Government together and nearer on fundamental principles would perhaps be a better policy for the Hon be the Premier and his colleagues than to allow their smaller supporters to indulge in language, phraseology and argument which do nobody any good.

I am, Sir, speaking under a depression. Changing horses in midstream is a dangerous thing. Sir, I feel that the Hon'ble the Premier in his heart

of hearts feels as angry and as sad about this Bill as I do. I have to go back*on principles of a political career of almost forty years if I support it. The honourable younger members of the House may consider a Muslim to be a traitor, may consider a Muslim to be unworthy of even being a good servant of the country, but the senior members of the Government Party should realise that the Muslim alone understands the tull significance of democracy and socialism. The caste system means inequality. The caste system means exclusiveness. How can a Muslim be anything but a democrat? And this being the fact, Sir, it is surprising that incorrect argument and totally incorrect facts have been placed before the House in order to create an atmosphere of great unfriendliness. Imagine, Sir, the members of the Select Committee trying to claim credit for changing the name of the Bill! Whether it was "Special Powers" or whether the camouflaged word "Security" was brought in, the Select Committee very proudly says to its great shame that there has been no material alteration in the Bill. The actual words are "We do not consider the Bill to have been so materially altered as to require republication. Sir, out of so many recommendations most of them are "verbal changes" and "consequential changes." Why then try to influt upon us a legal jugglery by saying that "we have altered the whole outlook of the Bill, we stand here as champions of liberty, champions of equality, champions of civil liberties and the rights of the citizen, when everyone of them is ashamed of supporting this Bill. This Bill, Si, as I said in one of my utterances in this House, is a pernicious Bill because it puts on the Statute Book Ordinances intended for war time. That is not all, Mr. Deputy Speaker. Every known law in the country which we had called reactionary in the old days has been delived into and sections brought in from them wholesale and even the shadows of Regulation III of 1818 are to be found in this wretched Bill

Su. I feel that this is not fair to the House. This is not the development of our position from a British Dependency to a British Dominion. Liberty does not exist and I challenge any member opposite there to declare that we are not yet a Dominion of His Majest, the King George VI of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. Why then take credit for things which really do not exist. Paradoxical as it might appear to you, I was hoping to support this Bill, but whether I support it or whether I do not, I know it is going to be on the Statute Book. We are the orphaus of the storm of nationalism, of liberty, of freedom, and whatever other words are used to describe our newly acquired status. We had begin to feel that we could rely upon the Hon'ble the Premier. We had felt that he had tried to be just and that he had extended to us his protection on occasions and he had tried according to his light, to judge our difficulties also. The Government. Sir, is in the position of a judge when it accepts office. The Government should not be partisan and the Government should try, as far as possible, to consider the viewpoints presented by even a wretched and small Opposition. Sir, every amendment proposed was rejected in a spirit of levity, in a spirit of cantankerousness. We on this side are characterised as persons who create ghosts and who create devils and troubles where none exists. Su, credit for statesmanship cannot be granted to a Ministry which had tear and funk in its heart and had to call the big guns from the Centre to support it. I do not know, Mr. Deputy Speaker, whether honourable members opposite really believe in the efficacy of this Bill or believe in the efficies of orders from the Congress High Command in Delhi. The public did not want it, the intelligentsia did not want it and yet public opinion has been discarded and we are now hearing speeches which would have done eredit to the most dried-up old LC'S, bureaucrats. The language used such as "subversive acts" in place of "prejudicial acts" and so on made me laugh. Having been longer in the field I had grown accustomed to such language but it makes me sad to note that I have lived now to hear it from gentlemen who always opposed it.

Sir, as I have said I am anxious to give these unconsciouably repressive powers to whatever Government comes into power. If the present Prime Minister has to go, whether it is through a political intrigue, whether it is due to what they call the Bangal and Ghota controversy, or whether it is due to any other mischief, we shall have to put our faith in those that come after him. The citizen wants peace; the Muslim citizen wants peace and also safely and protection. The businessman too wants peace.

I heard honourable members referring to August, 16, 1946, and the gentlemen, Sir, are trying to remind us of that terrible date. But none referred to the L. N. A. troubles, none referred to the psychology that was created in this city of ours when law and order were disturbed. Sir, in order to correct the psychology of the younger members of the House may I point out that on August 16, the Home Member was a Muslim and the same gentleman was the Prime Minister. We held a meeting in the Maidan to explain the significance of the Direct Action Resolution passed by the Council of the All-India Muslim League. A lakh or a lakh and a half Muslims had gathered in the Maidan. It was in the month of Ramazan, the month of fasting, and so we held the meeting earlier in order that we might go back home and break our fast. (Interinptions from Government benches.) Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I hope there is some honesty, there is some love of truth left in the minds of those who are disturbing my speech (Sri Niharende Dutt-Mazumdar: A new apostle!) If the Mushims had felt that conditions were not safe they would not have left their wives and children at home to be slaughtered by people whose spokesmen stand up and talk today as if they had been champions of liberty and innocent as angels August 16, Sir, shall remain as a day of sorrow and misery. Honourable members will realise, even at this late hour, that the Mushinis had gathered They were not in their houses where their women and in the Maidau children who were done to death Sir, speaking with some knowledge and vi authority (Sit Niharfson Durr-Majumbar You were a participant) I declare on the floor of this House, and challenge any member to deny that there was not a pre-arranged conspiracy to take advantage of that meeting in the Maidan. I shall not go further. I hope God will show them the true light and I hope when they have seen the light they will try and bring some truth and friendliness into the picture so that-

(At this stage the blue light was lit.)

Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I hope my earlier appeal to you when I began speaking will be listened to—That light mittates me and my eyes. You will kindly order the Secretary to put it out. I want to have my say and I believe under the rules of debate that light should not be lighted until I have resumed my seat.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: How long will you speak?

Janab ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: I do not know. I may speak for five hours, I may speak for five minutes; but it you want me to sit down I shall do so, not otherwise.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I want to know your intention. How long will you speak?

Janab ABDUR RAHMAN SIDDIQI: I do not know and I cannot give you any time-limit. If you do not want me to speak I shall sit down, otherwise, Sir, let me continue. Sir, this is the point I tried to impress upon you in a most respectful manner, but if the Government is not prepared to listen to what comes out of our hearts, well we have got to sit down. Sir, before I do that I should like to make an appeal or two to the Hon'ble the Premier, not because he will be able to put them into action but he might graciously agree to convey them to his successor. That appeal, Sir, in the first place, is that having seen that the agitator-turned-stateman has failed all over the country a bit of margin for mistakes should

also be taken care of and written down in the list. The Hon'hle the Premier will kindly tell his successor that the agitator-statesman needs time to develop the spurit of just and good government. And if I am making that appeal to learned members opposite on the Government benches, the second part of my appeal will be that the police cannot become angels overnight. Their action will have to be watched vigilantly. They are the same old police and they will be able to play havor with the people in spite of the best intentions of the Ministers as we have seen in the past.

The second appeal, Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I wish to make which, I hope, the Premier will again convey to his successor, is that the punishments in this Bill are very heavy and that instructions will be sent round that the courts and Government officials will temper their punishments with merey, because, Sir, the Bill is rather a difficult one to understand and the average citizen may not be able to wade through the quibbles and the jugglery of the draftsmen of the kew department and the Select Committee.

The third appeal, Sir, which I wish to make, again to be conveyed to the coming Government, is that it possible it should try and restrict the operation of this Bill to a maximum period of six months, if it must have it ou the State'e Book to save its prestige. Bringing in of this Bill, Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, proclaims, as loudly as one would like to hear a voice, that the Government feels unable to govern and control the activities of the public. It is a confession of weakness and my decision to appoint the Government was to help it get over this period of weakness, this period of government without knowing all the intricacies of governance and administration. That be ng/so/Sir, could I respectfully arge upon the Premier to tell his successor that the sooner he removes this Bill from the Statute Book, the sooner will he he able to create confidence among his own followers as well as among the minorities chat still exist in West Bengal? That, I think. Sir, will be statesmanship, that, I think. Su, will lead to a better understanding between the Government and the Opposition. We were tainfied, Sir, that we had not formed a regular Opposition, that we had no leader and that we were, more or less, a rebel group. That is not so, Sir. It does not encourage one to form an Opposition when he realises that anything he says is likely to be thrown back in his face as were all the amendments brought forward except those which were brought before the House by supporters of the Government Lunderstand their difficulty. Lam out to help the Government, because good tiovernment, it possible, will keep us safe and will keep us away from disturbances. That, Sir, is my appeal, or rather those, Sir, are my appeals and I hope they will receive tair and kindly consideration at the hands of those who are sitting there and those who will sit there soon. I do not know who will be the new Ministers, newspapers have given intelligent anticipations of certain likely candidates. I may appeal to them also that sitting in the House and talking tall may not lead to good Government. Let them develop the mentality to win over those whom they consider and call traitors and fifth columnists. Let them win over their hearts, if they can, even by giving them a bit more than they deserve according to their dues

I have done, Sir. Our late is scaled. Bengal will have to undergo the horrors of this Bill. But if this Bill brings us peace, if this Bill brings us triendship and safety, I am prepared to support it.

Mr. J. R. WALKER: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I had no intention of taking any part in this debate, but representing Commerce and Industry as my friend and I do I feel it is necessary that members of this House should know exactly where we stand.

We with all members of the House regret the need for this Bill, but recognise at the same time that in order to ensure stable condition at the present time it is necessary. We will support Government and will always support Government when measures are introduced to ensure the maintenance of law and order. At the same time with all members of this House we look forward to the time when such measures will not be necessary in this province.

Janab ABUL HASHEM: Sir, before I speak, I would like to get an information from you. I would like to know whether any other member of the Opposition would speak afterwards, because it is the custom that the mover of the Bill speaks last.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: No other speaker after you. You are the last speaker from the Opposition.

Sri JYOTI BASU: Sir, I gave you the name of Mr. Rataulal Brahmin and said that he would speak for a few minutes

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I have not received it.

8ri JYOTI BASU: But I sent it up.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: All right, he can speak for 5 minutes.

Sri RATANLAL BRAHMIN: Deputy Speaker mahashaey! Mayen is ueen ko samarthan naheen kerta hoon, keon ke is men bohut truti hai, keon ke is men sadharan admi ke hey koie subista naheen hai. Sadharan admi per jo zulum hota hai, police ki tarat sey jo zulum hota hai is sey bachaney ke liey ap log koie acen nahee laya. Is liey hum log afsos kerte hain, hum logan ka asra tha ke abhi Sirlar Congress ka hua hai is men logan ka bharosa tha, ke gharib ke liey kuch hoga. Ap log wada kiya tha ke hum log mazdoor kisan ka raj banaenge, aur mazdoor, kisen ko sukhi banaenge, leken is men ek bhi naheen dhekte hain. Khasker ke Darjeeling ke liey hum zor sey bolsakte hain, wahan ka hal hum jante hain, wahan saikara asee admi (80 per cent) cha bagan men kam kerte hain, aur unper police jo ji ch de zulum kerti hai, unko bachane ke liey aplog kore acen naheen kiya

Hum ap ko yad delate ham ke Tashe ka cha bagan jo aj 9 mahiney sey bund hain, uske liey hum logan nev Sirkar sey mulakat kiva, magar kuch naheen hua. Sirkar udher bilkul dhivan naheen deti hai Cha bagan men police jo itua atiachar kerti hai isko rokney ke liev Sirkar ne kiya kiya? Is sey is Sirkar per kiva bharosa hosakti hai? Khasker ke humko afsos hai ke veh Government kisan ke liey bhi kote bandobust naheen kiya. Yeh sab aplog naheen dekhte ham bulke aplog police ke aur ziada sakti derahey hain. Wahi police ghariban ko lathi marta hain aur aplog chup mar ke bhaithey hain. Meyeu aplogan sey urz kerte lum ke aplog apney pahle wada ka khaval kijeav. Kiya wah sab wada mazdoor, kisan ko bahlaney ke liey tha na kiva? Yah to hum naheen jante hain. Mayen to kahunga ke apna ek tho bhi wada pura kijeay. Jitma din jata hai Darjeeling men jati bhed bhi barhta jata hai, aur aplog kuch naheen kerte hain. Is liey hum is kala kanoon ka birodh kerte hain. Mahan Neta Mahatma Gandhiji bole hain ke aj hamarey Congress men jo barey barey M.L.A. huey hain aur jo afsar huey hain wah theek ruste per naheen chalte hain. Is liey Mahatma Gandhi ajibun unsun ker rahey hain. Aplog to boltey they ke Mahatma Gandhi bhagwan hain, leken unko bhagwan mante huey bhi unke bat ka khayal naheen kerte hain. Hum log bohut nichoo aey hain aur nichoo janey ka rasta naheen hai. Hum aplogon sey appeal kerte hain ke hamarey mulk ki gharibi ka kuch bandobust kijeay.

Janab ABUL HASHEM: ত্রীবৃত্ত সহ-সভাপতি মহাদার, অত্যাত বিশ্বত স্ত্রে অবগত হ'লাম বে সম্ভ মন্থনের পরে আমাদের প্রধান মন্দ্রী, এই বিল বিনি উপন্দিত করেছেন, ত'াকে ননীকক'ট করে স্বাভান্ড বন্ধনৈর সভায় যোগদান করবার জনা আমাদের কংগ্রেস-বন্ধ্রা অত্যাত অবীর হারে পড়েছেন। আমা করি ত'ারা সামানা বৈধাবারণ করবেন। কারণ বধারীতি স্বাভান্ড বিভরন্ধনার স্বাধা হবে। আমি মনে করি আমরা আজকে একটা অলেরসিরির প্রাত্তাদে ব'াড়িরে

আছি। কারণ এই নিরাপতা আইন, যা আন্তকে পাশ হতে চলেছে, করেক মিনিটের মধেছে আেই আইনে পরিগত হবে, সেই বিল কালবৈশাখীর পশ্চিম আকাশে একখণ্ড ছোট কালো মেধের মত এই কালো আইন ভারতের ভবিষাং উচ্ছ্তখলতা এবং বিরাট বিশ্লবের অগুন্ত। এই আইন বাংলার শান্তি স্হাপনের উদ্দেশো আনা হয়েছে বলা হয়েছে। কিন্তু যা বলেছি——আমাদের সাত্যকারের আশ্তকা—এই বিলই যতপ্রকার উদ্ভেশ্বলতা ও অনাচারকে সাদেরে আহন্য করে নিয়ে আসবার ব্যবস্থা করে।

মহামান্য ইংল্যাণ্ডাবিপতির ভারতীয় ডোমিনিয়নের প্রথম প্রধান মন্ত্রী পণ্ডিত জহরলাল নেহর্ বখন क्लिकालास अर्जाष्ट्रतान, लक्षन प्रारवामिक प्रयम्बारास्य श्रीतृष्टात करत लिन यास्या करतिष्ट्रतान या अर्थ विन তিনি যদিও পড়েন নাই, তব্ একে সমর্থান করেন। যেছেতু বাংলার প্রধান মন্ত্রী মাননীয় ডাঃ প্রফালে চন্দ্র ঘোষকে তিনি বিলম্পণ জানেন, সেই কারণেই তিনি বিশ্বাস করেন যে এই বিলের ধ্বারা কোন অবিচার ও अनामात हरत ना किश्वा कान अनाम अरमाण हरत ना। এই आम्बान भिरम जिन विनिधिक अमर्थन करताहरून। কিন্তু আজু আমরা এখানে এনেক বক্তার ম্থে, বাইরে ৬ সংবাদপত্রে জানতে পেরেছি যে যিনি এই বিজ উপস্থাপিত করেছেন এবং অত্যন্ত প্রিষ্ট্রার সঙ্গে এই বিধকে পার করেছেন, তিনি হয়ত থাকবেন না এর প্রয়োগ করবার কাজে। সাত্তবাং শুদেধয় পণ্ডিত ভহরলাল নেহরুর সবচেয়ে বড় যে যুক্তি তার অভাব ঘটবে। যখন প্রাট্রুটা ত্রু অন্ধ স্থান্ত্রি দিয়ে এই বিলটিকে আশীবর্নাদ করেছেন না পড়ে, তখন যে সমস্ত কংগ্রেস সভা এখানে আছেন, তাদের হয়ত আইনগত ও নীতিগত কর্তবা হয়ে দশুড়িয়েছে এই বিল নিঃশক্ষে এণ্যভাবে সম্মান করা এবং এটা সেইভাবে **স্মাম্তি** हत्व এवः करम्क मिनिएउरे এरे विज आङरक आहरिन भविष्य हत्व। এरे विज उपम्थाभिष्ठ कववात प्रमम् रव কথা বলা হয়েছে, বাববাৰ উল্লেখ করা হয়েছে যে কথা যখন শক্তিকে দমন কৰবার প্রয়োজন হয়, অনা শক্তি প্রয়োগ করে যখন গুণা বিচ্পা কববার দবকার হয়, তখন অধিকত্ব শক্তির প্রয়োজন। কিন্তু মাননীয় সহ সভাপতি মহাশয়, আমার একথা শানে মনে হয় এডামণ্ড বাকে'র সেই বিখ্যাত উক্তি "when এংশিং শাস্ত যদি পরাত্ত হয় তারপরে আর কি**ছ**ু করবার fails, nothing remains थारक मा। सामि भाग कवि এই मान्दिक अधिकत्तर मान्दि बाता प्रमान करवात राष्ट्रीय এই विन उपिष्टल कता হয়েছে: কিন্টু এই বিলেব প্রয়োগের ধ্বারা সেই শক্তির ধর্মস হবে না: সে শক্তি উওনোওর আগ্রানর মত ব্যক্তি भारत এवर प्रवर्शक वागण्ड हरस भड़ारव अवर हम्राठ अवनिमन अहे विदानव योजा काठी ठाँगमा हैन,रहे सारव। लाहे यारमव असाग्रकावी द्वारक मस्त करवन लारमब मध्न कववात क्रमा वक्ष प्राप्ताण कारब विभवीत क्षण हरव देशहे आमात शक्तीत यागडका।

এই বিল উপস্থাপিত করেছেন মাননীয় প্রক্রে ঘোষ মহাশ্য এব তার সহক্ষ্মাী মারের শ এক তার সমর্থক কংগ্রেস সভাগণ। এই বিলের প্রথম প্যাণিয়ে এক দিবতীয় প্যাণিয়ে আমবা যে সমুস্ত আলোচনা শ্রেছি, আমাদের আশা ছিল যে এই সমস্ত আলোচনা শ্রে আমাদের মনের মধ্যে বিল সম্বধ্যে যে ঘ**নীভত** অব্ধকার আছে তা অনেক্যা পরিকার হয়ে যাবে এবং হয়ও তার প্রয়োজনীয়তা উপগ্রাক্ত কর্তে পার্ব এবং खामता खातु बाला करतिकतार रव এवे तिरुत्तत रव अमन्त पूरे भाग खारक राजपुरता पात बरस सारव अवर যুক্তীক নিমন্ত্রম ক্ষমতার প্রয়োজন সেইটাকু নিয়ে এই বিল আইনে পরিলত হয়ে স্থানত হবে। কিন্তু সেদিক पिर्य शामार्पिय मर्न शहार्या काहा शाद किक्**र नाहे। कार्**क्षे शक्षेत विस्कानत भन शामता कर्खाखारन এই সিন্ধান্তে উপনীত হ'তে বাধা হয়েছি যে এই বিলের কোন অংশই আমরা সম্থান করুতে পারি না। মাননীয় প্রফায়ে চন্দ্র ঘোষ এবং তারে সহক্ষমী মন্তিবগা যারে। এই বিল উপস্থাপিত করেছেন তাছাদিগতে वश्वक कविषारक माही कहा वरव। जीएमद भरकात वाकिभक विद्वाद आमारमद नावे, वहण जीएमद आणि आमारमञ् याथके तम्या এই ५ माराजद्र मत्या भरक डेंटिकिल এवः मरम खालाद केमझ हरक क्ति रह अपने न्वाता क्यूट व्यासास्मव स्मरण गान्ठि म्हाभित करन। এই সংক্ৰ আমি একখা বলা কতুবিয় মনে করি যে বিশেষ 14114 আমি Ľ¥ GESTA लिहे बल स्थापन अधारन नियुष्ट कराइन जीएनड अराजादन इंडे अधार प्रधान महागाइड अंडि वर्गा दशक्ताद सम्मान , आम्बा चारक (कंद्रठाति) এवर यान कान कान कान वाकरक धना कान नावम्बा बन्न कारठ अने भाषी बरव ना। ठाकाका व्यामवा सानि य वाकिशतकारव धरै विस्तृत क्रमा वाक्रक कारक आही कवा एस ना। धरै विस উপ্স্থাপিত करवात प्रसन्न मानगीव अवान मन्त्री मदागव वर्षाव्यान य दर्मन्त्रीव य वावेन পরিষং আছে সেই खाइन निवर्ण एवं नीति स्वीकृत वरव्राव प्रावे नीति खन्त्राद्ध और विज अनिस्वत क्या वरव्राव अवर तीवा और निवानता बाहरनत न्भेरनावक्ता करतन। ठाकाका अक्का बन्द्रम बहिनव बावका प्रयासाम और विस्तात

श्रमध्रात-- या शैलिश्रादर्श विशव ১० वश्त्राद्वद्र व्यक्तिकात मासा काम पिन प्राचि नाहे। वाश्ताद शान्ति द्राचाद क्षमा এको। आहेन हर्त, वांश्वाद वावण्याद উन्नीलंद छना कि बारेन कहा श्रद्धांछन, कि बारेन नाके कदा প্রয়োজন এ সম্বন্ধে কেন্দ্রীয় নেতব্যুন্দর মাথা ঘামাবার কোন প্রয়োজন ইতিপ্রের্ট দেখিনি। কিন্ত গভীর मः (धर प्रत्ना प्रभावाम शक्कात धरे विलंड प्रमर्थानंद छना वर्ष प्रशादशी **लीव्य कर्ण, अरु**न, प्राण अकृष्ठि प्रकृष्ण ब्राक्तनौष्ठिष्मरत अवठौर्ग शर्म थरे विनादक प्रमादिककारि आगौरिकीम कहाना। अश्याक मान हम्र य थरे वितनत अभयन मृथ् वाश्नात अस्त्राज्ञत्न नम्र, वाथ हम् जाता छातरछत अस्म्राज्ञत्न थवः वाय हम् অপেন্ধারুত বেশী প্রয়োজন সেই কেন্দ্রীয় শব্তির যে আজ ভারত শাসন করছে। সেই কারণে আমরা ঢাক্তার अकास हम्य धार महामग्रदक वास्त्रिशठकारव वज्र जना माग्नी कवि ना ववर आसा कवि कविषाट वास्त्रात खनगण এकथा ब्याउ भारत्व। जिनि এই विन छेचाभन कारत्र जात्र श्राप्ताश भम्वत्य स्य कथा वरत्राह्म এवर स्य व्यान्वानवाणी निरम्नाहरून प्रति अधान मन्त्री विजारवर निरम्नाहरून এवः वर्डमारन य प्रव कराश्चनी वन्धुना अधारन अमरहरून जीता मिंग मः त्रहरून कंतरवन बठी खामा कहा याग्र। किन्छ और विरालत ममर्थान मदर्जाश्वराम प्रशासाम Assembly Housea একদিন এক সরকারী কম্পাচারী আমাকে একখানি পত্রিকা এনে দিলেন, তাতে ভারতের ৩ জন শ্রেষ্ঠ নেতার ছবি---মহাত্মা গান্ধী, পণ্ডিত জহরলাল নেহরু এবং সন্দাির বল্লভটাই প্যাটেল, এবং তাদের উক্তি তাতে উন্ধৃত করা আছে এবং কোন কোন ঘটনার উল্লেখ সেই কাগজের ভিতর দেখলোম। তারপরে দেখলোম এই কলিকাতার মরদানে ভারতের প্রধান মন্ত্রী এবং ডেপ্টি প্রধান মন্ত্রী महान्नमारतारह এप्त अरे विल नमर्थन कारत रमालन। अरथक सामारमत अरे १५५० धातमा छरन्मरह स्य अरे বিল একটা সাম্প্রিক বিরাট প্রিকশ্পনার অংশমাত। তাছাড়া একথাও শুনেছি যে এই বিলের অনুবাপ বিল **कांत्ररण्त व्य**नामा अस्मारण **वाहेरन पत्रिणण धरारक।** এत कांत्रण कि এ विश्वरत विस्तरमा कत्रहरू विमास प्रस् পড়ে আমাদের বিগত দিনের ইতিহাস। অনেকে ৮০৬ ক'রে বলেন এবং আমাদের পত্নে জনাব আব্দুর রহমন সিদ্দিকি সাহেব একথা পেন্ট কোরে বলেভেন যে, যে ধ্বাধীনতা আমরা লাভ করোছ সেটা বাস্ত্র ম্বাধীনতা নয়। তত্র ইংরাজ রাজার পোষাক পরিত্যাগ কোরে প্রেবায় ব্রণিকের পোষাক পরে এদেশকে गामन करत्वात्र অভिনৰ वावभ्या करत्रहरून। स्पर्धे वावभ्यात्र आकृतक आभारमञ्ज्ञ वर्गाक वाका या मन्त्रौ शरहरून গঙলার বা লাটও হয়েছেন। একথা সভ্য, কিন্তু যদি আমরা মনে করি যে আমরা প্রকৃত দ্বাধান বা আজাদ হয়েছি, তাহলে অত্যাত ভুল ক'র্বে এবং একথা যদি দেশবাসীকে বোধাবার চেণ্টা করি তাহ'লে আমরা वाणान्छ व्यनााम् कत्त्व।

একথা সকলেই জানেন যে রাজনৈতিক শাসনেব প্রয়োজন এথানৈতিক শোষণের জন। যেমন জামদারের প্রয়োজন হয় প্রজাকে শোষণ করবার জনা, তেমনি রাজনোতিক শাসনের জনা শাক্তর প্রয়োজন হয়
জনগণকে শোষণ কোরে নিজেদের পুন্ট করবার জনা। কিন্তু ইতিহাসের প্রগতিন মধ্য দিয়ে এমন একতা
অবন্ধার স্থিত হয়ে যায় যেখানে শোষণ করা শাসন করার পরিপন্থী হয়ে দাঁভায়। সেখানে ব্রাধানন
ব্যক্তিমারেরই কঙাবা শাসনের পোষাক ছেড়ে দিয়ে ন্তনভাবে শোষণেব পোষাক পরিধান করা। সেই
রক্ম মাউণ্টবাটেন ইংরাজের পথ থেকে যে রোয়েদাদ আমানের ঘাড়ে চাপিয়ে দিয়েছেন সেই রোয়েদাদকে
আমরা মনে করি —

8ri NIHARENDU DUTT-MAZUMDAR: Sir. Is he relevant?

Janab ABUL HASHEM: Sir, I am perfectly relevant. In the next few seconds I will show you how it is relevant.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, you proceed.

আমি মনে করি মাও-উব্যাটেনের যে রোয়েদাদ
থাটা কংগ্রেস এবং মোসলেম লীগ উভয়েই একসকো দস্তথত দিয়েছেন, সেটা না ছিল মোসলেম লীগের
লাছাের প্রস্তাবের নীতিমত, না ছিল কংগ্রেসের চিরকালের যে নীতি তার মত। (দ্ই পছ নিজের নিজের)
লথচ দৃপছই নিজ নীতি পরিত্যাগ করে মাউ-উবাাটেনের নীতি গ্রহণ করেছেন। তার ফলেই স্ভি
ছলো এই কালা আইন। যার রাজনৈতিক অনুস্থা যেরকমভাবে পরিবর্তি হতে তার স্থাকে সামান্য
কিছু খবরও রাখেন তারাই জানেন, গভগমেন্ট জনসাবারণের চোখের স্কতরালে ইংরেজ এবং মাকিপের
স্কেল গোপন চুক্তি করেছেন, তাদের ভবিবাং শোবণের পথ পরিকার করতে, তাদেরই সকো হাত মিলানো
ছরেছে। যতদিন পর্যান্ত ইংরেজ এবং শোবণকাযোগ নৃত্য রতী মাকিপ তাদের গোবণের ব্যবস্থা

পরিष्काর আছে এটা না দেখেছে, ততদিন প্যান্তি এই মৌরসী সম্পত্তি---এত বড় একটা সাম্রাজ্য নিজে **হাতে** ছেড়ে দিয়ে যারনি। সেইজনাই তাদের সঙ্গো এদেব হয়েছে গোপন চৃত্তি: এবং সেই চৃত্তির ফলেই করতে হবে এই কালা আইন। দিনের পর দিন যতই জাতীয় নানা প্রকারের সমস্যা বেড়ে যাবে আর তার ভিতর দিয়ে বিদেশীর জণতাকল জুকিয়ে পেষণ করা হবে জাতিকে। সেইছনা কালা আইনের প্রয়োজন। এই खारेरनंत्र Preamble वा म्थवरन्य वज्ञा इरहाइ प्राम्थ्रमाहिक गान्छ वज्ञाह हाथा. श्रुष्ठाव शाह श्यांक ब्रह्म এवर रिकारेनी कन्त्रमन्त्र भःश्र ६ राजकात कवात छेपन्तर श्याक मिमाल द्राप्त कतात्र छना अवर फरमंत्र कनजावातरात निवालक्षः ऋगलरान क्षमा এक विरासव श्राह्मक करम लएक्षकः। स्वामि मरन कवि, स्व क'ि कार्य विधारन प्रियारन श्राहरू जात विकेषित वह विरामत आपन स्प्रमा नहा। अधारम वह नाम प्राह्म হয়েছিল Special Powers Bill, অতিবিক্ত ক্ষমতার বিল, এই নামেই প্রথমে এটাকে এখানে উপস্থিত করা হয়েছিল এবং প্রচাব কৰা হয়েছিল। তারপর যখন সূত্র হলো এই বিলের বিরুদেধ বিরোধিতা জনসাধারণের মধ্যে এর সেটা দেখা দিল নানা অভিনর পথে: আর গত দশ বছর ধরে আমি এই পার**যদের** সদস্য রয়েছি, এই দশ বছবেব ভিতর যা কখনো হতে দেখিনি, এই বিলের স্টুনাতে দেইটা হতে দেখলাম। এই Assembly গ্রের সীমানার মধ্য থেকে লোকের উপর গুলি করতে দেখলাম এবং এই বিলের ভিত্তি স্থাপন शरप्रक अकलन निवलवाध युवरकत तरकत छेलत। कारछारे अहा निजान्छ अम् क भूहना शरप्रक। अवर धामि মনে করি, এদেশের ভাবষাৎ শাসনপন্ধতির এই যদি ভিডি হয়, তাথালে ভারতের পত্তে অভ্যাত দুদিন। জনগণকে ঠকাবার জন্য, তাদের চোখে ধূলো দেবার জন্য যারা ওঁদেব প্রতি আস্থা স্থাপন করে এখানে পাঠিয়েছে তাদেব ভোলাবাব জন্য এই বিলেব নাম পাকেই Security (निदाপতা) এই নাম রাখা হয়েছে। আমি মনে কবি আছাবে এই নাম পৰিবন্তানেৰ মধ্য দিয়ে লোকেৰ চোখে ধালো প্ৰবৃত্তি শীঘুই সকলের कारक व विरावद यात्रल श्रीविध्य श्रीविष्काद करह धवा शहरत।

আমাৰ সময় এলৰ আমাৰ ওদিককাৰ বন্ধুৰাও অধার হয়ে উত্তেজন, আমি সংক্ষেপ করে আমাছ। প্রকৃত বাপোর এই যে এই বিন্তা পৰিষদে উপস্থিত করা হয়েছে, এংলো আমেরিকাৰ সংক্ষা বর্তমান রাখ্য নেতাদের যে চ্ছিৎ, সেই চ্ছিন্তে কায়াবিবী করবার জন্য: আর যে জনগলের মনে স্বাধীন ভারতের আশা আকাল্যা ওেগে উঠেছ তাগের গ্রা চিপে ধরে, বংগ্রেষ করে কোন রাজনাতিক, অর্থনৈতিক প্রগতিশীল কোন কায়া এগ্রেই যাতে না পাবে সেইজনা এই বিল আন্যান করা হয়েছে। ১৮ই অপান্ধ স্থান আইন পরিষদের সদস্য হিসাবে নির্মানিত হয়ে গভলামেও হাইসে পিয়েছিলাম, ইচিন্তবর্তী রাজাগোপালাচারীকে বাংলার গভলাব্রে দেখালাম, এই সাধানিক পোমার তার, আমানের চেয়েও সাদাসিদে পোমার পার্ছাছ বাহাগোপালাচারীর পিছু পিছা সাদা সেকেউনিবার মাথা নীচু করে হেয়েও সাদাসিদে পোমার পাইছে বাহাগোপালাচারীর পিছু পিছা সাদা সেকেউনিবার মাথা নীচু করে হেয়েও হোনে বাছিপত সম্পর্ক নাই, এমন কি তাঁর সংক্ষা আমার কোন পার্কার প্রাণ্ড ছিল না, তথাপি ভারতবাসী তিনি একজন, তার পিছনে মাথা হেই, করে ইংরেজকে চল যেতে দেখে আনক হয়েছিল আমার। এবং গোদন গঙ্গামেও আউনকে আপন যর মনে করে যুবকের দল যখন হু, হু, কবে ৮,কে পড়েছিল ইখন বাস্থাবিকই মনে হয়েছিল, আমারা বুলি সভাই স্বাধীন হলাম। আসল ব্যাপার হুছে চতুর ইংরেজ যখন যোমন প্রয়োজন হলা ঠিক তাই অভিনয় করতে পারে, প্রয়োজন হলা মাথা হেই, করেছিল।

মাননীর সহ-সভাপতি মহাশয়, আমার মনে হয়, এ বিল আনা হয়েছে রাজনৈতিক, অথনৈতিক, সকল প্রকার প্রপতিশীল আন্দোলনকে দমন করবাব জন্য। এই প্রসংশে আমি আর একটা কথা বলতে ঢাই—
গুন্ডার হাত থেকে রফা করার জন্যই যদি এ বিল আনা হয়ে থাকে তবে আমার প্রের্বার রিফক সাহের যে
বলেছেন—প্রচলিত গুন্ডা আইনটা আর একটা সংশোধন করে কার্যাকিরী করবেই সে প্রয়েজন মিটে বেতা।
অবৈধ অস্ত্রশস্ত্র আমদানী ও ব্যবহার বংশ করেই যদি দিতে হয়, তবে অনর্থক এরকম একটা অবৈধ আইনের
বোঝা প্রাদেশিক আইন পরিষদের উপর পাশ করবার দায়িত্ব না ঢাপিয়ে কেন্দ্রীয় আইন সভা আরো ভালে
করে প্রচলিত অন্য আইনকে সংশোধন করার বিল আনয়ন করতে পারতেন। স্তরাং আসল উদ্দেশ্য সম্বন্ধে
জনগণের চোবে ধ্লো দিয়ে নাম বদ্লে এই আইনটিকে এলানে পাশ করিছে নেয়া হছে। তাছাড়া এ
আইনটির সংশোধনের বত্ণালি প্রস্তাব উঠেছিল তার স্বগ্লিয় উঠরেই প্রয় একট কথা বলা হয়েছে যে
নেশের ভিতর বর্ডনানে নানা রকম বিপদের আশ্বন্ধন রয়েছে এইজনাই এ আইনটার প্রয়োজন। কিন্দু
আমি আগেও বলেছি, শেষে আর একবার বলে দিক্তে—যে সমস্ত বড় নেতারা এথানে বঞ্চা

करत्राहर लीपित नकरत्रत म्राथरे के कर कथा। अमन कि आमापित अंत्रिन्ध छान्तात्र विधान हन्त्र ताह्र हैरना-क्रानमन्, क्रान्तितमन, करनता, व्यनग, अर्क्टावर हैद्राध करत्र य वक्ता करत्रहरून का न्वाता व्यापि मान करित. लिन खामाप्तव धापमान करवरहन-- जिन रष्ठारव वरलहरून जारा वाखरेर्नाठक मञ्जरवर गिम् हात वरल जिन धामारमत् मत्न करत्न। रत्न याहे हर्फेक जिनि जीत रत्नहेलव উमाहत्रावत नाहारमा बनात मत्ना विरात किन्त रम मुक्ते व्यरमण्जि व्याप प्रमण्जि मृत रहा याद ना। এ व्यारेन्त्र अहाग कत्रवन काता? प्रवारे सान वाक्ररक थिनि अधान मन्त्री वारहन, जिनि काल शाक्ररन ना, काल रक वाभरनन जात्र रकान ठिक ठिकाना नाहै। किन्छ वाम्छविक भएक व खाहेरनत अरग्राभ हरव मत्रकात्री कम्म्याहात्रीरमत ब्वाता। आमता गुन्तर्छ भाक्ति कहत-लाल न्तरहत् ११८क व्यातम्स करत्र माननीत्र श्रकट्टा धाव महागत्र এवः उशहापन निम्न अपन्र कम्माहात्री नकरलत् मुर्थहे थक कथा। प्रकलाहे प्रमुख्दत वरल आप्राह्म-- शुलिंग ७ आहे, पि. थप्त, थदर अन्याना प्रतकाती कम्मिकाबींद्र मर्था याता, व्यामलालस्य मूर्नीलिमम्बर्म हिल त्रालातालि लागा मार्गामक व्यवस्थाद अतिवर्शन घरहेरह. তাদের আআশাদি হয়ে গেছে। বিস্ময়ের কথা। যে সমন্ত প্রিণ কম্মচারা নেশপ্রেমিকদের মাথা কেটে এনে লালবাজারে প্তে রাখতো তাদের রাতারাতি আয়াশুদিধ হয়েছে— এ অভ্তত কথা য'াদের বিশ্বাস করতে ইছা হয় কর্ম, কিন্ত আমি বিশ্বাস করতে অক্ষম। সেই সব কম্মটারীদের নিয়ে কাজ করার প্রয়োজন আমাদের হয়েছিল, তখন তাদের সম্বন্ধে অভিক্ততাও হয়েছিল, এবং সে অভিক্ততা হয়েছে বলেই তাদের বিশ্বাস করা যায় না। এই আইনের মতন মারাত্মক অস্ত্র তাদের হাতে ওলে দিতে আজও বিশ্বাস করা যায় না। (A voice: जरव व्यापनादा मिरद्राहित्तन रून?) यथन मिर्म मान्नरनद छात व्यामारमद हारू हिन जर्थन আমরা অন্যায় করেছি, কিন্ত দেইজন্য পরে যুণারা এদেছেন পরিবার্তিত অবস্থায় দেশ শাসন করতে তুণারাও **राष्ट्रे** धना। इष्ट्रे के ब्रह्मन ? अथारन, आसाब वन्ध् जीनिकारत जू में अस्तुमान को छंगारन यह जाति । भारुमा याम এই বিলের বিরোধীদের প্রতি তা প্রয়োগ করেছেন। ত'াকে আমি বলতে চাই---অপ্রিয় শক্ষের वावशाबक्ष याम जिमि वाण्यिका वर्तन भरन करबन, ठावरल उपन फरव्र वरू वाण्यी धाव একথা আমি দ্বীকার করি। (বিবোধী নলে হাস্য) नार धारणाहनात प्रमय प्रमयकात कथारे वातवात वला रहारू, এवर प्रमातकात कथा छल वातवात कहे। क कता **হয়েছে ইঞ্জি**ত করা হয়েছে - কমিউনিষ্ট পার্টি এবং ম্সলাম লাগৈর প্রতি। এবং বলা হয়েছে যারা এখনো অনেক কিছু অপকম্ম করছে, তাদের দমন করার জন্য এই আইনের প্রয়োজন। কিন্তু আমরা জানি **प्रमातकात नारम এरे आरेन काप्नत उपत अरहाण कहा परत।** माननीय अस्म प्रधास मधासप्र काथा काथा अ बालाहरू । व व्याष्ट्रेन भूप्रमामानाभत्र तथा कत्वात छनाष्ट्रे कता वरक, व्यावात वस्त्राङ्गात वला वरहाहरू --- व व्याष्ट्रेन आपनारमत तथारथ है रहा किन् अकृतभाष है रातल आत मार्कि एत हुनि तथात लगाहे रात्र ।

কংগ্রেস নেতৃবৃদ্ধ মনে করে থাকেন তারা যাই করেন তাই দেশের লোককে মেনে নিতে হবে। Louis XIV বালছিলেন, "I am the State." আজকে তানা মনে করতে আরুদ্ধ করেনের যে we are the State; আমরাই রাখ্র। কিন্তু একথা আমরা দ্বীকার করি না, তারা যতই বল্ন। তারা আজকে সকলকে যদি একথা বলেন যে যেহেতু কাল তারা "লড়কে লেংগে পাকিস্হান" বলেহে বলে আজ তারা দেশপ্রোমক হতে পারে না, আমরাও বলতে পারি কাল যারা জেল খেটেছেন তাবা জেল খেটেছেন বলেই দেশপ্রোমক হতে পারেন না। আজকে তারা এই যে সম্পত আইন উপস্থিত করেছেন এই প্রকার আইন যদি ধবিষাং ভারতের শাসন্সন্ধতির ভিত্তি হয় তাহ'লে তারা হবেন সবচেয়ে বড় এবং শ্রেণ্ড দেশপ্রোমী বারবার শ্নতে পাই যে জেল খেটেছে কে কয়জনে এবং জাবনের কত বংসর। একখা শ্নে শ্নে কাপে আমাদের তালা ধরবার যোগাড় হয়েছে। কিন্তু যথন শ্নি তখন কেবলই মনে হয় সেই ছেলে বেলায় কলেজেতে অর্থানীতির কেতাবেতে যে পড়েছিলাম "unproductive labour"এর কথা। পরিশ্রম অনেকে করে। গারশ্রম করে স্বর্গন করে সেই অর্থা ভালেক করে। ভাকতে বহু পারশ্রম করে সেই অর্থা ভালেক করে। ভাকতে বহু পারশ্রম করে সেই অর্থা ভালেক করে। ভাকতে ত বহু পারশ্রম করে সেই অর্থা ভালেক করে। ভাকতে ত বহু পারশ্রম করে সেই অর্থা ভালেক তারা যা কর্ছেন সেটাই বলি তাদের পরিশ্রমের উদ্দেশ্য হয়ে থাকে, তাছখন সে উদ্দেশ্য অন্তান্ত গাঁহতে।

আজকে আমি মনে করি যে এই আইন প্রক্রোগ করবার সময়েতে তাদের মনে এই বিশ্বাস প্রক্রেছে যে তাদের রাজ্য এবং তাদের নিরাপত্তা অতি প্রয়োজনীয়; এবং যে ব্যক্তি তাদের বিরুদ্ধে কোন কথা বলবে. বা তাদের বিরোধিতা করবে তারা হবে দেশদ্রোহী। এই বারণা যদি থাকে তাহলে আমরা বলি যে, এখনও আমরা স্থিয় করিনি যে আমরা কংগ্রেসের সভা হবো কি না। অতএব এইটাই হবে অতাল্ড বড় দেশদ্রোহিতা।

কিন্দু আমরা বলি যে দেশসেবার অধিকার তাদের একার নয়। পাকিন্দানই ছোক্ আর কংগ্রেসের অধ্যত ভারতই ছোক্, এ দুই মত তথনকাব যে বাস্তব পবিবেশ চাব স্থি, ইতিহাসের স্থি। সেই ইতিহাস আভকে অতীত ইতিহাস হয়ে গিয়েছে। দেশ দুই বাষ্ট্র হয়েছে। এখন যে যে রাষ্ট্রের অধিবাসী সে সেই রাষ্ট্রের অধিবাসী একথা দ্বীকার করে নিতে হবে এবং সেই রাষ্ট্র রুষার জনা তাদের সবল্পকার ত্যাগ দ্বীকার করতে প্রস্তুত থাকতে হবে।

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Your time is up.

Janab ABUL HASHEM: এক মিনিট, সা। কিন্তু এই যে আইন করা হয়েছে দেশের সেটায় কেবলমাত্র থাবা কংগ্রেম নেতৃত্ব করছেন তাালেব ব্যক্তিগতভাবে নিজেদিগকে রক্ষা করবার জনাই কবেছেন এ আশংকা অচাল্ট ব্যাপক। সেজনা আমলা এই বিলের কোন প্রকাব সমর্থান করতে পারি না। পারি আবা না পারি বিবোধিতা করা জনসাধাবানর হাতিরে আমানের কঠাবা। এই বিবেচনা করে আজকে এই বিবোধিতা করতে টাটে আমরা দশতিয়েছি। আজকে যদিও ভোটাধিকা এই বিল্লু পাশ হয়ে যাবে, কিন্তু আমি আগেও ব্লেছি যে আমানের জনপ্র প্রধান মধ্যী প্রগায়ে ৮৮ ঘাষ্ট্র মাধ্যে, নীল কপ্টের সাধাছাণ্ড নিয়ে দেব এবং দানবের মধ্যে কি ব্রু হয় লেববার হন্য আম্বা প্রতীক্ষা করে রটলাম।

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I wished that in the course of the debate the adjectives and aerimonies had not been introduced. While introducing this Bill I myself said that I was sorry that I had to introduce this Bill. I believe in the theory that that Government is the best which governs the least. Not culy that, I consider that every Government is an organised violence. As a believer in non-violence I do teel that this kind of legislation is not government is a necessary evil. When I saw all around me the atmosphere I had no other option as the Home Minister of the province to introduce this Bill and maintain peace and harmony within the province.

It has been said times without number that it is a black Bill and 50 times it is a black Bill. I may equally say that those who call it black Bill are colour louid, but that is no argument. That simply does not help either also way or that way. My triends have said, specially the last speaker, that the object of the Bill is, to perpetuate the Anglo-Indian-American conspiracy and not the objects, enunciated in the Bill If he does not believe in the honor fides of his opponents and then asks his opponents to believe in his bona poles at is illogical. He should believe in the bong fides of their is he believes in the own bong fides I would appeal to the members of the Opposition to throw the searchlight on themselves it they want the searchlight to be thrown on others. I do saw with all humility that this Bill has been introduced with the sole purpose as enumerated in the Bill. I have read it over and over again and I may read it to the House again, namely to make special provision for the prevention of diegal acquisition, possession or use of arms, for the suppression of subsective movements endangering communal harmony or the safety or stability of the Province, for the suppression of gooddas and for maintaining supplies and services essential to the life of the community" I These are the four or five things for which the Bill has been introduced. Some people have been saving, "Oh! you have introduced this Bill to suppress the labour movement and the kishan movement". I tell you, and I have told you repeatedly, that all legitimate trade union movements and kishan movements will not be touched, but if any organisations or individuals under the gerno of carrying on a trade union movement or a kishan movement indulge in mischievous and violent activities, then they could never claim immunity. Simply because a man is a labour worker or a man is a kishan worker he cannot do any wrong specified in the four or five things which I have mentioned—that is a wrong proposition I would say. So a worker engaged in legitimate trade union activities or a worker engaged in 24

legitimate kishan movement activities has nothing to fear, but only those who loot the property of the manager of a nill or burn the house of the owner thereof will certainly fall under the purview of this law.

Sit, one of my friends has also said—I do not remember who is that friend, and I hope he will excuse me——

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Then he is not your friend.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Of course every one in this House is my friend.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: But you don't remember his name!

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: Many members have spoken on this Bill and it is quite possible for me to forget the names of some of them.

Sir, that friend of mine said that the object of this Bill was to terrorise the Mussalmans in the border areas. It is entirely wrong and he is entirely mistaken. I want to protect the interest of the Hindus and the Mussalmans alike. There are good men among the Hindus and had men also among them and there are good men among the Mussalmans and bail men among them too. If there are certain bail men in the mufassil area and they are arrested and if you then raise a communal cry, then is it not a disease? It is a disease of the first magnitude cry, then is it not a disease? It is a disease of the first magnitude ry, then is it not a disease? It is a disease of the first magnitude cry, then is it not a disease? It is a disease of the first magnitude ry. And if a Muslim officer has been arrested tor doing a wrong thing. And if a Muslim officer has arrested here and you immediately come and say that he has been arrested simply because he is a Muslim, then I would say that it is entirely wrong. I say that it is directed against unsocial chements, whether they are Hundus or Muslims or amindars or Lishams. Nobody can claim immunity wearing a girnal or on the plea that he belongs to the majority community.

Shaik MOHAMAD RAFIQUE: Only the Congress wife be exempted!

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: So some triends have said that the commenists will fail under the purview of this low. I say if any communist holoub with any foreign imperialist state or any foreign totalitation state and wants to bring it here, he will certainly fall under this law. He cannot claim immunity for simply heigh a communist and saying that he has a great deae. Set, I consider this Bill which many of my triends call a black Bill and consider as a backward step, as a forward step of the first magnitude. Sometimes a backward step is necessary to go a step forward. Set, you will realise that I have tried and I shall try to the best of my adhity to convince my friends. But if they say that everybody else is wrong then it is impossible for me to convince them. But I do never try to emulate my friends. If they think that they have the monopoly of wisdom and if they think their ideology is the best in the world, then I have nothing to say. I know there are certain people who want to do certain things by which democracy may prove to be irreflective; and if democracy proves meffective, then totalitatismism or tassism will thrive

Sir, I tell you in all humility that I have never been accustomed to the legislative procedure in this House, but I tell you in all humility that 48 times division has been called and in most of the time two members only have been in the opposition —(8) Jyou Byst: Why don't you turn them out?) and they have taken most of the times of the Assembly. That is how you make democracy ineffective. And when you make democracy ineffective and it is the desire of the Congress that totalitarianism may not come in and democracy may function (8) Jyou Byst. That is the American brand of democracy. If democracy functions we want

an Opposition but the Opposition should function reasonably and then they will influence the majority. But if the Opposition works trivolously—excuse me for using the word—the majority becomes irresponsible.

Sri JYOTI BASU: On a point of order, Sn. I rise on a point of order whether the Prime Minister is in order in reterring to the divisions as trivolous.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: That is his opinion

Sri JYOTI BASU: But what is your opinion, Su ?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: In a democracy it you want the majority to be develop a sense of responsibility and invectives which are adways adjectives, the better for us all. I do say it does good to nobody, but it simply initiates us all.

Coming to the Bill itself. Mr. Klanda Bukhsh says that he would like to amend clauses 16 and 17 is he had proposed in the Select Committee, and in this connection I should like to retresh his memory a bit. I told the members of the Select Committee that it you wanted to refer it to the High Court Judge.

Janab MD. KHUDA BUKHSH: On a point of order, Sir. He is divinging the secrets of the Select Committee.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Nobody can divulge the deliberations of the Scient Constitute.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I did not want to divide the denherations of the Select Committee

It you want to reter to the High Court Indge and if you want the Home Minister or the Perms Minister to appear every time with papers, it be is wanted to he've a High Centr Indge, then his position becomes ministible.

There there are other tangs in the Bill to which objections have been taken. The mean trying is deteration without trial. It detention without trial is to be taken away, there is no recessity for this Bill. I do say with all huncility that distribution without trial is a necessity of the State at the present moment. St. Jayot: Rissi. Always: Mr. Jayoti Basic says, alway. It he becomes the Honor Minister, he will think that it is always necessary. Spaik Monayayo, Rangur. No chance!) Mr. Rangur says he has no chance. Thank tool, he has no chance. Otherwise it would become a permanent necessity.

A good dear has been said about civil liberty. Wes, civil liberty is a thing which everybory prizes. I do not prize civil liberty less than anybody, but after independence we have not got the civil liberty to break each other's head. Supposing Mr. Basil wants to take stryching in the name of civil liberty certainty. I shall hand him up so that he may not take stryching. That civil liberty no State can give to anybody and it is not civil liberty. Those people who want to illegally acquire arms and aumiunition, their civil liberty, should be curtailed. That is not civil liberty. They is uncivil liberty should be curtailed. That is not civil liberty. They is uncivil liberty should be curtailed. That is not swhat is the aim of the Bill. There are some people who are simply trying to create a sort of slogan "We are for civil liberty and civil liberty", but their real intention is not civil liberty it is only uncivil liberty, but their real intention is not civil liberty it is only uncivil liberty.

Mt. Hashem has spoken of Anglo-American-Indian conspiracy. Mr. Hashem is probably in the confidence of the Britishers of Americans,

otherwise how does he know that this conspiracy is there. (Sri Jyoti Basu: At the head of the Army and Navy, the Britishers are still ruling.) Anglo-American-Indian conspiracy—this sort of shibboleth, this sort of slogan, is trying to delude the people. I do not want to emulate them. America is an independent country and in this Legislature to say that America has entered into a conspiracy with us, I think, is not in good taste. We want friendship with America. Not only that. We want triendship with Russia, although America and Russia may not be on good terms. We do not want to be in conspiracy with any country and we want to rule this country in the interest of the people of this country.

Then Mr. Hashem said that Dr. Ghosh by himself might not have brought this Bill—probably this was a part of a big conspiracy that was hatched in the Centre of the Indian Dominion and then, at the same time, he quotes Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru "I have not gone through the Bill". Then how is it a part of the conspiracy when he has not gone through the Bill? I cannot understand this logic. Conspiracy hatched at the Centre—I say, it you smell conspiracy everywhere, then I do say you will be doing injustice to the community which you are representing today because you must have faith in the majority leaders.

Janab ABUL HASHEM: Is it a threat, 8n?

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSM: It is not a threat. I say, you will be doing injustice. There is no threat to anybody, but Mr. Hashem is always out for a fight when no fight is intended.

Janab ABUL HASHEM: Thank you, Sir, it sounded like a threat

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: I say, you are doing injustice to your own community which you represent because I do say, your community, the same men of your community will never believe that leaders of the Indian Dominion or men like Pandit Lawaharlal Nehru or Sardar Vallablibhar Patel have any design on the Muslim community as a whole, but I do say

Janab ABUL HASHEM: Are we meane?

The Hon'lbe Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA CHOSH: But you have been insinuating that for some time. During this debate I have heard it several times and specially Sardar Vallabhbhan Patel was made the target here. I wish that you would respect the Deputy Premier of India his sentiments should be respected and personal consideration should not be brought in here. I very much deplote that this was done and it is my duty as a Congressman to say that a Congressman of his magnitude can have no design of that type. It you do not believe them, if you do not believe our trusted leaders, where are you going to? Then I say "Woe to this country!". It you cannot trust our leaders, if we do not believe the leaders of different communities, then I do say that a terrible calamity awaits us. I appeal to all friends of the Opposition to let us have their hand of fellowship so that we may see West Bengal happy and prosperous. Let us not doubt each other. I do not doubt the sincerity of the Opposition. I do not doubt you, but you always doubt us. What am I to do? You should consider others as honourable as yourselves. Because you are a minority you have no right to say that we are bad. I do not say that you are bad. I do say that this Bill is meant only for those 4 or 5 objectives.

Then you have said about the safety of the State as it that means we are at war with Pakistan. Certainly not. I never anticipate any war between the Eastern Pakistan and West Bengal and I have repeatedly told Mr. Nazimuddin that whatever happens in other parts of India, we must do our level best to keep peace both in West Bengal and East Bengal. Ever since I came to office I tried that. Mi. Abdur Rahman

who was in the Cabinet. Athat on the very first day when we joined the Cabinet. Mr. Suhrady said "My friends in the Opposition". Then I told him "I am in Opposition. We want to work together". That is the spirit in wh I have been working. That is the spirit in which the Congress Goment will work. We shall try to maintain peace in both parts of hal and none of us should do anything by words or by speech in any w.o disturb that atmosphere and once we disturb that atmosphere, we do know what is going to happen.

Mr. Abdur Rahma Merred to a case in 24-Parganas where some There was altercation when a Hindu merchant and a Muslim merchant were the price of woo. That led to communal trouble and arson and boting. (Shaik Mor and Rubiu E. That is how it starts.) That is followed by I say, we are sing on a volcano. You may not believe me togay, but I tell ye, you will believe me tomorrow. Therefore, I tell necessary in the hans of the Government. I do say that 24-Parganas is Hindus will be purshed. If the Muslims do similar mischiet, they will also be purished. I do say, as a representative of the people, we might be elected by the Hindus while in the Cabinet although we province I must be able to say "I represent all I represent all Archivelet on this side of the Bill. I do say that is the object of the Bill. I do say that is the object of the Bill. I do say that is the object of the House will agree with me at least fat that is the Congress objective and with these words. I support this Bill. I do hope you will all pass it with one voice and I feel after what have sand I have convinced my friends. But I am clear in my conscience about the necessity of this Bill and I think it is in the general interest of the people. I would request hrough you. Sit, the whole House to pass this Bill in the interest of the movince.

It has been said that somebody else will come as the Prime Minister, but whoever comes as the Prime Minister or whoever goes. I say that at least for some time to come the necessity of the Bill will be there. I again say that for some time to come the necessity for a Bill like this will be of the first magnitude. Some members have said that it is a backward step, but I consider it to be a forward step.

With these words, Sn., I support the Bill

The motion of the Hon'ble Dr. Pratulla Chandra Ghosh that the West Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, as settled in the Assembly, be passed was then put and a division taken with the following result:

AYE8-47.

Bandopadhaya, Sri Pramatha Nath. Banerjee, Sri Susil Kumar. Baneril. The Hon'ble Suresh Chandra. The Barman, Mohini Mohan. Basu, Sri Hemanta Kumar. Bhandari, The Hon'ble Charu Chandra. Bhattacharyya, Sri Shyamapada. Bose, Sri Satish Chandra. Chakravarty, Sri Satish Chandra. Choudhury, The Hon'ble Sri Annada Prosad. Clarke, Mr. C. E. Das, Sri Radha Nath.

Das Gupte, Sri Khagendra Nath.
Dass, Sri Kanailal.
De, Sri Kanailal.
De, Sri Kanai Lai.
Dolui, Sri Harendra Nath.
Dutta, Sri Sukumar.
Dutt-Mazumdar, Sri Niharendu.
Canguli, Sri Bepin Behari.
Cayen, Sri Arabinda.
Ghose, Sri A. K.
Chosh, The Hon'ble Dr. P. C.
Gomes, Mr. D.
Gupta, Sri J. C.
Haldar, Sri Kuber Chand.
Mahanty, Sri Charu Chandra.
Maiti, Sri Nikunja Behari.
Majhi, Sri Nikunja Behari.

Majumdar, The Hon'ble Sri Bhupati.
Mal, Gri Iswar Chandra,
Mandal, Sri Annadaprasad.
Mandal, Sri Bankubehari.
Mandal, Sri Krishna Prasad.
Mookerjee, The Hon'ble Sri Kalipada
Mukherji, Sri Dhirendra Narayan.
Murarka, Sri Basantial.
Naskar, Sri Ardhendu Sekhar.
Naskar, The Hon'ble Sri Hem Chandra.

Panja, padabendra Nath.
Platel, Ng. E.
Poddar, anandilai.
Pramarilli, Rajani Kanta.
Ray, Theorbie Sri Kamai Krishna.
Roy, Sri beswar.
Sen, Sri andra Nath.
Sinha, Srimai Chandra.
Walker, My. R.

NOES-12.

Abdul Wahid Sarkar, Mr. Abdur Rahman, Janab A. F. M. Abul Hashem, Janab. Basu, Sri Jyoti. Brahmin, Sri Ratanial. Khuda Bukhsh, Janab Md. Mahammaa Mia, Janab. Mola Mohamaad Abdul Halim, Janab. Mudassir Hoojin, Janab. Muhammad Idis, Janab. Muhammad Raque, Janab J. P. Serajuddin Ahammad, Janab.

The Ayes being 47 and the Noes 12, the motion was carried.

The West Bengal Special Powers (Second Amendm et) Enactment Bil 1947.

The Hon'ble Dr. PRAFULLA CHANDRA GHOS, 4: Sir, with ye permission I beg to withdraw the West Bengal Special Powers (Secondary Companies) as the provisions contained therein have been incorporated in the W Bengal Special Powers Bill, 1947, just now passed by the Assembly.

The West Bengal Special Powers (Second Amendment) Enactme Bill, 1947, was then by leave of the House withdrawn.

Prorogation.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I have it in command from His Excellent the Governor that the West Bengal Legislative Assembly do now star prorogued.